Is Patient Education About Adhesions a Requirement in Abdominopelvic Surgery?

Besteht bei abdominopelvinen Operationen eine Pflicht zur Aufklärung über Adhäsionen?

Abstract

Background: Over the past decades, our knowledge and understanding of adhesions and adhesion-related complications has increasingly grown and it has become evident that adhesions have significant implications for patients, physicians and the healthcare system. The question arises whether this has resulted in greater awareness of adhesion-related problems among practicing physicians and appropriate patient education on this topic in daily practice. The following article provides a brief overview of the important subject of adhesions, discusses current awareness of adhesions among patients and doctors and addresses the consequences of failure to provide patient education and consent from a medical perspective.

Methods: Selective literature searches were conducted in PubMed and the Cochrane Library. A patient information and consent form was developed based on several years’ experience and expertise in the field of adhesions.

Results: Adhesions are the most common type of complication in abdominopelvic surgery today, with devastating consequences for some patients. Surveys investigating the awareness of adhesions among physicians and patients clearly showed that even well-informed physicians fail to educate their patients adequately. Such failure could potentially lead to successful medical malpractice lawsuits against doctors.

Conclusion: Considering their clear clinical impact, adhesions and related consequences should always be discussed with patients preoperatively. A newly developed consent form that specifically addresses adhesion formation may serve to thoroughly educate patients preoperatively and to adequately document the process of doing so.

Zusammenfassung


Adhesions following surgery have long been known, and the first scientific paper on the subject was written more than 100 years ago [1]. However, an understanding of the fundamental pathomechanisms involved has only been achieved over the last decades. Numerous studies have been performed on the consequences of adhesions for patients as well as on the associated implications for the health system [2–4]. The results of these studies are clear and pose the question of whether the scientific work of the last few decades has influenced awareness of adhesions in daily practice. In particular, surgeons who perform abdominopelvic operations should have a specific interest in the subject since patients should be educated as a matter of course on high-incidence complications. The incidence of adhesions varies from study to study and lies generally between 20 and 93 percent [5–10]. Adhesions can currently be considered the most commonly recorded complication following abdominopelvic surgery. The present article provides a short overview and pays particular attention to the level of awareness of adhesions among physicians and patients. The potential legal consequences of patient education and consent are discussed, and a patient information form developed by the authors is proposed.

Formation of Adhesions

Adhesions are bands of connective tissue which join two normally separate anatomical structures. As a reaction to a peritoneal trauma, for instance due to inflammation (inflammatory processes) or surgery, increased vessel permeability occurs during normal healing, resulting in a migration of inflammatory cells and accumulation of fibrin. Among others, fibroblasts also migrate into the fibrin matrix where they produce extracellular matrix components, thereby modifying the fibrin matrix. The accumulated fibrin can normally be broken down by the fibrinolytic system. However, if the fibrin is not degraded due to reduced fibrinolysis, the fibrin matrix becomes the basis for adhesion formation [11,12]. In a further process, the initially reversible connective tissue bands can undergo stable reconstruction and become vascularised and innervated [13,14]. The formation of adhesions is a complex process involving changes in the fibrinolytic system itself and the various cytokines which influence the fibrinolytic system [15,16]. Hypoxia is an important factor. Studies on fibroblasts have shown that collagen synthesis is stimulated under hypoxia conditions, and factors in the fibrinolytic system are influenced in terms of antifibrinolytic activity [17,18]. Other influencing factors are the cytokines released during an inflammatory reaction. Increased concentrations of proinflammatory cytokines are found in the peritoneal fluid of patients with adhesions [19,20]. These cytokines are capable of stimulating the fibrinolytic system factors, thereby causing an antifibrinolytic effect [21,22]. In abdominopelvic surgery, an inflammatory reaction as well as hypoxia can be more marked as a result of an extensive surgical trauma, peritoneal sutures or the use of electrocoagulation. Moreover, laparoscopic interventions can result in damage to the peritoneum through a negative effect of the pneumoperitoneum on the peritoneal cells or dehydration of the cells when using dry insufflation gas. The formation of adhesions is thus stimulated [23–26].

Complications Resulting From Adhesions

Adhesions form within 3–5 days; however, complications associated with the adhesions often become clinically relevant and indicate symptoms only some time after the initial operation. One of the most significant complications is mechanical ileus, with adhesions representing the most common etiology. A recent review of 29 studies investigating the causes of acute ileus indicated that adhesions accounted for 85% of acute small bowel obstructions. In general, acute ileus is responsible for 5% of hospital admissions, and 50% of these cases are treated surgically [27]. Furthermore, adhesions can have a negative impact on fertility. However, only a few studies, some of which are older, have been conducted on the influence of adhesions on fertility [28–30]. Two small, prospective studies have indicated that the use of an adhesion barrier resulted in a higher pregnancy rate in comparison with the control group [31,32]. Other complications caused by adhesions include the development of chronic pelvic pain [33] as well as difficult and prolonged subsequent surgeries with the risk of inadvertent damage to the intestine, blood vessels or urethra [3,34]. The considerable costs of treating complications caused by adhesions constitute a burden on the health system [4,35]. Considering the significant consequences of adhesions, it is essential that surgeons performing abdominopelvic operations establish a strategy for preventing adhesions. Patients should be informed as a matter of course on the risks associated with adhesions and ways of minimising these risks.

