Vaginal Estrogen Therapy for Patients with Breast Cancer
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Abstract
On account of the good prognosis for patients with breast cancer, improving or maintaining the quality of life in the aftercare period is becoming more and more important. In particular, the increasing usage of aromatase inhibitors in the past few years has led to an increased incidence of vaginal atrophy with symptoms such as vaginal dryness, petechial bleeding, dyspareunia and recurrent cystitis. And just these symptoms have a detrimental impact on the quality of life of breast cancer patients. Application of a topical estrogen therapy represents the most effective means to treat vaginal atrophy. The use of a systemic or, respectively, topical hormone therapy is, however, contraindicated for breast cancer patients. Further clinical trials are needed in order to assess the safety of vaginal estrogen therapy.

Zusammenfassung

Introduction
The advances in the diagnosis of and therapy for breast cancer in the past few years have led to a declining mortality of breast cancer [1]. On account of the good prognosis for the disease, many patients suffer from long-term side effects that may be caused by operations, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, or endocrine therapy. Thus, improvement or, respectively, maintenance of quality of life is becoming increasingly important in the aftercare of breast cancer patients. The increasing wish for treatment of climacteric complaints is shown in Fig. 1.

About 75% of climacteric patients experience menopausal complaints such as hot flushes, sleeping disorders, decline in libido and vaginal atrophy. The average age of onset of breast cancer is about 62 years, thus most patients are in the postmenopause at the first diagnosis of breast cancer. The majority of these patients suffer from hormone receptor-positive breast cancer and thus receive an adjuvant endocrine therapy with tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitors (AI). In many of the cases these therapeutic options lead to an increasing rate of estrogen deficiency symptoms [2]. And just the increasing usage of AIs in postmenopausal breast cancer patients leads to an increase of vaginal atrophy with symptoms such as vaginal dryness, petechial bleeding, dyspareunia and recurrent cystitis [3]. In a retrospective evaluation of the Swedish cancer register, a significant number of severe vaginal atrophies were found among users of AIs as compared with patients under tamoxifen therapy (33.3 vs. 5.95%) [4]. These results can also be reconstructed in older study evaluations [5,6]. After an appropriate check for contraindications and oncological...
safety, a switch from endocrine therapy to tamoxifen may be discussed with the respective patients [7]. The symptoms of vaginal atrophy have a severe detrimental effect on the quality of life of breast cancer patients and it is estimated that up to 20% of all patients do actually terminate or consider terminating their adjuvant endocrine therapy for this reason [8]. The most effective treatment for vaginal atrophy comprises the use of a topically applied estrogen therapy [9]. However, the use of not only systemic but also topical hormone therapy (HT) is contraindicated for breast cancer patients [10, 11]. In the following paragraphs the current data situation on the use of hormone-containing, topically applied formulations by breast cancer patients is presented and possible alternatives are discussed.

**Diagnosis of Vaginal Atrophy**

When serum estradiol level decreases below 73 pmol/l postmenopausal patients can develop a clinically relevant vaginal atrophy. An exact knowledge of the symptoms of vaginal atrophy is necessary in order to make a rapid and targeted diagnosis. The diagnosis of vaginal atrophy is generally made in the course of a gynaecological examination. Here, attention should be paid first of all to dry vaginal mucous membranes and possible petechial haemorrhages or, respectively, bleeding on contact. Patients often report on accompanying dyspareunia, itching and burning sensations. Furthermore, breakage of collagenous reinforcement fibres in the vaginal epithelium can lead to a loss of vaginal membranous folds, the so-called rugae of the vagina.

An increase of the vaginal pH value to a basic value > 5 can be an indication for a vaginal infection caused by reduced colonisation of the vagina by lactobacilli. A summary of the clinical symptoms of vaginal atrophy is given in Table 1.

Due to the close embryological relationship of the female urinary bladder and urethra with the vaginal system, they all have a high density of estrogen receptors. This can lead to recurrent cystitis and prolapse complaints due to a postmenopausal or therapy-induced decline of the serum estradiol level.

As supplement to clinical diagnostics, the vaginal maturation index (VMI) has proved its value as a standard score in the cytological diagnosis of vaginal atrophy. The VMI describes the relative ratio of parabasal and intermediate cells to superficial cells in the vaginal epithelium. A proportion of > 15% superficial cells is defined as a physiological finding. In postmenopausal patients values of less than 5% can be found.

