Intralabyrinthine Penetrating Ventilation Tube with Preservation of Hearing: An Unusual Clinical Situation
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Abstract

Introduction  Traumatic perilymphatic fistula is not a rare event with regards to sport activities or traffic accident. However, iatrogenic damage to the inner ear can occur following the common use of grommets and ventilation tube insertion.

Objectives  To report an unusual case of insertion of aeration tube into the vestibule trough the stapes footplate.

Resumed Report  A 62-year-old woman experienced iatrogenic penetration into the vestibule from a ventilation tube inserted for retraction pocket management. The event was misdiagnosed both by the surgeon and by the emergency room physicians, leading to delay in the management. However, preservation of the hearing function lasted for 2 weeks prior to deafness, thanks to the valve of Bast, which preserved the cochlear fluid.

Conclusion  This case gives us the opportunity to stress the need for systematic clinical examination of traumatic injury to the ear and to recommend performing multiplanar millimetric computed tomography scan with accurate interpretation. Traumatic injuries should be referred to a dedicated traumatic emergency referral center.
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Introduction

Traumatic perilymphatic fistula is not a rare event with regards to sport activities or traffic accident. However, iatrogenic damage to the inner ear can occur following the common use of grommets and ventilation tube insertion. Here, we describe how early surgical management may help recover the inner ear function, despite severe damage to this fragile structure.

Review of Literature with Differential Diagnosis

A perilymph fistula is an abnormal communication between inner ear perilymphatic spaces to the aerated middle ear. A wide variety of signs and symptoms as well as numerous etiologies are associated with perilymph fistula, from congenital malformations to accidental or iatrogenic trauma to the inner ear.1–3 During the past 30 years, several cases of traumatic perilymphatic fistula have been reported. Those include cases associated with ear cleansing, surgeries, trauma, and blast.2–11 Traumatic perilymph fistula following penetrating intravestibular ventilation tube has yet to be reported.

Case Report

A 62-year-old woman with a past history of chronic otitis underwent a tube placement for a right-sided posterior...
retraction pocket. Immediately after the procedure, she developed severe vertigo associated with nausea and vomiting. She was discharged with antivomiting medication, which was said to be a normal postoperative event. Four days later she was admitted in emergency for acute vertigo. Clinical evaluation revealed right index finger deviation and left beating nystagmus with hearing loss. Otoscopy showed the tube in place. A temporal bone computed tomography (CT) scan was performed and showed the transtympanic ventilation tube associated with middle ear effusion, but bony components within the vestibule were missed (Fig. 1).

She was admitted in the otolaryngology department and managed medically. Her condition improved, and she was discharged 3 days later.

One week later, she was referred to our tertiary referral center complaining of progressive right-sided hearing loss associated with severe vertigo. She presented with right-sided vestibular impairment and positive Hennebert sign on the right ear. On otoscopic examination, she had tympanic membrane retraction with the ventilation tube inserted in the posterosuperior quadrant with pulsating waterlike fluid leaking through the tube. The audiometry showed a right-sided, severe mixed hearing loss (Fig. 2). A new

Fig. 1 High-resolution temporal computed tomography (with 0.6-mm slice thickness) in axial (A) and coronal reconstruction (B). The middle ear is filled with fluid; the ossicular chain is not recognizable. There is no pneumolabyrinth, but careful examination may have revealed high-density components within the vestibule (A, arrow). The tube is seen in the external canal (B, arrowhead).

Fig. 2 Right-ear pure tone audiogram performed 1 week after discharge from postemergency hospitalization showing severe mixed hearing loss. Dotted line: bone conduction; plain line: air conduction.

Fig. 3 Second computed tomography scan. The presence of air within the inner ear space (arrowhead) permits the visualization of the tube that penetrates into the vestibule (arrow).
high-resolution temporal bone CT scan clearly showed a right pneumolabyrinth within the vestibule and the semicircular canals (arrows) but did not extend into the cochlea (arrowhead) and might have explained both the conservation of the auditory function up to the 2 weeks after injury as well as the initial recuperation of hearing function after the surgery.

of the footplate were fractured. The rest of the footplate was intact. The tube was penetrating the vestibule in the posterior and superior part of the stapes footplate. The tube was gently removed, and the vestibule was refilled with saline solution. The vestibule was sealed with perichondrium and maintained with fibrin glue; the whole montage was stabilized using a Silastic (Dow Corning, USA) sheet positioned from the facial canal to the promontory. The tympanic membrane was repaired using underlay tympanoplasty with cartilage. The ossicular chain was not reconstructed.

