Roles of Circulating WNT-Signaling Proteins and WNT-Inhibitors in Human Adiposity, Insulin Resistance, Insulin Secretion, and Inflammation

Abstract

Wingless-type MMTV integration site family member (WNT) signaling and WNT-inhibitors have been implicated in regulation of adipogenesis, insulin resistance, pancreatic function, and inflammation. Our goal was to determine serum proteins involved in WNT signaling (WNT5 and WISP2) and WNT inhibition (SFRP4 and SFRP5) as they relate to obesity, serum adipokines, insulin resistance, insulin secretion, and inflammation in humans. Study population comprised 57 insulin resistant women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) and 27 reference women. In a cross-sectional study, blood samples were obtained at fasting, during oral, and frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance tests. Serum WNT5, WISP2, and SFRP4 concentrations did not differ between PCOS vs. reference women. Serum WNT5 correlated inversely with weight both in PCOS and reference women, and correlated directly with insulin response during oral glucose tolerance test in PCOS women. Serum WISP2 correlated directly with fatty acid binding protein 4. Serum SFRP5 did not differ between obese (n=32) vs. nonobese (n=25) PCOS women, but reference women had lower SFRP5 (p<5×10^{-6} as compared to both PCOS groups). Serum SFRP5 correlated inversely with adiposity and directly with insulin response, and the WNT-inhibitor SFRP5 may be anti-inflammatory. Better understanding of the role of WNT signaling in obesity, insulin resistance, insulin secretion, lipoprotein metabolism, and inflammation is important for prevention and treatment of metabolic syndrome, diabetes and cardiovascular disease.

Introduction

Wingless-type MMTV integration site family member (WNT) signaling has been implicated in regulation of adipogenesis, insulin resistance, pancreatic function, and inflammation [1–3]. Proteins involved in WNT signaling (WNT and WNT-inducible secreted protein 2: WISP2) as well as WNT inhibition (secreted frizzled-related proteins 4 and 5: SFRP4 and SFRP5) are secreted into the circulation. Although limited number of clinical studies linked one or more of these serum proteins to various metabolic disorders, these proteins have not been evaluated systematically in a single study.

Role of WNT signaling in adipogenesis has been investigated in experimental studies [4–8]. Canonical WNT signaling inhibits both commitment of mesenchymal stem cells into preadipocytes and differentiation of preadipocytes into adipocytes [4,5]. This occurs through a step-by-step process: First, WNT activation induces production of the cytosolic protein WISP2. Next, WISP2 binds to a transcriptional activator complex called zinc finger protein (ZNF) 423/Ffp423 in the cytosol and prevents it from moving to the nucleus. Since ZNF423/Ffp423 is an activator of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)γ, trapping of this complex in the cytosol interferes with PPARγ activation [1]. Since PPARγ is required for adipogenesis, activation of WNT signaling inhibits adipogenesis. Consequently, WNT activation limits storage function of the adipose tissue and diverts the lipids to liver and muscle, leading to metabolic complications of obesity such as insulin resistance and fatty liver disease [1,9]. In addition, WNT signaling increases insulin resistance by activating c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) cascade, JUN kinase, and serine phosphorylation of IRS-1 through the noncanonical signaling pathway [10].
Recent studies indicated that WNT signaling plays an important role in the inflammatory events, which link obesity to its metabolic complications as well. Macrophages, which reside in the adipose tissue, secrete the proinflammatory WNT5 glycoprotein. In response, healthy adipocytes produce the SFRP5, which sequesters WNT5 in the extracellular space and prevents its binding to the receptor [10]. Thus, SFRP5 serves as an anti-inflammatory adipokine, which promotes insulin sensitivity and reduces metabolic dysfunction [3, 8, 11]. Consistent with this compensatory response, SFRP5 expression was found to be increased in several genetic as well as experimental mouse models of obesity and correlated with the adipocyte size [3]. In humans, there have been conflicting reports. Limited number of studies carried out in diverse populations reported decreased as well as increased SFRP5 levels in obesity and insulin resistance [12, 13].

