Application of Extracellular Gadolinium-based MRI Contrast Agents and the Risk of Nephrogenic Systemic Fibrosis

Anwendung von extrazellulären gadoliniumhaltigen MR-Kontrastmitteln und Risiko der Nephrogenen Systemischen Fibrose

**Abstract**

Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF) is a serious, sometimes fatal disease. Findings in recent years have shown that a causal association between gadolinium containing contrast media and NSF is most likely. Therefore, the regulatory authorities have issued guidelines on the use of gadolinium-containing contrast media which have reduced the number of new cases of NSF to almost zero. However, it is for precisely this reason that the greatest care must still be taken to ensure that these guidelines are complied with. The most important factors are renal function, the quantity of gadolinium administered and coexisting diseases such as inflammation. All of these factors crucially influence the quantity of gadolinium released from the chelat in the body. This free gadolinium is thought to be the trigger for NSF. Other important factors are the stability of the gadolinium complex and furthermore the route of its elimination from the body. Partial elimination via the liver might be an additional protective mechanism. In conclusion, despite the NSF risk, contrast-enhanced MRI is a safe diagnostic procedure which can be used reliably and safely even in patients with severe renal failure, and does not necessarily have to be replaced by other methods.

**Key Points:**

- Gd-chelates should classified into two risk classes. No differences should be made between low and medium risk agents.
- Partial elimination of the agent by the liver may have a protective effect against NSF.
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It is recommended to measure the eGFR in all patients prior to the administration of a Gd-chelate. However, it is mandatory only when using agents of the high risk group.
plexes and furthermore also the Ausscheidungsweg aus dem Organismus. Vermutlich stellt eine partielle Ausscheidung über die Leber einen zusätzlichen protektiven Mechanismus dar. Zusammenfassend kann festgehalten werden, dass die kontrastverstärkte MRT trotz des NSF-Risikos ein sicheres diagnostisches Verfahren darstellt, welches bei Beachtung der Anwendungsrichtlinien und aktuellen Empfehlungen auch bei Patienten mit schwerer Niereninsuffizienz sicher eingesetzt werden kann und nicht zwingend durch andere Verfahren ersetzt werden muss.

**Introduction**

In 1997 Cowper and colleagues noted previously unknown fibrosing skin lesions in 15 patients [1]. All the patients required dialysis. The authors designated the skin lesions as “nephrogenic fibrosing dermopathy.” After the initial description of this disease, the number of patients diagnosed with these lesions steadily increased. Thus J Perez-Rodriguez [2] reported 36.5 cases per 100 000 MRI examinations between 2003 – 2006. It was quickly observed that the lesions were not limited to the cutis and subcutis, and the designation “nephrogenic fibrosing dermopathy” was replaced by the term “nephrogenic systemic fibrosis”. Affected organs include the lung, pleura, skeletal musculature, heart, kidney and pericardium, among many others [3]. NSF occurs almost exclusively among patients presenting with a severe impairment of kidney function. As a rule, eGFR lies significantly below 15 ml/min/1.73 m², and the patient requires dialysis [4]. Neither ethnicity, gender nor age appear to play a significant role in the development of NSF [4].

Only in 2006, several years after Cowper’s initial observation, was the relationship between the described changes and the administration of gadolinium-based contrast media ascertained [5, 6]. Soon thereafter there were reports of 13 patients in Denmark. These patients likewise exhibited typical skin lesions after an MRI examination [7]. Numerous previous publications in recent years confirm the relationship between gadolinium-based contrast media and NSF, and causality between the administration of contrast agents and NSF can be considered a given [8]. However, cases of NSF have also been confirmed without prior administration of gadolinium-based contrast media [9, 10]. Clear criteria for the diagnosis of NSF do not exist. Rather, the clinical history, physical examination and a biopsy indicating gadolinium deposits in the skin must be used for a diagnosis [4, 11].