Many patients are not aware of the relationship between postmenopausal estrogen deficiency and vaginal atrophy. Only few patients seek consultations with their gynaecologist about treatment options. Upon diagnosis of vaginal atrophy in the course of gynaecological examinations, the patients should be informed about the symptoms and their treatment options by their gynaecologist. The initiation of a local HT depends on the degree of distress of the individual patient.

An algorithm for the clinical diagnosis of vaginal atrophy is presented in Table 2.

**Breast Cancer Risk due to HT**

The usage of HT increases the risk of developing breast cancer. The increased risk becomes apparent after duration of use of 5 years or more. In the past few years the relationship between HT and breast cancer has been mostly investigated in observational trials. These have come to differing assessments of the risks of...
a purely estrogen therapy (ET) or, respectively, of a combination therapy with estrogens and progesterone (EPT). These studies showed that EPT increases the risk more than ET does. Furthermore, the relatively low risk increase due to ET is apparent only after a markedly longer period of use (> 7–10 years).

In this context, special mention must be made of the results of the Women’s Health Initiative (WHI) study. Altogether 16 608 women aged from 50 to 79 years participated in the trial. After 5.2 years the study was prematurely terminated since the combined HT had increased the risk of coronary heart diseases, thromboembolic events and breast cancer. For EPT use of 5 or more years duration, the absolute risk in the WHI trial amounted to 8 additional breast cancers per 10 000 women/year [12]. Subsequent investigations of the WHI post intervention phase additionally revealed an increased mortality due to breast cancer. In the follow-up observation group of 12 788 patients there were 25 deaths due to breast cancer per year in comparison to 12 deaths in the control group treated with placebo [13]. More recent evaluations of the WHI data show that use of EPT for less than 5 years can also lead to an increase of the breast cancer risk [14]. The breast cancer risk under and after ET with an average duration of use of 5.9 years is lower [15]. This effect is significant and differs from data collected in observational trials. Several further meta-analyses, cohort studies and randomised trials have demonstrated an increased risk of breast cancer after EPT [16–19].

**HT after Breast Cancer**

The relative risk (RR) for a breast cancer recurrence due to the use of HT was examined in a systematic review by Col et al. [20]. Altogether 11 trials with 669 breast cancer patients who had received HT were analysed. Merely 4 of the 11 trials included a control group and in these no significantly increased recurrence rate could be detected. The yearly recurrence rate amounted to 4.2% after an observation period of 30 months. A recurrence of breast cancer was diagnosed in 17 patients (8%) under HT in comparison to 66 patients (11%) without HT (RR 0.64). Taken together, in a total of 11 analysed studies (7 uncontrolled trials) on 669 breast cancer patients with HT there was no significant increase in the recurrence of breast cancer with an RR of 0.82 [20]. The case numbers in these studies were, however, too small to enable an unambiguous conclusion to be drawn about the safety of HT in this patient collective.

The effects of systemic HT after breast cancer disease were examined in 2 independent, prospective randomised trials. In the HABITS trial (Hormonal Replacement Therapy after Breast Cancer – Is it Safe?) 434 patients aged from 40 to 75 years were enrolled. The study had to be terminated in 2003 due to an increased risk of breast cancer recurrence with a hazard ratio (HR) of 3.3 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.5–7.4) [21]. Altogether in 26 of 219 patients in the HT group and in 8 of 215 patients in the control group there was a recurrence of the known breast cancer. In contrast to this, in the Stockholm study no significantly increased recurrence risk among 378 postmenopausal breast cancer patients could be detected (HR: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.35–19) [22]. Despite the higher proportion of lymph node-positive breast cancer patients in the HABITS trial, HT is contraindicated for patients after breast cancer.

On the other hand, the use of HT in patients with hormone receptor-negative breast cancer seems to be quite safe. In an unplanned subgroup analysis of the HABITS trial no increase in the recurrence rate could be detected [21]. Also in the WHI trial no increase in the recurrence rate for hormone receptor-negative breast cancers could be detected [12]. Even so, patients with hormone receptor-negative breast cancers should be treated analogously to those with hormone receptor-positive breast cancers on account of the inadequate data situation for an evaluation of the oncological safety [10].

