Spinal Cord Motion: Influence of Respiration and Cardiac Cycle

Rückenmarksbewegungen: Bestimmung des Einflusses von Atmung und Herzzyklus mittels MRT

Zusammenfassung

Ziel: Ziel der Studie war es, mittels MRT (Magnetresonanztomographie), den Einfluss des Herzyklus auf die physiologische Rückenmarksbewegung mit dem Einfluss der Atmung zu vergleichen.


Ergebnisse: Eine Normwerttabelle für zervikale, thorakale und lumbale Rückenmarksbewegungen auf Höhe eines jeden Wirbelsegmentes wurde erstellt. Signifikante Unterschiede im Ausmaß der Rückenmarksbewegung zeigten sich zwischen den Untersuchungen während des Atemstillstandes (Herzzyklus-bedingten Bewegung) und den beiden atemabhängigen Aufnahmen (jeweils p < 0.01). Die größten Rückenmarksbewegungen wurden während kräftigem Atmen detektiert, mit Höchstwerten auf Höhe der unteren Zervikalsegmente (C5; Mittelwert 2,1 mm ± 1,17). Die Aufnahmen während kräftigem Atmen ergaben die niedrigsten Werte an Rückenmarksbewegung.


Abstract

Purpose: To assess physiological spinal cord motion during the cardiac cycle compared with the influence of respiration based on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) measurements.

Materials and Methods: Anterior-posterior spinal cord motion within the spinal canal was assessed in 16 healthy volunteers (median age, 25 years) by cardiac-triggered and cardiac-gated gradient echo pulse sequence MRI. Image acquisition was performed during breath-holding, normal breathing, and forced breathing. Normal spinal cord motion values were computed using descriptive statistics. Breathing-dependent differences were assessed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test and compared with the cardiac-based cord motion.

Results: A normal value table was set up for the spinal cord motion of each vertebral cervico-thoracic-lumbar segment. Significant differences in cord motion were found between cardiac-based motion while breath-holding and the two breathing modalities (P < 0.01 each). Spinal cord motion was found to be highest during forced breathing, with a maximum in the lower cervical spinal segments (C5; mean, 2.1 mm ± 1.17). Image acquisition during breath-holding revealed the lowest motion.

Conclusion: MRI permits the demonstration and evaluation of cardiac and respiration-dependent spinal cord motion within the spinal canal from the cervical to lumbar segments. Breathing conditions have a considerably greater impact than cardiac activity on spinal cord motion.

Key points:
- Cardiac-triggered and ECG-gated MRI allows for demonstration of the smallest spinal cord motions.
- Respiratory influences seem to have the highest impact on spine motion.
Kernaussagen:
- MRT mit Herz-getriggerten und EKG-synchronisierten Echo-Puls-Sequenzen ermöglicht die Darstellung kleinster Rückenmarkbewegungen.
- Atemabhängige Einflüsse scheinen die größte Auswirkung auf den Bewegungsumfang zu haben.
- Der Einfluss des Herzzyklus auf die Rückenmarkbewegungen scheint dagegen gering zu sein.
- Die geringsten Rückenmarkbewegungen wurden bei Atemhalten registriert.

Introduction

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is considered to be the standard reference modality for spinal cord imaging. Pathologies such as myelopathy, spinal cord tumors, or demyelinating lesions can be visualized at a higher sensitivity and specificity compared with other imaging modalities such as ultrasonography and computed tomography [1, 2]. New techniques such as spinal functional-MRI (fMRI), diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), or spectroscopy permit more detailed and specific assessments of the normal anatomy, physiological changes, and pathologic lesions [3–5]. The spinal cord shows physiological motion within the spinal canal in all three directions, which has been investigated in several previously published studies [6–8]. It is known that next to the venous plexus, the surrounding cardiac-pulsation-related cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) is responsible for periodic spinal cord motion within the spinal canal [9–12]. This spinal cord motion and pulsation artifacts result in a reduced morphological assessment as well as limitations in motion-sensitive MR sequences, such as those used for fMRI or DTI [13–15]. Therefore, several studies attempted to demonstrate the possibility of reducing such artifacts using techniques such as cardiac gating or respiration triggering [16, 17].

The literature describes the greatest direction of myelon motion as anterior-posterior (AP) [8, 18], while most studies examine cranio-caudal motion [8, 19–21]. However, none of these studies compared the influence of respiration with physiologic spinal cord motion induced by heart activity. Until now, this has not been sufficiently shown by MRI on segmental levels. Cine-sequence MRI, a common and established cardiac imaging technique [22, 23] based on a prospective ECG-triggered k-space segmentation, is applicable to demonstrate cardiac cycle-dependent spinal cord motion [19].

This study was designed to assess the range of physiological spinal cord motion and the influence of respiration in comparison with the physiological influence of heart activity on spinal cord motion at each segmental level within the cervico-thoracic-lumbar spinal canal based on MRI measurements.