**Overview**

NSF is a serious, sometimes fatal disease. Characteristic changes affect the skin. Skin plaque, painful lesions as well as pruritus can occur. Characteristically the head and neck region are not affected, but rather only the trunk and extremities. An exception to the limitation to the trunk are the sclera, where yellow plaques may also occur [12]. High-grade contractures have been observed with NSF which can lead to immobility, numerous patients have had to resort to wheelchairs. In severe cases of NSF, death has resulted from multi-system failure due to sclerotic transformation of organ systems.

The majority of the cases were initially reported in the USA. In contrast with most European countries, double or triple dosages of gadolinium chelate were administered. This could explain the relatively high number of NSF cases for the initially approved contrast agents gadopentetate dimeglumine (Magnevist®) (1988) and gadodiamide (Omniscan®) (1993) (Table 1). However, it is clear that there are distinct differences among the various contrast media. The incidence of NSF can vary, depending upon the gadolinium chelate used (Table 2). Contrast media such as gadoteric acid (Dotarem®) and gadobutrol (Gadovist®) had not yet been approved during the period prior to the recognition in the United State of the relationship between administration of gadolinium and NSF (Table 1).

In the meantime, cases of NSF have declined and are rarely seen today [13]. This is due to the fact that shortly after the recognition of NSF and its connection to gadolinium-based contrast agents in 2006 [5], very effective countermeasures were found and consistently implemented. Thus in June 2006, the FDA issued an announcement indicating that in particular, high doses of gadolinium-based contrast media when eGFR ≤ 15 ml/min/1.73 m² should be administered only in cases of absolute necessity [14]. In May 2007, the FDA required manufacturers to include a warning notice in the Summary of Product Characteristics regarding patients with severe renal insufficiency [15]. In addition reference was made to other imaging procedures involving patients with moderate to severe renal insufficiency. The European authorities reacted similarly, and in Germany “Red Hand” letters were issued with approval restrictions for patients with renal insufficiency (2/2007 gadodiamide [16] and 6/2007 gadopentetate dimeglumine [17]). However, the reduced incidences of NSF should not result in ignoring these safety measures. Therefore, at-risk patients with limited renal function should not receive MRI contrast agents in the high-risk group, since strict compliance with the guidelines have resulted in the reduction of cases of NSF.

**Pathophysiology**

In cases of NSF, intracutaneously circumscribed lesions occur which may exhibit confluence in a fully-developed disease pattern. Characteristically CD34+ spindle cells (histiocytes and fibrocytes) deposits are found within dense collagen bundles and mucin deposits forming these lesions [1, 12]. Here a significant accumulation of fibroblast-like

---

**Table 1** Approval of extracellular and liver specific contrast media in the USA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>contrast media</th>
<th>USA approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gadopentetate dimeglumine</td>
<td>Magnevist® 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadoteridol</td>
<td>ProHance® 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadodiamide</td>
<td>Omniscan® 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadoversetamide</td>
<td>Optiram® 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadobenate dimeglumine</td>
<td>Multihance® 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadoxetate disodium</td>
<td>Primovist®/Eovist® 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadobutrol</td>
<td>Gadovist®/Gadavist® 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Table 2 NSF cases and relative frequency.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>contrast media</th>
<th>NSF cases</th>
<th>contrast media examinations global</th>
<th>NSF relative frequency (cases/1 million applications)</th>
<th>NSF cases (according to German SPC)</th>
<th>contraindication with eGFR &lt; 30 ml/min/1.73 m$^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EU global</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BfArM [39]</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>47 million</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>known yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMA [40]</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>115 million</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>known yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>manufacturer [53, 54, 56, 57]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadodiamide (Omniscan®)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt; 9 million</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>known yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadopentetate dimeglumine (Magnevist®)$^1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt; 14 million</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>known no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadoversetamide (Optimark®)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>known no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadobutrol (Gadovist®)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt; 6 million</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>known no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadoteridol (ProHance®)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt; 14 million</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>known no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadoteric acid (Dotarem®)$^2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt; 21 million</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>known no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadobenate dimeglumine (MultiHance®)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt; 11 million</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$ Magnevist® and generics.

$^2$ 9 years prior to administration of gadoteric acid, the patient received a dose of another unknown gadolinium-based contrast medium. The case has not been conclusively assessed.

