Concurrent Tethered Cord Release and Growing-Rod Implantation—Is It Safe?
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Abstract

Study Design Retrospective case series from one institution with a comparison control group.

Objective To evaluate the safety of concomitant tethered cord release and growing-rod insertion in individuals with early onset scoliosis.

Methods We retrospectively reviewed patients who underwent concurrent tethered cord release and growing-rod insertion. We compared our data to a comparison control group of eight patients who underwent staged tethered cord release and growing-rod insertion.

Results We identified three patients meeting criteria. There were no neurological complications in the three patients who underwent concomitant surgery. Average immediate postoperative curve correction was 43.3 degrees (47.6%). We identified seven patients who underwent staged surgery from a multicenter prospective database. No neurological complications were reported, and average immediate postoperative correction was 35.1 degrees (46.2%).

Conclusion We believe that concurrent tethered cord release and growing-rod insertion can be performed safely with the use of multimodality neurophysiological monitoring techniques.
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Individuals with early onset scoliosis (EOS) have been shown to have a high incidence of intraspinal abnormalities, including syringomyelia, Chiari malformation, diastematomyelia, and tethered cord.1–8 Historically, patients with scoliosis associated with a tethered cord were treated in a staged fashion, with a tethered cord release first, followed by scoliosis correction 6 weeks to 6 months later, due to concerns of neurological injury.3,9–11 Recent advances in neurophysiological monitoring techniques, however, have greatly increased the margin of safety for procedures with the potential for neurological injury.

Advantages of performing a tethered cord release concomitantly with growing-rod insertion include the following: single anesthetic exposure and single hospitalization, no surgical dissection through a traumatized area of the spine with a previous laminectomy, improved resource utilization and lower cost, and patient comfort and convenience. We have performed this combination of procedures on three patients with EOS and tethered cord; we found no neurological complications and found curve correction comparable to similar individuals undergoing staged procedures. It is our belief that,
utilizing modern neuromonitoring techniques, tethered cord release and growing-rod insertion can be performed safely in individuals with EOS.

**Materials and Methods**

This is a retrospective case series of all patients who underwent concomitant tethered cord release and growing-rod insertion with neurophysiological monitoring at our institution. Patients were included if they had a diagnosis of progressive EOS (congenital, infantile, juvenile) requiring either growing-rod or vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib (VEPTR) treatment and a preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan documenting a tethered cord (Figs. 1, 2). Exclusion criteria were multiple neural axis abnormalities (i.e., concomitant syringomyelia, Chiari malformation, diastematomyelia, or tumor) or the absence of distal neurological function (i.e., myelomeningocele). A tethered cord diagnosis was defined as the tip of the conus lying distal to the most caudal aspect of the second lumbar vertebra on preoperative MRI study. The surgical indication for tethered cord release in this series was based on radiographic criteria alone; clinical symptomatology was not a prerequisite. Given the clinical concern of lengthening the spinal column with growing-rod instrumentation, we believe that the spinal cord should be checked for a tether prior to lengthening in all EOS individuals with a low-lying conus.

Patients were positioned in the prone position on a radiolucent Jackson table over gel bolsters to allow the belly to hang freely. Neurophysiological monitoring modalities employed included somatosensory evoked potentials (SSEP), motor evoked potentials (MEP), and triggered electromyography (EMG) stimulation of neural elements and pedicle screws. Fine needle electrodes were placed in bilateral upper- and lower-extremity musculature; anal sphincter fine needle leads also were placed to monitor sacral nerve root integrity. Patients were anesthetized only intravenously to avoid the use of inhaled halogenated anesthetic agents. Preoperative antibiotics were administered. Cord exploration and release were performed first by a pediatric neurosurgeon via an L5 or S1 laminectomy. Care was taken to minimize damage to the posterior vertebral structures, including the facet joints and interspinous ligament. The dura was opened, and the nerve roots and the thickened filum terminale were identified. To differentiate the fibrous cord tether from the surrounding nerve roots, we used triggered EMG via stimulating probe. In all cases, the spinal cord was noted to be under tension with a distinct fibrous tether. Once the fibrous cord was identified, it was cut, and the dura was closed. Dual submuscular growing-rod instrumentation was then placed by a pediatric orthopedic surgeon. Initial distraction of the growing-rod construct was performed gradually, over at least 10 minutes, under constant neurological surveillance. Distraction was not forceful, and we did not attempt maximal correction of the scoliosis. All patients were extubated immediately postoperatively and were admitted to the pediatric intensive care unit overnight for monitoring. All patients were allowed to be weight bearing as tolerated and encouraged to be out of bed as much as possible; the use of a postoperative brace was left to the operating surgeon’s discretion (Figs. 3, 4).

As a control comparison group, we queried the Growing Spine Study Group (GSSG; La Jolla, CA) for all patients with a tethered cord who had dual growing-rod instrumentation placed. The GSSG is a multicenter prospective database of patients with EOS undergoing surgical treatment of their...
Results
We identified three patients who met inclusion criteria for this study (Table 1). All three patients had growing rods implanted; no patients with VEPTR instrumentation were included. Kyphosis was the main curve pattern identified in this study group. Surgical procedures included tethered cord release, growing rod insertion, and posterior spinal fusion. 

