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Abstract: The organocatalytic asymmetric synthesis of functionalized 1,3,5-triarylpyrrolidin-2-ones bearing three contiguous stereocenters through an aza-Michael/aldol domino reaction of α-ketoamides with α,β-unsaturated aldehydes is described. The domino products were further derivatized by aldehyde olefination under one-pot conditions. The reaction proceeds with excellent diastereoselectivities (>20:1) and good to excellent enantioselectivities (60–96% ee).
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The polysubstituted pyrrolidin-2-one or γ-lactam core is a crucial structural feature of numerous pharmaceuticals and natural products of diverse complexity possessing various biological activities (Figure 1). Prominent examples are lactacystin (A), isolated from Streptomyces and acting against multiple cancer cell lines as a proteasome inhibitor; clausenamide (B), known as an anti-inflammatory and antidepressant agent; and cotinine (D), a metabolite of nicotine also used as an antidepressant. Even closer to the title compounds, 3,5-diarylpyrrolidin-2-ones have shown activity as neurokinin-1 (NK1) antagonists or as endothelin receptor antagonists. As a result, multiple synthetic pathways have been developed in order to access these nitrogen-containing five-membered heterocycles. Various diastereoselective syntheses of these polysubstituted pyrrolidin-2-ones have already been reported, whereas enantioselective methods are less developed.

The rapidly growing field of asymmetric organocatalysis has proved to be a powerful tool for the synthesis of chiral molecules and it has been widely applied in synthesis. Particularly, organocatalyzed domino reactions have shown their efficiency when it comes to the one-pot formation of several bonds yielding highly substituted compounds with excellent diastereo- and enantioselectivities. Secondary amine catalysts working via iminium or enamine activation of carbonyl compounds have been efficiently used in simple, triple, and quadruple cascade reactions.

The organocatalyzed aza-Michael addition has been frequently used in the asymmetric synthesis of nitrogen-containing molecules. Domino reactions with substrates that bear a nucleophilic nitrogen atom and electrophilic centers have been used to achieve the synthesis of heterocycles. α-Ketoamides have already been employed in organocatalysis, although the nucleophilicity of their nitrogen atom has not been exploited yet in an aza-Michael addition. Furthermore, these molecules also possess two electrophilic centers that make them ideal partners for the design of new cascade reactions.
We began by investigating the reaction of 2-oxo-N,N₂-di-phenylacetamide (1a), cinnamaldehyde (2a), and 20 mol% of the diphenylprolinol trimethylsilyl ether catalyst (S)-3a (Table 1). Although chloroform, dichloromethane, and toluene yielded mainly the condensation product 7 and its isomerized equivalent 8 (entries 1–3), ethanol, methanol, and dimethyl sulfoxide appeared to furnish the desired product 4. We realized that the domino product itself was not suitable for purification and analysis due to its relative instability. As a consequence, the aldehyde 4 was trapped in a one-pot fashion with 1.5 equivalents of the stabilized Wittig reagent 5 converting it into the more stable α,β-unsaturated ester 6.

Table 1  Optimization of the Reaction Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Ratio 1a:2a</th>
<th>Yield (%) of 6a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>–d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>CHCl₃</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>–d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>–d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3b</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3c</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3d</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>–d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1:1.5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.5:1</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were performed on a 0.33-mmol scale using the indicated ratio of 1a:2a, catalyst (20 mol%), solvent (1.5 mL), r.t., for the indicated time, followed by addition of the Wittig reagent (1.5 equiv) with reaction overnight.

b Time for the domino reaction before the introduction of the Wittig reagent.

c Isolated yield.