Up to publication of the LIBERATE trial (Livial intervention following breast cancer: efficacy, recurrence and tolerability endpoints), tibolone, a synthetically produced steroid possessing estrogen, gestagen and androgen activities, was considered to be a potential low-risk therapeutic option for vasomotoric complaints in relation to HT. Although in the LIBERATE trial it could be shown that the use of tibolone (2.5 mg) led to a significant improvement in vasomotoric complaints and bone density, 237 of 1556 patients on the other hand suffered from a recurrence of the previously known breast cancer, in comparison to 165 cases of recurrence among 1542 patients in the placebo group (HR 1.40; 95% CI: 1.14–1.70) [23]. Thus, as a consequence of the consumption of tibolone the recurrence rate was increased by 40% and the incidence of metastases by 38%. These data correspond with the results of subgroup analyses of the One Million Women Study and the HABITS trial in which similar data were gathered. The use of tibolone thus cannot be recommended for patients with breast cancer.

**Topical Oestrogen Therapy for Vaginal Atrophy**

Topical ET is a widespread and common routine treatment option for patients with vaginal atrophy. Several meta-analyses have shown that, independently of its application form, topical ET can improve the signs of vaginal atrophy and its symptoms [24, 25]. The use of topical ET, however, also makes possible the administration of lower estrogen dosages in comparison to systemic HT. It has been shown that a low-dose topical ET has the same efficacy as a systemic administration [25]. Thus, in cases with a sole indication for the treatment of vaginal atrophy, a topical vaginal ET should be preferred to a systemic therapy. This is also reflected in the current recommendations of the German S3 guidelines as well as in a position paper of the North American Menopause Society [10, 25, 26]. Estradiol-, estriol- and estrone-containing formulations are available in Germany for topical ET. With regard to systemic estradiol absorption, upon application of a vaginal tablet with 25 µg estradiol a merely minor systemic absorption at a still low serum estradiol level of < 14 pg/mL could be detected [27]. On comparison of an estradiol liberating vaginal ring with estradiol-containing vaginal tablets also only a minimal increase of the serum estradiol level could be recorded. On comparison of the two forms of administration no significant differences can be found. In addition, it has been shown that no endometrial proliferation is to be expected on use of topical ET [28]. An additional administration of gestagens cannot be recommended on the basis of the currently available data.

Estril 30 is a short-working estrogen with low potency that is reversibly transformed into estradiol or estrone. The safety of estril with regard to endometrial hyperplasia as well as its therapeutic efficacy for vaginal atrophy is well known [29, 30]. In a prospective monocentric trial with 19 postmenopausal patients suffering from vaginal atrophy, the bioavailability of low-dose es-
treatment (0.03 mg) was recently examined. The patients received a once daily vaginal application of the trial medication for 21 days. Blood samples taken on days 1, 2, 6, 11, 16 and 22 were able to show an increase in the serum estriol concentration up to 42.1 pg/mL only during the first hour after application. Already after 12 hours the estriol concentration in all patients had fallen under the detection level of 5 pg/mL [31].

The efficacy of a fractionated estriol administration was already demonstrated in 48 postmenopausal patients in 2005. Through the fractionated application of an estriol-containing vaginal cream there was a rapid and significant improvement of the atrophic vaginitis with a reduction of the pH value and an increase of the VMI. The fractionated administration consisted in the 1st week of 2 applications at intervals of 28 hours. In the weeks 2–4 the application interval amounted to 3 or, respectively, 4 days (application 2 times per week) [32]. In the daily routine the use of topical estriol in the form of a once daily application for the first 3–4 treatment weeks followed by a maintenance therapy of 2–3 applications per week has become established.

A summary of the formulations for topical HT currently available in Germany is given in Table 3.

Quality of Life

Due to the markedly better therapeutic options and a decreased mortality of breast cancer, an exact sexual case history is becoming more and more important in the aftercare period in order to detect therapy-related symptoms of vaginal atrophy and the thus accompanying decrease in quality of life. It is estimated that about 25% of the afflicted patients do not inform their responsible physician about the symptoms of urogenital atrophy. In addition, about 70% of the afflicted patients report that they had not been questioned about the symptoms of vaginal atrophy such as pruritus, burning sensation and dyspareunia [33]. Estrogens play a decisive role in modulating the haemodynamic processes of the sexual reaction. An estrogen deficit leads to, beside a reduction in size of the labia majora and minora, a decline in the clitoral tumescence and inadequate vaginal lubrication. In up to 20% of the afflicted women this leads to a deterioration of clitoral sensitivity and in up to 50% of them to dyspareunia [34]. Sexually active, postmenopausal breast cancer patients thus often complain about a severe impairment of their quality of life and their partnership relations.

