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Abstract

Study Design  Case report.

Objective  The purpose of this report is to discuss the management of a disk herniation during pregnancy and the indication and particularities of surgery in this situation.

Methods  We describe a case of diskectomy performed in a 35-year-old woman at 18 weeks of gestation. After 4 weeks of trying to manage the disk herniation with rest and medications without success, the muscle strength of the L5 right root decreased to grade 4, and the patient was not responding to intravenous analgesia. After discussion with the patient and family, a decision was made to perform the diskectomy.

Results  After surgery, the patient’s pain lessened, and the Lasegue test became negative. Two weeks after the procedure, the patient’s muscle strength was normal. In the 40th week of pregnancy, the patient gave birth without any complications.

Conclusion  When necessary, diskectomy can be indicated and performed during pregnancy. However, appropriate precautions must be taken. Awareness of these precautions is important for the success of the procedure and for the well-being of the mother and the newborn.
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Introduction

Low back pain is a common symptom in pregnancy and may be present in up to 56% of pregnant women.¹² Previous studies correlated low back pain and pelvic pain with increased levels of relaxin, a hormone produced mainly by the corpus luteum during pregnancy. However, biomechanical changes, weight gain, and sagittal imbalance are also possible etiologies.³

Radiculopathy caused by disk herniation during pregnancy is rare, affecting 1 in 10,000 pregnant women.⁴ There are some important peculiarities to be considered in the management of this condition during pregnancy, especially when a surgical approach is necessary. The main issues to consider are which drugs and diagnostic tests are safe during gestation, surgical indications, the best time and technique for the procedure, the appropriate anesthesia, and following the pregnancy after surgery.

There are few reports in the literature about this subject, and at this time, no protocol has been established for this situation.¹⁵

Case Report

A 35-year-old female presented with intense episodes of low back pain with sciatica of the right leg since the 12th week of gestation; she was treated with drugs and home rest. In the 14th week of pregnancy, the pain intensified and did not respond to oral medication. The patient visited the emergency room several times to receive intravenous analgesia without remission of symptoms. Two weeks later, she presented...
with a positive Lasegue maneuver and neurologic deficit with decreased muscle strength (grade 4) of the right L5 root. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed, highlighting an extruded herniation L4–L5 in the lateral recess with caudal migration (►Fig. 1).

After discussion with the patient and her family, a discectomy was performed in the 18th week of gestation. The patient was placed under general anesthesia in the prone position with cushions to avoid abdominal compression (►Fig. 2). Using anatomical references (iliac crest), the L4–L5 level was found without using fluoroscopy to avoid radiation exposure to the fetus. The fetal heartbeat (FHB) was not monitored during the procedure, which lasted 1 hour and 30 minutes. Only the herniated fragment was removed.

After the surgery, both FHB monitoring and ultrasound were normal. The patient’s pain lessened, and the Lasegue test became negative. Two weeks after the procedure, the patient’s muscle strength was normal.

In the 40th week of pregnancy, the patient had a cesarean delivery due to functional dystocia and gave birth to a baby weighing 3.6 kg. Apgar scores were 10/10 at 1 and 5 minutes, respectively, and no complications were noted.

Discussion

Guidelines for the treatment of lumbar disk herniation are well defined and established. However, this case raised several unique considerations.

When a patient presents with signs of radicular compression, imaging must be performed to confirm disk herniation. MRI is considered the gold standard in this situation.