Another secreted frizzled-related protein (SFRP4) has been implicated in type 2 diabetes (DM) through analysis of global gene expression studies. In these investigations SFRP4 gene expression in pancreatic islet cells was found to be highly associated with type 2 DM and correlated strongly with HbA1c and insulin secretion [14]. Additional research indicated that SFRP4 inhibited WNT signaling and reduced insulin secretion by decreasing calcium influx and insulin exocytosis in islet cells [14]. Moreover, serum SFRP4 concentrations were found to be elevated in type 2 DM, even before the development of overt hyperglycemia [14].

Our study included women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) because these women frequently have insulin resistance and pancreatic dysfunction [15]. Polycystic ovary syndrome is a common disorder affecting 6–10% of young women; approximately 60% of PCOS patients have metabolic syndrome; one out of 5 develops diabetes before the age of 40 years [16–18]. Biochemical mechanisms underlying the abnormal glucose homeostasis have been reviewed in detail in recent as well as earlier publications [15, 19]. The metabolic disorders commonly associated with PCOS have been linked to increased WNT-signaling in experimental studies. Therefore, we investigated serum WNT5, WISP2, SFRP5, and SFRP4 as they relate to obesity, insulin resistance, glucose-stimulated insulin response, and inflammation in PCOS. Based on the published literature, we anticipated that serum WNT5 and WISP2 would relate to insulin resistance [7, 11] and possibly to insulin response [20, 21]; SFRP5 to insulin sensitivity [3, 8, 11]; and SFRP4 to impairment of first phase of insulin secretion [12].

Subjects and Methods

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of University of California, Davis, USA. All subjects signed informed consents. The investigators did not have any conflict of interest.

Women between the ages 20–45 years and with a body mass index (BMI) of 25–45 kg/m² who fulfilled the NIH criteria for PCOS by having ovarian dysfunction, as evidenced by ame-norrhea (no periods for >6 months) or oligomenorrhea (<6 periods/year), clinical (hirsutism), or laboratory evidence for hyperandrogenemia (total testosterone > 54 ng/dl or free testosterone > 9.2 pg/ml), along with the absence of any confounding clinical pathology (i.e., Cushing’s disease, 21 hydroxylase deficiency, or prolactinoma) were recruited. Patients were excluded if they used oral contraceptives, anti-androgenic medications, insulin sensitizers, d-chiro-inositol, or any other medications or supplements that affect weight or insulin sensitivity during the preceding 2 months; had diabetes mellitus, untreated hypothyroidism or thyroid disease, and any other systemic illness such as renal, hepatic, and gastrointestinal disease; smoke; or drink >2 alcoholic drinks per week. Menstruating women were tested during the follicular phase of the cycle.

To obtain reference values for serum WNT5, WISP2, SFRP5, and SFRP4, fasting blood samples were obtained from healthy women who did not have any of the exclusion criterion mentioned for the PCOS patients. These women had regular menstrual cycles and the blood samples were obtained during the follicular phase of their cycles.

Studies were carried out at the Clinical and Translational Science Center Clinical Research Center of the University of California, Davis (CCRC), USA. All studies were conducted after an overnight fast, before 9 AM. Weight was measured in light clothing using the Tanita BWB800-P Digital Medical Scale. Body composition was determined using bioelectrical impedance. The oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTT) and frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance tests (FS-IGT) were performed one week apart.

OGTT

After obtaining the baseline samples; participants drank 75 g of glucose (Glucola™) and additional blood samples were obtained every 30 min for 2 h.

FS-IGT

An intravenous catheter was placed in the forearm and kept open with normal saline. Heating pads were used in order to maximize blood flow. Three blood samples were obtained at times −15, −10, and −5 min. Glucose (0.3 g/kg as 25% dextrose) was given intravenously at time 0 min. Intravenous insulin 0.031 μg/kg (Humulin Regular: Eli Lilly) was given at time 20 min after the glucose administration. Blood samples were obtained at times 0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 19, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 90, 100, 120, 140, 160, and 180 min. Acute insulin response to glucose (AIR-Glucose) was calculated using the MiniMod Millennium software (Dr. Bergman, Los Angeles, CA, USA).