Vaginal ET after Breast Cancer

Because of the possible systemic absorption and an eventually increased probability of recurrences the use of topical HT in breast cancer patients has been a subject of controversial discussion for some years.

The increased use of AIs in endocrine therapy for breast cancer has led to a markedly increased rate of vaginal atrophy and decline in quality of life. In contrast to endocrine therapy with tamoxifen, the production of estriol is inhibited by the use of AIs. In the serum of these patients you can find estriol levels that have dropped to as little as 3 pmol/L.

The use of topical HT in breast cancer patients has been investigated in only a few clinical trials.

In a small, prospective randomised study of 6 postmenopausal breast cancer patients under endocrine therapy with AI, Kendall et al. found a significant increase in the serum estradiol level from ≤ 5 pmol/L to 72 pmol/L upon use of low-dosed estradiol-containing vaginal tablets (25 µg) for 2 weeks [35]. However, after use for 4 weeks the serum estradiol levels in most of the thus treated patients dropped again to levels of < 35 pmol/L. This result was confirmed by Wills et al. in a recently published study with a similar design. In this study 24 breast cancer patients with symptomatic vaginal atrophy under AI or, respectively, tamoxifen therapy received a topical HT with estradiol-containing vaginal tablets (25 µg) or, respectively, an estradiol-containing vaginal ring. Significant increases in the serum estradiol levels were observed in both groups [36]. These trial results clearly illustrate that a systemic absorption by the still highly vulnerable vaginal mucous membranes is possible just in the first weeks of use of topical HT. The thus resulting increase in the serum estrogen levels can lead to an increased risk of recurrence. In contrast, Dew et al. in a retrospective cohort study on the use of low-dose estradiol-containing vaginal tablets or an estril cream with an average follow-up of 5.5 years did not find an increased risk of recurrence. In the study group comprising a collective of 1472 breast cancer patients, 69 (4.7%) were mainly afflicted with a clinically symptomatic vaginal atrophy. In these patients vaginal ET in the form of an estril cream (0.5 mg) or estradiol vaginal tablets (25 µg) were used. In the group of patients using vaginal ET a significant difference in the risk of recurrence compared with the control group could not be demonstrated [37].

With estril a hormone-containing, short-acting topical therapy for vaginal atrophy is available which cannot be metabolised into more potent estrogens such as estradiol or estrone. The rapid alleviation of complaints due to estrogen deficiency by use of topical estril therapy is well known [38]. Just recently it was shown in 436 patients that the same efficacy and safety could be achieved with very low-dose estril formulations (0.03 mg) [39].

In a prospective, randomised study of 10 postmenopausal breast cancer patients under endocrine therapy with AI it was found that the daily use of 0.5 mg estril for 2 weeks did not result in