There are no studies demonstrating harmful effects of MRI during pregnancy. However, the American College of Radiology recommends to avoid this exam during the first trimester and to never make use of contrast agent (gadolinium). When MRI is necessary in the first trimester, the risks and benefits should be measured and explained to the expectant mother so that she may make an informed choice.6–8

According to the American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) guidelines, MRI is not associated with known adverse fetal effects, and the use of paramagnetic contrast agents during MRI has not been studied in pregnant women. Animal studies have demonstrated increased rates of spontaneous abortion, skeletal abnormalities, and visceral abnormalities when given at two to seven times the recommended human dose. It is not known if these compounds are excreted in human milk. Generally, these agents should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus as demonstrated in animal studies.9

The exposure to ionizing radiation from X-rays can result in the following three harmful effects: (1) cell death and teratogenic effects, (2) carcinogenesis, (3) genetic effects or mutations in germ cells. There is little to no information to estimate either the frequency or magnitude of adverse genetic effects on future generations; these effects are associated with high-dose exposure.9

The ACOG guidelines for X-ray exposure during pregnancy suggest the following:

1. Women should be counseled that X-ray exposure from a single diagnostic procedure does not result in harmful fetal effects. Specifically, exposure to less than 5 rad has not been associated with an increase in fetal anomalies or pregnancy loss.
2. Concern about the possible effects of high-dose ionizing radiation exposure should not prevent medically indicated diagnostic X-ray procedures from being performed on a pregnant woman. During pregnancy, other imaging procedures not associated with ionizing radiation (e.g., ultrasonography, MRI) should be considered instead of X-rays when appropriate.

**Conservative Treatment**

The conservative treatment for disk herniation is based on physiotherapy, rest, drugs, and epidural injections. The American Food and Drug Administration classified the drugs for use in pregnancy into five categories (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetaminophen</td>
<td>Nonopioid analgesic</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>More than 4 g/d, for a long period, class D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codeine/tramadol</td>
<td>Opioid analgesics</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Risks of respiratory tract malformation and hypospadias in the 1st trimester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac/ibuprofen</td>
<td>Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Class D in the 3rd trimester; potential for causing premature closure of the ductus arteriosus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prednisone/prednisolone</td>
<td>Glucocorticoids</td>
<td>C/D</td>
<td>In the 1st trimester, can cause cleft lip and palate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclobenzaprine</td>
<td>Muscle relaxant</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Compatible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregabalin</td>
<td>Anticonvulsant</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>No controlled studies in humans</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Friedman JM. Report of the Teratology Society Public Affairs Committee symposium on FDA classification of drugs. Teratology 1993;48:5–6.20

**Table 1 Food and Drug Administration risk classification for drug use in pregnancy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Controlled studies in women did not show fetal or maternal risk in any period.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Controlled studies in animals did not show fetal risk, and there are no controlled studies in women. No evidence of risk in humans.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Controlled studies in animals did show adverse effects, but there are no controlled studies in humans. These drugs should be given only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus, but the risk cannot be ruled out.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Positive evidence of human fetal risk exists. However, the benefits of use in pregnant women may be acceptable despite the risk (e.g., if the drug is needed for a life-threatening condition or for a serious disease for which safer drugs cannot be used or are ineffective).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Contraindicated in pregnancy. Studies in animals or humans have demonstrated fetal abnormalities, or evidence of fetal risk exists based on human experience, or both, and the risk to pregnant women clearly outweighs any possible benefit. These drugs are contraindicated in women who are or may become pregnant.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Kulay L Jr. Medicamentos na gravidez e lactação. 3rd ed. São Paulo, Brazil: Editora Manole; 2012.21

**Table 2 Main drugs used for disk herniation treatment**

The absolute indications of diskectomy during pregnancy are the same as for any patient. Therefore, in cases of cauda equina syndrome or progressive neurologic deficit, failure to perform the surgical procedure may result in permanent sequelae. The procedure is considered emergent in the first situation and urgent in the second.

