Efficacy and Tolerability of a Once Daily Formulation of *Ginkgo biloba* Extract EGB 761® in Alzheimer’s Disease and Vascular Dementia: Results from a Randomised Controlled Trial
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Abstract

Introduction: A 24-week randomised controlled trial was conducted to assess the efficacy of a 240mg once-daily preparation of *Ginkgo biloba* extract EGB 761® in 404 outpatients ≥50 years diagnosed with mild to moderate dementia (SKT 9–23), Alzheimer’s disease (AD) or vascular dementia (VaD), with neuropsychiatric features (NPI total score ≥5).

Methods: Separate analyses were performed for diagnostic subgroups (probable or possible AD; VaD).

Results: 333 patients were diagnosed with AD and 71 with VaD. EGB 761® treatment was superior to placebo with respect to the SKT total score (drug-placebo differences: 1.7 for AD, p<0.001, and 1.4 for VaD, p<0.05) and the NPI total score (drug-placebo differences: 3.1 for AD, p<0.001 and 3.2 for VaD, p<0.05). Significant drug-placebo differences were found for most secondary outcome variables with no major differences between AD and VaD subgroups. Rates of adverse events in EGB 761® and placebo groups were essentially similar.

Conclusion: EGB 761® improved cognitive functioning, neuropsychiatric symptoms and functional abilities in both types of dementia.

Introduction

Efficacy and safety of daily doses of 240mg *Ginkgo biloba* special extract EGB 761® in dementia have been demonstrated in a series of clinical trials and endorsed by systematic reviews [1–4]. EGB 761® is a scavenger of oxygen free radicals [5] that improves mitochondrial function [6,7], decreases blood viscosity and enhances microperfusion [8]. In preclinical models it has been found to inhibit the formation of synaptotoxic Aβ oligomers [9] to antagonise β-amyloid toxicity [10] and to stimulate neurogenesis via CREB phosphorylation [11,12].

Patient adherence to the prescribed dosage regimen, a prerequisite for clinical efficacy of any drug, increases with decreasing number of doses to be taken per day [13–15]. Therefore, a once-daily formulation of EGB 761® was developed and tested for efficacy and tolerability in a phase III clinical trial in patients with mild to moderate dementia [16]. The confirmatory analysis was performed for the total study sample including patients with probable Alzheimer’s disease (AD) according to the research diagnostic criteria specified by the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke together with the Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS/ADRDA) [17], probable vascular dementia (VaD) according to the diagnostic criteria published by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke together with the Association Internationale pour la Recherche et l’ Enseignement en Neurosciences (NINDS/AIREN) [18] or possible AD with cerebrovascular disease (CVD) according to the relevant subsets of these criteria.

Separate efficacy and safety analyses were performed for the subgroups with AD (including probable AD and possible AD with CVD) and VaD as specified a priori in the study protocol. The results of these subgroup analyses are reported here.

Methods

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (year 2000 revision) and the International Conference on Harmonization
Patients and methods have been reported in detail elsewhere [16]. Briefly, outpatients aged 50 years or above with mild to moderate dementia due to probable AD, possible AD with CVD or VaD were enrolled in this randomised, controlled, double-blind, multi-centre trial. The NINCDS/ADRDA research diagnostic criteria for probable or possible AD [17] and the NINDS/AIREN criteria for probable VaD or CVD [18] were applied to establish clinical diagnoses. A recent (1 year old at most) CT or MRI scan had to be consistent with the clinical diagnosis. The Test for the Early Detection of Dementia with Differentiation from Depression (TE4D) [19], which includes the Clock- Drawing Test (CDT), was used to prove cognitive impairment (cognitive score of 35 or lower, deficits in memory and at least one further cognitive domain). A total score on the SKT cognitive test battery [20] of 9–23 was required, which roughly corresponds to a range from 25 to 14 on the Mini Mental Status Examination (MMSE) or 17–35 on the cognitive subscale of the Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale (ADAS-cog) and covers the mild to moderate range of dementia severity. All patients had neuropsychiatric symptoms of dementia as indicated by a total score on the 12-item Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) [21] of at least 5 and at least one item score (other than delusion or hallucination) of at least 3. The patients were randomly allocated to receive 240 mg Egb 761® or placebo once daily for a period of 24 weeks. Patients with significant psychiatric (e.g., major depression or sub-syndromal depression with scores of 20 or above on the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression) or severe somatic disorders and patients needing any type of medication that could influence the test scores and ratings used for efficacy assessment (e.g., psychoactive drugs) were excluded from the study.

