International Survey on the Management of Necrotizing Enterocolitis
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Abstract

Aim The aim of this study is to define patterns in the management of necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC).

Methods A total of 80 delegates (81% senior surgeons) from 29 (20 European) countries completed a survey at the European Pediatric Surgeons’ Association 2013 annual meeting.

Results Overall, 59% surgeons work in centers where > 10 cases of NEC are treated per year. Diagnosis: 76% surgeons request both anteroposterior and lateral abdominal X-rays, which are performed at regular intervals by 66%; 50% surgeons also request Doppler ultrasonography; most frequently used biochemical markers are platelets (99% of surgeons), C-reactive protein (90%), and white cell count (83%). Laparoscopy is performed for diagnosis and/or treatment of NEC by only 8% surgeons. Overall, 43% surgeons reported being able to diagnose focal intestinal perforation preoperatively.

Medical NEC: medical NEC is managed by surgical and neonatal teams together in most centers (84%). Most surgeons (67%) use a combination of two (51%) or three (48%) antibiotics for more than 7 days, and keep patients nil by mouth for 7 (41%) or 10 (49%) days. Surgical NEC: In extremely low-birth-weight infants (< 1,000 g) with intestinal...
Introduction

Necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) is a devastating disease of newborn infants, first described in the literature by Schmid and Quaiser in 1953 as enterocolitis ulcerosa necroticans.\(^1,2\) Despite extensive research and advancement in medical and surgical treatment over the last six decades, NEC still represents a challenging condition with a mortality rate as high as 40%, especially in extremely low-birth-weight (ELBW) infants.\(^3\) Controversy persists as to the most appropriate management of NEC, although several randomized controlled trials have been conducted to question or define the evidence of various aspects of prevention and treatment of this condition.

The aim of this study was to present current views of pediatric surgeons on their management of NEC, and to ascertain the degree of variability among pediatric surgical centers.

Methods

A questionnaire was administered to delegates attending the 14th Congress of the European Pediatric Surgeons’ Association (EUPSA; Leipzig, Germany, June 5–8, 2013) and collected on the last day of the conference. Respondents were asked to fill in their position (Head of Department/Permanent Staff or Consultant/Trainee), hospital, and country of practice.

The questionnaire focused on the preoperative imaging and laboratory work-up, management of medically treated NEC, surgical aspects, postoperative management, and follow-up (\(\sim\)Fig. 1).

A total of 80 delegates, including 14 heads of department, 47 consultants, and 14 trainees, completed the questionnaire. Five delegates did not disclose their degree.

On 76 questionnaires, respondents reported their country of origin. Overall, 64 were from 20 European countries (84%) and 12 from 9 non-European countries (16%).

Results

Center

Overall, 23 (29%) respondents work in centers that treat 5 to 10 cases of NEC a year, 26 (33%) reported working in a center that treats 10 to 25 NEC cases a year, and 21 (26%) in a center that treats more than 25 NEC cases a year. Only 10 (12%) respondents work in a center that treats less than 5 NEC cases a year.

Diagnosis

In the work-up of patients with suspected NEC, 61 (76%) surgeons request both anteroposterior and lateral abdominal X-rays, and 53 (66%) of them request abdominal X-rays at regular intervals to monitor patient status—37% every 6 to 12 hours, 22% every 12 to 24 hours, 22% on alternate days, and 19% every 3 days. Beyond plain X-rays, 40 (50%) surgeons request intestinal Doppler ultrasonography, whereas only 1 surgeon reports the use of abdominal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans. Only six (8%) surgeons would consider laparoscopy for diagnosis and/or treatment of NEC.

Of the responding surgeons, 32 (43%) reported that they are able to diagnose focal intestinal perforation preoperatively.

The most commonly used biochemical markers are reported in (\(\sim\)Fig. 2). Surgeons rely the most on platelet count (99%), C-reactive protein concentration (90%), and/or white blood cell count (83%), and in smaller proportions on lactate levels (43%) (\(\sim\)Fig. 2).

Medical Necrotizing Enterocolitis

Most surgeons (67, 84%) report that medical NEC is managed by surgical and neonatal teams together, whereas fewer of these patients are managed by neonatologists (11, 14%) or surgeons alone (2, 2%).

Two thirds of surgeons (52, 67%) keep patients with NEC on antibiotics for more than 7 days, whereas the remaining third (23, 34%) for up to 7 days. A combination of two, three, or
more than three antibiotics are administered to medically
managed NEC patients, by 40 (51%), 37 (48%), and 1 (1%)
responders, respectively. Patients are kept nil by mouth for
less than 5 days by 8 (10%) responders, between 5 and 7 days
by 31 (41%), and for 8 days or more by 38 (49%) responders.

Surgical Necrotizing Enterocolitis
In ELBW infants (< 1,000 g) with intestinal perforation,
57 (73%) surgeons would perform a laparotomy, whereas
21 (27%) would opt for PPD as definitive treatment (Fig. 3A).
Forty-eight (67%) surgeons consider PPD insertion important
for stabilization and transport of very sick infants with NEC.

