Surgical Approach for Repair of Rectovaginal Fistula by Modified Martius Flap
Sanierung einer rektovaginalen Fistel mittels modifiziertem Martius-Lappen

Introduction

Rectovaginal fistula (RVF) are rare diseases. The most common cause of RVF is obstetric trauma, with traumatic devascularization of the perineal region resulting in the development of RVF. Treatment of RVF requires a multidisciplinary approach involving colorectal surgeons, urologists and gynecologists. Various approaches are used, depending on the localization, size and etiology of the RVF. Closure of the fistula with interposition of a pedicled tissue flap (of fat or muscle) is a useful surgical approach to reconstruct the perineal space and provide neovascularization. One approach for the repair of rectovaginal fistula in the lower and middle third of the vagina is the modified Martius procedure.

Abstract

Rectovaginal fistulas (RVF) are rare but represent a challenge for both patients and surgeons. The most common cause of RVF is obstetric trauma, and treatment is based on fistula classification and localization of the fistula in relation to the vagina and rectum. Conventional therapy frequently fails, making surgery the most viable approach for fistula repair. One surgical procedure which offers adequate repair of lower and middle rectovaginal fistulas consists of interposition of a bulbocavernous fat flap also called modified Martius flap. First described by Heinrich Martius in 1928, this approach has been continuously modified and adjusted over time and is used in the repair of various pelvic floor disorders. Overall success rates reported in the literature of the interposition of a Martius flap as an adjunct procedure in the surgical management of RVF are 65–100%. We present a detailed description of the operation technique together with a discussion of the use of a dorsal-flapped modified Martius flap in the treatment of RVF.

Zusammenfassung


Received 28.7.2014; Revised 8.9.2014; Accepted 15.9.2014

Correspondence
Martin Reichert, MD
University Hospital of Giessen
Department for General and Thoracic Surgery
Rudolf-Buchheim-Str. 7
35392 Giessen
martin.reichert@chiru.med.uni-giessen.de

Acknowledgements
This study was supported by the German Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology.

Conflict of Interest
The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

| RVF | rectovaginal fistula |
| MR | magnetic resonance tomography |
| CT | computer tomography |
| ES | endosonography |

Bibliography
DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0034-1383149
Geburtsh Frauenheilk 2014; 74: 923–927 © Georg Thieme Verlag KG Stuttgart · New York · ISSN 0016-5751

**Authors**


**Affiliations**

1 Department of General and Thoracic Surgery, University Hospital of Giessen, Giessen
2 Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, University Hospital of Giessen, Giessen
3 Institute for Anatomy, Justus-Liebig-University, Giessen
4 Department of Urology, University Hospital of Giessen, Giessen

* Both authors contributed equally to this article.
Rectovaginal Fistula – Etiology

Rectovaginal fistulas (RVF) are epithelialized connections between the intestine and vagina; they account for nearly 5% of all anorectal fistula [1]. Around 88% of RVF are caused by obstetric trauma with disruption of the rectovaginal septum. 0.1% of patients who required an episiotomy during vaginal delivery go on to develop RVF [2]. Older publications describe a higher incidence of obstetric trauma, as reflected in a current study by Brown and colleagues who discuss the decrease in RVF repair in the United States [3, 4]. The incidence of postpartum trauma and RVF, especially complex RVF, is notably higher in third world countries, due to the poor quality of the available medical care and the extent of sexual violence [3].

In addition to obstetric trauma, other causes of RVF are colorectal and pelvic surgery (in up to 10% of cases in rectal surgery, especially with the use of staplers, neoadjuvant and adjuvant radiochemotherapy increasing the rate), irradiation causing obliteration of endarteritis with devascularization of the perineal region, malignant disease (e.g., rectal, vaginal and bladder carcinoma involving direct invasion), local inflammatory processes, diverticulitis, chronic inflammatory bowel disease (about 0.2–2.1% of all RVF [3, 5]), and congenital RVF [6, 7]. Perineal trauma can also result in RVF [8]. As the cause of RVF in many cases is obstetric trauma, postpartum RVF are frequently associated with sphincter lesions, and sphincter reconstruction is routinely performed during the same operation [9].

