Exophytic Cerebellar Glioblastoma in the Cerebellopontine Angle: Case Report and Review of the Literature

Masahide Matsuda¹  Kuniyuki Onuma¹  Kaishi Satomi²  Kei Nakai¹  Tetsuya Yamamoto¹  Akira Matsumura¹

1 Department of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan
2 Department of Diagnostic Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan

Introduction

Glioblastoma, the most malignant and most common primary brain tumor in adults, is commonly located in cerebral hemispheres. Cerebellar glioblastoma is rare, with a frequency of 0 to 3.4%, and it usually grows invasively into the surrounding normal tissue in the cerebellar hemisphere or the cerebellar vermis.¹–³ The exophytic growth pattern of cerebellar glioblastoma is extremely rare. To date, only three cases of glioblastoma arising from the cerebellum with exophytic extension have been described in the English literature.⁴–⁶ We report a case of glioblastoma arising from the surface of the cerebellar hemisphere with exophytic extension into the cerebellopontine angle, mimicking an extraaxial tumor, and discuss the histopathologic and radiologic aspects.

Case Report

A 69-year-old man without notable previous medical history presented to a local outpatient clinic with right facial pain. He had two episodes of pain lasting for an hour at a time. He was referred to our hospital because magnetic resonance (MR) imaging revealed a gadolinium (Gd)-enhancing tumor in the right cerebellopontine angle. The preoperative differential diagnoses included an intraaxial tumor, such as high-grade glioma, and an extraaxial tumor, such as a meningioma or neurinoma. The tumor with a clear boundary was subtotally removed, except for the adhesion site to the petrosal vein, and the histologic diagnosis was glioblastoma. Immunohistochemical analyses demonstrated that the tumor cells were immunopositive for epidermal growth factor receptor and immunonegative for p53 mutation and IDH1 R132H, indicating that it had different genetic features from a typical cerebellar glioblastoma. Conventional radiotherapy with 60 Gy concurrent with temozolomide was performed, and the condition of the patient has remained stable for 24 months since the operation. Exophytic cerebellar glioblastoma should be considered in the differential diagnosis of cerebellopontine angle tumor.
hemorrhage. Of note, there was no peritumoral edema. $^{201}$Thallium (Tl) single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) performed 15 minutes (early) and 4 hours (delayed) after the intravenous injection of $^{201}$Tl chloride 74 MBq showed homogeneous hot tumor uptake on both early and delayed images (►Fig. 2). The calculated Tl index for the tumor and normal tissue in early and delayed images was 2.012 and 1.810, respectively. The retention index, calculated as the ratio of delayed to early Tl index, was 0.90, suggesting the malignant nature of the tumor. The preoperative differential diagnoses included an intraaxial tumor, such as high-grade glioma, and an extraaxial tumor, such as meningioma or vestibular schwannoma. He underwent a right retrosigmoid craniotomy in the lateral position. An exophytic reddish hypervascular tumor was identified on the surface of the lateral cerebellar hemisphere. Although the petrosal vein was completely encased by the tumor, the seventh and eighth cranial nerves were not encased but adjacent to the tumor. The boundary between the cerebellar hemisphere and the tumor margin was substantially clear. Except for the adhesion site to the petrosal vein, the tumor was subtotally removed.

The postoperative course was uneventful. Postoperative MR imaging revealed a faint residual tumor adjacent to the petrosal vein and no complications related to surgery (►Fig. 3). Histopathologic examination revealed a highly cellular tumor composed predominantly of spindle-shaped or multipolar astrocytic cells with mild nuclear hyperchromasia, eosinophilic cytoplasm, and frequent mitotic figures (►Fig. 4). Microvascular proliferation with a swollen endothelium was a predominant feature; however, there was no appearance of necrosis. Histopathologic analysis identified the tumor as glioblastoma. Immunohistochemical staining of the tumor cells revealed diffuse glial fibrillary acidic protein activity with a proliferation rate of 22.8%, as determined by the MIB-1 labeling index. None of the tumor cells were p53 mutation positive. Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) cytoplasmic immunoreactivity was observed. No immunoreactivity was detected for a monoclonal antibody specific for isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH)1 R132H mutation. Conventional radiotherapy with 60 Gy concurrent with temozolomide was performed. Additionally, adjuvant temozolomide was continued based on the Stupp regimen. Although MR imaging 13 months
after the operation suggested progression of the residual tumor, the mass size has remained unchanged for 7 months since then (► Fig. 3). Moreover, he has remained symptom free for 24 months since the operation.