Biochemical measurements

Glucose was measured using YSI 2300 STAT Plus Glucose & Lactate Analyzer (YSI Life Sciences, Yellow Springs, OH, USA), with a coefficient of variation (CV) of 1%. Insulin was measured by RIA (Millipore, St. Charles, MO, USA) with a CV of 8.2%. Triglyceride, cholesterol, and HDL-cholesterol were measured using PolyChem System Analyzer (Cortlandt Manor, NY, USA) with CVs of 3.5%, 4%, and 3.6%, respectively. Leptin and adiponectin were measured using RIA (Millipore, St. Charles, MO, USA) with CVs of 4.3% and 6.5%. hs-CRP was measured using a highly sensitive (hs) latex-enhanced immunonephelometric assay (Beckman UniCel Dxc 800 systems; Beckman-Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) with a CV < 5%. Total testosterone, sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG), and DHEAS were measured by RIA (Diagnostic Systems Laboratories, Webster, TX, USA) with CVs of 8.3%, 4.4%, and 9.6%, respectively. Serum SFRP4 was measured by ELISA (MyBioSource, Atlanta, GA, USA) with CV of 9.8%; SFRP5 by ELISA (USCN Life Science, Inc., Burlington, ON, USA) with CV of 9%; WNT5 by ELISA (Antibodies-Online, Atlanta, GA, USA) with CV of 8%; WISP2 by ELISA (MyBioSource, Atlanta, GA, USA) with CV of < 15%.
Peripheral insulin resistance was assessed by calculating Matsuda’s sensitivity index (\(\text{IS}_{\text{Matsuda}} = 10000 / \sqrt{[\text{fasting glucose} \times \text{fasting insulin}] \times (\text{mean glucose} \times \text{mean insulin during OGTT})}\)) and SI by applying the MINMOD program to FS-IGT data. Hepatic insulin resistance was assessed by calculating Homeostasis Model Assessment (HOMA: \([\text{(fasting insulin} / \mu\text{mL}] \times \text{fasting glucose} / \text{mg/dL}] / 405\)). Early insulin secretion was assessed by calculating AIGR from FS-IGT. Pancreatic function was assessed by calculating the area under the curve (AUC) for insulin during OGTT and calculating \(\beta\)-cell function during FS-IGT. \(\beta\)-cell compensation for insulin resistance was assessed by calculating DI from AIGR and SI.

### Results

**Clinical characteristics of study groups (Table 1, 2)**

The reference women were older than the PCOS women. There were no other significant age differences among the groups. Since weight is a significant determinant of insulin resistance, women with PCOS were evaluated in 2 groups: nonobese (\(n = 25\)) and obese (\(n = 32\)). The nonobese PCOS group matched the reference women for weight and BMI. As expected, obese PCOS women had higher BMI, fat-mass, and serum leptin. Obese as well as nonobese PCOS women were more insulin resistant as compared to reference women based on fasting insulin levels. Obese-PCOS women were more insulin resistant than nonobese PCOS women based on HOMA (\(p < 0.0002\)). Reference women had higher adiponectin as compared to obese PCOS women (\(p = 0.001\)). Reference women also had higher HDL-cholesterol than both obese and nonobese PCOS women (\(p < 1 \times 10^{-4}\) for both). Reference women had lower hs-CRP than the nonobese PCOS women (\(p = 0.008\)). Obese-PCOS women also had higher triglyceride as compared to nonobese PCOS women (\(p = 0.002\)). As shown in Table 2, OGTT and FS-IGT confirmed that obese-PCOS women were more insulin resistant than nonobese PCOS women (Matsuda-SI: \(p = 0.0003\); FS-IGT-SI: \(p = 0.055\)).

**Fasting serum WNT5, WISP2, SFRP5, and SFRP4 (Table 1, 2)**

As shown in Table 1, serum WNT5, WISP2, or SFRP4 concentrations did not differ among the groups. Reference women had significantly lower SFRP5 as compared to both obese and nonobese PCOS women (\(p < 5 \times 10^{-6}\) for both) while there was no difference between the serum SFRP5 concentrations of obese versus nonobese PCOS groups.

