Subarachnoid Hemorrhage Due to Rupture of an Intracavernous Carotid Artery Aneurysm Coexisting with a Prolactinoma under Cabergoline Treatment
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Abstract

We report an unusual case of subarachnoid hemorrhage caused by intraoperative rupture of an intracavernous carotid artery aneurysm coexisting with a prolactinoma. A 58-year-old man presenting with diplopia was found to have a left intracavernous carotid artery aneurysm encased by a suprasellar tumor on magnetic resonance imaging. His serum prolactin level was 5036 ng/mL. Proximal ligation of the left internal carotid artery with a superficial temporal artery to middle cerebral artery anastomosis was scheduled. Because the patient’s diplopia had deteriorated, we started him on cabergoline at a dose of 0.25 mg once a week. One month after administration of cabergoline, the diplopia was improved to some extent and serum prolactin was decreased to 290 ng/mL. Six weeks after starting the cabergoline, the patient underwent a left frontotemporal craniotomy to treat the aneurysm. When the dura mater was opened, abnormal brain swelling and obvious subarachnoid hemorrhage were observed. Postoperative computed tomography demonstrated a thick subarachnoid hemorrhage. This case suggests that medical therapy for a pituitary adenoma should be started after treatment for a coexisting intracavernous aneurysm is completed.
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Introduction

The presence of an intracranial aneurysm coexisting with a pituitary adenoma is well documented.1,2 Although several cases of unruptured aneurysms coexisting with pituitary adenomas have been reported, subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) caused by rupture of a coexisting intracavernous aneurysm is rare. We report an unusual case of SAH caused by intraoperative rupture of an intracavernous carotid artery aneurysm coexisting with a prolactinoma under cabergoline treatment.

Case Report

A 58-year-old man presented with diplopia. Neurologic examination revealed left abducens nerve palsy. The patient’s visual acuity and visual field were normal. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed a pituitary tumor with suprasellar extension, associated with a small intratumoral hemorrhage. An enlarged flow void was also noted in the left cavernous sinus, suggesting the presence of an aneurysm at the intracavernous portion of the internal carotid artery (ICA) (►Fig. 1A, B). We concluded that the intratumoral hemorrhage was apoplexy because the hemorrhage was remote to the aneurysm. Cerebral angiography revealed a large intracavernous fusiform aneurysm of the left ICA (►Fig. 1C, D). The patient tolerated a balloon test occlusion (BTO) of the left ICA well, and angiography showed good collateral blood supply through the anterior communicating artery. However, the mean stump pressure during BTO was
45 mm Hg, < 50% of the preocclusion mean arterial pressure, which indicated the need for a low-flow bypass graft according to hospital policy. Proximal ligation of the left ICA with a superficial temporal artery to the middle cerebral artery (STA-MCA) anastomosis was scheduled. Hormonal assessment revealed an elevated serum prolactin level of 5036 ng/mL (normal: 3.6–12.8 ng/mL). We considered that both the prolactinoma and aneurysm were responsible for the abducens palsy. Because the patient’s diplopia had deteriorated, we started him on cabergoline at a dose of 0.25 mg once a week. One month after administration of cabergoline, the diplopia was improved to some extent; serum prolactin was decreased to 290 ng/mL.

Six weeks after starting the cabergoline, the patient underwent a left frontotemporal craniotomy to treat the aneurysm. When the dura mater was opened, the brain swelled and an SAH was clearly observed. It was essentially impossible to continue the operation, so only a decompressive craniectomy was performed. Computed tomography immediately after the surgery revealed a thick SAH (Fig. 2). The patient was managed by intubation and sedated with propofol for 14 days. When sedation was stopped, the patient awakened with mild sensory aphasia and dysphasia.

A second operation was performed 6 weeks after the first. Proximal ligation of the left ICA with an STA-MCA anastomosis and cranioplasty were completed uneventfully. No new neurologic deficits developed after the procedure. Postoperative angiography revealed disappearance of the aneurysm and patency of the STA-MCA anastomosis (Fig. 3A). MRI confirmed tumor regression and disappearance of the left intracavernous flow void (Fig. 3B).

Discussion

The differential diagnosis for SAH in the present case could be divided into two categories: aneurysmal rupture and pituitary apoplexy. There is no pathologic evidence of what caused the hemorrhage in our case. Although SAH is one of the presentations of pituitary apoplexy, broad and massive SAH is rare. However, visual deterioration is the cardinal sign of pituitary apoplexy and was reported to occur in 80% of 38 cases of major pituitary apoplexy. The present case did not present with visual deterioration after the presentation of SAH, and postoperative MRI demonstrated no remnants of intratumoral hemorrhage. Thus we conclude that a ruptured aneurysm was the cause of SAH in the present case.

There have been very few reports of SAH caused by rupture of intracavernous aneurysms coexisting with pituitary adenomas. Only two cases of pituitary apoplexy caused by aneurysmal bleeding into pituitary adenomas have been reported by Ikeda et al. in 2001 and Xi et al. in 2012. In our case, the causative aneurysm was thought to be a fusiform aneurysm that developed from an existing cavernous sinus aneurysm, which was demonstrated by carotid artery angiography (Fig. 3C, D). Carotid artery angiography is therefore a useful tool for the diagnosis of aneurysm in patients with pituitary adenomas who present with SAH.
Suzuki et al suggested that the tumor in their case might have invaded and weakened the aneurysmal wall, causing the aneurysm to grow and subsequently rupture into the tumor. Imamura et al reported a case of fatal epistaxis caused by rupture of an intracavernous aneurysm coexisting with a pituitary adenoma before surgery. The effect of adenoma shrinkage as a result of medical therapy on a coexisting intracavernous aneurysm has been unclear. Hori et al reported the enlargement of an aneurysm contiguous with a growth hormone-producing pituitary adenoma as the result of tumor regression by bromocriptine therapy, and they suggested that the traction force, which was produced by the regression, contributed to the enlargement. In our case, we speculate that an aperture between the adenoma and intracavernous aneurysm was created and widened by shrinkage of the prolactinoma by cabergoline. The surgical stress and acute decompression from the craniotomy caused the aneurysm to rupture, resulting in SAH.

**Conclusion**

In a case of an intracavernous aneurysm coexisting with a functional pituitary macroadenoma, medical therapy for the pituitary adenoma should be started only after treatment for the coexisting intracavernous aneurysm has been completed, to avoid any life-threatening hemorrhagic complications. However, in the case of acute visual deterioration as a consequence of the intratumoral hemorrhage, cabergoline administration of a small amount may be acceptable to prevent the deterioration of the symptom.
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