Incidental Findings on Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Spine in the Asymptomatic Pediatric Population: A Systematic Review
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Study Rationale and Context

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a useful tool to evaluate the spine in the pediatric patient presenting with pain, neurologic findings, trauma, and scoliosis. In the adult population, incidental findings on MRI of the spine in the asymptomatic patient are well documented, demonstrating the high sensitivity of this imaging modality. This phenomenon is likely present in the pediatric population, but the incidence...
or prevalence of incidental findings has not been as thorough-ly investigated.

Clinical Question
What is the prevalence of incidental MRI findings of the spine in asymptomatic pediatric patients?

Materials and Methods

Study design: Systematic review.

Search: The databases included PubMed, Cochrane collabora-tion database, and National Guideline Clearinghouse databases; bibliographies of key articles.

Dates searched: The data were searched from January 1980 to December 15, 2013.

Inclusion criteria: (1) Pediatrics (age ≤ 18 years); (2) asymptomatic at time of initial assessment (baseline); and (3) findings on MRI of the spine.

Exclusion criteria: (1) Adults; (2) athletes; (3) known history of trauma, infection or congenital abnormalities; (4) findings on radiograph, computed tomography (CT), ultrasound, myo-lography, or other diagnostic modalities other than MRI; and (5) case reports.

Measure of occurrence: Prevalence.

Outcomes: Incidental MRI findings of the spine.

Analysis: Descriptive statistics. Risk proportions (i.e., prevalence) from individual studies were pooled together when possible to obtain a combined risk estimate/prevalence along with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). When zero events were reported by all studies for an outcome, the CI was found using the “rule of three” estimation. This method approxi-mates the upper bound of the 95% CI as 3/n. Outcomes included as reported by the authors, with the exception of signal intensity in one study and abnormal disc in a second study; these findings were determined to be indicative of degenerative disc disease and were included in that outcome category.

Details about methods can be found in the online supple-mentary material.

Results

• Seven publications were identified, one prospective cohort and six cross-sectional studies, which met the inclusion criteria and form the basis for this report (→ Fig. 1). A list of excluded studies can be found in the online supplementary material.

• Mean ages of the populations ranged from 8 to 16.3 years (mean age was unable to be determined in one study) (→ Table 1).

Disc-Related Findings

• Degenerative disc disease was reported by all seven studies \((N = 557)\) with an overall prevalence of 19.6% (95% CI: 16.5%, 23.1%).\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^8\)

• Disc herniation/protrusion was reported in 2.9% (1.7%, 5.0%) of the 418 children from four studies.\(^2\)\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^8\)

Spondylolisthesis/Spondylolysis

• A low prevalence of both spondylolisthesis and spondy-loysis were reported by Kjaer et al and Maurer et al, respectively: 2.3% (1.2%, 4.6%)\(^2\) and 0% (0%, 13.6%) (→ Table 2).\(^6\)

Other Findings

• Salo et al reported no tumors or infections among the 49 children included.\(^7\)

• Scheuermann-type changes were reported by Tertti et al \((N = 39)\) with a prevalence of 7.7% (2.7%, 20.3%) (→ Table 2).\(^8\)

Clinical Guidelines

No clinical guidelines were found.