d A mixture of 7 and 8 was obtained.
After performing a short catalyst screening and an evaluation of the optimum reaction conditions, we concluded that the best results were obtained using 1.5 equivalents of the α-ketoamide 1a, 1 equivalent of the cinnamaldehyde 2a and 20 mol% of the catalyst 3a. The reaction was performed in 1.5 mL of ethanol for 4.5 hours at room temperature before the addition of 1.5 equivalents of the Wittig reagent (entry 15). A decrease in the catalyst loading gave lower yields, while increasing it gave no significant improvement; using a longer reaction time before the addition of the Wittig reagent also did not improve the outcome of the reaction (entry 11). Performing the reaction overnight yielded almost exclusively dehydrated products 7 and 8 (entry 12).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we explored the scope of the reaction. Initially, we varied the α,β-unsaturated aldehyde moiety 2 (Table 2). Although the yields show high variations (between 20 and 73%), the reaction tolerates electron-withdrawing as well as electron-donating groups giving products 6a–i with good to excellent enantioselectivities (entries 1–9). Heteroaryl substituents are also well accepted giving 6h,i, although a lower asymmetric induction was observed with the furyl derivative 6h (entries 8 and 9). Aliphatic residues did not lead to any satisfactory results.

The extension of the scope regarding the α-ketoamide substrate was also investigated. For this purpose, we synthesized derivatives bearing different groups on both aromatic rings (R1, R2), and performed the cascade reactions using the optimum conditions (entries 10–13). The yields of 6j–m as well as the enantioselectivities were in accordance with the results obtained previously. Other α-ketoamide derivatives bearing nonaromatic residues were also tested in the cascade reaction, however these did not react in the desired fashion, with the exception of the 2-oxo-2-phenyl-N-tosylacetamide (1f), which gave the desired pyrrolidin-2-one 6n in very good yield with excellent enantioselectivity (entry 14).

In the present transformation we assume that the reaction is initiated by an aza-Michael addition of the nucleophilic

Table 2  Reaction of α-Ketoamides 1 with α,β-Unsaturated Aldehydes 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R1</th>
<th>R2</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>R3</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>T1 (h)b</th>
<th>T2 (h)c</th>
<th>Yieldd (%)</th>
<th>ee e (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>6a</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>87 (91) f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>4-MeOC6H4</td>
<td>6b</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>95 (93) f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>4-ClC6H4</td>
<td>6c</td>
<td>5 (4.5) f</td>
<td>1 (16) f</td>
<td>58 (34) f</td>
<td>90 (96) f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>4-MeNC6H4</td>
<td>6d</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>89 (90) f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>2e</td>
<td>4-O2NC6H4</td>
<td>6e</td>
<td>5.5 (16) f</td>
<td>1 (2) f</td>
<td>21 (25) f</td>
<td>86 (83) f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>2f</td>
<td>3,4,5-(BnO)3C6H2</td>
<td>6f</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>52 (61) f</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>2g</td>
<td>3,4-(OCH2O)C6H3</td>
<td>6g</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>56 (51) f</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>2-furyl</td>
<td>6h</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>2i</td>
<td>1-Boc-1H-indol-3-yl</td>
<td>6i</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>59 (48) f</td>
<td>81 (88) f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2-IC6H4</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>6j</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>88 (95) f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4-MeOC6H4</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>6k</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>81 (89) f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>2,4-(MeO)2C6H3</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>6l</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>2-MeC6H4</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>6m</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Ts</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>6n</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All the reactions were run on a 0.33-mmol scale with α-ketoamide (1.5 equiv), α,β-unsaturated aldehyde (1 equiv), 3a (20 mol%), EtOH (1.5 mL), r.t. All the products were obtained as a single diastereomer.
* Time for the domino reaction before the addition of the Wittig reagent 5 (1.5 equiv).
* Time for the olefination.
* Yield of the isolated product 6a–n after flash column chromatography.
* Determined by HPLC on a chiral stationary phase.
* Values in parentheses correspond to the results obtained with the catalyst (R)-3a.
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nitrogen of the α-ketoamide to the iminium-activated α,β-unsaturated aldehyde 9. This yields the acyclic intermediate 10 that undergoes an aldol addition cyclization due to the enamine activation providing the intermediate 11, and the product 4a after hydrolysis and return of the catalyst (Scheme 2). The expected transition states for the iminium [Scheme 3 (a)] as well as for the enamine activation [Scheme 3 (b)] allowed us to predict the relative and absolute configuration of the products. They were confirmed by NOESY experiments on 6l [Scheme 3 (c)].