$^3$ Table 2 was changed on February 18, 2014. The grey-scale value was incorrect.

order to release gadolinium from a macrocyclic chelate complex. Kinetic parameters at pH 1 are determined in order to characterize the stability of the macrocyclic contrast medium. Based on this, extrapolation under various assumptions is performed. A comparison is very difficult due to the variety of parameters used to properly assess the stability of a contrast medium [23].

Gadolinium can separate from its chelate if other ions surrounding its binding site are competitive. Due to their similar radius, primarily phosphate, calcium, iron or zinc play a role here [24]. Interestingly, patients with kidney failure and raised phosphate and calcium levels are particularly susceptible to NSF [25].

**Additional Elimination via the Liver**

Until now, the type of elimination of the contrast medium with respect to different excretion routes has received little attention. All gadolinium-based MRI contrast agents are exclusively eliminated via the kidney with the exception of gadobenate dimeglumine (MultiHance®) and gadoxetate disodium (Primovist®). As a rule, NSF is observed only in patients exhibiting fulminant renal impairment [4]. Approximately 10 % residual function (eGFR > 15 ml/min/1.73 m$^2$) appears to be sufficient to protect against NSF. Consequently, NSF occurs rarely among patients with eGFR above 15 ml/min/1.73 m$^2$ [26]. In a study including 83 121 patients, Prince et al. reported an NSF rate of 0.5 % in patients with eGFR between 15 and 30 ml/min/1.73 m$^2$ [27]. Due to impaired renal function, gadolinium is retained longer in the body which can consequently set in motion the negative mechanisms for the development of NSF. Gadolinium-based MRI contrast media which are additional eliminated via the liver should exhibit an advantage with respect to the risk of NSF [28], since the contrast medium has a second elimination path despite delayed or inhibited excretion by the kidney. So far there are two contrast agents partially excreted by the liver. The first is gadoxic acid which is excreted in approximately equal measure via the kidney and the hepatobiliary system. This contrast medium is used for MRI examination of the liver. Of the remaining gadolinium-based MRI contrast agents with a generally broad application...
spectrum, only gadobenate dimeglumine is additionally eliminated via the liver, but at a rate of only 5% [29]. A five percent elimination, converted, corresponds to an elimination (eGFR) of approx. 6 ml/min/1.73 m² via the kidney. This is not much for a healthy patient. However for patients at risk for NSF, this low elimination via the liver could play a significant role. Taking into account that a residual kidney function of about 10% (approx. 15 ml/min/1.73 m²) generally suffices to protect against NSF, the alternate elimination path of this contrast agent via the liver, corresponding to 6 ml/min/1.73 m² should not be ignored. Potentially this portion is higher in the case of a patient with renal insufficiency. Elimination of gadobenate dimeglumine and gadodextrate disodium by the liver potentially contributes to the fact that despite the linear structure of these two contrast agents, no cases of NSF are known where these agents are used exclusively. Thus, despite their linear structure, these agents are not identified as contraindicated contrast media with respect to impaired kidney function, and therefore NSF.

Lesion Development
The exact mechanisms by which released gadolinium results in NSF must still be explained in its entirety. Investigations by Sieber et al. [30–32] have conclusively demonstrated that the chelate does not trigger NSF, but rather gadolinium. Interestingly, not only macrocytic contrast agents did well with respect to the reduced frequency of NSF-like lesions. Contrast media additionally excreted via the liver also exhibited reduced gadolinium deposits in the skin [33]. However, it should additionally be considered that a rat exhibits higher hepatobiliary excretion of gadolinium-based contrast media than a human (gadobenate dimeglumine: >30%, gadodextrate disodium: >70% biliary excretion) [34, 35].

