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Abstract

The nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) are typically environmental organisms residing in soil and water. Although generally of low pathogenicity to humans, NTM can cause a wide array of clinical diseases; pulmonary disease is most frequent, followed by lymphadenitis in children, skin disease by \( M. \) \( \text{marinum} \) (particularly in fish tank fanciers), and other extrapulmonary or disseminated infections in severely immunocompromised patients. Of the >140 NTM species reported in the literature, 25 species have been strongly associated with NTM diseases; the remainder are environmental organisms rarely encountered in clinical samples. Correct species identification is very important because NTM species differ in their clinical relevance. Further, NTM differ strongly in their growth rate, temperature tolerance, and drug susceptibility. The diagnosis of NTM disease is complex and requires good communication between clinicians, radiologists, and microbiologists. Isolation of \( M. \) \( \text{kansasii} \) and (in northwestern Europe) \( M. \) \( \text{malmoense} \) from pulmonary specimens usually indicates disease, whereas \( \text{Mycobacterium gordonae} \) and, to a lesser extent, \( M. \) \( \text{simiae} \) or \( M. \) \( \text{chelonae} \) are typically contaminants rather than causative agents of true disease. \( \text{Mycobacterium avium} \) complex (MAC), \( M. \) \( \text{xenopi} \), and \( M. \) \( \text{abscessus} \) form an intermediate category between these two extremes. This review covers the clinical and laboratory diagnosis of NTM diseases and particularities for the different disease types and patient populations. Because of limited sensitivity and specificity of symptoms, radiology, and direct microscopy of clinical samples, culture remains the gold standard. Yet culture is time consuming and demands the use of multiple media types and incubation temperatures to optimize the yield. Outside of reference centers, such elaborate culture algorithms are scarce.
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Background

The nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) are a grouping of all \( \text{Mycobacterium} \) species other than the obligate pathogens \( M. \) \( \text{tuberculosis} \) complex and \( M. \) \( \text{leprae} \). They are typically environmental organisms residing in soil and natural as well as treated water.\(^1\) Although generally of low pathogenicity to humans, NTM can cause a wide array of clinical diseases; pulmonary disease is most frequent, followed by lymphadenitis in children, skin disease (by \( M. \) \( \text{marinum} \), particularly in fish tank fanciers), and other extrapulmonary or disseminated infections in the severely immunocompromised.\(^2\) Of the >140 NTM species now reported in the literature, some 25 species have been strongly associated with these NTM diseases; the remainder are true environmental organisms rarely encountered in clinical samples.

NTM differ strongly in their growth rate, temperature tolerance, and drug susceptibility.\(^1-4\) Owing to the differences in patient populations with their underlying lung diseases or immunodeficiencies as well as between the causative mycobacteria, diagnosis of NTM disease is complex and requires good communication between clinicians, radiologists, and microbiologists.
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Clinical Diagnosis of NTM Diseases

NTM Lung Disease

Because NTM are environmental bacteria that humans encounter on a daily basis, diagnosing pulmonary NTM disease is not straightforward: a single positive culture from non-sterile sources including the respiratory or digestive tract need not indicate infection or disease. The American Thoracic Society (ATS) and Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) have issued statements including a set of criteria to differentiate casual NTM isolation from true pulmonary NTM disease; these are summarized in Table 1. In short, these criteria denote that to diagnose pulmonary NTM disease, clinical, radiological, and microbiological evidence of disease should be gathered.

Symptoms are generally nonspecific, in part owing to frequent underlying conditions. Most patients present with a chronic cough, with or without sputum production or hemoptysis, and slowly progressive fatigue or malaise. Constitutional symptoms (weight loss, fever, night sweats) are less frequent—occurring in 30 to 50% of patients—and often indicate advanced disease.

Radiological abnormalities are more specific and generally follow two distinct patterns. The first manifestation is characterized radiologically by bronchiectasis and nodular lesions, mostly involving the lingula and middle lobe; the second is characterized by fibrocavitary lesions that mostly involve the upper lobes and resemble pulmonary tuberculosis. Mixed types do occur, as do single large nodular lesions, mimicking malignancy.

