Extended Inferior Turbinate Flap for Endoscopic Reconstruction of Skull Base Defects
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Abstract

Objective When the use of the nasoseptal flap for endoscopic skull base reconstruction has been precluded, the posterior pedicle inferior turbinate flap is a viable option for small midclival defects. Limitations of the inferior turbinate flap include its small surface area and limited arc of rotation. We describe a novel extended inferior turbinate flap that expands the reconstructive applications of this flap.

Design Cadaveric anatomical study.

Participants Cadaveric specimens.

Main Outcome Measures Flap size, arc of rotation, and reconstructive applications were assessed.

Results The average width of the flap was 5.46 ± 0.58 cm (7.32 ± 0.59 cm with septal mucosa). The average length of the flap was 5.01 ± 0.58 cm (5.28 ± 0.37 cm with septal mucosa). The average surface area of the flap was ~ 27.26 ± 3.65 cm² (40.53 ± 6.45 cm² with septal mucosa). The extended inferior turbinate flap was sufficient to cover clival defects extending between the paraclival internal carotid arteries. The use of the flap in 22 cadavers and 5 clinical patients is described.

Conclusion The extended inferior turbinate flap presents an additional option for reconstruction of skull base defects when the nasoseptal flap is unavailable.

Introduction

As experience with the endoscopic endonasal approach (EEA) for skull base tumors continues to grow, surgical techniques must continue to evolve to solve increasingly complex challenges. EEA is now used for many extensive lesions that were once thought to be resectable only by traditional open approaches. Along with the increased use of EEA for resection, however, comes the need for more versatile techniques for reconstruction.

The challenge for skull base reconstruction involves preventing cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leaks and exposure of intracranial contents to intranasal flora by recreating the barrier between the cranial vault and the nasal cavity.¹,² Throughout the past 10 years, a variety of vascular and avascular reconstruction techniques have been used. Although small CSF leaks may be repaired with avascular grafting techniques, repair of high-flow leaks has been more successful with vascularized flaps.¹,³,⁴

Current options for skull base reconstruction include avascular grafts, intranasal vascular flaps, and novel endoscopic regional flaps. Avascular graft options include fat “bath plug” grafts, free mucosal grafts, and acellular dermal allograft inlays. Intranasal vascular flaps include the nasoseptal...
flap (NSF), posterior pedicle inferior turbinate flap (ITF), and middle turbinate flap. Regional vascularized flaps include the pericranial flap, palatal flap, and temporoparietal fascia flap.1,5–8

The NSF has served as the primary reconstructive option for anterior skull base defects due to its size and versatility. The NSF is a vascularized pedicled flap based on the posterior septal artery, a branch of the sphenopalatine artery (SPA), which is one of the terminal branches of the internal maxillary artery.3,9 The NSF has proven to be a versatile and reliable flap that can readily reconstruct most skull base defects.9,10 The NSF has been shown to be successful in limiting postoperative CSF leaks in 94% of high-flow intraoperative defects.3

With the expanded use of EEA for complex and recurrent lesions, however, the NSF may be unavailable as a reconstructive option. Its use may be precluded by prior surgery or tumor involvement of the nasal septum. Other reconstructive options have been described including the ITF. The ITF is a viable option for small midclival defects, but its limitations include its small surface area and limited arc of rotation. Therefore, we have developed a novel extended inferior turbinate flap (EITF) that expands on the applicability of the ITF and may be used when the NSF is unavailable.

**Methods**

**Anatomical Study**

The anatomical study was completed at the Surgical Neuroanatomy Laboratory of the Department of Neurosurgery at the University of Pittsburgh. The University of Pittsburgh Committee for Oversight of Research Involving the Dead (CORID) granted permission for the work under CORID no. 380. A total of 22 EITFs were dissected. Exclusion criteria were a history of maxillofacial trauma, previous sinonasal surgery, or other sinonasal pathology.