Under physiological conditions, fibroblasts play a special role in scarring and wound healing [36]. Fibroblast activity is triggered by numerous non-specific events occurring within the context of injury and trauma to tissue. It is assumed that circulating fibrocytes are attracted by the toxic effects of free gadolinium. This is evidenced by the fact that free gadolinium can be demonstrated in the skin lesions of NSF patients [18]. By itself, however, gadolinium does not result in NSF. It is likewise known that NSF can occur among patients who have not received gadolinium-based contrast media [37]. In this respect gadolinium appears to play a role as trigger. In addition to released gadolinium, other factors may be influential, such as electrolyte imbalances, inflammation reactions, acidic metabolic status and endothelial damage as well as thromboses. Some of these processes such as inflammations and related local acidoses or an acidic total metabolic situation with a non-inflammatory genesis and electrolyte imbalances can, due to the change in pH value, lead to an alteration of the stability of the gadolinium chelate. It can therefore be presumed that the risk of developing NSF increases as these factors cumulate.

In summary it can be stated that the current model of NSF development is based on the assumption that local intracutaneous free gadolinium results in the release of osteopoetin by fibroblasts. Osteopoetin causes migration of macrophages which in turn absorb gadolinium intracellularly, and based on this defense mechanism, they release cyto-

kines which attract fibroblasts that mature intracutaneously into fibrocytes locally and take on the phenotypes of spindle cells. In terms of faulty scar formation, these spindle cells form collagen fibers and hyaluronic acid while additionally releasing matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors such that the formed extracellular matrix cannot be sufficiently reduced. Numerous factors contribute to the development of NSF. These include: substantially impaired kidney function which reduces the excretion of the gadolinium chelate while the attendant acidosis limits the stability of the chela, as well as other factors such as inflammation reactions, blood vessel damage and electrolyte imbalances.

Current NSF Figures
Uncertainty in Correlating NSF Cases with individual Contrast Media
A number of publications with case histories have appeared since the discovery in 2006 of the correlation between occurrences of NSF with the administration of gadolinium-based contrast media. Without going into detail regarding their sources, Yang et al. in 2012 cited almost 1200 cases that had been reported to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [38]. However, it is difficult to make an unequivocal correlation of the cases to specific contrast agents, since the patients were frequently administered several agents within a short period, and the documentation with respect to the contrast media administered is incomplete, especially prior to 2006. NSF cases have been reported in numerous publications and databases, but not all have been uniquely associated with a specific contrast agent and/or have not been verified by biopsy. Further, there are double-counted cases, if a patient has received two or more different contrast agents, as well as inclusion of patients who have not been verified as having NSF. For these reasons we decided to compile for our review article only those cases which exhibit a definite association with a specific gadolinium-based contrast medium (so-called “unconfounded” cases) and which, to the extent possible, can also be histologically classified as NSF by means of a biopsy. Resources used were the databases of the EMA and BfArM as well as information provided by the contrast media manufacturers; for reasons identified above, we deliberately did not rely on other databases as well as reference sources.

Overview of “unconfounded” NSF Cases
On its web site, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) has published the number of worldwide known and verified cases for the various contrast agents. In a similar form, the BfArM worked this up for cases in the EU [39]. In addition, the various manufacturers have disclosed known cases related to their contrast media on their respective web sites or in other publicly-accessible publications (Table 2). For reasons already indicated, we have limited ourselves to these three official sources when representing the current numbers of NSF cases and have consciously not relied on cases mentioned in publications.