Illustrative Case

A 16-year-old man presented to his primary care practitioner with complaints of right-sided posterior hip pain. No significant abnormalities were found on plain imaging to include hip and lumbar/thoracic spine films. A rheumatology consultation was obtained in addition to advanced imaging of the thoracic and lumbar spine. Thoracic MRI revealed multiple Schmorl’s nodules as well as a small disc herniation at T7–8 (→ Fig. 2). Lumbar spine MRI was within normal limits.
### Table 1 Demographics and characteristic of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (y)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>MRI findings reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
  • Disc herniation: 2.9% (10/341)  
  • Disc height: 37.2% (127/341)  
  • Abnormal nucleus shape: 51.6% (176/341)  
  • Annular tear: 8.2% (28/341)  
  • High intensity zone: 5.3% (18/341)  
  • Nerve root compression: 9.1% (31/341)  
  • Endplate changes: 5.3% (18/341)  
  • Spondylolisthesis: 2.3% (8/341) |
| Kujala et al (1996) | Prospective cohort (cross-sectional at baseline) | N = 16 (disc NR) Mean age: 11.9 y (range, 11.3–12.8) Female: 100% | • Disc degeneration: 12.5% (2/16)  
  • Disc protrusion/prolapse: 6.3% (1/16)  
  • Vertebral endplate changes: 6.3% (1/16) |
| Maurer et al (2011) | Cross-sectional                       | N = 22 (discs NR) Mean age: 16.3 ± 1.3 y Male: 100% | • Disc degeneration: 9.1% (2/22)  
  • Herniated disc: 0% (0/22)  
  • Bulging disc: 4.5% (1/22)  
  • Stress reaction: 0% (0/22)  
  • Spondylolysis: 0% (0/22) |
  • Tumor: 0% (0/49)  
  • Infection: 0% (0/49)  
  • Bone anomaly: 10.2% (5/49) |
| Tertti et al (1990) | Cross-sectional                       | N = 10 (discs NR) Mean age: 12 ± 2.5 y (range, 8–14) Male: NR | • Disc degeneration (i.e., abnormal disc): 10% (1/10) |
  • Disc protrusion: 2.6% (1/39)  
  • Narrowed disc space: 2.6% (1/39)  
  • Scheuermann-type changes: 7.7% (3/39)  
  • Transitional vertebra: 2.6% (1/39) |

Abbreviation: MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

*Asymptomatic controls only.

*bStudy population included a total 439 children (98 symptomatic/LBP, 341 asymptomatic).

*cStudy population included a total of 98 adolescents: 65 athletes (34 boys, 31 girls) and 33 age-matched nonathletes (16 boys, 17 girls). All children were asymptomatic at presentation/baseline. All the girls were included in MRI studies and complete MRI was available for 43 girls (27 athletes and 16 nonathletes).

*dStudy population included a total of 44 asymptomatic boys: 22 competitive rowers and 22 age-, weight-, and height-matched nonathletes (i.e., did not practice any regular physical activity (more than once a week).

*eStudy population included a total 423 patients (age range 10–49) were included in the study: 207 with low-back pain and 216 asymptomatic controls. Only asymptomatic patients aged ≤ 19 years are included in the analysis (n = 80).

*fA total 423 patients (age range 10–49) were included in the study: 207 with low-back pain and 216 asymptomatic controls. Only asymptomatic patients aged ≤ 19 years are included in the analysis (n = 80).

*gA total 81 children were included in the study: 32 symptomatic (LBP) patients and 49 age-matched, asymptomatic controls (healthy volunteers). A total of 45 children were included: 35 gymnasts with or without low back pain and 10 asymptomatic, nonathletes serving as controls (i.e., without any regular sport activities and without history of low back pain).

*hMRI was performed approximately 1 year after data collection.

*iA total of 78 children were included: 39 symptomatic (LBP) and 39 asymptomatic sex-, age-, and school class-matched children serving as controls.
Table 2: Summary of MRI findings in asymptomatic pediatric subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MRI finding</th>
<th>No. studies</th>
<th>Total events (n)</th>
<th>Total subjects (N)</th>
<th>Prevalence, % (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disc-related</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degenerative disc disease*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>19.6% (16.5%, 23.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disc herniation/protrusion</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>2.9% (1.7%, 5.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disc height/narrowed disc space</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>33.7% (29.1%, 38.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endplate changes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>5.3% (3.4%, 8.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulging disc</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.5% (0.9%, 21.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleus shape</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>51.6% (46.3%, 56.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annular tear</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>8.2% (5.7%, 11.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High intensity zone</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>5.3% (3.4%, 8.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve root compression</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>9.1% (6.5%, 12.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spondylolisthesis/spondylolysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spondylolisthesis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>2.3% (1.2%, 4.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spondylolysis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0% (0%, 13.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0% (0%, 6.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0% (0%, 6.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheuermann-type changes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>7.7% (2.7%, 20.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone anomalies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>10.2% (4.4%, 21.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional vertebra</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2.6% (0.5%, 13.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Kjaer et al (2005) reported intermediate/hypointense signal intensity and Tertti et al (1990) reported “abnormal discs”; these findings were determined to be indicative of degenerative disc disease and included in this category.