In cavitary disease, previous authors have suggested that NTM lung disease is characterized by thin-walled cavities, whereas tuberculosis would present with thick-walled cavities; a review of these and later studies has refuted the use of cavity appearance as a diagnostic tool. Cavitary lesions can occur in pulmonary malignancy and sarcoidosis as well as in infections by non-mycobacterial pathogens including fungi and Nocardia species. In nodular bronchiectatic disease, the combination of bronchiectasis, multiple small nodules, and a “tree-in-bud” pattern suggestive of bronchiolitis is quite specific for NTM lung disease. In a series of 105 patients with suggestive computed tomographic (CT) findings in South Korea, 34% were diagnosed with NTM lung disease based on microbiological findings; the remainder were diagnosed with nonspecific bronchiolitis and bronchiectasis (50%), diffuse panbronchiolitis (8%), tuberculosis (6%), or other diseases (2%). Similar abnormalities can be seen in immunocompromised patients diagnosed with pulmonary nocardiosis. Hence other infectious (e.g., nocardiosis, fungal infection, tuberculosis) and noninfectious diseases (e.g., sarcoidosis) that can present with similar clinical and radiographic features have to be properly excluded before a firm diagnosis of NTM lung disease is made. Even in otherwise successful treatment, radiographic abnormalities may persist or even appear to increase in size; only small nodules tend to disappear during successful treatment.

The third piece of evidence comes from the microbiology and pathology laboratories. To diagnose NTM lung disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Summary of the American Thoracic Society diagnostic criteria for pulmonary nontuberculous mycobacterial infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Pulmonary symptoms, nodular or cavitary opacities on chest radiograph, or a high-resolution computed tomographic scan that shows multifocal bronchiectasis with multiple small nodules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Appropriate exclusion of other diagnoses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Microbiologic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Positive culture results from at least two separate expectorated sputum samples (If the results from the initial sputum samples are nondiagnostic, consider repeat sputum acid-fast bacillus (AFB) smears and cultures)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Positive culture results from at least one bronchial wash or lavage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Transbronchial or other lung biopsy with mycobacterial histopathological features (granulomatous inflammation or AFB) and positive culture for NTM or biopsy showing mycobacterial histopathological features (granulomatous inflammation or AFB) and one or more sputum or bronchial washings that are culture positive for NTM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Expert consultation should be obtained when NTM are recovered that are either infrequently encountered or that usually represent environmental contamination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Patients who are suspected of having NTM lung disease but who do not meet the diagnostic criteria should be followed until the diagnosis is firmly established or excluded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Making the diagnosis of NTM lung disease does not, per se, necessitate the institution of therapy, which is a decision based on potential risks and benefits of therapy for individual patients</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

using the ATS diagnostic criteria, a set of at least three respiratory specimens should be obtained and sent for microbiological analysis whenever possible. Sampling intervals should be up to several weeks, although the exact timing has not been investigated. Short intervals between sampling pose the risk of interpreting accidental temporary presence of NTM in the airways after environmental exposure as a sign of disease. Of these three or more specimens, at least two should yield growth of the same NTM species for a solid diagnosis of NTM lung disease. Apart from the commonsense exclusion of occasional presence after environmental exposure or even of laboratory contamination, this requisite stems from one study which demonstrated that radiological evidence of disease (infiltrates or cavitary lesions) and progression was found in 98% of the patients who had two or more positive sputum cultures for M. avium complex, versus just 2% in those with a single positive culture during 12 months of observation. For 97% of patients, the first two positive cultures grew from the initial three sputum specimens.\(^{13}\) This microbiological criterion may be less applicable to the nodular-bronchietatic type of NTM lung disease because these patients may produce less or no sputum. To diagnose nodular bronchietatic NTM lung disease, bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid culture may be more sensitive than sputum culture.\(^{14,15}\) Similarly, in a case series of 31 patients with mainly nodular bronchietatic MAC disease, 45% needed bronchoscopy or lung biopsy for diagnosis because sputum cultures were nondiagnostic.\(^7\)