In order to weight these figures properly, the number of NSF cases must be juxtaposed against the number of examinations performed with the respective gadolinium chelate. If one divides the number of verified NSF cases by the number...
of applied doses, the result is a risk profile of the individual contrast media, which produces expected as well as some unexpected results. Thus, according to this distribution based on relative frequency, gadolinium-based contrast media can be divided into two classes. According to this gadodiamide forms the highest risk class (approx. 9 cases/1 million applications, dark grey), whereas all other contrast agents fall within a range of approx. 1 case/1 million applications or fewer. In principle this second class can be further subdivided: (a) contrast media in the range of approx. 0.1 – 1 case/1 million applications (medium grey) and (b) contrast media exhibiting a range of less than 0.1 case/1 million applications (gadoteridol, gadoteric acid, gadobenate dimeglumine) (light grey). However, one should keep in mind that the absolute number of NSF cases is low; therefore statistically reliable statements regarding the risk potential of the contrast agents are not possible, especially with respect to the non-high-risk contrast agents. It should be further noted that since 2007, gadodiamide, gadopentetate dimeglumine as well as their generics and gadoversetamide have been contraindicated for patients with impaired renal function (eGFR < 30 ml/min/1.73 m²), and are no longer used for patients with this risk profile. Due to low utilization as well as its limitation to liver imaging, it did not appear to be useful to include gadoxetate disodium (0.15 million applications 2010 [40]) in the table of contrast agents. Dosage minimization is considered an important element in the prevention of NSF. When using gadobenate dimeglumine, there is the possibility to reduce the administered gadolinium dose without having to sacrifice important image information. This is due to its highest relaxivity (gadolinium effectiveness per molecule) of all extracellular standard contrast media [41 – 43]. Thus the proportion of gadolinium can be reduced by up to half (0.05 mmol/kg BW), depending upon the medical issue and indications. This was also demonstrated in 2012 by the ESUR in the newly published Version 8.1 of its guidelines [44]. In the case of gadoxetate disodium, the applied dosage is significantly lower (0.025 mmol/kg BW) since it is indicated exclusively for liver imaging. Currently gadobutrol is available only as a 1.0 molar solution and is therefore a double concentration compared to other common contrast agents which contain a concentration of 0.5 mole per liter. If the higher concentration is not taken into account, unintentional excess dosage may occur if equal volumes are administered compared to other agents (i.e. 0.2 mmol/kg BW instead of 0.1 mmol/kg BW). This relative overdose could induce cases of NSF. Due to legal requirements, known cases of NSF are listed in the Summary of Product Characteristics (SPC) of the respective individual contrast agents.

Current Guidelines issued by Regulators and Professional Societies

European Medicines Agency (EMA)

In 2010 the CHMP (Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use) of the European Medicines Agency (EMA) divided gadolinium-based contrast agents into three groups [40], based solely on their thermodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties. According to this assessment, contrast agents are classified as high, medium and low-risk with respect to NSF development (Table 3).

High-risk contrast media are gadopentetate dimeglumine, gadodiamide and gadoversetamide. Contraindications apply to these media for special risk groups (including eGFR < 30 ml/min/1.73 m²).

Almost identical warnings are contained in the SPC for medium-risk contrast agents (gadobenate dimeglumine, gadoxetate disodium, gadofosveset trinatrium [in the USA Ablavar® and earlier Vasovist® in the EU]) as well as low-risk agents (gadoteric acid, gadobutrol, gadoteridol).

The high-risk category includes linear non-ionic contrast media (gadoversetamide and gadodiamide) as well as the linear ionic medium, gadopentetate dimeglumine. It can be easily seen here that the EMA classification is not stringently based on the thermodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties of the various contrast media. In the USA on the other hand, the American College of Radiology (ACR) [45] proposed a modified classification of gadolinium-based contrast media reflecting the number of cases of NSF.

Food and Drug administration (FDA)

In the United States, the FDA has issued warnings and contraindications for certain contrast agents. Information regarding the correlation between gadolinium-based contrast media and the etiology of NSF has been disseminated globally. Contraindica-

Table 3 European Medicines Agency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>risk group</th>
<th>product</th>
<th>molarity [40]</th>
<th>chem. structure</th>
<th>action in EU 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>Gadodiamide (Omniscan®)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>linear non-ionic</td>
<td>contraindication:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>eGFR &lt; 30 ml/min/1.73 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– hepato-renal syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– newborn to 4th week caution:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– eGFR &lt; 60 ml/min/1.73 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– children &lt; 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– no breast-feeding 24 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>renal function test mandatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium</td>
<td>Gadoxetate disodium (Primovist®)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>linear ionic</td>
<td>caution:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>eGFR &lt; 30 ml/min/1.73 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>renal function test mandatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td>Gadoteridol (ProHance®)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>macroyclic non-ionic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gadobutrol (Gadovist®)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gadoteric acid (Dotarem®)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>macroyclic ionic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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tions have been established for gadodiamide, gadoversetamide as well as gadopentetate dimeglumine for patients with an eGFR < 30 ml/min/1.73 m². However, the FDA did not set concrete guidelines for the administration of gadolinium-based contrast agents.