Table 1 Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age at surgery (y)</th>
<th>Type of scoliosis</th>
<th>Comorbidities</th>
<th>Preoperative neurological baseline</th>
<th>Surgical procedures</th>
<th>Neuromonitoring</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>Preoperative curve magnitude</th>
<th>Immediate postoperative curve magnitude</th>
<th>Postoperative complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Infantile</td>
<td>Partial sacral agenesis, VATER, TEF, developmental delay, hearing loss, GERD, asthma, short stature</td>
<td>Clinically no deficits</td>
<td>Tethered cord release via L5 laminctomy, PSF T3–T4 and L2–L3 with dual growing-rod insertion</td>
<td>Baseline SSEP with decreased posterior tibial nerve stimulation, normal baseline TcEMG, no change during procedures</td>
<td>80 90</td>
<td>T5–L2</td>
<td>36 T5–L2 (60% correction)</td>
<td>SIADH, resolved spontaneously</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Infantile</td>
<td>Unknown skeletal dysplasia, cervical stenosis status post-C1 laminectomy</td>
<td>Neurogenic bladder, mildly increased tone left lower extremity</td>
<td>Tethered cord release via L5 laminctomy, PSF T3–T4 and L4–L5 with dual growing-rod insertion</td>
<td>Poor SSEPs but functioning bilateral TcMEP, no change during procedures</td>
<td>125 90</td>
<td>T11–L3</td>
<td>53 T11–L3 (41% correction)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Congenital, mixed type</td>
<td>Polycystic kidney, hip dysplasia treated previously in a Pavlik, restrictive lung disease, hemiatrophy</td>
<td>Clinically no deficits</td>
<td>Tethered cord release via S1 laminctomy, PSF T2–T3 and L3–L4 with dual growing-rod insertion</td>
<td>Baseline SSEP with decreased posterior tibial and ulnar nerve responses, normal TcMEP, no change during procedures</td>
<td>240 93</td>
<td>T7–L3</td>
<td>54 T7–L3 (42% correction)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: EBL, estimated blood loss; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; PSF, posterior spinal fusion; SIADH, syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone; SSEP, somatosensory evoked potentials; TcEMG, transcranial electromyography; TcMEP, transcranial motor evoked potentials; TEF, tracheoesophageal fistula; VATER, vertebral anomalies, anal atresia, tracheoesophageal fistula, renal abnormalities.
identified that met the criteria. All surgeries were performed between 2006 and 2009. Patient characteristics are detailed in Table 1. All three patients were female, and they averaged 5.7 years of age. Two patients were classified as having infantile scoliosis, and one patient was classified as having congenital scoliosis; however, all three patients had multiple other comorbidities and would likely be considered as syndromic scoliosis. Only one of the three demonstrated preoperative neurological deficits. Of the two neurologically intact patients, both demonstrated subtle preoperative SSEP abnormalities, but neither had preoperative MEP abnormalities.

There were no intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring alerts, and there were no new postoperative neurological changes. Average estimated blood loss for both procedures was 148 mL. Postoperatively, patient 2 had improved bowel and bladder continence, according to the family. Preoperative curve magnitude averaged 91 degrees, and immediate postoperative curve magnitude averaged 47.7 degrees. We achieved an average of 43.3 degrees (47.6%) of correction with the dual growing-rod construct implantation.

Seven patients were identified from the GSG who had both a tethered cord release and growing-rod instrumentation implanted. Multiple diagnoses were included, although myelodysplastic patients were excluded. All cases from the GSG were performed in a staged fashion. No intraoperative or postoperative complications were reported. Average age at surgery was 6.1 years. Average immediate postoperative curve correction was 35.1 degrees (46.2% correction; average preoperative curve, 76.9 degrees; average postoperative curve, 41.7 degrees).

Discussion

Tethered cord syndrome refers to the syndrome of motor and sensory dysfunction due to abnormally increased tension on the spinal cord. This can result from a variety of intraspinal pathologies, including spinal dysraphism, tumors, adhesions, scar formation, or a thickened and shortened filum terminale.24 Clinical presentation is varied, but tethered cord syndrome should be suspected in children with midline cutaneous malformations, urologic disturbances, scoliosis, back pain, or motor/sensory disturbances. The study of choice is MRI, which demonstrates both the nature and width of the filum terminale (> 2 mm is abnormal) as well as the level of the conus (L2 is the lower limit of normal). Treatment is surgical, consisting of release of the tethering component. Retethering is a common complication, requiring revision release.25

Patients with EOS have a high incidence of concurrent intraspinal abnormalities. Numerous studies have shown that 20 to 40% of children with either congenital,1–5 infantile,6 or juvenile7,8 scoliosis have a spinal cord abnormality on preoperative MRI studies. Many of these will require neurosurgical intervention. In mild scoliotic deformities, correction of the neurosurgical abnormality may result in spontaneous curve correction,26 but for those curves in the surgical range (> 40 degrees), spontaneous correction is rare.27–29