Prevention of Adhesions

In addition to meticulous surgical techniques and careful handling of connective tissues, strategies for minimising the risk of adhesion formation include reduced suturing and minimised tissue trauma by limited use of electrocoagulation. Coagulation time and frequency should be reduced, and aerosolised tissue arising from electrocoagulation should be aspirated. Foreign bodies, such as materials with loose fibres, should be avoided. The use of wet instead of dry cloths or sponges and the use of starch-free and latex-free gloves during laparotomy are recommended measures. A reduction of pressure and duration of the pneumoperitoneum during laparoscopy can limit the occurrence of adhesions. The peritoneum should be thoroughly rinsed during laparoscopy as well as laparotomy in order to reduce dehydration of the peritoneal cells [36].

Currently there is no certain way of predicting which operations and which patients will present with symptomatic adhesions. Adhesion prophylaxis should be considered in the form of antiadhesion devices following abdominopelvic surgery. Currently no systemic pharmaceutical products are available for reducing adhesions. All available products are designed to mechanically separate the injured tissue from the surrounding organs during the healing process. There is no doubt that these products can in principle reduce adhesions following abdominopelvic surgery. However, current data do not clearly indicate the factor by which a reduction is possible because the reductions achieved vary depending on the device used and the study undertaken. A large, randomised, double-blind study (n = 402) comparing the use of an adhesion barrier with a purely Ringer lactate solution instillation indicated a significant difference in the reduction of new adhesions. In the treatment group (n = 203), 47% of patients developed new adhesions compared with 57% in the control group.
In this study, clinical success was defined as a reduction in the number of sites of adhesion occurrence of at least 3 or 30% following adhesiolysis. In the treatment group, clinical success was recorded in 49% of patients compared with 38% in the control group. In the subgroup of infertile female patients, 55% in the treatment group (n = 102) indicated clinical success compared with 33% in the control group (n = 112) [37]. A further large, prospective study (n = 546) investigated the efficacy of the adhesion barrier following myomectomy. The incidence of adhesions was assessed in a second-look laparoscopy and indicated the following: abdominal myomectomy without adhesion barrier (28.1%; n = 154), laparoscopic myomectomy without adhesion barrier (22.6%; n = 155), abdominal myomectomy with adhesion barrier (22%; n = 154) and laparoscopic myomectomy with adhesion barrier (15.9%; n = 157) [6]. Other studies have been the subject of meta-analyses [38,39]. Due to uncertainty of the efficacy of anti-adhesion agents, it is difficult for physicians to decide on which agent to use. Moreover, many adhesion barriers are still very expensive and are seldom reimbursed by health funds. A validated, scientific re-evaluation of the efficacy of anti-adhesion products, combined with a thorough patient education are urgently needed in order to establish the use of these products.

Physician Awareness

Questions are increasingly being asked about adhesion awareness on the part of the physicians and patients. One might expect that the results of experimental and clinical studies during the past decades would have increased awareness among physicians, and that patients are being better informed about adhesions. The following paragraphs provide an overview of three studies on physician awareness in relation to adhesions. Surgically active gynaecologists in Germany [40] and Great Britain [41] as well as general surgeons and gynaecologists in The Netherlands [42] were surveyed. In Germany, a questionnaire was sent to all gynaecological clinics and was completed by the respective head physician or one of the specialists. The results of the study indicated a high level of awareness by German gynaecologists. The response rate was 34% (279/833), suggesting that the questionnaires tended to be completed by physicians with a specific interest in the subject. The majority of surveyed gynaecologists (66%) confirmed that patients with adhesions made up a significant proportion of their daily surgical activities. Although over 60% of the respondents agreed that adhesions were accompanied by considerable morbidity in their patients, only 38% used adhesion barriers in routine practice [40]. In Great Britain, the questionnaire was sent to all members of the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, with a response rate of 10% (390/4010). About 94% of the surveyed gynaecologists confirmed that adhesions gave rise to serious consequences and agreed that adhesion barriers should be used in operations with a high risk of adhesion formation. 65% of the respondents were even of the opinion that adhesion barriers should be used in all operations. When asked about their current anti-adhesion strategy, however, less than 10% indicated the use of adhesion barriers in their daily routine [41]. The response rate from the Netherlands was 34% (501/1455) and showed a similar outcome: 67% of those surveyed confirmed the clinical relevance of adhesions, but only 13% had used an anti-adhesion agent during the year preceding the survey [42].

