The Effects of Berberine on Blood Lipids: A Systemic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials
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Abstract

Clinical trials have reported lipid-lowering effects of berberine intake, but the findings have been inconsistent. The aim of this meta-analysis was to assess the safety of berberine and its effects on blood lipid profiles. A systemic review was designed, undertaken and reported in accordance with the PRISMA statement. Randomized controlled trials of the effects of berberine on blood lipids in adults were included. Study population characteristics and the main results, including changes in the levels of total cholesterol, triglycerides, low-density and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, were extracted. Weighted mean differences were calculated for net changes in blood lipid concentrations using fixed-effect or random-effects models. After filtering, eleven randomized controlled trials (including a total of 874 participants) were included in this study. The methodological quality of these studies was generally low. The final analysis showed that administration of berberine produced a significant reduction in total cholesterol (mean difference −0.61 mmol/L; 95% confidence interval −0.83 to −0.39), triglycerides (mean difference −0.50 mmol/L; 95% confidence interval −0.69 to −0.31), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (mean difference −0.65 mmol/L; 95% confidence interval −0.76 to −0.54) levels, with a remarkable increase in high-density lipoprotein (mean difference 0.05 mmol/L; 95% confidence interval 0.02 to 0.09). No serious adverse effects of berberine have been reported. In conclusion, berberine may have beneficial effects in the control of blood lipid levels. However, the efficacy of berberine in treating hyperlipidemia should be further evaluated by more randomized controlled trials in a larger population of patients.

Introduction

Hyperlipidemia is a condition characterized by the presence of excess fatty substances such as cholesterol and TG in the blood. A recent study using data from 1999 to 2006 showed that the unadjusted prevalence of hypercholesterolemia ranged from 53.2% to 56.1% in United States adults and changed little over the study period [1]. These results indicate that the hypercholesterolemia epidemic is a weighty problem and that control of hypercholesterolemia remains poor. Generally, hyperlipidemia has no specific symptoms and is usually diagnosed during routine blood tests. However, elevated fatty substances may deposit in the form of plaques in the walls of arteries, a condition known as atherosclerosis, which leads to obstructions in blood flow [2]. These obstructions significantly increase the risk of experiencing a cerebral or myocardial infarction [3, 4]. The goal of treating hyperlipidemia is to lower the concentrations of LDL-C and TG and to raise the concentrations of HDL-C. Hyperlipidemia may be hereditary or caused by certain medications and/
or underlying medical problems such as diabetes and hyperthyroidism. To control blood lipid concentrations, these conditions should be treated first. As a second line of treatment, dietary changes, weight loss, and exercise are recommended, followed by the use of statins, fibrates, or other lipid-lowering agents [5–8]. Evidence from many clinical trials has demonstrated that these prescription drugs can lower blood lipids and reduce the risk of developing cardiovascular diseases [3,9]. However, many patients experience adverse effects of these lipid-lowering drugs. For example, statins and fibrates are both linked to rhabdomyolysis and elevated liver enzyme activity, which can be life-threatening in some cases [10–12].

In China, herbal medicines have been commonly used as an alternative approach for treating hyperlipidemia [13]. Berberine, an isoquinoline derivative alkaloid isolated from several Chinese herbal medicines, such as Rhizoma Coptidis (Latin name: Coptis chinensis Franch, Ranunculaceae; Chinese name: Huang Lian), Amur Corktree Bark (Latin name: Cortex Phellodendri; Chinese name: Huang Bo), and Chinese Mahonia Stem (Latin name: Caulis Mahoniae; Chinese name: Gong Lao Mu), has been found to have beneficial effects on blood lipids [14]. A number of clinical trials have also been published on this subject in medical journals over the past 20 years. However, the findings have been inconsistent. Most studies have reported that berberine had beneficial effects on hyperlipidemia, while a few studies found that berberine had no effect on blood lipid concentrations [15]. It is also unclear if there is any therapeutic difference between the use of berberine alone and its use in combination with other lipid-lowering agents. The safety of long-term berberine intake in hyperlipidemic patients is also unknown. In this paper, we performed a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials to systematically review the potential roles and long-term effects of berberine in the regulation of blood lipids.