Rectovaginal Fistula – Symptoms and Diagnosis

While the diagnosis can often be easily confirmed by distal examination of the rectum, treatment, especially of recurrent fistula, makes this disorder very complex. Small fistula can be asymptomatic. The progress of RVF is accompanied by severe clinical manifestations: loss of gas and feces from the vagina, diarrhea, tenesmus, frequent urination, abdominal cramps, rectal bleeding, back pain or anorectal burning sensation [2]. In about 90% of cases, the patient’s medical history together with a clinical examination including proctoscopy and vaginal exploration lead to the diagnosis of RVF [10]. If the diagnosis remains unclear, no fistula is found, or malignant disease as the cause of fistula cannot be excluded, the next diagnostic step is imaging using magnetic resonance tomography (MR), computer tomography (CT), colon contrast study or endosonography (ES). MR and ES have the highest diagnostic value for colorectal fistula and additionally allow potential sphincter lesions to be evaluated [8, 11, 12]. CT with rectal contrast filling is the second choice, although CT is very accurate in diagnosing abscess formations or malignancies as complicating comorbidities of RVF [13]. The advantage of ES is its availability and the fact that it offers the option of evaluating sphincter lesions, which are important when choosing the surgical procedure [11, 14].

Rectovaginal Fistula – Classification

Rothenberger et al. differentiated RVF into simple and complex RVF as follows [15]:
1. Simple RVF are located in the lower and middle-third of the vagina; their diameter is less than 2.5 cm and they are typically caused by trauma or infection.
2. Complex RVF are located in the upper third of the vagina, have a diameter of more than 2.5 cm and are caused by inflammatory bowel disease (Crohn’s disease), irradiation or malignancy [15].

In addition, Fry et al. categorized perineal injuries as injuries with or without fistula and differentiated the vaginal location by classifying perineal damage into five subgroups as follows [16]:
I. perineal injury without fistula
II. perineal injury with fistula in the lower third of the vagina
III. no perineal injury, but fistula in the lower third of the vagina
IV. no perineal injury, but fistula in the middle third of the vagina
V. no perineal injury, but fistula in the upper third of the vagina [16]

Rectovaginal Fistula – Treatment

While there are many therapeutic approaches for RVF, they depend on the fistula’s localization and the patient’s comorbidities. Lower RVF are usually reconstructed using an anal, perineal or vaginal approach. Transabdominal approaches are used for the repair of higher fistulas [3]. Anatomic fistula repair alone is associated with lower success rates compared to combined procedures with the adjunctive interposition of healthy, vascularized tissue [17]. In most therapeutic approaches healthy tissue is transposed into the perineal space between the rectal and vaginal layer to enhance blood supply and granulation tissue, obliterate “dead” space, protect the sutures of anatomic fistula repair of the different layers, and prevent rectal and vaginal stenosis. The rate of spontaneous healing is low, making it important to ensure that RVF repair is adequate, as the success rate decreases with the number of prior operations [18, 19].

Martius Flap

The Martius procedure for the surgical repair of urethrovaginal fistula was first described by Heinrich Martius in 1928. He used the bulbocavernosus/bulbospongiosus muscle for reconstruction [20]. Over time, the Martius flap was modified and became a more extensive procedure, which used a vascularized adipose tissue flap from the labium majus between the bulbospongiosus and ischiocavernous muscle with or without the muscle. The Martius procedure and its modifications are also used for extraperitoneal repair of fistula in the perineal region, either urogynecological [21–23] or rectovaginal fistula [7, 24–27], as well as to treat stress urinary incontinence by lifting the bladder neck [28], in tethered vagina syndromes, rectal strictures, or vaginal stenosis [22].

Our cadaveric dissections showed that the pedicled flap of the modified Martius procedure is composed of fibroadipose tissue from the interspace between the bulbocavernosus and ischiocavernosus muscle which receives its blood supply latero-ventrally from external pudendal artery branches and latero-dorsally from internal pudendal artery branches (Fig. 4). The modified Martius procedure for urogynecological indications (e.g. for vesicovaginal fistulas) uses an anterior vascular pedicle, while Martius procedures for colorectal indications (including RVF repair) use a posterior vascular pedicle what allows rotation either forwards or backwards depending on the indication of surgery [22].
In their retrospective analysis, Pinto et al. described an overall success rate of 87% after a follow-up of 20 months for fistulas treated with one or more surgical procedures. Depending on the underlying disease, they described success rates of 66% if the fistula was caused by obstetric trauma, 70% if it developed postoperatively and 44% for RVF caused by Crohn’s disease [29]. The literature reports overall success rates of 33–100% for the Martius procedure in the surgical repair of mainly recurrent RVF [3, 30]. The majority of studies in the current literature consist of small retrospective analyses or case series. In the German guidelines for the treatment of RVF, Ommer et al. reviewed the studies dealing with the Martius procedure for RVF repair. Only seven of the cited studies analyzed more than 10 patients; they reported primary healing rates of 65% (patients with Crohn’s disease) and of 75% (patients without Crohn’s disease) to 100% [3]. Most studies reported high healing rates with this procedure, indicating that the (modified) Martius flap can be recommended for the surgical management of complicated and recurrent RVF [3].