In conclusion, we have developed a new convenient organocatalytic method for the asymmetric synthesis of functionalized 1,3,5-triarylpyrrolidin-2-ones in good yields, virtually complete diastereoselectivities, and very good enantioselectivities via an aza-Michael/aldol addition domino reaction between α-ketoamides and α,β-unsaturated aldehydes. As the pyrrolidin-2-one substructure is widely present in a large number of biologically active molecules, our protocol could provide a new approach for these γ-lactams. The applications of the method described here are currently being investigated.

Unless otherwise noted, all commercially available compounds were used without further purification. Preparative column chromatography SIL G-25 UV252 from Macherey & Nagel, particle size 0.040–0.063 mm (230–240 mesh, flash). Visualization of the developed TLC plates was performed with UV irradiation (254 nm) and by staining with vanillin stain. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter. Mass spectra were recorded on a Finnigan SQQ7000 (EI 70 eV) spectrometer and HRMS on a Thermo Fisher Scientific Orbitrap XL spectrometer. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer FT-IR Spectrum 100 using ATR-Unit. 1H and 13C spectra were recorded at r.t. on Varian Mercury 600 or Inova 400 instruments with TMS as an internal standard. Analytical HPLC was performed on a Hewlett-Packard 1100 Series instrument using chiral stationary phases (Daicel AD, Daicel AS, Daicel IA, Daicel OD, Daicel OJ, or Chiralpak IC). α-Ketoamide 1d was prepared from ethyl 2-(2,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-oxoacetate via 2-(2,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-oxoacetate; α-ketoamide 1e was prepared from 0-methylmandelic acid via 2-(2,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-oxoacetate acid; α-ketoamide 1f was prepared from 0-methylmandelic acid via 2-methylphenylglyoxylic acid.

**α-Ketoamides 1: General Procedure**
To a solution of phenylglyoxylic acid (1.774 g, 12 mmol, 1 equiv) in N,N-dimethylacetamide (30 mL) cooled to –15 °C, SOCl2 (1.0 mL, 13.8 mmol, 1.15 equiv) was added dropwise. After stirring for 1 h at this temperature, aniline (1.5 mL, 16.7 mmol, 1.4 equiv) was added and the mixture was stirred for 3 h at temperatures between –10 °C and 0 °C, then poured onto a mixture of ice and H2O and stirred at r.t. overnight. The solid formed was dissolved in Et2O (50 mL) and extracted with Et2O (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H2O and brine, dried (Na2SO4), and concentrated in vacuo before purification by flash column chromatography.
Domino Reaction; General Procedure

A solution of α-ketoamide 1 (0.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv), α,β-unsaturated aldehyde 2 (0.33 mmol, 1 equiv), and (S)-TMS-diphenylprolinol catalyst 3a (23 mg, 0.066 mmol, 0.2 equiv) in EtOAc (1.5 mL) was stirred at r.t. After the indicated period, the Wittig reagent 5 (0.174 g, 5.0 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred at r.t. for the indicated time. Brine (20 mL) was added and the product was extracted with EtO (3 × 15 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO4), concentrated in vacuo, and purified by flash column chromatography (n-pentane–EtOAc, 3:1).

2-Oxo-α,β-diphenylacetamide (1a)

Following the general procedure using aniline (1.5 mL, 16.7 mmol, 1.4 equiv) and phenylglyoxylic acid (376 mg, 2.5 mmol, 1 equiv) with purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography (n-pentane–EtO 4:1) gave 1a (2.69 g, 99%) as a yellow solid; Rf = 0.5 (n-pentane–EtO, 4:1).

1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 7.18 (m, 1 H, CHAr), 7.38 (m, 2 H, CHAr), 7.49 (m, 2 H, CHAr), 7.64 (m, 1 H, CHAr), 7.69 (dd, J = 1.2, 8.4 Hz, 2 H, CHAr), 8.40 (dd, J = 1.2, 8.4 Hz, 2 H, CHAr), 8.97 (br s, 1 H, NH).

IR (ATR): 3098, 2980, 2846, 1739, 1661, 1594, 1464, 1374, 1302, 1208, 1117, 1024, 819, 754, 673, 583, 515 cm–1.