---

Table 3  Formulations for topical HT in Germany (source: Rote Liste®, data as of 07/13).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oestriol preparations</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Vaginal application form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cordes® Estril</td>
<td>0.5 mg</td>
<td>cream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estriol-Dvulum Fem</td>
<td>0.3 mg</td>
<td>suppositories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenapharm®</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gynolfilm®</td>
<td>0.03 mg + 50 mg</td>
<td>tablets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus acidophilus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oekolp®</td>
<td>1 mg</td>
<td>cream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oekolp® Ovula forte</td>
<td>0.5 mg</td>
<td>pessaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oekolp® forte</td>
<td>0.5 mg</td>
<td>suppositories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oekolp® forte Kombi</td>
<td>0.5 mg/1 mg</td>
<td>suppositories/cream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oestro-Gynaedron® M</td>
<td>50 mg/100 mg dosing by means of an applicator</td>
<td>cream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortho-Gynest®</td>
<td>0.5 mg</td>
<td>suppositories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovestin®</td>
<td>0.5 mg</td>
<td>pessaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovestin®</td>
<td>1 mg</td>
<td>cream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xapris®</td>
<td>1 mg</td>
<td>cream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estrin®</td>
<td>2 mg (7.5 µg/24 h)</td>
<td>ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linoladiol® HN</td>
<td>5 mg/100 g + 0.4 g prednisolone</td>
<td>cream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linoladiol® N</td>
<td>10 mg/100 g</td>
<td>cream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vagifem®</td>
<td>25 µg</td>
<td>tablets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
elevated serum levels of estriol or estradiol [40]. The efficacy of a lower dosed estriol cream (0.25 mg) in comparison to estradiol-containing vaginal tablets and non-hormonal lubricants was examined in a small study with 18 breast cancer patients. An equally significant improvement of the clinical symptoms was achieved with the low-dose estriol therapy in comparison to estradiol therapy. The use of a non-hormonal preparation led merely to a short-term improvement of the symptoms. However, serum estrogen levels were not determined [41]. In some earlier studies on postmenopausal patients, however, increases of the serum estriol concentrations to values between 100 and 150 pg/mL were observed within 2 hours after use of 0.5 mg of estriol. An elevation of the serum estradiol level could not be detected [42]. We recently presented the data from a bicentric phase I study on the efficacy and safety of an ultralow vaginal estradiol administration (0.03 mg) in breast cancer patients with symptomatic vaginal atrophy under AI therapy. In all, 16 breast cancer patients were treated daily for 28 days with topical applications of estradiol. This was followed by a maintenance therapy with 3 tablets per week for further 8 weeks. After the first application of estradiol no elevations of the estrone or estradiol serum levels were detected. The serum estradiol levels in 15 patients underwent a short-term elevation to a maximum value of 168 pg/mL. At the latest after 6 hours elevated estradiol levels were no longer detectable in any of the patients. Over a period of 12 weeks 15 of the patients did not exhibit any changes of their serum estradiol levels. In one of the patients after 4 weeks an increase to 14.4 pg/mL was measured. The estrone and estradiol values were not elevated at any time. The detailed results of this study will be published in the near future [43]. Thus, large, prospective randomised studies for a final concluding assessment of the safety vaginal ET in breast cancer patients is still lacking. With the currently available data not only the use of a systemic but also that of a topical HT in breast cancer patients is contraindicated. Even so, on the basis of the above-mentioned results from studies with ultralow dosed estriol, it can be assumed that this therapy is safe in spite of the lack of studies with the endpoint recurrence-free, or, respectively, total survival. However, it should only be used in cases with relevant impairments of quality of life due to vaginal atrophy and after appropriate informed consent discussions with the individual patient.

Androgens for Vaginal Atrophy

Beside the described estrogen receptors, vulva and vagina also possess androgen receptors. On the basis of recent data it can be assumed that estrogen receptors are important in the regulation of the number of androgen receptors in vaginal epithelium. The receptor density correlates well with the VMI and is lower in cases of vaginal atrophy [44]. However, only very few data are available on vaginal testosterone treatment after breast cancer. In a small phase I/II trial, 21 postmenopausal breast cancer patients under AI therapy with symptomatic vaginal atrophy were treated with a topical testosterone ointment in various doses for 28 days. A significant improvement of the atrophy complaints in the absence of elevated estradiol or estrone serum levels was observed [45]. Further results of prospective randomised trials on larger patient numbers are still lacking.

Alternative Non-Hormonal Treatment Options

Alternative treatment forms such as glide agents, lubricants, humectants or also topical anaesthetics are also available. Bygde-man and Swahn demonstrated significant improvements in vaginal dryness, pruritus and dyspareunia through the use of a non-hormonal vaginal ointment [46]. However, any improvement of symptoms achieved by these alternative methods is generally only of short duration. These treatment options can only be recommended for the short-term relief of symptoms but are not suitable to eliminate vaginal atrophy.

Conclusions

Topical HT is the means of choice for the treatment of clinically symptomatic vaginal atrophy. An elevation of the serum estrogen levels cannot be excluded with certainty on the basis of the currently available data. Thus, an unambiguous assessment of topical HT after breast cancer has been diagnosed cannot be made at present. As a result, the use of topical HT after the diagnosis of breast cancer has been made should only be initiated in cases where the patient experiences a high degree of distress with corresponding impairments in quality of life and after comprehensive consultations with the patient about the current state of knowledge and the fact that the possibility of an increased risk of recurrence cannot be excluded. When a topical hormone-containing therapy is used, an ultralow dosed formulation (e.g., estriol 0.03 mg) should be used whenever possible in the first few weeks. Further prospective randomised trials are needed to assess the safety of topical HT for breast cancer patients, especially in the light of the degree of distress and impairments in quality of life experienced by these patients.

Conflict of Interest

O. Ortman, S. Buchholz, S. Seitz and M. Moegele have received support for research from Medinova AG.

References


21 Grießer H, Skonietzki S, Fischer T et al. Low dose estradiol pessaries for the treatment of vaginal atrophy: a double-blind placebo-controlled trial investigating the efficacy of pessaries containing 0.2 mg and 0.03 mg estradiol. Maturitas 2012; 71: 360–368