Multiple techniques have been attempted in an effort to control scoliosis curve progression while allowing continued spinal growth. Mehta,30 Cotrel and Morel32 Risser,33 and others34 have advocated casting in a select population of infantile idiopathic scoliotic curves. Other techniques employed include the Milwaukee brace, Harrington rods, the Luque trolley, spinal hemiepiphyseodesis and stapling, the Shilla technique, and VEPTTR. Recently, spinal growing-rod use has been advocated in multiple studies.35–38 This involves proximal and distal short segment fusion and instrumentation with rods spanning the scoliotic segment. The rods are lengthened on a periodic (4- to 6-month) basis to accommodate for spinal growth. In a multicenter study, Akbarnia et al35 reported a mean curve correction of 46 degrees with a mean 5-cm increase in spinal height. Thompson et al38 reported good results with both single- and dual-rod constructs. The addition of a short apical fusion was associated with lesser curve correction and an increased complication rate.

Scoliosis correction with concomitant intraspinal abnormalities has historically been associated with a high risk of neurological injury3,9–11; thus, intraspinal abnormality correction and scoliosis correction have been performed in a staged fashion. In 1984, McMaster3 stated unequivocally, “I strongly recommend . . . that all intraspinal abnormalities be treated surgically before any more effective method of correction of scoliosis, such as Harrington instrumentation, is applied” (p. 600). Cardoso and Keating10 wrote in a recent review article, “It is recommended to wait at least 4 to 6 months after the intraspinal surgery before proceeding with spinal instrumentation” (p. 1780). Recommendations for staged procedures have been based on the theoretical concerns of cord stretching during correction maneuvers with distraction-based instrumentation and decreased spinal cord tolerance to injury due to a double insult.3,5,11 The disadvantages of staged surgery, however, include multiple anesthetic exposures, increased infection risk, potential repeat surgical dissection in an area with a previous laminectomy, and patient discomfort and inconvenience.

Real-time monitoring of spinal cord integrity via SSEP and transcranial MEP confers the ability to immediately detect and potentially reverse any maneuvers that offend neurological structures. Neurophysiological monitoring has a very high sensitivity for spinal cord injury with regards to both
Deformity correction\textsuperscript{43} and tethered cord release.\textsuperscript{44–46} Paradiso et al.\textsuperscript{45} reported a 100% sensitivity of continuous EMG in their analysis of 44 adult patients undergoing tethered cord release. Other authors have reported their experiences with concomitant neurosurgical and orthopedic procedures. Hamzaoglu et al.\textsuperscript{47} retrospectively reviewed 21 consecutive patients with congenital scoliosis or kyphosis and intraspinal abnormalities who underwent simultaneous neurosurgical correction of the intraspinal abnormality and posterior spinal fusion. Intraspinal pathologies, as well as the type of posterior surgery (short segment fusion, long fusion, hemivertebra resection), were mixed. No subjects in their study sustained a neurological injury with simultaneous neurosurgical and orthopedic intervention, despite the lack of neurophysiological monitoring. Hedequist et al.\textsuperscript{48} similarly did not report any neurological complications in two patients undergoing simultaneous detethering and spinal instrumentation. Sambani et al.\textsuperscript{49} published their experience with one patient who successfully underwent tethered cord release and posterior spinal fusion from T2 to the pelvis for a 65-degree thoracic and 80-degree lumbar scoliosis. Sankar et al.\textsuperscript{50} recently reported on a CSSG survey of 569 growing-rod surgeries performed with neurophysiological monitoring (231 implantations, 116 implant exchanges, and 222 lengthenings). Four patients had intraoperative neuromonitoring changes with no permanent neurological injury.

We believe that simultaneous tethered cord release and EOS curve correction can be undertaken safely with the use of modern neuromonitoring techniques. To our knowledge, this is the first report of simultaneous tethered cord release and growing-rod insertion. We were able to perform this combination of procedures with a mean curve correction of 43 degrees (47%) and no adverse neurological events. The corrections that we were able to obtain are comparable to the results reported by Akbarnia et al.\textsuperscript{51} as well as a matched comparison control group identified from the CSSG. We theorize that, in addition to the benefits of one less-invasive surgical procedure (decreased anesthetic exposure, decreased risk of infection, decreased blood loss, improved resource utilization), combination surgery may decrease the incidence of retethering, the most common complication of tethered cord release; although, at this point, we have no data to substantiate this hypothesis. This is a retrospective study, with all its inherent weaknesses, and our numbers are small. Further studies with larger numbers are necessary to further define the efficacy and safety of simultaneous surgery. We recommend that combination neurosurgical/orthopedic procedures only be performed under multimodality neurophysiological monitoring and that the amount of distraction applied to the growing-rod construct be slow and modest. If neurological deterioration is noted at any point in the procedure, conversion to a staged procedure is recommended.
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