Influence of Neonatal Practice Variation on Outcomes of Late Preterm Birth
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Abstract

Objective  Examine variation in short-term outcomes of late preterm births (34\(^{0/7}\) – 36\(^{6/7}\) weeks’ gestation) between a university teaching hospital, teaching community hospital, and nonteaching community hospital.

Study Design  Review of maternal and newborn data from a random sample of late preterm births at three hospitals in North Carolina from 2008 to 2009. Outcomes included length of stay, neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admission, respiratory support, antibiotic exposure, phototherapy exposure, and hypoglycemia.

Results  We analyzed data from 331 singleton late preterm newborns: 93 (28.1%) from a university teaching hospital, 110 (33.2%) from a teaching community hospital, and 128 (38.7%) from a nonteaching community hospital. Mean gestational age did not vary between hospitals. NICU admission, exposure to antibiotics, and phototherapy were more common at the university teaching hospital after controlling for risk factors, yet length of stay was shortest at the university teaching hospital and longest at the teaching community hospital after adjustment.
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Conclusion  Practice variation contributes to differences in length of stay, NICU admission, and exposure to antibiotics and phototherapy among late preterm newborns. Differences in practice during the birth hospitalization may affect outcomes and health care utilization (e.g., readmission) after discharge.

Over 70% of preterm births each year in the United States, or approximately 400,000 newborns, are late preterm (34\(^{0/7}\) – 36\(^{6/7}\) weeks’ gestation).\(^1\) Late preterm newborns experience greater morbidity and increased health care utilization compared with term newborns, including more frequent admission to a neonatal intensive care unit (NICU), longer birth hospitalizations, and increased readmission during the first 30 days after birth.\(^2\)

Differences in hospital and provider practices contribute to variation in newborn care, including NICU admission, antibiotic use, length of stay, and costs.\(^3\)–\(^9\) Data specific to the late preterm population is lacking. Late preterm newborns can be cared for in the routine newborn nursery or an intensive care unit, very different health care service environments. The need for intensive care at birth for the late preterm newborn is determined, in part, by the presence of clinical risk factors or disease. Other factors that influence the decision to admit a late preterm newborn to a NICU include the level of care available at the birth hospital, provider preferences, and institution-specific practices (e.g., gestational age or birth weight thresholds for NICU admission).\(^10\),\(^11\)

Late preterm newborn care during the birth hospitalization, such as NICU admission and phototherapy, is likely to have implications for health care utilization after discharge (e.g., outpatient care and readmissions). Guidelines for the care of late preterm newborns include recommendations on criteria for discharge and follow-up, but evidence for the appropriate or “best” level of care or length of stay after birth...
are lacking.\textsuperscript{2,10} The challenge of predicting an individual late preterm newborn’s clinical needs at birth combined with institution and provider practice variation likely results in differences in the quality of care. The purpose of this study is to examine variation in newborn care and short-term outcomes of late preterm newborns across three hospitals.

**Methods**

We performed a cohort study from a prospectively collected random sample of late preterm births at three North Carolina hospitals from March 2008 to July 2009. These hospitals are within close geographic proximity. Subjects were eligible for the study if delivery occurred between 34\textsuperscript{0}/7 and 36\textsuperscript{6}/7 weeks’ gestation on one of the randomly selected study days. Selection of study days equally sampled weekday and weekend days. Gestational age was determined by best obstetrical estimate. We excluded births resulting from multiple gestations, newborns with major congenital anomalies, and stillbirths. The study sites included a university teaching hospital, a teaching community hospital, and a nonteaching community hospital. Only the university teaching hospital required admission to the NICU for births < 35 weeks’ gestation or birth weight < 2,000 g. The other study hospitals did not have gestational age or birth weight thresholds for mandatory NICU admission.