In summary, the majority of surgeons were relatively well informed with regard to the individual survey questions, but only a minority actually used adhesion barriers in routine practice. This result emphasizes the difficulty in evaluating the benefits of anti-adhesion agents and operations performed according to anti-adhesion standards. The studies also indicated that even the surveyed surgeons do not inform their patients sufficiently. Only 10% of the surgeons in the Netherlands stated that they informed their patients about adhesions as a matter of routine, while 41% stated that they had never mentioned adhesions to their patients. In Great Britain, only 23% of gynaecologists informed their patients prior to all operations and 38% prior to some operations. Only 2% of the respondents offered their patients written information on the subject [40–42]. In Germany, 83% of the gynaecologists surveyed informed their patients on adhesions. However, a recent prospective study investigating the process of patient education in a German university clinic indicated that adhesions were discussed in only 44% of consultations. If adhesions are not routinely discussed prior to all abdominopelvic operations, despite the fact that they are common and can have serious consequences, other sources are the only possibility to increase patient awareness of the issue. Ideally, however, the best source of information should be the consultation between doctor and patient.

Patient Awareness and Legal Consequences

A recent study of the awareness of adhesion by patients conducted in two hospitals in Great Britain and in one German university medical centre corroborated the hypothesis that patients are not being sufficiently educated on adhesions [43]. In Germany, 44% of doctors at the university medical centre discussed adhesions during their patient consultations, but only 39% of these patients felt satisfactorily informed. In Great Britain, 27% of patients were informed about adhesions during consultations. The authors assumed that the variation in percentages between Germany and Great Britain was due to the difference in type of consultation. Since no written consent form is used in Great Britain, the authors assumed that doctors often forgot to discuss adhesions. In Germany, on the contrary, written consent forms are used in which adhesions are listed together with other complications. By virtue of this fact, the information rates indicated in Germany are to be considered particularly low. Nevertheless, the study showed that patients who had been informed about adhesions indicated their doctor had been the main source of information. This further emphasizes the importance of consultations [43]. An information form specifically aimed at discussing the problem of adhesions is a very useful aid for patient and doctor during a consultation. For the patient, a form written in clear and simple language can help prepare for the discussion with the doctor. For doctors, the routine use of a form can be a reminder to discuss the risk of adhesions with the patient and can provide professional information with which to correctly inform the patient. Professor De Wilde has worked in collaboration with the medical publisher Perimed Fachbuch Verlag to develop an information form focused on adhesions in abdominopelvic operations, see Fig. 1. An information form can and should never be a substitute for a personal consultation. Nevertheless, it offers patients important information and can serve as evidence for the physician at any time, especially in cases of legal disputes, that the patient was informed about adhesions and the associated complications.
Guidelines can prompt physicians to discuss serious complications during each consultation, even when the probability of occurrence is low. Less serious complications must be discussed if their occurrence is common [44]. Since a precedence setting case in Great Britain, physicians are deemed negligent if they do not inform patients of complications with a risk of over 1–2% [45]. Depending on the operation, the 5-year risk of a hospital admission due to complications caused by adhesions lies well over the 1–2% risk factor [46]. Nevertheless, a study conducted in Great Britain indicates that complications caused by adhesions are only noted on 9% of information forms [47].

The number of successful lawsuits due to adhesion-related complications is increasing [48]. It is in the interests of surgeons, therefore, to be prepared for potential claims and to be in a position to provide evidence that patients are routinely informed on possible complications from adhesions. This is particularly important since a reversal of evidence may be imposed by law in Germany by virtue of which the physician is obliged to provide proof of informing the patient [49].

**Conclusion**

Adhesions are of major clinical significance and should be considered to be the most frequent complication following abdominopelvic surgery. Although physicians consider the issue important, a considerable number of patients are not being routinely informed about adhesions and the potential associated complications. It is in the interests of patients and physicians to educate patients on the risks of adhesions and on the currently available preventive measures. Although the information form cannot and should not be a substitute for a personal consultation, it is a very useful communication aid between physician and patient and serves as documentary evidence of the consultation.

**Five Key Statements**

- Today, adhesions are the most common complications following abdominopelvic operations.
- Adhesions potentially have serious consequences for patients, such as acute small bowel obstruction, infertility, chronic pelvic pain and complications during follow-on operations.
- A sound surgical technique and the use of laparoscopy cannot totally prevent the formation of adhesions; the use of adhesion barriers in abdominopelvic operations should be considered.
- Currently, patients are not being sufficiently informed about adhesions and the potential resulting complications; this may lead to successful lawsuits against treating physicians.
- Prior to any abdominal operation, patients should be routinely informed about adhesions by means of a written consent form.

---

**Fig. 1** Perimed information form.
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