The SKT cognitive test battery and the 12-item NPI were defined as primary outcome measures. The SKT consists of 3 memory tasks scored by the number of items not remembered and 6 concentration tasks scored by the time used for completion [20, 22]. Using images rather than word lists for memory testing, the SKT is easy to use in international trials. Its validity across various cultures and languages, including Russian-speaking countries, has been demonstrated [23]. A culturally adapted, validated version of the NPI in Russian language is available and was used in this study. Secondary outcome measures were the NPI caregiver distress score, the Clinical Global Impression of Change as adapted by the Alzheimer’s Disease Cooperative Study (ADCS-CGIC) [24], the Alzheimer’s Disease Activities of Daily Living International Scale (ADL-IS) [25], the DEMQOL-proxy quality of life scale [26], and the Verbal Fluency Test (category fluency for animals as adapted by Mahoney [27]). Tests and scales were administered at baseline, after 12 and after 24 weeks of treatment. The scales were chosen to reflect meaningfulness of treatment effects in everyday life (ADL-IS, DEMQOL-Proxy, ADCS-CGIC) and caregiver distress (NPI).

For the purpose of the planned subgroup analyses reported here, classification of patients by type of dementia in accordance with NINCDS/ADRDA and NINDS/AIREN criteria was done by the investigators and verified by an independent neurologist experienced in dementia research who was blinded to treatment, but had access to all data relevant for diagnosis and classification of dementia.

The primary analysis of all randomised patients was performed using an analysis of covariance with the covariates treatment, centre and baseline values; it is reported elsewhere [16]. The methods of the pre-planned exploratory analyses of subgroups with different types of dementia were laid down in the statistical analysis plan which was fixed prior to unblinding. These results with means and 95% confidence intervals are reported here together with the respective p-value of the two-sided t-test for continuous variables and chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate, for categorical variables. As no method for formal control of the type-I error rate was ensured for these analyses, p-values have to be considered as explorative.

### Results

Of the 410 patients randomised, no efficacy data were available after baseline for 4 patients of the Egb 761® group and 2 patients of the placebo group. Hence, 404 patients (Egb 761®: 202; placebo: 202) were included in the intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis (full analysis set, FAS). Of these, 333 patients were diagnosed as having AD (probable AD or possible AD with CVD) and 71 had VaD. Demographic and baseline data are presented in Table 1.

Of those diagnosed with AD, 121 had probable AD and 212 had possible AD (with CVD). The average adherence to the drug...
regimen was above 99%; only 1 patient of the active treatment group and 2 patients of the placebo group were outside the acceptable range of 80–120%.

Changes from baseline in all primary and secondary outcome measures and broken down by type of dementia are shown in Table 2. Patients treated with EGb 761® improved by 1.4 points on average on the SKT irrespective of the type of dementia, whereas there was little or no change during placebo intake (Fig. 1). Regarding neuropsychiatric symptoms as well as caregiver distress related to these symptoms, patients with VaD seemed to respond more readily to EGb 761® treatment than those with AD, whereas there also was a slight improvement in the NPI total score in the VaD patients receiving placebo (Fig. 2). For all secondary outcome variables, except quality of life, significant improvement over placebo was found for EGb 761® in both diagnostic subgroups with no important differences in magnitude. Quality of life improvement under EGb 761® treatment in both the AD and VaD subgroups, however due to a strong placebo effect in the vascular subgroup this was significant only for the AD group. The results for the AD subgroup, broken down further by the absence (probable AD) or presence (possible AD with CVD) of CVD are shown in Table 3. There were no conspicuous differences in efficacy related to vascular pathology. Clinically meaningful improvements in cognitive functioning as indicated by a decrease in SKT scores by at least 3 points (which corresponds to approximately 4 points on the ADAS-cog [28]) were achieved under EGb 761® treatment in 53 out of 163 (33%) patients of the AD group (placebo: 24/170, 14%; p<0.001, Fisher’s exact test) and in 11 out of 39 (28%) patients of the VaD group (placebo: 6/32, 19%; p=0.412, Fisher’s exact test). With respect to neuropsychiatric symptoms, clinically relevant improvements by at least 4 points in the NPI total score [29] under EGb 761® treatment were observed in 70 out of 163 (43%) patients of the AD group (placebo: 38/170, 22%; p<0.001, Fisher’s exact test) and in 21 out of 39 (54%) patients of the VaD group (placebo: 10/32, 31%; p=0.092, Fisher’s exact test). According to independent interviewers’ global rating with the aid of the ADCS-CGIC, 52% of AD patients and 64% of VaD patients exhibited an overall improvement upon treatment with EGb 761® (placebo: 26% and 22%, respectively; p<0.001 for both comparisons, Fisher’s exact test). Details for the ADCS-CGIC categories are depicted in Fig. 3.