We reviewed medical records for data on maternal demographics, medical and obstetrical history, labor and delivery, and neonatal outcomes for the birth hospitalization. Trained research nurses and the first author (S.A.) performed all data abstraction. We categorized births according to the obstetric or medical complication indicated as the primary reason for admission and/or indication for delivery: preterm premature rupture of membranes (PPROM)/spontaneous labor, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, placenta previa/abruption, elective/scheduled, or other. Study outcomes included length of stay, NICU admission, antibiotic exposure, phototherapy exposure, need for respiratory support, and hypoglycemia. We defined NICU admission as admission to the nursery with highest level of care at each hospital (per American Academy of Pediatrics classification\textsuperscript{11}): a level IV NICU for the university teaching hospital, a level III NICU at the teaching community hospital, and a level II specialty care nursery at the nonteaching community hospital. Antibiotic exposure included administration of antibiotics for sepsis screening (< 3 days) and for presumed/proven sepsis (> 3 days). The need for respiratory support was defined as oxygen use (hood or nasal cannula), naso or nasal airway pressure, and/or mechanical ventilation at any time during the hospitalization. We defined hypoglycemia as a blood glucose value \( \leq \) 45 mg/dL recorded in nursing flow sheets or laboratory results.

**Statistical Analysis**

We used chi-square or Fisher exact tests for analysis of categorical data and one-way analysis of variance or Kruskal-Wallis tests for analysis of continuous data. We used logistic regression analysis to examine the association between hospital and categorical outcomes. For the analysis of length of stay, we used zero-truncated negative binomial regression due to over-dispersion and the absence of zero values. Results of the negative binomial regression are expressed as estimated marginal mean days with 95% confidence intervals. We used multivariable analyses to adjust for maternal and newborn risk factors found to have significant differences across sites after bivariate analysis or considered a priori as risk factors for the outcome. For logistic regression models, we used backward selection to generate a final model. We used the likelihood ratio tests to compare full and reduced zero-truncated negative binomial regression models. Because of the small sample size and concerns about the reliability of the models when incorporating numerous covariates, we did not include indication for delivery in the initial multivariable models. However, further analysis including indication for delivery in the multivariable models produced similar results. All analyses were conducted using STATA statistical software, version 12 (STATA, College Station, TX). The institutional review boards with oversight at each site approved this study.

**Results**

A total of 22,383 births occurred at the three study hospitals during the study period, of which 1,479 (6.6%) were late preterm (\textsuperscript{Fig. 1}). We reviewed 352 maternal charts and 426 late preterm newborn charts for inclusion in the study; 95
newborns met exclusion criteria (74 for multiple gestation, 13 for major congenital anomalies, and 8 for gestational age criteria). We analyzed maternal and newborn data from 331 singleton late preterm newborns (22.4% of all late preterm births), 93 (28.1%) from a university teaching hospital, 110 (33.2%) from a nonteaching community hospital, and 128 (38.7%) from a nonteaching community hospital. There were no deaths in the study group before discharge and all newborns were discharged home.

**Study Group Characteristics**

The majority of late preterm births in the study group occurred at 36 weeks’ gestation (51.4%, n = 170); the mean (standard deviation) gestational age was 35.3 (0.8) weeks. We found few differences in demographic characteristics by gestational age (Table 1). Mean birth weight was 2,677 g and correlated with advancing gestational age. More late preterm newborns at 34 weeks’ gestation were exposed to antenatal corticosteroids compared with births at 35 and 36 weeks (p < 0.001). We did not find significant differences by gestational age for other characteristics, including associated maternal obstetrical and medical complications (data not shown).

We found several significant differences in demographic characteristics by hospital (Table 2). We did not find a difference in gestational age across hospitals; however, birth weight was lowest at the teaching community hospital and highest at the nonteaching community hospital. We found small differences in maternal age across sites and more late preterm births at the nonteaching community hospital were to non-Hispanic white women and women with private insurance (p < 0.001).

More late preterm births followed labor induction or cesarean without labor at the university teaching hospital compared with the teaching community hospital and nonteaching community hospital (60.2 vs. 38.2 vs. 48.4%, p = 0.007) (Table 2). More women from the university teaching hospital had a history of chronic hypertension and received antenatal corticosteroids compared with the other two hospitals. We did not find statistically significant differences in other maternal characteristics across hospitals.