At laparotomy, treatment varies according to NEC severity
(Fig. 3B). Where there is a single perforation or area of
necrosis, 53 (67%) surgeons would opt for bowel resection
and anastomosis, 25 (32%) would create a stoma, and only 1
(1%) would oversew the perforation site. In case of multiple
perforations or areas of necrosis, 51 (68%) surgeons would
create a stoma, 11 (15%) would opt for bowel resection and
anastomosis, 7 (9%) would create a diverting jejunostomy,
5 (7%) would opt for clip and drop technique, and 1 (1%) would
opt for fashion multiple stomas. In case of pan intestinal NEC,
32 (43%) would opt for a second-look laparotomy, 26 (35%) for
a diverting jejunostomy, 12 (16%) for clip and drop technique,
2 (3%) would close the abdomen without carrying out any
procedure, and 2 (3%) would create a stoma.

In case of stoma creation, 34 (43%) surgeons prefer the
double barrel, 27 (34%) the separated stoma, 16 (20%) the loop
ostomy, and 2 (3%) the end ostomy. Moreover, 40 (51%)
surgeons would place the stoma within the wound.

At laparotomy, 57 (75%) surgeons always close the abdo-
men, whereas 19 (25%) would not, depending on the circum-
stances. To prevent compartment syndrome, 20 (29%)
surgeons leave a patch, but the majority (49, 71%) would not.

Postoperative Management
Postoperatively, infants are kept nil by mouth for 5 to 7 days
by 36 (46%) surgeons, more than 7 days by 33 (42%), and less
than 5 days by 9 (12%) surgeons. Most surgeons (54, 77%)
restart infants on breast milk, 8 (11.5%) on Neocate, and 8
(11.5%) on hydrolyzed formulas.
Follow-Up

After hospital discharge, only a few (6, 8%) surgeons responded that NEC patients are followed up by the neonatal team alone, whereas the majority (74, 92%) of surgeons responded that infants are followed up in the clinic by the surgeons. Of those that follow-up patients, 22 (31%) surgeons review NEC patients for a maximum of 1 year, 40 (56%) up to 5 years of age, and 10 (13%) beyond 5 years of age. Finally, 51 (65%) surgeons request a specific neurodevelopmental follow-up for their NEC patients.

Discussion

This survey of practice highlighted the lack of consensus on different aspects of NEC management.

The incidence of NEC is subject to a degree of variability according to geographical and ethnical distribution, with lower frequencies in Japan, Switzerland, and Austria and higher frequencies in Northern America, United Kingdom, and Ireland.\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^10\) It is still unknown whether this incidence variability is due to actual genetic and/or environmental factors in the population or whether it is influenced by neonatal care strategies regardless the ethnical background. Several authors have successfully reported strategies to prevent NEC in premature infants at risk, mainly consisting of early trophic feeding with human breast milk, administration of antibiotics and/or antifungal agents, and enteral administration of probiotics.\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^11\) NEC incidence variability is mirrored by the results of this survey with different number of NEC cases reported by delegates from different countries.

Plain anteroposterior and left lateral decubitus radiography is the cornerstone of NEC diagnosis and staging,\(^12\)\(^,\)\(^13\) and is performed at variable intervals according to personal surgeon choice, as shown by our survey. Doppler ultrasonography, especially aimed at measuring blood flow velocity in the celiac trunk and superior mesenteric artery, has been used to identify patients at risk of developing NEC,\(^14\) as well as to assess the bowel viability in those infants with established NEC.\(^15\)\(^-\)\(^18\) In particular, sonographic findings of free gas, focal fluid collection, increased bowel wall echogenicity, absent bowel perfusion, portal venous gas, bowel wall thinning or thickening, and intramural gas are associated with adverse outcome.\(^19\) According to our survey, 50% surgeons rely on intestinal Doppler ultrasonography beyond plain radiography, thus confirming that this imaging modality adds useful information in NEC clinical practice. Conversely, the use of MRI scan in human NEC, described only once in the literature,\(^20\) still remains anecdotal.

Infants with NEC typically present with neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, metabolic acidosis, and high C-reactive protein levels.\(^12\)\(^,\)\(^13\) In our study, the vast majority of surgeons indeed rely upon these parameters for the diagnosis and monitoring of patient clinical status. Over the last two decades, other markers have been identified in the serum, urine, and feces in both animal and human studies.\(^21\)\(^-\)\(^30\) According to this survey, 10% of surgeons rely on fecal calprotectin and approximately 10% on interleukins 6 or 8, whereas fatty acid binding protein and serum amyloid A do not seem to be part of routine clinical practice. Possibly, this is because of the fact that for some of these factors, there is no clinical evidence of their utility in the literature.

Several authors have reported the use of laparoscopy to diagnose NEC.\(^31\)\(^-\)\(^34\) Pierro et al reported that laparoscopy can provide important information regarding bowel viability, it is feasible and tolerated even in infants weighing less than 1 kg, and can be safely performed on the intensive care unit.\(^31\) Moreover, Numanoglu and Millar reported that when bowel ischemia is suspected, fluorescein laparoscopy could be useful to identify the necrotic segments.\(^33\) On the contrary, some authors have reported cases of infants who developed paradoxical carbon dioxide embolism secondary to pneumoperitoneum.\(^35\)\(^-\)\(^37\) According to our survey, only a minority of surgeons (8%) would consider laparoscopy in infants with NEC.

