Winning Abstract

Contrast-ultrasound dispersion imaging for prostate cancer localization: comparison between imaging and histopathology

Purpose

Prostate cancer is the most common form of cancer in men in western countries. Nowadays, diagnosis for prostate cancer is based on systematic biopsies and, as a result, radical treatment is often the only viable treatment option. Reliable imaging techniques could provide significant improvements to prostate cancer care by enabling targeted biopsies and focal therapies. Based on a proven correlation between prostate cancer aggressiveness and angiogenesis, several imaging methods based on analysis of microvascular perfusion have been proposed. However, the effects of angiogenesis on perfusion are complex and influenced by opposing factors. As an alternative to perfusion imaging, we have recently proposed contrast-ultrasound dispersion imaging (CUDI) [1], because typical features of angiogenic microvascular changes, such as density and tortuosity, are better characterized by the intravascular dispersion of ultrasound contrast agents through the microvasculature than by microvascular perfusion. In this study, the CUDI dispersion maps were compared with histopathology data obtained after radical prostatectomy.

Methods

CUDI is performed after visualizing the passage of an intravenously injected 2.4-mL ultrasound-contrast-agent bolus (Sonovue®, Bracco) through the prostate by dynamic contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging. A time-intensity curve (TIC) is obtained at each video pixel. Based on calibration studies, TICs can be interpreted as indicator dilution curves suitable for analysis of the contrast-agent dispersion kinetics. A local, spatiotemporal dispersion analysis is performed by assessment of the spatial similarity among TICs acquired at neighboring pixels [2, 3, 4]. The parametric dispersion map shown in Fig. 1 is based on spatiotemporal correlation analysis [4]. This method was validated by 43 recordings in 24 patients referred for radical prostatectomy at the Academic Medical Center (AMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) and the Jeroen Bosch Ziekenhuis (JBZ, ’s-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands) using a Philips iU22 scanner (AMC, 19 patients) and a BK Medical UltraView 800 scanner (JBZ, 25 patients).

Fig. 1 Ultrasound, dispersion, and histology images with overlaid classification regions.
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scanner (JBZ, 5 patients). The obtained dispersion maps were compared with the histology results on a pixel basis, after selection of two 0.5-cm² regions of interest based on the histology to represent healthy tissue and cancer, respectively. The classification results were compared to those obtained by perfusion analysis methods described in the literature.

Results
CUDI by spatiotemporal correlation analysis provided an accurate agreement with histology with sensitivity, specificity, and receiver-operating-characteristic curve area for pixel classification of 77.9%, 82.4%, and 0.88, respectively. These results were over 10% superior to those obtained by perfusion analysis.

Conclusion
In conclusion, CUDI has a promising value for localization of prostate cancer. The current results motivate towards a more extensive validation. Future studies may involve investigation of the value of CUDI-targeted biopsies, comparison with alternative modalities, such as MRI, and evaluation of CUDI in different forms of cancer, such as breast cancer, that feature similar angiogenic microvascular changes.
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