The EITF was designed for harvest in the specimens based on the inferior turbinate (IT) artery, a terminal branch of the SPA. Although a detailed description of the vascular supply to

![Fig. 1](image-url)
the lateral nasal wall may be found elsewhere, a brief review of pertinent anatomical details is warranted here. The SPA is a terminal branch of the internal maxillary artery. It exits the pterygopalatine fossa via the sphenopalatine foramen into the nasal cavity. It supplies most of the lateral nasal wall including the middle and inferior turbinates via the posterior lateral nasal artery (PLNA), also called the naso-lateral artery. The PLNA courses downward and slightly posterior lateral nasal artery (PLNA), also called the naso-wall including the middle and inferior turbinates via the men into the nasal cavity. It supplies most of the lateral nasal exit the pterygopalatine fossa via the sphenopalatine fora-
In an additional five specimens, the septal mucosa was included as the most medial portion of the flap. The posterior-superior cut along the septum was performed at the level of the head of the middle turbinate. The septal mucosa covering the quadrangular cartilage was elevated together with the mucosa of the nasal floor. The anterior cut was performed at the level of the caudal edge of the septum, and the most anterior-superior cut was performed at the level of the nasal dorsum. These two incisions are the same used to harvest the nasoseptal flap. Therefore, these flaps included the mucosa of the IT, floor of the nose, and the anterior nasal septum.

After the cuts were completed as just described, the meatal mucosa of the IT was dissected from the IT bone. The mucosa was then opened like a book, and the bone was removed in pieces because of its fragility. Careful dissection is important to avoid a tear at the junction between the meatal mucosa and the medial mucosa of the IT. After a complete resection of the IT bone was achieved, a sharp cut was made across the nasolacrimal duct where it opens in the inferior meatus. The mucosa of the lateral wall of the inferior meatus was elevated in continuity with the IT mucosa. At this time, the EITF was elevated from the floor of the nose in a subperiosteal plane in an anterior to posterior manner, toward the pedicle of the flap (Fig. 1E and Fig. 3). Care was taken to protect the mucosa that encloses the IT artery (Fig. 1D) branching from the PLNA and entering its bony canal near the posterior tip of the IT.

After the flap was harvested, a wide bilateral sphenoideotomy was performed with drilling of the sphenoid floor to the clivus and removal of all sphenoid septations. The mucosa of the nasopharynx was resected to expose the lower clivus (Fig. 4A, B, C). The EITF was rotated toward the clivus to assess the arc of rotation and coverage (Fig. 4D, E, F). The pedicle of the flap was then transected, and the flap was removed for measurements of the length, width, and surface area (Fig. 5 and Fig. 6).

**Fig. 2** Cross section of dissection of right inferior turbinate mucosa from the bone (arrows).

**Fig. 3** Cross section of dissection of right floor of nose (arrows).
Results

The average width of the flap, as defined as the widest measurement at the anterior margin of the flap, was $5.46 \pm 0.58\, \text{cm} (7.32 \pm 0.59\, \text{cm with septal mucosa})$. The average length of the flap, as defined as the longest measurement at the lateral margin of the flap, was $5.01 \pm 0.58\, \text{cm} (5.28 \pm 0.37\, \text{cm with septal mucosa})$. The average approximate surface area of the flap was $27.26 \pm 3.65\, \text{cm}^2 (40.53 \pm 6.45\, \text{cm}^2$ with septal mucosa). This was defined as
Multiplying the widest width measurement by the longest length measurement of each flap, allowing for an approximation of surface area.

For the flaps on the left side, the arc of rotation was clockwise. Likewise, the rotation was counterclockwise for the flaps on the right side. In both situations, the IT mucosa was positioned covering the lower clivus, and the extension of the nasal floor mucosa was used to cover the superior part of the defect. Thus the angle of rotation was more favorable and smaller when compared with the 180-degree angle necessary for the traditional ITF to reach the clivus. The EITF was sufficient to cover the lower and middle clivus between the Eustachian tubes inferiorly and paracival internal carotid arteries superiorly. The anterior septum mucosa present in five cases improved the coverage superiorly toward the upper clivus.

In addition, the EITF was used in five clinical patients to date as described in Table 1, along with the results.

### Discussion

The ITF was first described by Fortes et al in 2007. This flap is based on the IT artery, a terminal branch of the SPA. The ITF is a reconstructive option when a NSF is not available due to prior surgery or tumor involvement. The standard ITF is suitable for small midclival defects but is limited due to the small surface area, lack of conformity of the conchal mucosa, and a limited arc of rotation. In an effort to expand the applications of the ITF, we have explored mucosal extensions of the flap in human cadavers.