13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 129.1 (2 C, CH Ar), 134.3 (C), 137.1 (C), 137.6 (C), 139.6 (C), 152.2 (NCO), 187.0 (CO).


2-Oxo-α,β-diphenylacetamide (1b)

Following the general procedure using 2-iodoaniline (1.41 g, 6.4 mmol, 1 equiv) and phenylglyoxylic acid (0.69 g, 12 mmol, 1 equiv) with purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography (n-pentane–EtO 6:1) gave 1b (0.88 g, 54%) as a yellow solid; mp 103 °C, low solid; mp 103 °C; Rf = 0.1 (n-pentane–EtO, 1:1).

1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 7.42 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H, CHAr), 7.64 (m, 3 H, CHAr), 7.85 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1 H, CHAr), 8.14 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H, CHAr), 8.44 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, CHAr), 9.44 (br s, 1 H, NH).

IR (ATR): 1732, 1661, 1594, 1464, 1374, 1302, 1208, 1117, 1024, 819, 754, 673, 583, 515 cm–1.

13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 128.5 (CHAr), 129.2 (CHAr), 131.4 (CHAr), 133.0 (C), 134.6 (CHAr), 136.6 (C), 158.9 (NCO), 187.4 (CO).


2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-oxo-2-phenylacetamide (1c)

Following the general procedure using aniline (0.37 g, 4.0 mmol, 1 equiv) and 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxoacetic acid (0.6 g, 2.85 mmol, 1 equiv) with purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography (n-pentane–EtO 1:2) gave 1c (0.79 g, 90%) as a colorless solid; mp 91–93 °C; Rf = 0.4 (n-pentane–EtO, 1:1).

1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 3.90 (s, 6 H, OCH3), 6.46 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1 H, CHAr), 6.62 (dd, J = 1.8, 8.4 Hz, 1 H, CHAr), 7.98 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H, CHAr).

13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 55.8 (CH3), 56.1 (CH3), 98.3 (CH3), 106.9 (CH3), 115.3 (C), 133.3 (CHAr), 162.7 (C), 167.1 (C), 167.1 (COOH), 183.7 (CO).


2-(2,4-Dimethylphenyl)-2-oxo-2-phenylacetamide (1d)

Following the general procedure using aniline (0.37 g, 4.0 mmol, 1 equiv) and 2-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-2-oxoacetic acid (0.6 g, 2.85 mmol, 1 equiv), with purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography (n-pentane–EtO 1:2) gave 1d (0.79 g, 90%) as a colorless solid; mp 91–93 °C; Rf = 0.4 (n-pentane–EtO, 1:2).

IR (ATR): 3278, 3144, 3092, 1655, 1593, 1493, 1447, 1280, 1207, 1124, 874, 836, 745, 690 cm–1.

1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 3.85 (s, 3 H, OCH3), 3.88 (s, 3 H, OCH3), 6.49 (s, 1 H, CHAr), 6.57 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1 H, CHAr), 7.17 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H, CHAr), 7.38 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H, CHAr), 7.67 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H, CHAr), 7.92 (dd, J = 1.8, 8.4 Hz, 1 H, CHAr), 8.53 (bs, 1 H, NH).

13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 55.7 (OCH3), 56.1 (OCH3), 98.9 (CH3), 105.4 (CH3), 116.8 (C), 119.8 (2 CH3), 124.9 (CH3), 129.1 (2 CH3), 134.3 (CHAr), 137.1 (C), 160.9 (CH3), 162.2 (C), 166.5 (NCO), 188.5 (CO).

MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 208.11 (M + Na)+, 268.11 (M + H)+.

Anal. Caled for C16H13NO5: C, 76.36; H, 5.30; N, 4.91. Found: C, 76.11; H, 5.43; N, 4.89.
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o-Methylmandelic Acid
To a solution of 2-methylbenzaldehyde (12 g, 100 mmol, 1 equiv) and Bu3NCl (1.23 g, 5 mmol, 0.05 equiv) in CH2Cl2 (16 mL) was added carefully aq NaOH (1 g/mL) (25 mL). The resulting orange slurry was refluxed for 1 h and then cooled to r.t. H2O was added (400 mL) and the mixture was extracted with Et2O (2 × 100 mL). The remaining aqueous layer was acidified with conc HCl until the solution became colorless; Rf = 0.39 (n-pentane–EtOAc, 1:1; [α]D22 +109.0 ([M + Na]+).

