Chiral Cyclopentadienyl Ligands Enable a Rhodium(III)-Catalyzed Enantioselective Access to Hydroxychromanes and Phthalides
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Abstract
The demand for efficient chiral cyclopentadienyl ligands (Cpx) has increased significantly in recent years, partly because Cp*Rh(III) species have been developed as powerful catalysts for directed C–H functionalization reactions. However, a lack of suitable Cpx ligands has hampered the development of the corresponding enantioselective processes. We report expansions of the libraries of two generations of Cpx ligands and their corresponding rhodium(I) complexes. The potential of the rhodium complexes as catalysts was evaluated in enantioselective C–H functionalizations involving cyclizations across tethered aldehydes. The mild reaction conditions permit the syntheses of hydroxychromanes and phthalides in good yields and high enantioselectivities.
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Cyclopentadienyl-based complexes of metals are widely used as homogeneous transition-metal catalysts.1 For instance, half-sandwich rhodium(III) complexes bearing a pentamethylcyclopentadienyl (Cp*) ligand have been exploited as powerful catalysts for a wide range of C–H functionalization reactions over the past decade.2 Despite their considerable potential, the corresponding enantioselective processes remain largely underexplored because of a lack of suitable and efficient chiral cyclopentadienyl (Cp*) ligands. Historically, monosubstituted chiral Cp* ligands were reported by Leblanc and Moise3 and by Kagan and co-workers4 in the late 1970s. Halterman and Vollhardt5 and Colletti and Halterman6 studied C2-symmetric 1,2-disubstituted cyclopentadienyl (Cp) ligands, among which ligand 1a showed the best performance (Figure 1). Subsequently, a second-generation catalyst 2a was developed.11 Each ligand in this class of catalysts contains a C2-symmetric 1,2-disubstituted cyclopentadienyl group that draws its chirality from an atropoisomeric biaryl backbone.6 The ligand has proved versatile in a broad range of rhodium(III)-catalyzed transformations12 and in a scandium(III)-catalyzed process.13 However, only a few members of each family of catalysts have been prepared. Access to larger libraries of ligands having broadly variable steric demands remains a task of high priority so as to permit adaptation to the specific needs of planned applications of the resulting Cp*-metal complexes in synthesis. In this respect, we have prepared a set of derivatives of both the first and the second class of ligands, as well as their rhodium(III) complexes. In addition, we have examined the potential of the complexes in rhodium(III)-catalyzed asymmetric C–H functionalization reactions involving enantioselective additions across aldehydes.

Figure 1 Representative chiral Cp* rhodium(I) complexes containing 1,2-disubstituted C2-symmetric Cp* ligands of the first and second generations
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For the first generation of Cp^4 ligands, several variations on the acetonide bridge were tested in conjunction with pseudoaxial methyl groups adjacent to the Cp ring. The size of the steering group R was increased (Et or i-Bu instead of Me) while the rigidifying trans-acetonide moiety was retained. The required cyclic sulfates were prepared from D-mannitol,14 and used in a subsequent double-alkylating step for the preparation of the cyclohexane-fused Cp^4 ligand 3a and 3b (Scheme 1).10 The corresponding rhodium(III) complexes 1b and 1c were isolated in high yields.

Modulation of the 3- and 3'-positions of the biaryl scaffold of the second generation of Cp^4 ligands has been shown to have a marked effect on both their reactivity and selectivity.11,12 For instance, methoxy, siloxy, or phenyl groups in these positions have been shown to produce marked differences in performance. We therefore designed an expanded set of 3,3'-substituted derivatives. To realize these modifications conveniently, we used binaphthol 5 as a key intermediate (Scheme 2). The alkoxy-substituted derivatives 4b–d were obtained in excellent yields by Williamson ether synthesis. The phenyl ether derivative 4e was prepared by a copper-catalyzed Ullmann coupling with iodobenzene. Alternatively, the ether linkage was replaced by a C–C bond through cross-coupling reactions of the bistriflate derivative of 5. In addition to a previously reported phenyl derivative,11 the spirocyclic dienes 4f–h bearing methyl, benzyl, or (triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl groups, respectively, were successfully prepared by this approach. All the spiro compounds 4 thermally rearranged to the corresponding cyclopentadienes 6. Subsequent complexation with bis[dichloro-bis(n^2-ethene)rhodium] [(Rh(C_5H_4)_2Cl)_2] gave the corresponding rhodium(III) complexes 2b–h.