We found hospital differences in maternal obstetric risk factors. Late preterm births associated with isolated spontaneous labor or PPROM were more common at the teaching community hospital and nonteaching community hospital compared with the university teaching hospital (63.6 vs. 61.7 vs. 43.0%, p < 0.05) (Table 3). We found fewer late preterm births associated with “other” complications at the teaching community hospital compared with the university and nonteaching community hospitals (1.8 vs. 16.1 vs. 9.4%, p < 0.05). The majority of births in the “other” category were associated with nonreassuring fetal status or oligohydramnios. We did not find significant differences among study hospitals in late preterm births associated with hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, placental abruption or previa, or elective/scheduled deliveries.

**Outcomes by Gestational Age and Level of Care**

Overall, 34.7% (n = 115) of late preterm newborns in the study group were admitted to a NICU. NICU admission was inversely related to gestational age (84.1% at 34 weeks vs. 29.6% at 35 weeks vs. 19.4% at 36 weeks, p < 0.001). Median length of NICU stay was shorter as gestational age increased (7 days for 34 weeks, 3 days for 35 weeks, and 2 days for 36 weeks, p < 0.001). Median length of stay was greater for late preterm newborns admitted to the NICU compared with those admitted to the newborn nursery (7 vs. 2 days, p < 0.001) (Table 4).

We found 20.2% (n = 67) of late preterm newborns in our study group were exposed to postnatal antibiotics during the birth hospitalization. Only late preterm newborns admitted to a NICU received antibiotics (Table 4). Among late preterm newborns exposed to antibiotics, 82.1% received < 3 days of therapy for sepsis screening and 17.9% received > 3 days of therapy for presumed or proven sepsis. Only one newborn had proven sepsis. Antibiotic exposure was more common in late preterm newborns at 34 weeks’ gestation compared with 35 and 36 weeks (52.4 vs. 17.3 vs. 9.4%, p < 0.001).

Overall, 33.2% (n = 110) of late preterm newborns in the study group received phototherapy. More late preterm newborns admitted to the NICU (58.3%) received phototherapy compared with those admitted to the newborn nursery (19.9%) (Table 4). Phototherapy was more common at 34 weeks compared with 35 and 36 weeks (63.5 vs. 34.7 vs. 21.2%, p < 0.001).

Among all late preterm newborns in the study group, 17.8% (n = 59) received respiratory support and 26.9% (n = 89) had hypoglycemia. Use of respiratory support was more common at 34 weeks compared with 35 and 36 weeks (46.0 vs. 13.3 vs. 10.0%, p < 0.001). The presence of hypoglycemia did not differ significantly by gestational age.

**Outcomes by Hospital**

Admission to the NICU was more common at the university teaching hospital compared with the teaching community hospital and nonteaching community hospital (51.6 vs. 32.7 vs. 24.2%, p < 0.001). Among births at 34 weeks’ gestation, 100% were admitted to the NICU at the university teaching hospital compared with 68.2% at the teaching community hospital and 85.0% at the nonteaching community hospital (Table 4). After adjusting for gestational age, birth weight, spontaneous labor, and cesarean delivery, we found lower odds of admission to the NICU at the teaching community hospital (odds ratio [OR], 0.35; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.17, 0.71) and nonteaching community hospital (OR, 0.26; 95% CI, 0.13, 0.52) compared with the university teaching hospital (Table 5).

Antibiotic exposure was more common at the university teaching hospital compared with teaching community hospital and nonteaching community hospital (31.2 vs. 17.3 vs. 14.1%, p = 0.005). The odds of antibiotic exposure were lowest at the teaching community hospital (OR, 0.34; 95% CI, 0.14, 0.86) and nonteaching community hospital (OR, 0.25; 95% CI, 0.09, 0.67) after adjusting for gestational age, antenatal corticosteroids, spontaneous labor, and need for respiratory support (Table 5).

More late preterm newborns received phototherapy at the university teaching hospital compared with teaching...
Discussion

We identified institutional variation in late preterm newborn admission to the NICU, exposure to antibiotics, phototherapy, and length of stay. Institution-specific practices for late preterm newborn care (e.g., gestational age threshold for NICU admission) likely explain some of this variation. Variation in care for late preterm newborns during the birth hospitalization may affect subsequent morbidity and health care utilization during the first few weeks or months after birth.