Histological or cytological analysis of respiratory samples can be useful in difficult cases, including patients who do not produce sputum and will probably only produce a single positive culture from BAL, to ensure that the disease process is characterized by granulomatous inflammation.\(^5\) Especially in the immunocompromised, granuloma formation may be impaired, and the central caseous necrosis associated with tuberculosis may be absent.\(^6\)

**Extrapulmonary and Disseminated Disease**

Lymphadenitis caused by NTM usually affects lymph nodes in a single site. The submandibular and cervical lymph nodes are most frequently affected, although axillary and inguinal lymphadenitis has been observed. Patients are typically (though not exclusively) children, under the age of 8 years. This age differs for the different species that cause lymphadenitis, though not exclusively) children, under the age of 8 years. The skin tropism has been attributed to the preference of the causative species for lower temperatures (30°C rather than 37°C), although this concept has not been experimentally tested. This disease manifestation is usually diagnosed by histological examination of skin biopsy specimens and culture. Granulomas are found in 30 to 50% of cases,\(^21,25\) thus rendering culture most important in the diagnosis. The exact sensitivities and specificities of these approaches have not been systematically studied.

**Laboratory Diagnosis**

To make a firm diagnosis of NTM disease in an individual patient, culture of representative clinical specimens and histological examination of tissue biopsy specimens are
generally necessary. Given the importance of culture in the diagnosis of NTM disease, it is important to realize for microbiologists and clinicians that good communication between both parties may actually help to optimize culture conditions according to the particularities of the patient and therewith increase the sensitivity of culture and laboratory diagnosis of NTM disease.

**Microbiology: Sample Decontamination and Smear Microscopy**

Smear microscopy is mostly done in a two-step procedure, where samples are screened by fluorochrome (auramine) staining and positives are confirmed by classical Ziehl-Neelsen staining. Fluorochrome staining is the screening method because of its high sensitivity but low specificity. NTM are as likely as *M. tuberculosis* to be detected by fluorochrome staining.  

To lower the loads of commensal flora associated with the human airways and digestive tract and thus overgrowth of cultures, sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid as well as feces samples are decontaminated prior to inoculating them on selective media for *Mycobacterium* culture. Several different protocols for respiratory sample decontamination have been tried. Decontamination by 1% n-acetyl-L-cysteine (NaLC)-NaOH is most commonly used; An increase of NaLC-NaOH concentrations from 1% to 1.25% lowers contamination rates but also leads to a 10% decrease in detection of mycobacteria in culture and is not recommended.  

For samples from patients with cystic fibrosis (CF), which differ in their chemical composition as well their commensal flora, different approaches have been tested. In sputum samples of CF patients, decontamination by 0.25%/1% NaLC-NaOH, followed by 5% oxalic acid treatment, reduced the contamination rate from 74% of Lowenstein-Jensen slants or 36% of BacTec vials (BD Biosciences, Sparks, MD) (for NaLC-NaOH alone) to only 5% and 3%33; yet, in a multisite reproducibility study, this method performed well only in acid-fast bacillus (AFB) smear positive samples.34 In a study comparing 1% chlorhexidine alone to 0.25%/1% NaLC-NaOH followed by 5% oxalic acid in 827 sputum samples of CF patients, the former yielded twice as many NTM-positive cultures (6.50 vs 3.25%), despite a higher contamination rate after chlorhexidine treatment (20 vs 14.2%).35 Hence, it is important for mycobacteriology laboratories to know if a specimen comes from a CF patient or not—another example of a setting in which good communication can significantly improve clinical diagnostics.