The carborhodation of olefins, alkynes, and allenes with Cp^*Rh(III)–C(sp^3) species is well known.15 In addition, intramolecular reactions permit the use of more highly substituted and less reactive olefin coupling partners.12b,16 In this respect, we have previously reported an enantioselective rhodium(III)-catalyzed hydroarylation involving a 1,1-disubstituted alkene tethered through an ether bridge to an arene core (Scheme 3, A).12b In contrast to olefins, the corresponding addition across a carbonyl group is a rarer process and there are only a few reported examples, with a limited scope, of the use of aldehydes as coupling partners in rhodium(III)–Cp^4 complex-catalyzed C–H functionalization reactions of arenes.17 A corresponding enantioselective reaction remains elusive. Because of our longstanding interest in asymmetric C–H functionalization processes,18 we decided to attempt to use our rhodium(III)–Cp^4 system to prepare chiral hydroxychromane structures 8 (Scheme 3, B). We surmised that the problem of the low intrinsic reactivity of aldehydes might be overcome by an intramolecular cyclization strategy in which the aldehyde moiety is brought near the metal atom. On this basis, we evaluated the potential of our enlarged portfolio of chiral Cp^4 ligands in the enantioselective cyclization of aldehydes 7. We also hoped that a subsequent lactonization step might expel the hydroxamate directing group directly to give the corresponding phthalides 9, which are widely present as structural motifs in biologically active compounds.19

We chose the aryl hydroxamate 7a as a model substrate for the evaluation of the performance of the various Cp^4 ligands. In 1,2-dichloroethane at 50°C, the achiral complex [Cp^*Rh(OAc)_2] gave a 5:1 mixture of hydroxychromane 8a and phthalide 9a in good overall yield (Table 1, entry 1). Using this protocol, we investigated the corresponding enantioselective process with our portfolio of Cp^*Rh(III) complexes after they had been subjected to oxidation in situ with ben-
zoyl peroxide to give the corresponding rhodium (III) species. The cyclohexane-fused complex 1a showed a similar reactivity to the Cp*-containing complex, giving 8a and 9a with a moderate enantioselectivity of 72:28 (Entry 2). The negligible difference in the enantiomeric ratio of products 8a and 9a indicated that the phthalide 9a is formed after the enantiodetermining step, and that the benzylic alcohol is configurationally stable under the reaction conditions. The second-generation Cpx ligand complexes showed a greater potential in this transformation. Complex 2a, which has methoxy groups in the 3 and 3′ positions, gave 8a with an increased enantioselectivity of 80:20 (Entry 5). An initial inspection of the effect of changing the substituent in the 3- and 3′-positions of the biaryl ligand scaffold showed that complex 2i, containing isopropoxy groups, gave a superior selectivity of 90:10 (entry 6). A further improvement in selectivity was achieved by conducting the reaction at ambient temperature; this gave hydroxychromane 8a exclusively in 93:7 er, without formation of the phthalide 9a (entry 8). The low solubility of the substrate hampered the efficiency of the reaction. To address this issue, we examined a range of solvents; however, no better reaction outcomes were achieved (entries 9–13). This prompted us to investigate the effects of the nature of the substituent on the hydroxamate directing group with the goal of improving the solubility and enhancing the yield. In this respect, hydroxamate 7b, which contains an N-isopropoxy fragment, resulted in a homogeneous reaction mixture and gave the corresponding hydroxychromane 8b in good yield and high enantioselectivity (entries 14 and 15). The phenyl-substituted derivative 7c failed to react because it was completely insoluble (entry 16). Further fine-tuning of the 3,3′-substituents on the biaryl scaffold revealed that complex 2c bearing methoxymethoxy groups gave the best reaction outcome (entries 17–20). Complexes 2f and 2g, bearing methyl and benzyl groups, respectively, gave similar enantioselectivities but reduced chemical yields (entries 21 and 22). The phenyl-containing complex 2k gave a slightly higher selectivity, but resulted in incomplete conversion of the starting material (entry 23). A considerably lower selectivity was observed with complex 2h bearing flanking (triisopropylyl)ethyl groups (entry 24).

With the optimized conditions,20 we evaluated the scope of the reaction by varying the arene core and the tether (Scheme 4). The reactivity of the substituted arenes showed a degree of dependence on the structure. As expected, ortho-substitution decreased the reactivity. The transformation of substrate 7e, bearing an ortho-methyl group, required a higher reaction temperature of 50 °C. In this case, subsequent lactonization gave phthalide 9e as the major product. With respect to substitution at the position meta to the hydroxamate directing group, substrates with electron-rich or halo substituents were well tolerated, giving the corresponding hydroxychromanes 8f–h in high yields and good enantioselectivities. A five-fold increase in scale for 8g gave virtually identical results. Substituents in the para-position also affected the reactivity, presumably because of their proximity to the ether tether. Substrate 7k, with a sterically hindered aldehyde adjacent to a quaternary carbon atom, readily cyclized to give 8k with no loss in enantioselectivity. Notably, the transformation failed for substrate 7l, in which the oxygen atom in the tether is shifted by one position. The phenolic structural motif appears to be crucial for C–H activation at the congested ortho-position.