Approximately 40% of late preterm newborns are admitted to a NICU at birth. The level of care for late preterm newborns is determined by a combination of clinical factors (e.g., respiratory distress, temperature instability), institutional factors (e.g., NICU admission criteria, available level of care), and provider factors (comfort level, experience). Level of care for late preterm newborns influences the duration of the birth hospitalization and readmission risk. Late preterm newborns admitted to a NICU have longer lengths of stay but lower readmission risk compared with those cared for in a newborn nursery. Institutional gestational age thresholds for NICU admission vary, however, few studies...
directly address this issue\textsuperscript{5,10,11} Institutional differences in practices and policies might explain some of the variation in NICU admission found in this study. The university teaching hospital was the only study hospital with a specific gestational age threshold for admission to the NICU. Overall, the range of NICU admission observed across hospitals in our study is consistent with data from previously published single center studies.\textsuperscript{13,15,16}

We found variation in antibiotic use by level of care and across hospitals. Overall, antibiotic use in the cohort was comparable to that seen in other studies.\textsuperscript{15,16,20–22} However, we found that only late preterm newborns admitted to the University teaching (n = 93) & Teaching community (n = 110) & Nonteaching community (n = 128) & Full cohort & 
---
GA (wk), mean (SD) & 35.3 (0.8) & 35.2 (0.8) & 35.4 (0.7) & 35.3 (0.8) & 0.22
Birth weight (g), mean (SD) & 2,674 (513) & 2,591 (467) & 2,754 (470) & 2,677 (485) & 0.03
Male, n (%) & 55 (59.1) & 53 (48.2) & 77 (60.2) & 185 (55.9) & 0.14
Maternal age (y), mean (SD) & 29 (6.6) & 27 (6.0) & 30 (5.9) & 29 (6.2) & 0.002
Race, n (%) & & & & & 
Non-Hispanic white & 39 (41.9) & 39 (35.5) & 81 (63.3) & 159 (48.0) & < 0.001
Non-Hispanic black & 11 (11.8) & 38 (34.6) & 29 (22.7) & 78 (23.6) & 
Hispanic/other & 43 (46.2) & 33 (30.0) & 18 (14.1) & 94 (28.4) & 
Insurance type, n (%) & & & & & 
Uninsured & 35 (37.6) & 40 (36.4) & 6 (4.7) & 81 (24.5) & < 0.001
Public & 31 (33.3) & 36 (32.7) & 28 (21.9) & 95 (28.7) & 
Private & 27 (29.0) & 34 (30.9) & 94 (73.4) & 155 (46.8) & 
Prenatal care, n (%) & 79 (85.0) & 94 (85.5) & 121 (94.5) & 294 (88.8) & 0.09
Primiparous, n (%) & 23 (24.7) & 35 (31.8) & 46 (35.9) & 104 (31.4) & 0.21
Previous cesarean, n (%) & 23 (24.7) & 22 (20.0) & 26 (20.3) & 71 (21.5) & 0.66
Type of labor, n (%) & & & & & 
Spontaneous & 37 (39.8) & 68 (61.8) & 66 (51.6) & 171 (51.7) & 0.007
Induced/cesarean without labor & 56 (60.2) & 42 (38.2) & 62 (48.4) & 160 (48.3) & 
Cesarean, n (%) & 36 (38.7) & 37 (33.6) & 50 (39.1) & 123 (37.2) & 0.64
Diabetes, n (%) & 15 (16.1) & 17 (15.5) & 18 (14.1) & 50 (15.1) & 0.91
Chronic hypertension, n (%) & 13 (14.0) & 6 (5.5) & 5 (3.9) & 24 (7.3) & 0.02
Antenatal corticosteroids, n (%) & 19 (20.4) & 12 (10.9) & 10 (7.8) & 41 (12.4) & 0.02
Chorioamnionitis, n (%) & 1 (1.1) & 4 (3.6) & 4 (3.1) & 9 (2.7) & 0.53

Abbreviations: GA, gestational age; SD, standard deviation; wk, weeks; y, years.