**Culture Conditions—Media**

The choice of media for primary isolation largely determines the sensitivity. Liquid media are, in general, more sensitive than solid media such as Lowenstein-Jensen, Ogawa, Coletos, and Middlebrook 7H10/7H11.36,37 Several studies have revealed that the widely used automated nonradiometric Mycobacteria Growth Indicator Tube (MGIT) method and its predecessor the radiometric BacTec460 method (both: BD Biosciences, Sparks, MD), both applying liquid media, were of about equal sensitivity; the slightly higher sensitivity of BacTec460(BD) observed in some studies was largely outweighed by its labor-intensive handling and use of radioactive materials with inherent safety concerns.38,39 Both these liquid culture systems apply an antibiotic supplement to suppress overgrowth of other bacteria and fungi, labeled PANTA, the acronym for polymyxin B (50 U/mL), amphotericin B (5 μg/mL), nalidixic acid (20 μg/mL), trimethoprim (5 μg/mL), and azlocillin (10 μg/mL).

There are more automated nonradiometric liquid culture systems available, including the MB BacT (bioMérieux, Durham, NC) and the recently renewed VersaTREK system (formerly known as ESP culture system II; Trek Diagnostics, Cleveland, OH). The MB BacT system (bioMérieux) has been shown to be as sensitive as the MGIT (BD Biosciences) method.37,40 The time to detection and percentage contaminated cultures were lower in MGIT (BD Biosciences).37,40 For the VersaTREK system (Trek Diagnostics), no comparative studies of primary culture are currently available.

**Culture Conditions—Temperature**

The comparative studies outlined here have offered important insights in the performance of the various culture methods. Nonetheless, they may not reveal the full sensitivity that can be obtained in the routine clinical setting because both the solid and the liquid media were generally incubated at a single temperature, 35°C. This temperature optimum is relevant to *M. tuberculosis*, but it limits the recovery of NTM, many of which have a growth optimum at 30°C.1,3,5 Thus optimal recovery of NTM is likely to be reached if both solid and liquid media are used and incubated at both 35 and 30°C. Whether a liquid and solid medium should be incubated at both temperatures, or a hybrid of liquid at 35°C and solid at 30°C, or liquid media only but at both 30°C and 35°C, as well as cost-effectiveness of these approaches have not yet been studied, despite the increasing clinical importance of NTM in many settings.

**Culture Conditions—Medium Enrichment**

As typically environmental organisms, the NTM are quite versatile in their metabolic needs. Yet, for a small number of species, enrichment of culture media is needed to allow growth of the organism. For human medicine, *M. genavense* and *M. haemophilum* are the most prominent examples. For *M. haemophilum*, an iron source (ferric ammonium citrate or hemin) has to be added to the medium, and the media are best incubated at 30°C.28 For *M. genavense*, some success has been reported for media composed of blood, charcoal, casein, and yeast extracts, acidified to pH 6.0.41 Yet this species remains very difficult to culture.42 Perhaps the most intriguing example is *M. tilburgii*, which has not been successfully cultured to date, despite the presence of large numbers of bacteria in clinical samples.19 Local epidemiology can guide the use of supplemented media.
Species Identification
Correct species identification is very important because NTM species differ in their clinical relevance. Isolation of M. kansasii and (in northwestern Europe) M. malmoense from pulmonary specimens indicates disease in >70% of all patients. Mycobacterium gordonae and, to a lesser extent, M. simiae or M. chelonae, are typically contaminants rather than causative agents of true disease and MAC, M. xenopi, and M. abscessus form an intermediate category between these two extremes.

The methods for identification of mycobacteria in clinical laboratories have changed dramatically over the past 2 decades. Molecular methods have now surpassed biochemical tests and high-performance liquid chromatography of cell wall mycolic acid content as the method of choice for NTM identification. Among molecular methods, two approaches are commonly used. The first are line probe assays, which are easy to perform, albeit costly, assays that allow a reasonable level of discrimination and will allow identification of the most frequently encountered species. Second is (partial) gene sequencing which allows a higher level of discrimination, often up to subspecies level, but is only feasible for laboratories with access to sequencing facilities. The target(s) selected for sequencing determine the discriminatory power: the hsp65 and rpoB genes and the 16S-23S internal transcribed spacer (ITS) offer high discriminatory power and can identify up to the subspecies level, whereas 16S rRNA gene sequencing allows discrimination to the species level for most species, or at least to the complex level, particularly among the rapid growers (M. fortuitum complex, M. chelonae–M. abscessus complex).