A long debated question in NEC literature is whether focal intestinal perforation is to be considered as a separate pathological entity or a variant along the spectrum of the NEC. Some authors have reported the difficulty of relying on radiological features to distinguish the extension of the disease.\(^38\)\(^,\)\(^39\) Interestingly, 43% surgeons responding to our survey claim to be able to distinguish focal intestinal perforation preoperatively and this could have an impact on their management plans. This might be explained by the fact that some surgeons would predict focal intestinal perforation preoperatively by interpreting the abdominal X-ray in a patient with a clinical history of antenatal and/or postnatal...
Most infants with suspected (Bell’s stage 1) or confirmed (Bell’s stage 2) NEC are managed nonoperatively, and according to our survey surgeons are crucially involved in the management of these infants. Nonoperative treatment includes ventilatory support, fluid resuscitation, inotropic support as required, correction of acid–base imbalance, coagulopathy, and/or thrombocytopenia, bowel rest and antibiotics. The latter are administered for more than 7 days by two thirds of the surgeons responding to our survey. Currently, there is no consensus in the literature on which antibiotic regimen should be prescribed for medically managed NEC infants, and this is reflected by the answers to our questionnaire, too. A recent Cochrane review highlighted the lack of evidence to recommend a particular antibiotic regimen and reported the potential adverse outcome following clindamycin use, which could result in intestinal stricture development. Antibiotics are prescribed depending on institutional protocol and changed according to individual culture and sensitivity results. Similarly, there is no evidence on the duration of bowel rest and nil by mouth regimen for medically treated NEC infants and variation of practice have been recorded by our survey.

A proportion of medically managed NEC infants require acute surgical intervention, due to clinical deterioration or intestinal perforation. Performing a laparotomy in high-risk neonates, especially if ELBW, might result in serious morbidity or even mortality. To avoid this risk, in 1974, Ein et al first described that infants treated with peritoneal drainage, initially conceived as a temporizing measure, actually survived and needed no laparotomy. This experience with PPD was also later reported by other authors. However, two prospective randomized controlled trials comparing the use of PPD in infants with perforated NEC with laparotomy failed to demonstrate an advantage of one treatment modality over the other. Moreover, Pierro et al demonstrated that PPD was not an effective definitive procedure for infants with perforated NEC because 74% infants required a rescue laparotomy. In spite of this evidence, our survey showed that 27% of respondents opt for PPD as definitive treatment. It is still debatable whether there is a role for PPD in the stabilization of a critically unwell child with perforated NEC and/or respiratory compromise before transfer to another center for a laparotomy. According to our survey, two thirds of the responding surgeons use PPD in their practice in such cases.

The universal principles of surgery in the NEC are to remove necrotic intestine and control intra-abdominal sepsis while preserving as much intestinal length as possible. Within these principles, there are different surgical options that surgeons favor on the basis of personal experience, rather than evidence-based literature. According to our survey, in case of single perforation or area of necrosis the majority of surgeons would opt for bowel resection and anastomosis, whereas in case of multiple perforation or areas of necrosis, the majority would create a stoma. However, this survey mainly reflects the view of pediatric surgeons from Europe, as the use of primary anastomosis can be less frequent in Northern America. The scenario of pan intestinal NEC remains the most controversial. Interestingly, the clip and drop technique, first described by Vaughan et al in 1996 in three patients with NEC and two patients with midgut volvulus, and later supported by other studies, is favored only by a minority of surgeons responding to our survey.

Similarly, there is no consensus on the type of stoma to fashion and where to locate it with regards to the surgical wound. This is in line with the outcomes of a recent systematic review of the literature that showed no difference in the type or location of colostomy in children with colorectal disease.

It is known that sometimes NEC can be complicated by the abdominal compartment syndrome, in which case options include the use of patches or silos. However, the present survey shows that the general tendency is to attempt abdominal closure and to avoid the use of patches.

In the postoperative period, there is variability among the respondents regarding the duration of bowel rest. Conversely, it is not surprising to observe an agreement on the postoperative use of breast milk, whose role in NEC prevention is well documented.

Following hospital discharge, NEC survivors are at risk of various morbidities, including development of intestinal strictures, intestinal failure, parenteral nutrition related complications, and neurodevelopmental disabilities. Therefore, follow-up of these patients is essential. This survey showed that the vast majority of surgeons do follow-up their patients, for a period that is subjective to personal practice. Moreover, two thirds of surgeons are aware of the potential neurological sequelae and request a specific neurodevelopmental follow-up for their NEC patients.

In conclusion, some aspects of NEC management are lacking consensus and surgeons differ especially over surgical treatment of complex cases, such as pan intestinal NEC. Randomized controlled trials are necessary to guide an evidence-based management of patients with NEC; however, proven policies should have greater general acceptance and be introduced into the clinic.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References