The size of the ITF has been reported to be 5.4 cm in length and 2.2 cm in width. The length of the EITF is consistent

### Table 1 Clinical use of the extended inferior turbinate flap

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Reason for EITF</th>
<th>Flap type</th>
<th>Resulting defect</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pituitary adenoma</td>
<td>Prior NSF</td>
<td>EITF + septum</td>
<td>Paracival ICA</td>
<td>No complication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chordoma</td>
<td>Prior NSF</td>
<td>EITF + septum</td>
<td>Clival defect</td>
<td>No complication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CSF leak</td>
<td>Prior NSF</td>
<td>EITF</td>
<td>Clival defect</td>
<td>No complication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CSF leak</td>
<td>Prior NSF</td>
<td>EITF</td>
<td>Clival defect</td>
<td>No complication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chondrosarcoma</td>
<td>Prior NSF</td>
<td>EITF</td>
<td>Clival defect</td>
<td>CSF leak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; EITF, extended inferior turbinate flap; ICA, internal carotid artery; NSF, nasoseptal flap.

![Fig. 7](image-url) Intraoperative images of the extended inferior turbinate flap (EITF) in clinical patients. (A) Inset of the EITF (single arrow) with AlloDerm underlay (star). (B) Positioning of the EITF. (C) Inset flap with pedicle at the root of inferior turbinate (double arrows). (D) Final inset.
with the previously described ITF; the width is 250% that of the ITF. This difference is accounted for by inclusion of the mucosa of the nasal floor, thus increasing its mean surface area to 27.26 cm². The surface area was sufficient to cover defects spanning the clivus from one paracervical internal carotid artery to the other. The EITF compares favorably with the NSF for reconstruction of infrasellar defects. The NSF has a mean surface area of 25 cm².2,10,14 The EITF is slightly larger.

When the septal mucosa is available for use with the EITF, the mean surface area increases to 40.53 cm², significantly larger than the mean surface area reported for the NSF. The addition of the nasal mucosa also decreases the arc of rotation by providing a longer flap with greater reach. In addition to infrasellar defects, the larger flap can provide effective coverage of defects of the anterior cranial base (Fig. 4).

Although the injected specimens demonstrate that the PLNA branch of the sphenopalatine artery supplies the mucosa of the inferior turbinate and inferior meatus, it is unclear how well it supplies the mucosa of the EITF.11,12 It is likely that most of the septal mucosa has a random blood supply and would benefit from a wide pedicle that includes the entire nasal floor mucosa. Although the distal part of the flap may be random, this does not preclude its use as an effective vascularized reconstructive flap. Thus the mucosa of the IT functions as a vascularized pedicled flap with an extension (nasal floor and septal mucosa) that likely benefits from a random blood supply.

The dissection of the EITF can be technically challenging, especially raising the tightly adherent mucosa from the IT bone. However, we did not encounter significant problems with perforation of the flaps likely due to the thick nature of the IT mucosa, in addition to our method of opening the IT like a book and removing the bone piecemeal as we described in the technique section.

In patients who have had a prior NSF, the vascular pedicle for the ITF is usually preserved on the side of the prior flap. If a revision surgery is performed and a new reconstruction is necessary, the EITF provides adequate coverage for most infrasellar defects. The EITF could also be combined with a NSF from the same or alternate sides to provide two separate reconstructive flaps. Additional experience is needed before passing judgment on its clinical effectiveness.

In our experience using the EITF in five clinical patients, it has been successful in four cases in preventing a postoperative CSF leak. Each of these cases was a revision case, and the ETIF was selected for use due to a previously utilized NSF. In all cases, the EITF proved to be a large and versatile flap that was adequate to cover the defect (Fig. 7). Postoperatively, we have not noted an increased amount of crusting when compared with standard NSF patients. When MT mucosa is available, it is used as a mucosal free graft to the anterior septum, which may help expedite the healing process.

**Conclusion**

The EITF provides an additional reconstructive option when the NSF is unavailable. It provides the benefits of a local pedicled vascularized mucosal flap while improving on the shortcomings of the ITF. Its large surface area and improved arc of rotation make it a versatile flap that can cover infrasellar defects in the clival region and provide coverage of the paracervical internal carotid arteries.

**Disclaimer**

No financial acknowledgments are pertinent to this study.

**Note**

This article was presented at the Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery Annual Meeting: September 10, 2012; Washington, DC.

**References**