IR (ATR): 3363, 3054, 2984, 1606, 1601, 1538, 1495, 1449, 1374, 1276, 1174, 1033, 979, 781, 693 cm⁻¹.

1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 2.44 (s, 3 H, CH3), 7.34 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H, CHAr), 7.45 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H, CHAr), 7.61 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, CHAr), 7.91 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H, CHAr).

13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 21.2 (CH3), 128.4 (2 C, CHAr), 128.9 (2 C, CHAr), 131.3 (CHAr), 131.7 (C), 136.5 (CHAr), 139.0 (C), 163.3 (COOH), 184.9 (COOCO).

MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 164 (5), 120 (7), 119 (100), 91 (45), 65 (10).


2-Oxo-2-fluorophenyl-2-(2-tolyacetamide (1e)
Following the general procedure using aniline (1.56 g, 16.8 mmol, 1.4 equiv) and 2-methylphenylglyoxylic acid (0.96 g, 12 mmol, 1 equiv), with purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography (n-pentane–EtOAc, 6:1) gave 1e (1.64 g, 57%) as a colorless solid; mp 73 °C; Rf = 0.5 (n-pentane–anisole, 1:1).

IR (ATR): 3360, 3054, 2913, 1686, 1655, 1595, 1494, 1439, 1375, 1281, 1150, 1057, 983, 894, 825, 744, 680 cm⁻¹.

1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 2.43 (s, 3 H, CH3), 7.19 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H, CHAr), 7.39 (m, 3 H, CHAr), 7.47 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H, CHAr); 7.70 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H, CHAr); 8.21 (m, 2 H, CHAr); 8.94 (br s, 1 H, NH).

13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 21.1 (CH3), 128.4 (2 C, CHAr), 128.9 (2 C, CHAr), 131.3 (CHAr), 131.7 (C), 136.5 (CHAr), 139.0 (C), 163.3 (COOH), 184.9 (COOCO).

MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 164 (5), 120 (7), 119 (100), 91 (45), 65 (10).


2-Oxopyrrolidin-3-yl]acrylate (6a)
Following the general procedure using 1,4-pentadiene (1.07 g, 16 mmol, 1 equiv) and 2-methylphenylglyoxylic acid (1.96 g, 48%) as a colorless solid; mp 73 °C; Rf = 0.27 (n-pentane–EtOAc, 1:1).

IR (ATR): 3357, 3253, 1717, 1680, 1594, 1527, 1491, 1440, 1336, 1276, 1174, 1033, 979, 781, 693 cm⁻¹.

1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 2.44 (s, 3 H, CH3), 7.34 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H, CHAr), 7.45 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H, CHAr), 7.61 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, CHAr), 7.91 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H, CHAr).

13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 21.3 (CH3), 119.9 (CHAr), 125.3 (CHAr), 128.5 (CHAr), 128.7 (CHAr), 129.2 (CHAr), 131.8 (CHAr), 133.0 (C), 135.5 (CHAr), 136.7 (C), 138.4 (C), 159.0 (NCO), 187.6 (CO).

MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 239 (31), 120 (12), 119 (100), 91 (31), 65 (10).

Anal. Calc for C13H12NO4: C, 75.33; H, 5.48; N, 5.85. Found: C, 75.33; H, 5.48; N, 6.02.
**Ethyl (Z)-[3-{(2S,3R,4R)-2-[4-(Chlorophenyl)-4-hydroxy-5-oxo-1,4-diphenylpyrrolidin-3-yl]acrylate (6e) Following the general procedure with purification by flash chromatography (n-pentane–EtOAc; 3:1) gave 6e as a colorless solid; yield: 33 mg (20%); mp 89–91 °C; 89% ee [chiral stationary phase HPLC (Daicel AS)]; \( R_f = 0.23 \) (n-pentane–EtOAc; 3:1); \([\alpha]_D^{22} +114.5 \) (c 0.25, CHCl₃).**