### Discussion

Among PCOS women, serum WNT5 tended to correlate inversely with weight and fat-mass and correlated directly with insulin response during OGTT. In general, obesity is associated with hyperinsulinemia. In PCOS, WNT5 tended to relate inversely to obesity but related directly hyperinsulinemia. The inverse relationship between obesity and serum WNT5 is consistent with the anti-adipogenic effect of WNT signaling as presented earlier [7, 22–25]. The direct relationship to hyperinsulinemia may have 2 potential explanations. First, WNT signaling plays a role in the development of endocrine pancreas and regulates \(\beta\)-cell function [21]. Specifically WNT5 potentiates glucose-stimulated insulin secretion [26, 27]. WNT signaling is increased in the pancreas of patients with Type 2 DM [20]. Thus, WNT5 may contribute to increased insulin response seen in PCOS. Alternatively, hyperinsulinemia is a compensatory response to insulin resistance and delayed insulin response to glucose is associated with development of glucose intolerance in PCOS. The correlation seen between WNT5 and AUC_{insulin} and late insulin response during OGTT may suggest that WNT5 plays a role in the development of insulin resistance and glucose intolerance in PCOS. Since WNT5 did not relate to any of the insulin resistance markers in PCOS, this may suggest that WNT signaling is independent of insulin resistance. It is possible that WNT signaling is more related to glucose intolerance than insulin resistance. The development of insulin resistance and glucose intolerance in PCOS has been shown to be related to hyperinsulinemia [3].
parameters such as HOMA, Matsuda's insulin sensitivity index, or SI, the former explanation appears to be more likely. To further elucidate the relationships among WNT5, body weight and insulin resistance, we wanted to investigate WNT proteins over a wide spectrum of insulin resistance and within a narrow range of body weight. Therefore, nonobese PCOS and weight-matched reference women were evaluated as a single group. In these women, WNT5 still correlated inversely with the parameters of adiposity (fat-mass and leptin) but not with insulin resistance. These findings indicated that serum WNT5 did not account for the large differences in insulin resistance between the PCOS vs. reference women. Taken altogether, it appears that anti-adipogenic effect of WNT is seen in women with or without PCOS. SFRP5 protein is expressed in the white adipose tissue of lean as well as obese subjects [31]. It has been suggested that SFRP5 is an anti-inflammatory cytosolic protein found in the adipose tissue [31].

It is not known whether WNT signaling relates to glucose-stimulated insulin response in women without PCOS. Although serum WISP2 did not correlate with weight, fat-mass or insulin resistance, it correlated directly with FABP4. Fatty acid binding protein 4 chaperones free fatty acids released during lipolysis from the adipocytes into the circulation [29]. Serum FABP4 concentrations reflect the state of lipolysis in the adipose tissue [30]. As WNT signaling is anti-adipogenic and counters the actions of PPARγ, the correlation observed between WISP2 and FABP4 is consistent with the role of WISP2 in WNT signaling. Interestingly, WISP2 was the most abundant of the all WNT related proteins. It is possible that the WISP2 measured in the circulation may have different biological functions than the cytosolic protein found in the adipose tissue [31].

Table 2  Insulin resistance and secretion parameters a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Obese PCOS (n = 32)</th>
<th>Nonobese PCOS (n = 25)</th>
<th>Reference women (n = 27)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fasting Plasma Variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WNT5 (ng/ml)</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.72 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WISP2 (ng/ml)</td>
<td>209.6 ± 79.3</td>
<td>305.6 ± 70.1</td>
<td>250.0 ± 51.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRP5 (ng/ml)</td>
<td>2.84 ± 2.39</td>
<td>4.01 ± 4.52</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.98 a,b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRP4 (ng/ml)</td>
<td>5.29 ± 5.49</td>
<td>7.43 ± 9.72</td>
<td>5.57 ± 6.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.46 ± 0.76</td>
<td>5.56 ± 0.80</td>
<td>5.19 ± 0.33 a,b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (pmol/l)</td>
<td>139.9 ± 61.7</td>
<td>145.6 ± 83.8</td>
<td>81.1 ± 27.2 a,b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA</td>
<td>5.4 ± 2.3</td>
<td>3.3 ± 2.1 a</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.0 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiponectin (μg/ml)</td>
<td>8.5 ± 5.0</td>
<td>10.7 ± 5.5</td>
<td>13.6 ± 6.4 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptin (μg/l)</td>
<td>32.1 ± 13.1</td>
<td>16.2 ± 7.3 a</td>
<td>14.8 ± 5.3 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.11 a</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.17 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.05 ± 0.15</td>
<td>4.81 ± 0.39</td>
<td>5.53 ± 0.28 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mmol/l)</td>
<td>3.03 ± 0.10</td>
<td>2.99 ± 0.22</td>
<td>3.10 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.29</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.30 a,b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-CRP (g/l)</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>6.4 ± 1.5</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.5 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD

a p < 0.05 as compared to obese PCOS
b p < 0.05 as compared to nonobese PCOS

Table 3  Significant correlations between serum WNT 5, WISP2, secreted frizzled-related proteins 4 and 5 and biochemical parameters in PCOS women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WNT 5</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC insulin 90-min OGTT</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL6</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRP2</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRP4</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>−0.294</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apoprotein B</td>
<td>−0.306</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>−0.396</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>−0.433</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRP5</td>
<td>0.366</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHEAS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlation coefficients and significance (p-values) calculated for Spearman correlations are presented.
findings of Schulte, Hu et al. demonstrated that in Chinese population obese subjects with or without diabetes had lower serum SFRP5 concentrations as compared to their respective lean controls [12]; similarly, women with PCOS had lower SFRP5 compared to their controls [32]. Serum SFRP5 concentrations of our PCOS patients were comparable to that published in the literature [32] while the serum SFRP5 of the reference women were much lower [12, 13, 32]. Although we are not clear about the cause of this finding, one explanation may be that the reference women were weight-matched with the PCOS patients and while they were not obese, they were overweight with average BMI of 29.0 ± 6.3 kg/m². The control patients reported by Hu et al. had BMI of 22.7 ± 3.2 kg/m² [32] and those reported by Schulte had BMI of 22.3 ± 0.4 kg/m² [13]. Our findings suggest that regulation of SFRP5 differ in PCOS vs. reference subjects. Although most of the PCOS women had measurable serum SFRP5, 33% of reference women had undetectable levels. Notably, nonobese PCOS women had 8-fold increase in serum SFRP5 as compared to weight matched reference women. These findings suggest that the compensatory defense mechanism of SFRP5 may not have been needed in the reference women [33]. When potential causes of higher serum SFRP5 in PCOS women were considered, obesity did not seem to be a candidate because there was no significant difference between the serum levels of nonobese vs. obese PCOS women. Insulin sensitivity/resistance did not seem to be important either because there was no relationship between serum SFRP5 and the detailed measures of insulin sensitivity or insulin secretion. Although experimental evidence indicates that WNT signaling or down regulation of SFRP5 was associated with pancreatic β-cell proliferation [34, 35], we did not observe any relationship between β-cell function measured by OGTT and F5-IGT. Our results also differed from the report of Hu et al., which demonstrated that Chinese patients with Type 2 DM had lower serum SFRP5 than those with normal glucose tolerance [12]. Although testosterone has been reported to facilitate WNT signaling in adipocyte cultures [36], androgens did not seem to be a likely cause of high serum SFRP5 in PCOS because SFRP5 did not correlate with testosterone or DHEAS. On the other hand, inflammation seemed to be a likely regulator, because, in agreement with the findings of Ouchi et al., we observed significant inverse correlations between serum SFRP5 with inflammatory markers IL-1β and TNF-α [3]. In addition, SFRP5 correlated inversely with atherogenic lipids total cholesterol and apoprotein B, suggesting that SFRP5 may influence lipoprotein metabolism in the liver and possibly SFRP5 may play a protective role against development of cardiovascular disease. In summary, this study demonstrated that serum WNT5 concentrations related inversely to adipogenicity but directly with hyperinsulinemia in PCOS. Serum SFRP5 was significantly higher in PCOS and correlated inversely with the inflammatory markers and atherogenic lipids. These findings suggest that WNT signaling and WNT inhibitors play important roles in obesity, insulin resistance/secretion, inflammation, and cardiovascular risk in PCOS women. Additional research is needed to understand the mechanistic role of WNT signaling in regulation of metabolic risk factors.
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