In the immediate postoperative period, the patient still presented positional dizziness as well as a left-sided beating nystagmus. Bone conduction auditory testing at postoperative day 2 showed marked improvement of the right-side thresholds (►Fig. 5). The patient was discharged 5 days after surgery. On day 12 after surgery, she suddenly complained of worsening hearing loss and severe tinnitus. The pure tone average (PTA) showed complete anacusis on the right ear (►Fig. 5). No revision surgery was indicated. Treatment with vestibular rehabilitation was introduced and helped dispel the dizziness within a 6-month period of time.

Discussion

Our case is singular for the three following points: the erroneous placement of a tube under general anesthesia; the missed diagnosis in the emergency setting; and the relative conservation of the cochlear function despite severe trauma to the labyrinth. This is, to our knowledge, the first direct penetration of an aeration tube into the vestibule. We found another case of labyrinthine injury following tube insertion, due to gradual bony erosion of the osseous labyrinth as a long-term complication.12

Fig. 4 Second computed tomography scan, coronal view. The pneumolabyrinth extended into the vestibule and the superior and lateral canals (arrows) but did not extend into the cochlea (arrowhead) and might have explained both the conservation of the auditory function up to the 2 weeks after injury as well as the initial recuperation of hearing function after the surgery.

Fig. 5 Right-ear pure tone audiogram done 4 days (A) and 12 days (B) after surgical intervention. (A) Note the hearing improvement in bone conduction. (B) Complete anacusis occurred 12 days after the surgery. Dotted line: bone conduction; plain line: air conduction. Abbreviations: RE, right ear; LE, left ear.
First, the trauma undoubtedly followed immediate misplacement of the tube, in the posterosuperior quadrant of the drum. This is clearly a wrong procedure, demonstrating an insufficient and inappropriate education. Second, the workup performed in the emergency room was inadequate. Both the clinical history and the CT scan clearly should have stressed the suspicion of trauma to the inner ear. The presence of a pneumolabyrinth on a high-resolution CT scan of the temporal bone is pathognomonic of perilymphatic fistula.\(^2,10\)–\(^12\) This inappropriate diagnosis highlights the necessity of appropriate education in trauma-related injuries. Education, reconfiguration of trauma services, and better provision of neurocritical care facilities do improve the quality of care to trauma-related patients.\(^13,14\) Although the initial CT scan was difficult to interpret, the high-density spots within the vestibule should have drawn attention to a possible severe inner ear trauma (\(\text{Fig. 1A}\)). High-resolution CT scan of temporal bone is mandatory in such a scenario.\(^5,6,15\)–\(^17\) Finally, it was unexpected that the pneumolabyrinth did not extend into the cochlea (\(\text{Fig. 4}\)), but we think that the preservation of the cochlear fluid explains the conservation of the sensorineural component of the hearing. The protective role of the valve of Bast (that closes the utricular duct) explains this unusual situation: decreasing pressure in the whole endolympathic system collapses the ductus reuniens and causes closure of the valve.\(^18\)–\(^21\) It is plausible that the reopening of the valve of Bast after the repair of the fistula may have let the remaining air bubbles diffuse within the cochlea and induce total auditory loss.

**Final Comments**

Tympanic tubes should be inserted in all cases in the infero- or anteroinferior quadrant of the drum, and this procedure should be performed under local anesthesia. In cases of suspicion of traumatic damage to the stapes footplate, surgery should be performed as fast as possible following trauma. Although the outcome of vestibular symptoms is good, regardless of lesion, severity, or intervention,\(^3,6\) hearing outcome is more unpredictable. We agree with Hidaka et al on the following predictive factors of hearing preservation in a scenario of pneumolabyrinth: (1) early intervention within 15 days; (2) pneumolabyrinth limited to semicircular canals and vestibule; (3) existence of stapes lesion.\(^5\) According to Tsubota et al, the value of the bone conduction thresholds should be considered a significant prognostic factor as well.\(^6\)
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