Materials and Methods

Volunteers

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed in 16 healthy volunteers (6 women, 10 men; median age, 25 years; range, 20–33 years; median BMI, 23.8). None of the volunteers suffered from anamnestic neurological or orthopedic disease, and all claimed to be in a state of good health.

The exclusion criteria were age <18 years, a history of back pain, a history of spinal surgery or known spinal disease, and general MRI-related exclusion criteria such as metallic implants, foreign bodies, or claustrophobia. None of the volunteers who participated after a public request on the universities notice board had to be excluded due to one of those exclusion criteria. Therefore, there was no influence for the age or sex distribution.

The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and in accordance with the ethical standards on human experimentation at our institute. Informed consent was obtained from all volunteers.

Imaging and Measurements

Data acquisition was performed using a 1.5-T full-body MRI system (Philips Achieva; Philips Medical Systems, Best, Netherlands). All images were acquired using a spine coil with volunteers placed in the supine position. Initially, sagittal T1- and T2-weighted (w) images were performed to exclude pathologic findings, such as degenerative changes or spinal canal stenosis, followed by gradient echo sequences to assess spinal cord motion. The latter were performed with three different breathing techniques: first, during a 30-s breath-hold (to assess only heart activity influences); second, with normal regular breathing; and finally, during forced breathing. All volunteers were thoroughly instructed in the commands during an earlier practice run-through outside the scanner where all procedures were repeated several times.

Normal regular breathing was instructed as a mixture of the abdominal and thoracic quiet breathing type without using the inspiratory or expiratory reserve volume of the lungs [24, 25]. The volume of air breathed in and out at rest equates to the tidal volume and is approximately 0.5 l in an average, healthy adult [26]. Forced breathing was defined and instructed to the volunteers as a mixture of the abdominal and thoracic breathing type with use of the inspiratory reserve volume (maximal inspiration) or expiratory reserve volume (maximal expiration) of the lungs [24, 25].

This breathing maneuver results in an in/expired air volume of approximately 2.4–3.8 l, equating the inspiratory capacity [26]. To avoid intrathoracic pressure changes and arterial as well as venous velocity changes with the physiological decrease of the heartbeat and during breath-hold, volunteers were introduced to perform breath-holding in expiration resembling small lung volume breath-hold [27, 28].

The scan protocol used in this study included an initial mid-sagittal T1-w turbo spin echo (TSE) sequence (echo time (TE): 7.40 ms; repetition time (TR): 400 ms; matrix size: 256×256; in-plane resolution 0.97×0.97 mm; slice thickness: 5 mm; intersection gap: 1 mm; flip angle: 90°; field of view (FOV): 250 mm), a sagittal T2-w sequence (TE: 112 ms; TR: 3160 ms; matrix size: 256×256; slice thickness: 4 mm; intersection gap: 4 mm; field of view (FOV): 240 mm), a sagittal T1-w single-slice gradient echo (GRE) sequence for respiratory-dependent image acquisi-
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Mathematical oversampling was used with a self-developed spline interpolation algorithm to increase the achieved low resolution. Therefore, a Canny-algorithm, which has been frequently used and established in vascular imaging, was applied to permit myelon definition toward the surrounding CSF and motion detection in a submillimeter range [29]. Using spline interpolation, the spatial resolution of the one-dimensional histograms was increased by a factor of 10. At each vertebral level the (temporal) first histogram served as the standard with a displacement of zero. All (temporal) following histograms were correlated to that first histogram. For this (one dimensional) voxel-wise displacements along the axis of the histogram data were tested. The displaced (temporal) following histograms were then correlated to the (temporal) first histogram. The maximal Pearson’s correlation coefficient indicated the displacement of the (temporal) following histogram. Therefore, the displacement was a discrete value with a spatial resolution of 1/10 of the imaging voxel size. This technique allowed for measurements of the spinal cord motion independent from the physiological movement of the body while breathing. The offset was calculated to show the highest correlation to the initial profile. This corresponded to the AP motion, measured in mm.

**Statistical Analysis**

Continuous variables were expressed as means ± standard deviations, and categorical variables as frequencies and percentages. Because the results of the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test and the visual inspection showed non-normally distributed data, the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to determine the significance between the breathing groups. Furthermore, the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to determine significance between cervical, thoracic, and lumbar motion and the three breathing types. A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS statistics software (release 20.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

The median weight and median body size were validated as normal according to the German population [30]. Table 1 demonstrates the mean ± standard deviation and the minimum and maximum results of spinal cord motion in the AP direction within the spinal canal, measured in mm, with respect to the acquisitions during breath-holding, normal breathing, and forced breathing. The mean maximum AP motion measurements differed significantly between the breath-holding, normal breathing, and forced breathing tests (P < 0.01 each).