### Materials and Methods

To ensure the rigor of our systemic review and meta-analysis, we designed and reported our findings using a checklist of items in accordance with the “Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)” statement as far as possible [16].

#### Search strategy

We searched the following electronic databases to identify trials: Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library, the China Hospital Knowledge Database (CHKD), and the Wanfang database. Databases of ongoing trials were also searched. All the above databases were searched from the available date of inception until the latest issue (April 2012). No language restriction was used.

We combined different search strategies as follows: for the English databases (Medline and Embase), we used the MeSH terms and free text words “berberine” and “lipids” and “human”; for the Cochrane Library, we used the free text words “berberine” and “lipids”; for the Chinese databases (CHKD and Wanfang), we searched for the free text words “Huang Lian Su” or “Xiao Bo Jian” (which are both the alternative names of a single chemical entity of berberine in Chinese) and “Xue Zhi” or “Zhi Xue” (which means blood lipids in Chinese) in abstracts. A filter for clinical trials was applied. The reference lists of the included trials were also searched to identify additional studies.

### Selection criteria

We included RCTs irrespective of blinding, publication status, or language. Studies were selected for analysis if they met the following criteria: 1) the subjects consumed a single chemical entity of berberine alone or with other pharmaceutical agents for at least 4 weeks; 2) the study was an RCT with either a parallel or a crossover design; 3) berberine was used as the active treatment intervention; and 4) the effects of berberine on blood lipid profiles (including TC, TG, LDL-C, and HDL-C) could be extracted from the report. There was no restriction on the type of disease that the trials aimed to study. However, no intervention difference was identified between the active treatment and control groups other than berberine intake. Therefore, a treatment group and a no berberine control group were included in each of the trials.

“Berberine”, in this review, refers to the single chemical entity of berberine formulated as capsules or tablets. Therefore, trials using any berberine-containing herbs and herbal extracts were excluded. We also excluded case reports and studies without a control group. For obvious duplicate studies, authors of reports were contacted to clarify uncertainty. If the author could not be contacted, the first published report was regarded as the original. Studies were also excluded if the dose of berberine was not provided. RCTs without a clear description of blood lipid profiles, particularly those with insufficient data to allow calculation of the net change in blood lipids and their variances from baseline to the end of follow-up, were also excluded from our analysis. Two independent reviewers selected articles. A flow diagram of study selection was generated according to the PRISMA requirements.

### Data extraction and management

Data were extracted independently by two reviewers, and any disagreements were resolved by consensus, or if necessary by a third reviewer. Study quality was independently assessed using the Jadad 5-point score plus allocation concealment for RCTs [17]. The Jadad score included method of randomization (0–2 points), double blinding (0–2 points), and description of withdrawals or dropouts (0–1 point). Allocation concealment was assessed by the criteria adopted from the Cochrane Handbook [18] and Schulz et al. [19]. Studies with a Jadad score of 3 or above were regarded as high quality.

We selected the following lipid biomarkers as outcomes: TC, TG, LDL-C, and HDL-C. Adverse effects were also pooled from the studies. In the trials which adopted a three- or four-armed group design, the outcomes of the groups were extracted when they met the inclusion criteria. Otherwise, the outcomes were discarded. In cases where the outcomes were ambiguous or missing in the article, the authors were contacted. If the authors could not be contacted, the decision to extract the data was resolved by consensus.