Excision of ovarian cysts, breast biopsy, appendectomy, and surgery related to trauma are the most common surgical procedures performed during pregnancy, but when considering only the first trimester, laparoscopy for gynecologic indications has the highest incidence. The rate of nonobstetric surgery is ~1 to 2%. Cholecystectomy and appendectomy are the most common acute abdominal procedures.11–14

Mazze and Källén conducted a study of 5,045 patients who underwent a nonobstetric surgical procedure during pregnancy; results showed similar rates of congenital anomalies and stillbirth to those of pregnant patients not subjected to any procedure. However, as a result of preterm delivery or intrauterine growth restriction, a higher incidence of low and very low birth weight was found in the surgical group.
Additionally, more live-born infants died within the first 7 days of life in the surgical group.15

Elective surgery should not be performed during pregnancy. When possible, surgery should be avoided during the first trimester, especially during the period of organogenesis. The second trimester is optimal to perform surgery because the risk of preterm labor is lowest at that time. The management and timing of most acute surgical procedures should mimic that for nonpregnant patients.12

From the 34th to 36th gestational weeks, Han et al suggested performing cesarean delivery or inducing normal delivery; the procedure may be followed by diskectomy in the same surgery or soon after.5

In our case, because the patient’s symptoms were not improving, her pain was so excruciating that even intravenous drugs were ineffective, and her neurologic standard was becoming worse, we chose the surgical approach after discussions with the patient and her family. We performed an open microdiskectomy, which is the gold standard and our routine procedure. Consideration may be given to an endoscopic approach, as a case report in the literature describes it as safe and effective in a pregnant patient.16

Anesthesia
The selection of the type of anesthesia to be used must be guided by maternal indications and the site and nature of the surgery. No study has found an association between improved fetal outcome and any specific anesthetic technique except for a single retrospective chart analysis in which the use of general anesthesia was associated with a significantly lower birth weight despite similar gestational age at delivery.12

Pregnant patients pose unique risks during general anesthesia, both to the mother (difficult airway aspiration) and the fetus (potential drug exposure, preterm labor). For these reasons, many anesthesiologists try to avoid general anesthesia if at all possible and instead use regional anesthetics.

However, in cases of radiculopathy by root compression (disk herniation) with neurologic commitment, neuraxial anesthesia would most likely be a poor choice because it may worsen the preexisting neurologic deficit, as described by Brown and Brookfield in a case report.17

The goals of anesthetic management in pregnant women are maternal safety and avoidance of fetal hypoxia and early labor. Of the commonly used drugs, only benzodiazepines have been correlated to fetal malformation and should be avoided.13

From 18 to 20 weeks of gestation, there are some concerns with patient positioning. When lying supine, the uterus can compress the inferior vena cava, reducing the venous return and cardiac output by 25 to 30%; for this reason, it is essential to displace the uterus laterally during any operation after this period.12 In our case, the patient was lying prone, and we attempted to avoid direct compression of the abdomen by placing high cushions under the shoulders and iliac crest as shown in Fig. 2.

In their case series, Han et al performed surgeries in ventral decubitus in the first trimester and at the beginning of the second trimester, and the surgical timing was also taken into account. This article recommends that in the second trimester, diskectomy should be preferably performed in lateral decubitus to avoid aortocaval compression; finally, in the third trimester, only left lateral decubitus is recommended.5

The use of FHB monitoring during a nonobstetric surgery is a matter of debate: a recent survey of the Association of Professors of Gynecology and Obstetrics found that most respondents do not routinely perform intraoperative fetal monitoring, but simply monitor the fetus before and after the procedure. Chestnut's Obstetric Anesthesia recommends monitoring the FHB and uterine activity both before and after surgery. Intraoperative FHB monitoring may be considered when technically feasible, depending on the ease of monitoring, the type and site of the surgery, and the gestational age. In their article, Katz et al propose that until 20 weeks of gestation, there is no need for monitoring the FHB during surgery; from 20 to 23 weeks, monitoring is debatable and is mandatory from the 23rd week on.19

In the vast majority of the cases described, as in our case, gestation proceeded normally after surgery.1,5,13,16

Conclusion
There are many special considerations when treating a pregnant patient with nonobstetric disease, mainly when a surgical procedure is indicated.

With this case and literature review, we conclude that diskectomy can be indicated during pregnancy; however, the surgeon and the surgical team must be alert to the details described herein, as this information is important for the success of the procedure and for the well-being of both the mother and the newborn.
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