The highest mean values for all levels were found in the forced breathing group (mean 1.32 mm ± 0.38; range, 0.76 – 2.1 mm). The spinal motion values during breath-holding and normal breathing (mean 0.19 mm ± 0.04, range 0.14 – 0.29 mm; and mean 0.31 mm ± 0.09, range 0.21 – 0.46 mm, respectively) were significantly lower than those during forced breathing. Finally, the mean values for spinal cord motion during breath-holding were significantly lower than those during normal breathing measurements (P < 0.01).

Among all three conditions, the AP spinal cord motion values differed significantly between the grouped test pairs in the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar regions (P < 0.01 each). The corresponding spinal cord motion results with regard to the different vertebral column regions are shown in Table 2. The highest mean AP spinal cord motion values occurred during forced breathing on levels C4 and C5 in the lower cervical spinal segment (1.98 and 2.1 mm, respectively).

Spinal cord motion during breath-holding was highest at the levels of the first and second thoracic vertebral bodies (means, 0.29 mm and 0.28 mm, respectively).
The greatest motion during normal breathing was detected on levels C4 and T1 (mean, 0.46 mm each), with a second peak in the lumbar region (level L1; mean, 0.37 mm). The lowest mean spinal cord motion was detected during breath-hold on the lumbar region (level L1; mean, 0.37 mm).

**Discussion**

In this study, we investigated the influence of respiration on spinal cord motion compared with physiological motion induced by cardiac cycle caused by heart activity. Furthermore, a table of normal spinal cord motion values in the AP direction for each level of the cervico-thoracic-lumbar vertebral column was compiled.

Respiration was shown to have a significantly higher impact on spinal cord motion within the spinal canal when compared to the influence of heart pulsations as measured during breath-holding. Next to the physiologic CSF, which circulates along the spinal canal, this respiratory-based spinal cord motion seems to be the causative factor behind the motion artifacts described in several MRI studies [13–15]. In particular, new imaging techniques such as spinal fMRI, spinal DWI or DTI could be considerably influenced by high respiration rates and high breathing depth [4, 15], since the measured structures are in a sub-millimeter range [5] as well as by the limited signal-to-noise ratio and spatial resolution [31].

Based on the results of our study, further studies are required to evaluate the impact of improved respiratory gating on the amount of artifacts, since most of the recent studies focus on cardiac triggering [32].

According to our results, the highest spinal cord motion values were found in the region of the cervico-thoracic junction, as well as in the region of the thoraco-lumbar junction. This finding agrees with former studies of spinal cord motion [8, 18] and is likely based on regional differences in CSF pulsatility that originate from the cerebral ventricles [33] and anatomical circumstances of the intumescentia cervicalis and lumbosacralis, respectively.

Previous studies have shown only minor motion of the spinal cord in left-right or right-left direction [19]. Therefore, we did not investigate this aspect of spinal cord motion in this study.

Knowledge about the considerable influence of respiration, compared to heart activity, might contribute to a reduction in motion artifacts in MRI. Attempts such as reducing the acquisition time, increasing the phase-gradient amplitude, or using interleaved multishot echo planar sequences have been undertaken [3]. In addition to the general advice that patients should not move during MRI examinations, the avoidance of deep breathing at a high respiration rate could also be recommended. Therefore, respiration-gated techniques seem to be more promising than cardiac-triggering techniques.
Limitations

First, a relatively small number of volunteers were included. A higher number would have increased the statistical power. Second, the data were acquired from a normal healthy population, which may be different from the usual clinical population with frequent cases of age-related degenerative spinal disease. However, we tried to assess normal values in healthy subjects without any degenerative spinal cord changes. Finally, our study did not investigate inter-reader or intra-reader observer variability associated with consensus readings. However, the main spinal cord measurements were performed with the software algorithm, which permits automated measurements in a submillimeter range and should therefore not be a major source of error. Nevertheless, repeated independent readings by different readers, as well as a higher number of volunteers would be desired to proof the reliability of the applied technique in future studies.

Conclusion

Normal physiological spinal cord motion values for each level of the cervico-thoraco-lumbar vertebral column were measured by MRI. Furthermore, respiration was demonstrated to be a considerable cause of motion, with a significant impact, compared to heart-related motion. This knowledge could help to reduce motion artifacts in spinal cord MRI and could suggest the use of respiration-triggering methods such as navigator echo as well-established techniques in cardiac MRI.
In high-resolution or functional imaging of the spinal cord, artifact-free images are desired.

Physiological spinal cord motion is one of the sources of motion-dependent artifacts in spine imaging.

Respiration was demonstrated to be a considerable cause of motion, with a significant impact, compared to heart-related motion.

This might help to reduce motion artifacts in spinal cord MRI and could suggest the use of respiration-triggering methods such as navigator echo as well-established techniques in cardiac MRI.

In addition, a table with normal values of spinal cord motion for cervical, thoracic and lumbar levels was compiled.
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