### Data synthesis and analysis

The effects of berberine intake on blood lipids were calculated as differences between the treatment groups and the no berberine control group using Review Manager 5.1 meta-analysis software [20]. For parallel trials, the net change in blood lipids was calculated as the mean difference (berberine minus control) of the change (endpoint minus baseline) in blood lipids. Because the variances for net changes in blood lipids were not reported directly in most studies, they were calculated from CI for the berberine and control groups. We calculated MD and the 95% CI for...
continuous data. Heterogeneity was evaluated using the chi-square test, tau² test, and the Higgins $I^2$ test. We presented the results based on a fixed-effect model when the studies in the group were sufficiently similar ($p > 0.10$). Otherwise, a random-effects model was used. The overall effect was tested using a Z score with significance set at $p < 0.05$. Funnel plots, Egger’s regression asymmetry test, and Begg’s test were used to detect publication bias using Stata 12.0 software. We conducted subgroup analyses to determine whether the use of berberine alone or with statins had different effects on lipid parameters. We also performed sensitivity analyses by repeating the analysis, this time taking into account the risk of bias and excluding any very long or large studies. Furthermore, we tested the robustness of the results by repeating the analysis using different statistical models.

**Results**

**Study selection:** The process of study selection is depicted in Fig. 1. According to the prespecified selection criteria described in the Methods section, thirteen RCTs involving the effects of berberine on blood lipids were identified. Two trials [21,22] were excluded. One [21] of the included trials consisted of a three-arm, parallel-group, randomized control trial to compare the effects of berberine, simvastatin, and the combination of both drugs on blood lipids in patients with hyperlipidemia. However, we excluded this trial based on untrustworthy data concerning the HDL-C concentration, which remained unchanged at 0, 1, 3, and 6 months after simvastatin intake. We excluded another trial [22] based on the similarity of the TC and LDL-C data in the same group. Eleven RCTs met our inclusion criteria, and the characteristics of the trials are summarized in Table 1. Together, those trials included a total of 874 patients.

**Description of the studies:** The included studies were published as full text between 2004 and 2012. All RCTs originated from China. Four studies were published in English [14,23–25], and the remaining seven studies were published in Chinese [26–32]. Ten trials were performed as single center trials, while one study [24] was a multicenter trial. As shown in Table 1, four studies [26,27,29,31] enrolled patients with type 2 diabetes. One study enrolled patients with type 2 diabetes and hyperlipidemia [24]. One study [28] enrolled patients with impaired glucose tolerance and hyperlipidemia. Two studies enrolled patients with hyperlipidemia [30,32]. Two studies [14,23] enrolled patients with polycystic ovary syndrome and insulin resistance.

**Intervention and controls:** Six studies randomized participants to receive berberine alone or with a co-intervention of lifestyle changes versus a control of placebo with or without lifestyle changes. Two trials compared a co-intervention of berberine and oral hypoglycemics (metformin, glipizide, or glimepiride) with a control of the same hypoglycemics. Two trials compared a co-in-
tervention of berberine and simvastatin with a control of simva-
statin. One trial compared a co-intervention of berberine and cy-
proterone acetate with a control of placebo and cyproterone ace-
tate.

There were two types of berberine formulation used in the in-
cluded trials, consisting of berberine chloride tablets (used in
by intention-to-treat analysis. Practical and methodological limitations
made it impossible to perform a meta-analysis of all included studies.
Instead, we performed two subgroup analyses. One subgroup was the use of berberine alone or combined
with lifestyle modification versus the control group. The second
subgroup was the coadministration of berberine and simvastatin
versus the control of simvastatin alone. Therefore, six trials [14,
24,27–29,32] were included in the first subgroup, and two trials
[23,30] were included in the second subgroup.