**Modified Martius Flap – Operative Technique**

To keep the operative site clean, a temporary transurethral urinary catheter is placed preoperatively (Fig. 1 shows the preoperative examination). The patient is placed in a modified lithotomy position and the first incision is made horizontally in the perineal region to dissect the posterior vaginal wall from the rectum and mobilize the rectovaginal fascia. The next step consists of repairing the rectal mucosa using single 3–0 PDS sutures (Fig. 3). In cases with anorectal sphincter involvement, the sphincter muscles should be repaired by sphincteroplasty, using an end-to-end or overlapping suture technique as previously described to prevent incontinence [31].

After the rectal defect is proven to be repaired, the exact localization where the modified Martius flap will be dissected from the labia majora area is measured (6 cm from the perineum, 45 degrees in an anterior-cranial direction and 8 cm cranially; Fig. 3). A second, 8-cm vertical incision is made at this site (Fig. 3) and the vascular pedicled flap of adipose tissue is prepared from anteromedial to posterolateral (Fig. 3) with careful preservation of the small dorsolateral arteries (Fig. 4). As mentioned above, the fibroadipose tissue creating the modified Martius flap receives its blood supply from a small vascular branch network derived anterolaterally from the external pudendal artery and posterolaterally from the internal pudendal artery (Fig. 4). In the repair of RVF it is important to use the posterolateral internal pudendal artery for the vascular pedicle to ensure sufficient flap length to reach dorsally into the spatiun rectovaginale.

After dissection and mobilization of the vascular pedicled modified Martius flap, the flap must be placed in the spatiun rectovaginale. From the perineal incision a subcutaneous tunnel is prepared under the bulbospongious muscle close to the ramus descendens of the pubic bone so that the flap can be inserted between the vagina and rectum to fill up the “dead” space, achieve neovascularization of the perineal region, and separate the sutures of anatomic fistula repair to achieve optimal wound healing (Fig. 2). The vascular pedicled flap should be handled with care; rotation or twisting should be avoided during transposition to ensure optimal blood supply. The adipose tissue flap is fixed to the external surface of the anal sphincter with single tension-free 3–0 PDS sutures, followed by hemostasis control and wound closure using single 3–0 Prolene sutures (Fig. 3). Wound closure must be gentle to allow uncomplicated drainage of postoperative seroma to guard against infection (Fig. 3). As a diverting colostomy is an appropriate method to prevent infectious complications in the perineal region which can impair wound healing, especially in the management of recurrent RVF, a temporary transversostomy is done during the same session in addition to RVF repair [17, 29].

**Case Report**

A 32-year-old patient suffered from complex persistent and therapy-resistant RVF (diameter approximately 3 cm; Fig. 1). Traumatic vaginal delivery in 2009 led to perineal injury with subsequent development of a RVF, degree II according to Fry’s classification. Initial management consisted of surgery with insertion of a fistula plug and plastic reconstruction of the posterior vaginal wall. Three months after initial surgery the fistula persisted, and
The surgical procedure was repeated. After a further nine months RVF still persisted, and surgery was again performed with plastic reconstruction of the posterior vaginal wall together with interposition of a rectal mucosal flap and interposition of a bioprosthetic mesh (SURGISIS® mesh) into the rectovaginal space [32], but this did not prevent the patient from again developing RVF. Forty-eight months after the initial obstetric trauma and persistent RVF, the patient underwent surgery with a modified Martius approach as described above. The procedure was carried out by an interdisciplinary team of general surgeons and urologists in a pelvic floor center. Operation time was 132 minutes for fistula repair and creation of a diverting transversostomy. No intraoperative or postoperative complications were noted according to the Clavien-Dindo classification [33]. Both perineal and pudendal wounds healed per primam, no perineal sepsis or wound infection was observed, and the temporary transversostomy was removed four months after RVF repair. No recurrence of RVF was observed at a follow-up of 15 months. The functional and cosmetic results were excellent with high patient satisfaction and greatly improved quality of life.

**Conclusion**

The Martius procedure for RVF repair is limited to a single operating field. It is a safely feasible procedure which offers good cosmetic and functional results by enhancing blood supply in the perineal region. RVF repair should not be performed in inflamed tissue, so a diverting transversostomy should be considered to prevent perineal sepsis, depending on the etiology of RVF and local conditions. A diverting colostomy can be performed together with the Martius procedure in the same session. Martius procedure for RVF repair offers the additional benefit of dividing vaginal and rectal layers after suturing and improves wound healing through neovascularization. It can be safely performed with good postoperative results, even for the repair of complex fistula which have been treated surgically multiple times previously. Long-term follow-up of our patient indicates a low recurrence rate of RVF after repair using a Martius approach together with a temporary transversostomy and that patients benefit from the good functional and cosmetic results and a dramatically improved quality of life.
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