**American College of Radiology (ACR)**
The ACR sought to fill this gap and issued recommendations for using gadolinium-based contrast media [45]. The guidelines are not founded on the characteristics of the contrast agents, but rather on the number of NSF cases in relation to the frequency of agent administration. The result is the following groups: gadopentetate dimeglumine, gadodiamide, gadoversetamide belong to Group I “Agents associated with the greatest number of NSF cases;” whereas gadoteric acid, gadobutrol, gadobenate dimeglumine and gadoteridol were included in Group II, “Agents associated with few, if any, unconfounded cases of NSF.”

Due to the low number of applications, no valid basis for of classification was found for a third group which includes gadofosveset trinatrium and gadoxetate disodium. In principle, the classifications by the CHMP and ACR do not essentially differ. Gadodiamide, gadoversetamide and gadopentetate dimeglumine are contraindicated in both the USA and EU for certain risk patients (including renal insufficiency), whereas all other contrast media (cyclic and linear), including gadobenate dimeglumine and gadoxetate disodium only require a warning regarding this patient group. New are the ESUR guidelines which likewise support this two-part classification.

**European Society of Urogenital Radiology (ESUR) guidelines**
The ESUR has long concerned itself with the issue of contrast agents, both iodine- and gadolinium-based, and has issued extensive guidelines for the administration of these agents. Recently these guidelines were updated and are available as Version 8.1 [46].

The ESUR likewise proceeds from a classification of contrast media into two groups [47]. As is the case with the guidelines of the EMA, FDA and ACR, the agents gadopentetate dimeglumine, gadodiamide and gadoversetamide are assigned to the high-risk group for which there are contraindications for patients with an eGFR < 30 ml/min/1.73 m². For all additional contrast media (linear as well as cyclic) identical recommendations apply. Table 4 contains a list of these recommendations.

Recommendations for utilization of gadolinium-based contrast agents are also provided for pregnant woman and newborns. Contraindications exist for use of substances of the high-risk group for both patient groups. After administration of one of these contrast agents, breast feeding should be discontinued for 24 hours. In the event of strong indications, it is possible to use one of the non-contraindicated contrast media (gadoteric acid, gadobutrol, gadobenate dimeglumine, gadoteridol). When these agents are used, interruption of breast feeding is not recommended, but should weighed in discussion with the physician.

An additional frequently asked question concerns the administration of gadolinium-based contrast media to dialysis patients. The recommendations are unequivocal in this instance. If administration of a contrast agent is strongly indicated, it should be given as close as possible to the next dialysis session. There is no data to-date supporting dialysis performed directly after contrast agent administration as a protective measure. There is no recommendation to perform dialysis on a patient with severe renal impairment not otherwise requiring dialysis [47]. Both hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis are suitable for removing contrast agents from the body, although hemodialysis is more effective. After one dialysis session, approx. 70 % of the contrast medium is eliminated, > 90 % after the second, and 98 % after the third treatment [48].

An additional important aspect is discussed by the ACR. Patients with acute renal failure also belong in the group of patients at risk for developing NSF [45, 49, 50]. Since there is a temporal disconnect between the occurrence of acute renal failure and determination of serum creatinine values and thus eGFR values, particular attention should be paid to patients with known or suspected kidney failure, independent of measured serum creatinine or eGFR. In this case contrast agents should be administered only in the event or urgent indications, and no agent in the high-risk groups should be administered.