Table 1 Characteristics of included trials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Primary diagnosis</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Intervention and Berberine dose</th>
<th>Duration (wks)</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kong 2004</td>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>HC</td>
<td>BBR (0.5 g twice daily)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, AE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li 2007</td>
<td>[26]</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>BBR (0.3 g three times daily)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, AE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cao 2007</td>
<td>[27]</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>BBR (0.5 g three times daily), LM</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, AE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ju 2007</td>
<td>[28]</td>
<td>HL, IGT</td>
<td>BBR (0.2 g three times daily)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, AE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang 2008</td>
<td>[29]</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>BBR (0.3 g three times daily), LM</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, AE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kong 2008</td>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>HC</td>
<td>BBR (0.5 g twice daily)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, AE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang 2008</td>
<td>[24]</td>
<td>DM, HL</td>
<td>BBR (0.5 g twice daily), LM</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, AE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zheng 2009</td>
<td>[30]</td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>BBR (0.4 g three times daily), SIM</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, AE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ye 2010</td>
<td>[31]</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>BBR (0.5 g three times daily), MET, GLIM</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, AE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhou 2011</td>
<td>[32]</td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>BBR (0.3 g three times daily), LM</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, AE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wei 2012</td>
<td>[25]</td>
<td>PCOS, IR</td>
<td>BBR (0.5 g three times daily), CPA, LM</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, AE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: AE, adverse effect; BBR, berberine; CPA, cyproterone acetate; DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; GLIM, glimepiride; GLIP, glipizide; HC, hypercholesterolemia; HL, hyperlipidemia; IGT, impaired glucose tolerance; IR, insulin resistance; LM, lifestyle modification; MET, metformin; PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome; PL, placebo; SIM, simvastatin

Objectives and outcomes: All the included trials were performed to evaluate anti-dyslipidemic and/or hypoglycemic effects of
berberine. The outcomes reported were mainly surrogate parame-
ters, including blood lipids and/or glucose levels. Adverse effects
were reported in ten trials. All the reported outcomes were
measured from the baseline to the end of the intervention. Ten trials performed treated-per-protocol analysis and one [24] performed
intention-to-treat analysis.

Quality of the included studies: Most of the included trials in this
meta-analysis were of poor quality (Jadad score < 3), suggesting a
high risk of bias. Only two trials [24,25] were of high quality, as
indicated by adequate random sequence generation, adequate al-
location concealment, and described withdrawal or dropouts. An
adequate double blind design was also performed in one of these
two trials [24].

Publication bias: Visual inspection of the funnel plot showed that
there were no significant publication biases for the comparisons
of TC, TG, LDL-C, and HDL-C (data not shown). We also used Eg-
ger's linear regression test to assess publication bias statistically.
As shown in Fig. 2, 95% confidence intervals for the intercept
included zero, and the calculated p values exceeded 0.05 for all
the comparisons among the studies (TC, p = 0.077; TG, p = 0.790;
LDL-C, p = 0.097; HDL-C, p = 0.443). Therefore, no publication bias
was detected in the included studies.

The effect of berberine on blood lipid levels: Pooled estimates of the
effects of treatment on the blood lipid concentrations are sum-
marized in Fig. 3. The statistical heterogeneity among studies
was found to be significant with respect to the results for blood
TC and TG (p < 0.10). The pooled results showed a significant
difference between the berberine-treated group and the control
group. Berberine was significantly better than the control in
terms of improving blood TC (p < 0.00001; MD = −0.61 mmol/L;
95% CI = −0.83 to −0.39) and TG (p < 0.0001; MD = −0.50 mmol/L;
95% CI = −0.69 to −0.31). There was no statistical heterogeneity
among trials with respect to LDL-C and HDL-C (p > 0.10). Com-
pared with the control group, those taking berberine showed sig-
nificantly better results for LDL-C (p < 0.00001; MD = −0.65 mmol/
L; 95% CI = −0.76 to −0.54) and HDL-C (p = 0.001; MD = 0.05 mmol/L;
95% CI = 0.02 to 0.09).