A new tool for species identification of NTM is matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization–time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry. The optimal method for protein extraction from mycobacteria and the exact discriminatory power of this method have not yet been established.

Impact of Diagnostic Criteria
Currently, both the British Thoracic Society (BTS) and the American Thoracic Society (ATS) have issued diagnostic criteria for nontuberculous mycobacterial (lung) disease. Both state that isolation of NTM from normally sterile body sites is proof of true NTM disease, after exclusion of sample or laboratory contamination. Histological evidence of granulomatous inflammation strengthens the diagnosis. Diagnostic criteria for pulmonary NTM disease are less straightforward. Both BTS and ATS criteria emphasize the importance of symptoms and radiographic features suggestive of mycobacterial disease but differ in the level of detail of their microbiological criteria. The BTS criteria state that “multiple isolates are needed from nonsterile sites to establish disease.” The clinical presentation and any predisposing factors are also helpful.

The ATS criteria state that three separate respiratory specimens, produced over several days or weeks, should be analyzed and that two positive cultures with the same species are required for diagnosis. Only in the setting of nodular bronchiectatic disease with little or no sputum production, a single positive sputum from a BAL specimen may suffice to diagnose NTM lung disease. The previous 1997 ATS diagnostic criteria had less stringent radiological criteria but more stringent microbiological criteria requiring three positive cultures with the same species. Multiple studies have revealed that more patients meet the 2007 than the 1997 ATS diagnostic criteria, and this could lead to overtreatment of NTM lung disease in some. On the other hand, less strict criteria may decrease diagnostic delay. The sensitivity of the different diagnostic criteria has not been tested in clinical studies; this is particularly problematic in select patient populations, such as CF patients, whose underlying disease resembles NTM pulmonary disease in terms of both the symptoms and the radiological features.

Perspective
Despite tremendous advances in clinical and laboratory diagnosis of the different NTM diseases, diagnosing NTM diseases remains complicated. It is, of course, key to think of NTM as possible causative agents of disease. Then, because of limited sensitivity and specificity of symptoms, radiology, and direct microscopy of clinical samples, culture remains the gold standard. Yet culture is time consuming and demands the use of multiple media types and incubation temperatures to optimize the yield. Outside of reference centers, such elaborate culture algorithms are scarce.

Determining the clinical relevance of isolated NTM presents its own challenges. Three particular issues merit specific attention and should be subjects of future studies. First, it is now generally accepted that NTM species differ in their clinical relevance; yet a single species may also differ in clinical relevance in different regions or settings, which adds another layer of complexity. To prevent unwarranted diagnoses and treatment of NTM disease as well as unnecessary diagnostic delay, it could be helpful to use separate, more stringent criteria for species of low, and less stringent criteria for species considered to be of high clinical relevance in the local setting. This stepped approach requires complete and up to date insight in locally prevalent NTM and their clinical relevance. In the absence of obligatory reporting for NTM, this too is an area where continuous contact between clinicians and microbiologists is important.

Virulence factors are a second understudied field in NTM. Yet detecting these may aid in determining the clinical relevance of isolated NTM. Here the species M. kansasii is the best example. In a previous case series, M. kansasii subtype 1 was most strongly associated with clinical disease, whereas subtypes 3 through 5 seemed nonpathogenic. A recent study of the ESX-1 virulence factor of M. tuberculosis also evaluated M. kansasii and found that M. kansasii subtype 1 had an active ESX-1 system, whereas this system was inactive in M. kansasii type 5. Assessing such virulence factors could be a valuable addition to species identification.

The third key issue in diagnosing NTM infections is the recognition of patients at increased risk for these diseases. Even though some predisposing conditions are very clear (e.g., HIV, immunosuppressive drug use) many others remain poorly understood. Even though preexistent lung diseases,
including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and CF, are clear risk factors, it remains impossible to predict which individual patient will develop NTM disease, even though we are all exposed to NTM on a daily basis. This also should be an area of future studies.
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