Hydrocephalus Following Bilateral Dumbbell-Shaped C2 Spinal Neurofibromas Resection and Postoperative Cervical Pseudomeningocele in a Patient with Neurofibromatosis Type 1: A Case Report
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Introduction

Although reports of the coexistence of hydrocephalus and neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) have already been published, and cervical spine neurofibromas in patients with NF1 are common, a bilateral dumbbell-shaped C2 spinal roots location and the onset of hydrocephalus following its resection are not.

Case Report

We present a 37-year-old man affected by NF1, referred to our department for progressive weakness of both lower extremities and gait disturbance. A physical examination revealed a 4 to 5/5 muscle strength in lower limbs and hypesthesia below the C3 level. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the cervical spine disclosed a bilateral dumbbell-shaped enhancing lesion located around the C1 and C2 segments, compressing the spinal cord posteriorly (►Fig. 1). The patient underwent C1–C2 laminectomy through a posterior approach, and both sides of the tumors were resected intralesionally (►Fig. 2). Pathological examination revealed neurofibromas. After surgery, we found improved motor function. However, at the 1-month follow-up evaluation, the patient complained of headaches and nausea. A CT scan of the brain showed a postoperative cervical pseudomeningocele and an increase in the ventricular sizes, resulting in hydrocephalus.

Results

A ventriculoperitoneal shunting was performed using a programmable valve opening pressure set to 120 mmH2O. After surgery, the patient’s neurological status markedly improved.

Conclusion

Hydrocephalus must be considered a possible complication of cervical spine tumor resection.
pseudomeningocele and an increase in the ventricular sizes, resulting in hydrocephalus (►Fig. 3a, b). Ventriculoperitoneal shunting was performed using a programmable valve opening pressure set to 120 mmH2O. After surgery, the patient’s neurological status markedly improved. CT cervical and brain scans showed resolution of hydrocephalus (►Fig. 3c, d).

Discussion

NF1, also known as von Recklinghausen disease, is a genetic autosomal dominant disorder caused by the mutation of a gene on chromosome 17 that is responsible for the control of cell division. According to NIH Consensus Development Conference Criteria, clinical manifestations of NF1 are neurofibromas, multiple café-au-lait spots, axillary freckling, Lisch nodules on the iris, and intracerebral hamartomas. It is estimated that in ~2% of patients with NF1, symptomatic spinal nerve root tumors develop. In patients with NF1, cervical spine neurofibromas are common and even bilateral dumbbell-shaped cervical spine roots locations are not so rare. The coexistence of hydrocephalus and NF1 has already been published, even if the main causes for hydrocephalus at initial presentation associated with NF1 were reported as posterior fossa tumors, aqueduct stenosis due to periaqueductal/tectal hamartomas, and rarely brain stem glioma or tectal glioma. Hydrocephalus at initial presentation due to intraspinal tumors is well-known, even if it is a rare condition, since ~1% of patients with spinal cord tumors have various degrees of hydrocephalus. The pathogenesis of hydrocephalus reported as a result of spinal tumor pathology is caused...
by a disruption of the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) flow, impaired absorption due to elevated CSF protein content, tumor infiltration into basal cisterns, or compression of the spinal venous plexus. In our case, there was no residual cervical spine tumor, and the hydrocephalus, which was not present at initial presentation, could be a consequence of CSF flow obstruction due to cervical postoperative pseudomeningocele. In our opinion, a tear in the dura and the following accumulation of CSF in the epidural space may be found in a ball valve mechanism allowing egress of CSF and resulting in a tense pseudomeningocele, which causes obstruction of free CSF and hydrocephalus.

In summary, we report an unusual case of hydrocephalus following bilateral dumbbell-shaped C2 spinal neurofibromas resection and postoperative cervical pseudomeningocele in patients with NF1. Surgical treatment of cervical dumbbell-shaped neurofibromas is highly successful due to the benign nature of the tumors. Our case report focuses on a possible complication of cervical spine tumor resections. Whenever a postoperative pseudomeningocele occurs, the possibility of concurrent hydrocephalus may have to be considered; neurological examination can help in obtaining the correct diagnosis and deciding the best surgical strategy. After surgical treatment, a long-term clinical and radiological follow-up is mandatory.

Disclosures
Nicola Montemurro, none
Ardico Cocciaro, none
Antonio Meola, none
Ludovico Lutzemberger, none
Riccardo Vannozzi, none

References

Editorial Perspective

The submission by Montemurro et al. deserved publication in *EBSJ* due to the rarity and severity of the underlying pathology in this location and for the learning points it can provide for our readers.

As identified by Dr. Dailey in the commentary, there are questions to be answered prior to drawing larger conclusions about such Neurofibroma resections. To date, there is little or no guidance provided by the literature in terms of the need for preoperative imaging and restitution of CSF flow around a larger, neural element tumor resection, such as done here. This raises the question of obtaining preoperative baseline cranial imaging in such neural element tumor resections and the need for early postoperative imaging. Based on Dr. Dailey’s insights, it appears reasonable to suggest routine preoperative cranial imaging for patients with spinal cord tumors in light of a 1% incidence of concurrent intracranial pathology. Further, the nature of the dural reconstruction post-resection and reestablishing contained CSF flow are intraoperative considerations that are surgeon specific and not really amenable to literature guidance. *EBSJ* invites its readership to comment on the need for preemptive shunting and dural reconstruction in tumor resections like the one presented here.