Table 3 Obstetric and medical complications by hospital (n = 331), n (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spontaneous labor/PPROMa</th>
<th>University teaching (n = 93)</th>
<th>Teaching community (n = 110)</th>
<th>Nonteaching community (n = 128)</th>
<th>Full cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40 (43.0)</td>
<td>70 (63.6)</td>
<td>79 (61.7)</td>
<td>189 (57.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy</td>
<td>27 (29.0)</td>
<td>22 (20.0)</td>
<td>22 (17.2)</td>
<td>71 (21.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placental abruption/previa</td>
<td>7 (7.5)</td>
<td>11 (10.0)</td>
<td>9 (7.0)</td>
<td>27 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elective/scheduled delivery</td>
<td>4 (4.3)</td>
<td>5 (4.6)</td>
<td>6 (4.7)</td>
<td>15 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/unknownab</td>
<td>15 (16.1)</td>
<td>2 (1.8)</td>
<td>12 (9.4)</td>
<td>29 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: PPROM, preterm premature rupture of membranes.
a<p < 0.05.
bNonreassuring fetal status—university teaching: 33.3%, teaching community: 100%, and nonteaching community: 50.0%; oligohydramnios—university teaching: 40.0%, teaching community: 0%, and nonteaching community: 25.0%.
NICU received antibiotics. This finding was not solely related to hospital policy, as the nonteaching community hospital was the only hospital in our study that required admission to the NICU if antibiotics were prescribed. Few studies differentiate antibiotic exposure in late preterm newborns by level of care. In addition to a higher concern for sepsis in newborns admitted to a NICU, differences in practice or “culture” (e.g., threshold for sepsis screening) between levels of care likely contribute to greater antibiotic use in the NICU. Greater antibiotic use in the NICU may result in potential overuse of antibiotics when admission to the NICU is not exclusively determined by clinical factors but rather by institutional practices. More NICU admissions at the university teaching hospital and a lower threshold to start antibiotics in the NICU

### Table 4 Outcomes by level of care and hospital (n = 331)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>University teaching (n = 93)</th>
<th>Teaching community (n = 110)</th>
<th>Nonteaching community (n = 128)</th>
<th>All sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NBN admission, n (%) †</td>
<td>45 (48.4)</td>
<td>74 (67.3)</td>
<td>97 (75.8)</td>
<td>216 (65.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICU admission, n (%) †</td>
<td>48 (51.6)</td>
<td>36 (32.7)</td>
<td>31 (24.2)</td>
<td>115 (34.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICU admission by GA, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 wk</td>
<td>21 (100)</td>
<td>15 (68.2)</td>
<td>17 (85.0)</td>
<td>53 (84.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 wk</td>
<td>11 (45.8)</td>
<td>11 (28.2)</td>
<td>7 (20.0)</td>
<td>29 (29.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 wk</td>
<td>16 (33.3)</td>
<td>10 (20.4)</td>
<td>7 (9.6)</td>
<td>33 (19.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Admitted to the NICU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>University teaching (n = 93)</th>
<th>Teaching community (n = 110)</th>
<th>Nonteaching community (n = 128)</th>
<th>All sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics, n (%)</td>
<td>29 (60.4)</td>
<td>19 (52.8)</td>
<td>19 (61.3)</td>
<td>67 (58.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phototherapy, n (%)</td>
<td>32 (66.7)</td>
<td>19 (52.8)</td>
<td>16 (51.6)</td>
<td>67 (58.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS (d), median (25th, 75th)</td>
<td>6 (3, 12)</td>
<td>8 (5, 12)</td>
<td>7 (5, 10)</td>
<td>7 (4, 11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoglycemia, n (%)</td>
<td>18 (37.5)</td>
<td>13 (36.1)</td>
<td>9 (29.0)</td>
<td>40 (34.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory support, n (%)</td>
<td>24 (50.0)</td>
<td>17 (47.2)</td>
<td>18 (58.1)</td>
<td>59 (51.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Admitted to NBN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>University teaching (n = 93)</th>
<th>Teaching community (n = 110)</th>
<th>Nonteaching community (n = 128)</th>
<th>All sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics, n (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phototherapy, n (%)</td>
<td>16 (35.6)</td>
<td>9 (12.2)</td>
<td>18 (18.6)</td>
<td>43 (19.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS (d), median (25th, 75th)</td>
<td>2 (1, 3)</td>
<td>2 (2, 3)</td>
<td>2 (2, 3)</td>
<td>2 (2, 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoglycemia, n (%)</td>
<td>10 (22.2)</td>
<td>15 (20.3)</td>
<td>24 (24.7)</td>
<td>49 (22.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory support, n (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5 Outcomes by hospital