Particular attention should also be given to patients with total renal failure without residual elimination. Since with these patients no elimination of the gadolinium-based contrast medium can be expected, and since no further kidney damage can occur as a result of an iodine-based agent, computed tomography with an iodine-based medium should be preferred over an MRI with a contrast agent, assuming the result will clarify clinical questions [45]. There is an extremely low risk of developing NSF for patients whose eGFR lies above 30 ml/min/1.73 m². However, since a risk still exists, the lowest possible contrast medium dose should be administered here. It should be noted, however, that for the contrast agents of the high-risk group (Table 3), a warning applies to patients with moderate renal impairment (eGFR < 60 ml/min/1.73 m²); therefore these agents should be used only after a careful risk-benefit analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Table 4</strong> ESUR Guidelines.</th>
<th><strong>Table 4</strong> ESUR Guidelines.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>high-risk Contrast Media</strong></td>
<td><strong>high-risk Contrast Media</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- contraindication for CKD 4 and 5 (eGFR &lt; 30 ml/min/1.73 m²)</td>
<td>- contraindication for CKD 4 and 5 (eGFR &lt; 30 ml/min/1.73 m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- contraindication for newborns and pregnant women</td>
<td>- contraindication for newborns and pregnant women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- caution with patients with CKD 3 (eGFR 30 – 60 ml/min/1.73 m²)</td>
<td>- caution with patients with CKD 3 (eGFR 30 – 60 ml/min/1.73 m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- caution with children under 1 year</td>
<td>- caution with children under 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- nursing mothers should interrupt nursing 24 h</td>
<td>- nursing mothers should interrupt nursing 24 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- eGFR analysis mandatory before administration of contrast media</td>
<td>- eGFR analysis mandatory before administration of contrast media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- dose should never lie above 0.1 mmol/kg BW</td>
<td>- dose should never lie above 0.1 mmol/kg BW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>medium and low-risk Group</strong></td>
<td><strong>medium and low-risk Group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- caution with patients with CKD 4 and 5 (eGFR &lt; 30 ml/min/1.73 m²), as well as dialysis patients, at least 7 days between 2 injections</td>
<td>- caution with patients with CKD 4 and 5 (eGFR &lt; 30 ml/min/1.73 m²), as well as dialysis patients, at least 7 days between 2 injections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- may be used on pregnant women in order to obtain essential information</td>
<td>- may be used on pregnant women in order to obtain essential information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- nursing mothers should discuss discontinuation of nursing for 24 h with physician</td>
<td>- nursing mothers should discuss discontinuation of nursing for 24 h with physician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- eGFR analysis prior to administration of contrast agent not required Assessment of renal function using questionnaire sufficient</td>
<td>- eGFR analysis prior to administration of contrast agent not required Assessment of renal function using questionnaire sufficient</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following applies in general to all patients:
- never deny a patient an examination with a contrast agent if there are sufficient indications
- use the lowest required dosage
- always document the dose and contrast agent
European non-EU Countries (Switzerland as example)

Several European non-EU countries concur with the recommendations. Thus, for example, in Switzerland the EMA guidelines were practically implemented. Swissmedic published a relevant document “Safety-related Information on Gadolinium-based Contrast Media” [51]. In addition, changes were made to the Summary of Product Characteristics for all gadolinium-based contrast media in Switzerland, similar to the procedure in the USA.

Recommendations for Utilization of Gadolinium-based Contrast Media in the Clinical Practice

Recommendations can be derived from the above-mentioned publications, studies and their resulting guidelines. Renal function notwithstanding, the use of contrast agents should always be carefully weighed. During their administration, the lowest possible dose required to obtain the required diagnostic result should be utilized. The following procedure can be recommended, depending upon renal function as measured by eGFR.

1. If the patient has impaired renal function (eGFR < 60 ml/min/1.73 m²), a contrast medium in the high-risk group (Table 3) should be avoided.

2. If the eGFR value for a patient is below 30 ml/min/1.73 m², no high-risk contrast medium may be used. However, a contrast-enhanced MRI examination should be preferred over a contrast-enhanced CT examination, since the risk of NSF in this group is less than the risk of CIN.

3. In the case of high-grade renal function impairment (eGFR < 15 ml/min/1.73 m²), two decisions must be made:
   1. Residual diuresis
      - Utilization only in the event of vital indication
      - Administration of contrast agent only from the medium and low-risk groups (Table 3)
   2. No residual diuresis
      - CT examination with contrast medium preferred, if possible for the relevant issue

Since patients without residual diuresis no longer have kidney function, there is no risk of contrast agent-induced nephropathy, and these patients can undergo a contrast-enhanced CT examination.

Summary

What should be done?