Subgroup and sensitivity analyses: To better explain the effect
of berberine on blood lipids, we performed two subgroup analyses.
The first subgroup was the use of berberine alone or combined
with lifestyle modification versus the control group. The second
subgroup was the coadministration of berberine and simvastatin
versus the control of simvastatin alone. Therefore, six trials [14,
24,27–29,32] were included in the first subgroup, and two trials
[23,30] were included in the second subgroup.
As shown in Fig. 4, berberine has a better efficacy than the control in terms of lowering blood TC (p = 0.0010; MD = −0.56 mmol/L; 95% CI = −0.89 to −0.23), TG (p < 0.0001; MD = −0.67 mmol/L; 95% CI = −0.78 to −0.57), LDL-C (p < 0.0001; MD = −0.68 mmol/L; 95% CI = −0.80 to −0.56), and increasing HDL-C (p = 0.004; MD = 0.06 mmol/L; 95% CI 0.02 to 0.09). Significant statistical heterogeneity was only identified in the TC endpoint analysis (p < 0.10). As shown in Fig. 5, adding berberine to simvastatin therapy significantly lowered blood TC (p = 0.02; MD = −0.95 mmol/L; 95% CI = −1.74 to −0.16), TG (p = 0.02; MD = −0.58 mmol/L; 95% CI = −1.06 to −0.09), and LDL-C (p = 0.02; MD = −0.61 mmol/L; 95% CI = −1.13 to −0.09). However, no effect on HDL-C (p = 0.56; MD = −0.07 mmol/L; 95% CI = −0.32 to 0.17) was found with coadministration of berberine and simvastatin compared with administration of simvastatin alone. Significant statistical heterogeneity was also observed in the TC endpoint analysis (p < 0.10).

No noteworthy changes in any of the study endpoints were noted after conducting sensitivity analyses.

Adverse effects: Ten trials reported outcomes for adverse effects. Eight trials [14,23,24,26,27,29–31] stated that they asked the patients directly about the adverse effects while two trials [25, 28] did not state this. In three of these trials [23,27,30], no adverse effects were reported during the berberine treatment. The rest of the trials mentioned in detail that adverse effects occurred in the berberine intervention group. Four trials [14,24,29,31] reported mild to moderate constipation in a few participants who received berberine that was, however, relieved with or without dose reduction. One trial [26] reported that a few patients developed mild diarrhea caused by the intake of berberine, but they did not describe the population data in detail. Another trial [28] reported one case of abdominal distention after berberine intake. Finally, yet another trial [25] reported that three subjects who received berberine complained of a bitter taste in their mouth. No other side effects were observed in the included trials. There was also no significant difference between the berberine and the control groups regarding the incidence of adverse effects.

Discussion

In traditional Chinese medicine, hyperlipidemia falls into the category of symptoms caused by excess dampness. Increasing evidence indicates that berberine-containing herbs that remove accumulated pathogenic dampness are useful in treating hyperlipidemia [33, 34]. These berberine-containing herbs are usually prescribed with other herbs and should always be administered as decoctions. For the variance in herbal prescription and the poor compliance of the patients (they should make a herbal decoction every day), the quality of clinical trials is difficult to be guaranteed. In contrast, isolated berberine, in the form of tablets and capsules, assures the quality control in clinical trials. In China, doctors often recommend tablets and capsules of herbal extracts for the convenience of ingestion. This is the reason why isolated berberine is selected in our meta-analysis. However, the difference in dampness removing or hypolipidemic effects between berberine-containing herbs and isolated berberine has rarely been reported.

As far as we know, our meta-analysis is the first quantitative review of randomized clinical trials yielding information on the effects of berberine on blood lipids. Eleven RCTs with a total of 874 Chinese patients were included. Participants were mainly patients with hyperlipidemia, type 2 diabetes mellitus, or both diseases. The results showed that berberine intake was associated with a significant decrease in blood TC (0.61 mmol/L), TG (0.50 mmol/L), and LDL-C (0.65 mmol/L) concentrations compared with the control group. A small but significant increase in blood HDL-C (0.05 mmol/L) concentration after berberine treatment was also found. This beneficial effect did not change when sensitivity analyses were performed. Subgroup analyses also yielded no changes in our conclusions, except for those concerning HDL-C. However, we should be cautious in interpreting this inconsistency in the HDL-C findings due to the limited number of trials included. Overall, our review indicates that berberine is effective at controlling blood lipid levels.