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>University teaching</th>
<th>Teaching community</th>
<th>Nonteaching community</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NICU admission, OR (95% CI) †</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.35 (0.17, 0.71)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.13, 0.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics, OR (95% CI) †</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.34 (0.14, 0.86)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.09, 0.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phototherapy, OR (95% CI) †</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.32 (0.17, 0.63)</td>
<td>0.42 (0.22, 0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted LOS, mean days (95% CI)</td>
<td>4.9 (4.0, 5.9)</td>
<td>4.9 (4.0, 5.7)</td>
<td>3.7 (3.1, 4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted LOS, mean days (95% CI)</td>
<td>2.9 (2.5, 3.2)</td>
<td>3.8 (3.4, 4.2)</td>
<td>3.3 (2.9, 3.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: d, days; GA, gestational age; LOS, length of stay; NBN, newborn nursery; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; wk, weeks.

* p < 0.05.

† Adjusted for gestational age, birth weight, cesarean delivery, and spontaneous labor.

‡ Adjusted for gestational age, spontaneous labor, antenatal corticosteroids, and respiratory support.

§ Adjusted for gestational age, birth weight, and NICU admission.

University and teaching community versus nonteaching community, p = 0.02.

¶ Adjusted for birth weight, cesarean delivery, phototherapy, NICU admission, respiratory support; university versus teaching community, p < 0.001; university versus nonteaching community, p = 0.08; teaching community versus nonteaching community, p = 0.048.
are likely explanations for variation in antibiotic use among late preterm newborns in this study.

Hyperbilirubinemia is one of the most common morbidities of the late preterm newborn and a common reason for readmission. Differences in the management of hyperbilirubinemia, including bilirubin testing and phototherapy thresholds, likely influence readmission risk. Phototherapy use among late preterm newborns in this study was higher compared with previous studies. The differences in phototherapy use across hospitals in our study appeared to be due to variation in phototherapy use in late preterm newborns cared for in the newborn nursery. It is possible that differences in phototherapy thresholds, frequency of bilirubin level checks, and presence of trainees might explain some of this variation. Published guidelines from the American Academy of Pediatrics on the management of hyperbilirubinemia apply to newborns of 35 weeks’ gestation or greater, which may result in more variability in management for newborns at 34 weeks’ gestation.

Our results suggest that late preterm newborn length of stay is influenced not only by physiologic risk factors such as gestational age but also by factors subject to practice variation (e.g., phototherapy use, NICU admission). Previous studies have found institutional and regional differences in postmenstrual age at discharge among moderately preterm newborns (30–34+6/7 weeks’ gestation) that are likely not due to differences in illness severity. Few studies have examined variation in length of stay among late preterm newborns. Length of stay, NICU admission, and phototherapy use in late preterm newborns during the birth hospitalization are likely to have implications for health care utilization after discharge. Compared with more premature infants who routinely receive intensive care, the birth hospitalization for late preterm newborns intersects different levels of care: intensive care and routine newborn nursery. Only in recent years have morbidities of late preterm birth been broadly recognized. Neonatal practice has now started to address the specific needs of the late preterm population. However, higher quality evidence is needed to guide best practice for care of the late preterm newborn during the birth hospitalization and optimize subsequent outcomes.
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