Discussion

- **Strengths**
  - The question was reviewed systematically.
  - Asymptomatic control groups were found.
- **Limitations**
  - The asymptomatic control groups were comprised convenience samples (as opposed to random samples) matched to primarily symptomatic children and may not be representative of the general pediatric population.
  - Most MRI findings were reported by single studies making the risk estimate (i.e., prevalence) imprecise.
- In the adult population, several studies have established that there are age-dependent disc and osseoligamentous findings on MRI despite a lack of clinical correlation to patient complaints and physical examination findings. Boden et al performed lumbar MRI on 67 asymptomatic adult subjects and found disc herniations in 37% over the age of 60.5 Jensen et al performed MRI of the lumbar spine on 98 adult patients and found abnormal disc appearance in 64%.10
- The pediatric population demonstrates a lower rate of incidental findings on MRI compared with the adult population. Our results revealed a prevalence of degenerative disc findings of 19%, disc herniation of 2.9%, narrowed disc space of 33.7%, and endplate changes of 5.3%.

Fig. 2: Thoracic magnetic resonance imaging revealing multiple Schmorl’s nodules and small disc herniation at T7–8.
These incidental findings are not likely a result of physiologic aging given the younger age of the pediatric population.

- It is interesting to note the low prevalence of spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis detected on MRI in the asymptomatic pediatric patient (Table 2). Although spondylolysis is rarely reported in infants, the prevalence in children older than 6 years of age is similar to that of the adult population of 6%. In the symptomatic pediatric patient with an acute spondylolysis, single-photon emission CT (SPECT) is sensitive and specific for determining the presence and location of increased bone activity. MRI can approach the sensitivity of SPECT for identifying acute spondylolysis, which is typically accompanied by high signal changes in the adjacent osseous structures. However, the absence of osseous edema and high signal change makes the MRI less sensitive, and like the SPECT, may be normal appearing in asymptomatic pediatric patients with spondylolysis.

- While not as common as seen in the adult population, positive MRI findings in the pediatric population may be incidental in nature and not related to the presenting complaints of back or leg pain.

- Future research is warranted regarding the prevalence of spine abnormalities in the pediatric population. A larger number of subjects across a heterogeneous population would more accurately reflect the true prevalence of incidental findings on MRI of the spine. In addition, longitudinal studies tracking the fate of the abnormalities as well as the presence or absence of symptoms correlating with those abnormalities could potentially lead to prognostic criteria.

Summary and Conclusion

MRI is a valuable imaging modality for the evaluation of the patient with suspected spinal pathology. However, the sensitivity of MRI results in a high prevalence of positive findings in asymptomatic adult patients, often referred to as “age-related” physiologic findings because of the absence of correlating back or leg symptoms. The prevalence of positive MRI findings in the asymptomatic pediatric population is higher than previously assumed, particularly in regard to disc morphology, highlighting the importance of correlating the history and physical examination to the MRI findings to avoid misdiagnosis or over-treatment in the pediatric population.
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Editorial Perspective

_EBSJ_ and its reviewers congratulated the authors on their study idea and execution. The pooled data presented will hopefully form a helpful reference mark for future publications and also serve as a reference for calculations on symptomatic disc degeneration in adult cohorts. _EBSJ_ reviewers wanted to make sure that the present study does not support the contention that “There is a genetic basis to disc degeneration.” For many providers, the findings of disc degeneration on MRI in children are alarming, when it should not be. This systematic review by Ramadorai et al does show that intervertebral disc degenerative changes are common even in the asymptomatic pediatric population. This finding will hopefully provide reassurance to those who worry about descriptions of endplate changes such as Schmorl’s nodes.

The other finding of note in this SR is the relatively low reported incidence of spondylolysis. This may be a result of either the low number of patients included in the studies where this was specifically looked for (small sample size error), or it may be a reflection of the limitations of the diagnostic modalities regarding detection of spondylolysis or spondylolisthesis (remembering that MRIs are most commonly performed supine). It may also invite further critical review of the widely held assumption that spondylolisthesis or spondylolysis are usually asymptomatic in pediatric/adolescents cohorts.

Another point worth considering is the sampling methodology, which may have introduced a selection bias into the systematic review. Differentiating pediatric and adolescent patients into “athletes” and “nonathletes” may be problematic in light of a large percentage of children participating in sports. It would seem helpful to come up with a differentiating definition for athletes and nonathletes in nonadult patient populations and also come up with rates of asymptomatic “athlete” patients who have abnormalities on spinal MRI.

Finally, _EBSJ_ invites its readers to look at the additional Web material provided, which also features a complete listing of excluded studies, which is a comprehensive list of the current state of pediatric and adolescent disc pathology and low back pain.