Pancreaticobiliary obstruction following duodenal stent placement

A 58-year-old woman underwent endoscopic management of malignant duodenal obstruction secondary to a pancreatic head mass. Baseline laboratory tests demonstrated mild transaminasemia without cholestasis. On endoscopy, a malignant stricture was seen at the distal end of the bulb, and fluoroscopic images verified involvement of the proximal 2 cm of the descending portion. A through-the-scope 22×60-mm duodenal self-expandable metal stent (SEMS; WallFlex, Boston Scientific, Natick, Massachusetts, USA) was deployed, with no immediate complications observed (Fig. 1). The patient subsequently complained of new-onset epigastric pain, and laboratory analysis revealed acute pancreatitis, progressive cholestasis, transaminasemia, and mild leukocytosis (Fig. 2). The enteral stent was retrieved with minimal mucosal trauma. Over the next 48 hours the epigastric pain improved and laboratory results started to return to normal. The patient underwent an uncomplicated pancreaticoduodenectomy, and surgical pathology revealed invasive pancreatic adenocarcinoma with direct invasion of the duodenum, though no pancreaticobiliary or ampullary involvement.

Severe complications of duodenal stents are rare, whereas errors of deployment, migration, and stent obstruction occur more frequently [1–3]. Although pancreaticobiliary obstruction has been documented, direct tumor invasion of the ductal system has consistently been implicated [4,5]. In the present case, clinical and laboratory evidence of obstructive cholestasis and acute pancreatitis were temporally consistent with stent-induced ampullary compression, which was further supported by a surgical specimen with no findings of ductal involvement.

The majority of approved enteral stents employ an uncovered self-expanding wire mesh, and recent data demonstrate equivalent efficacy and 8-week patency between covered and uncovered SEMSs in patients with malignant pyloric obstruction [6]. However, the overall clinical experience with covered duodenal SEMS is limited, and we suspect that covered SEMS may induce more pancreaticobiliary obstruction. In practice, the wire mesh of uncovered SEMSs is likely to compress the major papilla, although the orifice presumably remains patent in the majority of cases, perhaps due in part to sphincter resistance. We propose that a confluence of factors led to ampullary obstruction in the present case, including mechanical stent compression of a compromised periductal anatomy (Fig. 3).

The frequency of such a complication is likely to be underestimated and underappreciated, given the high number of
individuals who undergo prophylactic or therapeutic pancreaticobiliary stent deployment due to current or impending direct malignant extension.
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