The versatility and synthetic importance of the phthalide motif19 prompted us to devise a one-pot procedure for the synthesis of the tricyclic phthalide 9f (Scheme 5). When the formation of hydroxychromane 8f from 7f was complete, the mixture was heated to 100 °C to give phthalide 9f directly in 90:10 er. The absolute configuration of the major enantiomer of 9f was determined to be S by X-ray crystallographic analysis.21 By analogy, this configuration was attributed to all the major enantiomers of the phthalides and their hydroxychromane precursors.

In summary, we produced an enlarged library of two families of chiral cyclopentadienyl ligands and their Cp*Rh(I) complexes. A straightforward derivatization strategy gave Cp* ligands with widely differing steric properties. Moreover, we examined their potential in directed rhodium(III)-catalyzed C–H functionalization reactions and subsequent asymmetric additions across carbonyl groups of tethered aldehydes. The developed protocol permits the
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Synthesis of biologically relevant hydroxychromane and phthalide structures with chiral secondary alcohol functionalities in good yields and high enantioselectivities.
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Table 1 Optimization of the Cyclization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>[Rh]</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Yieldb (%) of 8</th>
<th>erc</th>
<th>Yieldb (%) of 9a</th>
<th>erc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cp*Rh(OAc)2</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>71.5:28.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>72:28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>76:24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>66:34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>80:20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>81.5:18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2i</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>90:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2j</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>86:14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2j</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>93:7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2j</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>PhCl</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>94:5:5.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2j</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>CCl4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2j</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2j</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>iPrOH</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2j</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>Et2C(OH)2OH</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>7b</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>86:14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2i</td>
<td>7b</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>91:8:5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2i</td>
<td>7c</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>7b</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>92:8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18*</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>7b</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>80f</td>
<td>92:8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>7b</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>88.5:11.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2e</td>
<td>7b</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>88:12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2f</td>
<td>7b</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>91:8:5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2g</td>
<td>7b</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>92:8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>2k</td>
<td>7b</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>93:7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>7b</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>68.5:31.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Reaction conditions: 7 (0.05 mmol), [Rh] (2.50 μmol), (BzO)2 (2.50 μmol), 0.10 M in the indicated solvent, r.t., 14 h.
b By 1 H NMR spectroscopy with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard.
c Determined by HPLC on a chiral stationary phase.
d Not determined.
e 0.10 mmol scale.
f The isolated yield was also 80%.
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(20) **(4S)-4-Hydroxy-N-isopropoxycromane-5-carboxamide (8b): Typical Procedure**

A solution of catalyst 2c (3.10 mg, 5.00 μmol) and (BzO)₂ (1.20 mg, 5.00 μmol) in anhyd DCE (1.0 mL) was stirred for 15 min at 23 °C and then transferred to a vial containing 7b (26.0 mg, 0.10 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at 23 °C for 14 h. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was loaded onto a silica gel column and subjected to gradient elution with hexane–EtOAc (5:1 to 1:1) to give a colorless film; yield: 21.0 mg (80%; 92:8 er); [α]D²⁰ = -123 (c 1.0, CH₂Cl₂); Rf = 0.30 (hexane–EtOAc, 1:1); IR (ATR): 3198, 2976, 2932, 2886, 1638, 1592, 1515, 1476, 1449, 1406, 1375, 1354, 1291, 1250, 1214, 1181, 1158, 1146, 1112, 1081, 1046, 1022, 980, 950, 906, 890, 840, 819, 803, 786, 760 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ = 10.66 (s, 1 H), 7.26–7.19 (m, 1 H), 7.08 (dd, J = 7.4, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.95 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.71 (t, J = 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.35–4.18 (m, 3 H), 2.02 (q, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.00 – 1.91 (m, 1 H), 1.29 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3 H), 1.26 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ = 167.5, 155.0, 135.2, 128.7, 124.4, 120.0, 119.7, 77.4, 61.2, 59.7, 20.2, 20.1; HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H⁺] calcd for C₁₃H₁₈NO₄: 252.1230; found: 252.1230; HPLC: Chiralpak IC (4.6 × 250 mm); 20% iPrOH–hexane (1.0 mL/min); 254 nm; tₘᵣₒₙ (minor) = 17.7 min, tₑₚᵣₑₖ (minor) = 15.4 min; 92:8 er.

(21) Crystallographic data for compound 9f have been deposited with the accession number CCDC 1056306 and can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: +44(1223)336033; E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk; Web site: www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html.