According to the NCEP ATP III 2004 update [35], LDL-C is the primary target of lipid-lowering therapy. The ATP III treatment algorithm also established different goals for LDL-lowering therapy based on the risk categories of the individual patient. Unfortu-
Fig. 3 Meta-analyses of the effects of berberine on blood lipids. Forest plots of berberine treatment versus control. Note: TG, triglycerides; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. (Color figure available online only.)
nately, the information about these risk categories that was included in the trials we examined was insufficient; thus, we do not know whether the patients receiving berberine therapy reached the LDL-C goals. However, a large number of RCTs have demonstrated that effective LDL-C reduction reduces the risk for coronary heart disease. For every 30 mg/dL or 0.78 mmol/L change in LDL-C, the relative risk for coronary heart disease decreases by approximately 30% [35]. Thus, the 25 mg/dL (0.65 mmol/L) LDL-C reduction observed in our meta-analysis is not only statistically significant but also likely to be clinically important. Similar LDL-C reductions were also found in subgroup analyses when berberine was used either alone (yielding a 26 mg/dL or 0.67 mmol/L LDL-C reduction) or added to statin therapy (yielding a 24 mg/dL or 0.61 mmol/L LDL-C reduction). These observations may assist us to draw a more solid conclusion about the efficacy of berberine in blood lipid control.

Herb-drug interactions might be a topic of concern if we recommend a co-intervention with berberine and statins for the treatment of hyperlipidemia. In recent years, the interactions between berberine/berberine-containing products and CYPs have been extensively reported. The results indicated that, at commonly recommended doses, berberine does not induce or inhibit the activity or gene expression of the CYPs. High doses of berberine may inhibit some types of CYPs, such as CYP3A11 and CYP3A25 [36, 37]. Repeated oral administration of berberine (0.3 g three times daily) decreased CYP2D6, CYP2C9, and CYP3A4 activities in healthy subjects [38]. Because the doses of berberine used in the studies included here were similar to or even higher than this dose, herb-drug interactions should be considered. Although berberine generally appeared to be safe in the studies included here, a few side effects occurred. Gastrointestinal problems such as constipation, diarrhea, nausea, and abdominal distension were the most commonly reported side effects. After berberine intake, constipation was one of the most frequent gastrointestinal complaints; berberine has long been used to treat infectious diarrhea in China. However, these side effects were tolerable and were relieved after reducing the dose of berberine. No other serious adverse events were reported in the trials that reported safety data. However, the safety of using berberine in hyperlipidemic patients requires further evaluation, as the number of the trials included was limited. Some limitations of this meta-analysis should be noted. First, all the participants were recruited from Chinese populations, indicating a risk of selection bias. This could affect the applicability of the interventions to populations from other ethnic origins. Second, three trials [14, 23, 30] originated from the same group of investigators, suggesting a lack of independence in authorship. Although the authors, upon being contacted, declared that the trial participants were different in all of these studies, the inclusion of trials from the same authors has a high risk of bias. Third, most of the included studies were of poor quality in terms of their design, reporting, and methodology. We only identified one multicenter RCT [24] that used a double blind, adequate randomization and allocation concealment in the trials included. Nine of the trials included did not use blinding and allocation concealment completely. As we know, if participants are not blinded, knowledge of group assignment may affect responses to the intervention [39]. Furthermore, inadequate allocation concealment may lead to exaggerated estimates of treatment effect [40]. Fourth, there was a considerable heterogeneity among the trials, particularly with respect to TC (p = 0.03) and TG (p = 0.001). We think that differences in the participant characterististics, intervention methods, and treatment durations may have contributed to this heterogeneity. Taking this into consideration, the conclusions in our review should be carefully interpreted due to the substantial methodological quality of the randomized trials, we suggest that more RCTs are needed if berberine is to be recommended for clinical use in the treatment of hyperlipidemia. Additionally, because reducing cardiovascular risk factors is the major focus of treating hyperlipidemia, further studies are required to investigate the effectiveness of berberine on cardiovascular risk factors other than blood lipids.
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