Apart from the still unclarified pathomechanism of NSF, the number of cases shows that the majority of NSF cases have occurred after the administration of those gadolinium-based contrast media contraindicated for patients with severe renal insufficiency (eGFR < 30 ml/min/1.73 m²) (Table 3). In individual instances, NSF has been observed after administration of solely macrocyclic contrast agents [52]. Therefore, the use of macrocyclic contrast agents does not diminish the duty of care to act in accordance with the warnings of the SPC. The additional biliary elimination as well as the lower possible dosages of gadobenate dimeglumine and gadodextrate disodium presumably contribute to the safety of both of these contrast agents since to-date no unconfounded NSF cases have occurred after their use. Almost all cases involving non-contraindicated contrast agents are associated with high dosages (single or cumulative). Numerous professional societies (e.g. ACR, ESUR) [45] recommend therefore, that at-risk patients be administered the lowest possible effective dose of gadolinium. This also concurs with the recommendations of the EMA [40]. However, it should be ensured in clinical practice that dosage is not incorrectly based on volume, but rather calculated based on gadolinium quantity in mmol, since some contrast media are not offered in the usual 0.5 M concentration (Table 3). Further, the relativity of the respective contrast agent should be noted. Compared to contrast agents with lower relativity, agents with higher relativity can be administered in smaller doses, so that the administered quantity of gadolinium can be significantly reduced while achieving the same image quality. Contrast agents in the high-risk group (gadopentetate dimeglumine, gadodiamide and gadoversetamide) may not be used on pregnant women and newborns; nursing must be interrupted for 24 hours after administration of these products. It is possible to use one of the non-contraindicated contrast media (gadoteric acid, gadobutrol, gadobenate dimeglumine, gadoteridol) if clearly needed. After administration of one of these agents, it should be discussed with the patient whether she would like to stop breast feeding for 24 hours. Nevertheless it is important to keep in mind that NSF is currently a disease that very rarely occurs. If one counts all known unconfounded cases of NSF occurring with non-contraindicated contrast media as well as combination cases (confounded) using these agents exclusively, there are fewer than 10 NSF cases per 50 million applications worldwide. The number of cases of patients with NSF who have not received a high dose (single or cumulative), but rather a standard dose (≤0.1 mmol/kg BW), is much lower. Despite the risk of NSF, it can still be maintained that contrast-enhanced MRI represents a safe diagnostic procedure which, if ESUR guidelines are followed, can also be reliably and safely used for patients with severe renal insufficiency and which does not necessarily have to be substituted by other procedures.

Frequently Asked Questions and Answers

a) Does an eGFR assessment have to be performed for every patient?
   Recommended but not mandatory, unless one of the contrast agents belongs to the high-risk group contraindicated for at-risk patients (Table 3).

b) May a contrast-enhanced MRI be performed at all on patients with eGFR values below 30 ml/min/1.73 m²?
   Yes, however, possibilities of alternative imaging methods as well as native MR should be investigated and consideration given to whether there is a clear need for a contrast-enhanced examination; contrast media belonging to the medium or low-risk groups must be used. The gadolinium dose should be as low as possible (Table 3).

c) According to applicable guidelines and recommendations, may only cyclic contrast agents be used with patients with eGFR values below 30 ml/min/1.73 m²?
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No. All non-contraindicated contrast agents (Table 3) may be administered at the lowest possible gadolinium dosage after careful determination of indications and risk assessment.

d) What should be noted during examinations of patients with eGFR below 30 ml/min/1.73 m²?
Use the lowest possible gadolinium dose (caution: not ml), no application greater than via 0.1 mmol/kg BW. Repeat examinations should be performed only if clearly indicated, and then at an interval in excess of 7 days. No administration of contraindicated contrast media (Table 3).

e) Are there absolutely safe contrast media with respect to NSF?
No. Even non-contraindicated contrast media pose a minimal risk.
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We apologize for a translation error in the English version of this article. The sentence “The result is the following groups: gadopentetate dimeglumine, gadodiamide, gadoversetamide belong to Group I “Agents associated with the greatest number of NSF cases; (...)” was corrected with the correct term “gadopentetate”.”