**Ethyl (Z)-3-[((2S,3R,4R)-4-Hydroxy-5-oxo-1,4-diphenylpyrrolidin-3-yl]acrylate (6f) Following the general procedure with purification by flash chromatography (n-pentane–EtOAc; 3:1) gave 6f as a colorless solid; yield: 144 mg (52%); mp 55–57 °C; 82% ee [chiral stationary phase HPLC (Daicel AS)]; \( R_f = 0.39 \) (n-pentane–EtOAc; 3:1); \([\alpha]_D^{22} +94.5 \) (c 1.0, CHCl₃).**

**Ethyl (Z)-3-[{(2S,3R,4R)-2-[4-(Dimethylamino)phenyl]pyrrolidin-3-yl]acrylate (6g) Following the general procedure with purification by flash chromatography (n-pentane–EtOAc; 3:1) gave 6g as a colorless solid; yield: 181 mg (59%); mp 110–112 °C; 88% ee [chiral stationary phase HPLC (Daicel AS)]; \( R_f = 0.29 \) (n-pentane–EtOAc; 3:1); \([\alpha]_D^{22} +145.3 \) (c 0.25, CHCl₃).**
**Ethyl (E)-3-[(2S,3R,4R)-2-(Furan-2-yl)-4-hydroxy-5-oxo-1,4-diphenylpyrrolidin-3-yl]acetate (6b)**

Following the general procedure with purification by flash chromatography (n-pentane–EtOAc, 3:1) gave 6b as a colorless solid; yield: 83 mg (45%); mp 79–80 °C; 83% ee (89%) [chiral stationary phase HPLC (Daicel AS)]; [α]_D^22 +4.0 (c 1.0, CHCl_3).

**Ethyl (E)-3-[(2S,3R,4R)-4-Hydroxy-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-oxo-2,4-diphenylpyrrolidin-3-yl]acetate (6k)**

Following the general procedure with purification by flash chromatography (n-pentane–EtOAc, 3:1) gave 6k as a colorless solid; yield: 112 mg (53%); mp 77–78 °C; 93% ee (89%) [chiral stationary phase HPLC (Daicel AS)]; [α]_D^22 = -4.0 (c 1.0, CHCl_3).

**Ethyl (E)-3-[(2S,3R,4R)-4-Hydroxy-1-(4-methylphenyl)-5-oxo-2,4-diphenylpyrrolidin-3-yl]acetate (6l)**

Following the general procedure with purification by flash chromatography (n-pentane–EtOAc, 3:1) gave 6l as a colorless solid; yield: 70 mg (53%); mp 73–74 °C; 83% ee (89%) [chiral stationary phase HPLC (Daicel AS)]; [α]_D^22 = -10.2 (c 0.5, CHCl_3).
Ethyl (Z)-3-[[2(S),3R,4R]-4-Hydroxy-5-oxo-1,2-diphenylpyrrolidin-3-yl]acrylate (6l)
Following the general procedure with purification by flash chromatography (n-pentane–EtOAc, 3:1) gave 6l as a colorless solid; yield: 87 mg (69%); mp 85–87 °C; 90% ee [chiral stationary phase HPLC (Daicel AS)]; Rf = 0.12 (n-pentane–EtOAc, 3:1); [α]D +14.0 (c 0.54, CHCl3).


C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3); δ = 14.1 (CH2C6H3), 21.7 (ArCH3), 39.9 (OCH3), 50.7 (NCH2), 60.6 (CH2), 65.1 (NCHPh), 80.6 (COH), 125.7 (2 C, CHAr), 126.8 (2 C, CHAr), 127.1 (CHCOOEt), 128.5 (CHAr), 128.6 (2 C, CHAr), 128.7 (CH3), 128.8 (2 C, CHAr), 129.4 (2 C, CHAr), 134.7 (C), 137.9 (CH=CHCOOEt), 138.0 (C), 139.0 (C), 145.4 (C), 165.2 (COOEt), 174.3 (NCO).


HRMS: m/z [M + H]+ calcd for C26H26NO4S: 506.1632; found: 506.1625.
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