Discussion

Cerebellar glioblastoma presenting with an exophytic growth pattern is an extremely rare entity. To our knowledge, only three cases have been reported in the literature (► Table 1). Yamamoto et al reported a case of glioblastoma arising from the cerebellar hemisphere with exophytic extension into the crural and quadrigeminal cistern. The patient underwent subtotal removal of the tumor followed by radiotherapy with concomitant chemotherapy. The patient died 1 year after the operation due to regrowth of the tumor. Kasliwal et al reported a pediatric case of multicentric glioblastoma including a cerebellar lesion with exophytic extension into the cerebellopontine angle cistern. The patient underwent biopsy of the cerebellopontine angle lesion. The patient died 2 months after the operation due to complicated aspiration pneumonia. Linsenmann et al reported a case of glioblastoma arising from the cerebellar tonsil with exophytic extension into the cisterna magna. The patient underwent gross total removal of the tumor followed by radiotherapy with concomitant temozolomide chemotherapy. Histopathologic examination of these three cases revealed typical features of glioblastoma such as cellular pleomorphism, microvascular proliferation, and focal necrosis. The detailed immunohistochemical features were insufficiently described in these reports.

It was reported that cerebellar glioblastomas typically exhibit p53 mutation immunopositivity and EGFR immuno-negativity, suggesting a genetic feature of secondary glioblastoma. In contrast, immunohistochemical analyses of the present case demonstrated that the tumor cells were immunopositive for EGFR and immunonegative for p53 mutation and IDH1 R132H. The present case seems to have different genetic features from typical cerebellar glioblastoma based on immunohistochemistry. Utsuki et al reported immunohistochemical studies of IDH1 mutations in cerebellar glioblastomas. Cerebellar glioblastoma was immunonegative for IDH1 mutations, implying a difference from secondary supratentorial glioblastoma, which tends to be immunopositive for IDH1 mutation. The present case was consistent with typical cerebellar glioblastoma in relation to...
the observation of IDH1 mutations. Typical cerebellar glioblastoma generally grows locally and invasively into the surrounding normal tissue, occasionally into the brainstem or adjacent leptomeninges.\(^5,\(^{12}\) The exophytic growth pattern observed in the present case might be attributed to the particular genetic alteration revealed by the immunohistochemical features.

Glioblastoma located in the cerebellopontine angle arises from various origins. Several authors have previously documented cases of exophytic pontine glioblastoma in the cerebellopontine angle.\(^{13}-^{15}\) Cases of primary glioblastoma in the cerebellopontine angle arising from the proximal portion of the eighth cranial nerve have also been documented.\(^{16}\) A common problem among those cases, including the present case, is the difficulty of preoperative diagnosis. Glioblastoma in the cerebellopontine angle could be readily misdiagnosed as an extraaxial tumor such as a vestibular schwannoma or meningioma that occurs more commonly. Kuroiwa et al documented the MR features of posterior fossa glioblastoma, such as heterogeneous signal intensity (presence of hemorrhage), prominent heterogeneous and ring-like enhancement (necrosis) with poorly defined margins, and multicentricity.\(^{2}\) Zito et al reported the radiologic features of posterior fossa glioblastoma, such as lack of peritumoral edema and little mass effect.\(^{17}\) However, there are no definite MR features available to differentiate glioblastoma in the cerebellopontine angle from the other benign extraaxial tumors. Several reports have stated the utility of Tl SPECT, which can provide useful information about the nature of tumor in the differential diagnosis between malignant and benign brain tumors.\(^{18,19}\) In particular, the retention index, defined as the ratio of delayed to early Tl uptake, has been demonstrated to be useful in differential diagnosis. In those reports, the retention index of malignant tumors was significantly higher than that of benign tumors, including meningioma and schwannoma, and the threshold value was 0.65 to 0.70.\(^{18,19}\) In the present case, the retention index of cerebellar glioblastoma in the cerebellopontine angle was 0.90, which is consistent with supratentorial glioblastoma in previous reports. Accordingly, the retention index of Tl SPECT could make it possible to differentiate high-grade glioma in the cerebellopontine angle from the other extraaxial tumors preoperatively; thus it could help to design an appropriate strategy of operation.

Although defined standard therapy has been established for supratentorial glioblastoma, the optimal treatment for cerebellar glioblastoma is yet to be defined because of its rarity. Several reports have supported the application of chemoradiotherapy based on the Stupp regimen to cerebellar glioblastoma.\(^1,\(^{20}\) In the present case, radiotherapy with temozolomide was applied to cerebellar glioblastoma with an exophytic growth pattern, and a relatively good clinical course was achieved. Thus radiotherapy with temozolomide may also be effective for exophytic cerebellar glioblastoma, which seems to be genetically different from typical cerebellar glioblastoma.
Conclusions

Exophytic cerebellar glioblastoma should be considered in the differential diagnosis of a cerebellopontine angle mass with atypical radiologic features such as heterogeneous signal intensity and poorly defined margins, although it is an extremely rare occurrence. TI SPECT would be useful in achieving an accurate preoperative diagnosis for atypical cerebellopontine angle tumor, which leads to an optimal strategy for surgery.
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