Table 2  Changes from baseline to week 24; means and 95 % confidence intervals; two-sided p-values for t-test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AD EGb 761® [n = 163]</th>
<th>Placebo [n = 170]</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>VaD EGb 761® [n = 39]</th>
<th>Placebo [n = 32]</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SKT total score</td>
<td>−1.4</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−1.4</td>
<td>−0.0</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPI total score</td>
<td>−2.9</td>
<td>+0.2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>−4.5</td>
<td>−1.3</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPI caregiver distress</td>
<td>−1.0</td>
<td>+0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−2.0</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADCS-CGIC</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL-5 mean score</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>−0.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>+0.09</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEMQOL-proxy total score</td>
<td>+3.3</td>
<td>+1.1</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>+3.5</td>
<td>+2.7</td>
<td>0.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal Fluency Test</td>
<td>+0.7</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>+0.8</td>
<td>−0.3</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1  Change in SKT total score from baseline over week 12 to week 24, means and lower (EGb 761®) or upper (placebo) half of the 95 %-CI; **p<0.001 for AD, #p<0.05 for VaD (t-test, drug-placebo comparisons).
Rates of adverse events (AE) were somewhat smaller under EGb 761® as compared to placebo in the AD subgroup with 181 AEs reported for 105 (63 %) patients treated with EGb 761® and 216 AEs observed in 120 (70 %) patients receiving placebo. With 74 AEs in 34 (85 %) patients treated with EGb 761® and 45 AEs in 21 (66 %) patients the rate of AEs was slightly higher in the active treatment group of the VaD subsample. The most frequently reported AEs were headache, respiratory tract infection, increased blood pressure and dizziness; frequencies were essentially similar between treatment groups. There were 4 serious AEs in 4 patients, one per subgroup and treatment. No major bleeding occurred in either group.

Discussion

In prospectively specified subgroup analyses we found essentially similar effects of EGb 761® treatment in AD and VaD. This is in line with findings from earlier randomised controlled trials of the same product at the same daily dose [30, 31]. One study did not seem to support efficacy in Alzheimer’s disease [32], yet the sample for that study was recruited from patients in the USA who did not take a cholinesterase inhibitor when those drugs were already widely prescribed there. Moreover, in contrast to the present study, patients with clinically significant neuropsychiatric symptoms were excluded. In a subgroup analysis of those patients who had at least some mild neuropsychiatric symptoms EGb 761® was found significantly superior to placebo in cognitive and global outcome measures [32]. Recruited from a population in which the prescription of cholinesterase inhibitors was uncommon and using relatively liberal eligibility criteria, our sample can be assumed to be fairly representative of the patients with dementia encountered in everyday practice.

The classification by type of dementia was based on widely accepted clinical criteria and CT or MRI. Considering that the clinical criteria are far from perfect [33-35] and that there was no central MRI reading, the possibility of a certain rate of misclassifications must be acknowledged. While the NINDS/AIREN criteria for probable VaD are quite stringent, ensuring high specificity but hampered by low sensitivity, distinguishing between probable AD and possible AD with cerebrovascular disease may sometimes be more

**Table 3** Changes from baseline to week 24; means and 95 % confidence intervals; two-sided p-values for t-test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Probable AD</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>AD with CVD</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EGb 761® [n=64]</td>
<td>Placebo [n=57]</td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>EGb 761® [n=99]</td>
<td>Placebo [n=113]</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKT total score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGb 761®</td>
<td>−1.1</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td>+0.2</td>
<td>+0.9</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>−1.5; −0.5</td>
<td>−0.3; +1.0</td>
<td>−1.1; −0.2</td>
<td>−2.2; −1.1</td>
<td>−0.2; +0.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPI total score</td>
<td>−3.0</td>
<td>+0.7</td>
<td>+0.4</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGb 761®</td>
<td>−4.8; −1.2</td>
<td>−1.3; +2.7</td>
<td>−2.5; −1.0</td>
<td>−3.8; −1.8</td>
<td>−1.0; +1.0</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>−2.2; −0.2</td>
<td>−0.8; +1.5</td>
<td>−0.9; −1.2</td>
<td>−1.4; −0.3</td>
<td>−0.2; +0.9</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPI caregiver distress</td>
<td>−1.2</td>
<td>+0.4</td>
<td>+0.4</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGb 761®</td>
<td>−2.2; −0.2</td>
<td>−0.8; +1.5</td>
<td>−0.9; −1.2</td>
<td>−1.4; −0.3</td>
<td>−0.2; +0.9</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>−1.2</td>
<td>+0.4</td>
<td>+0.4</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADCS-CGIC</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGb 761®</td>
<td>3.2; 3.8</td>
<td>3.7; 4.3</td>
<td>3.4; 3.8</td>
<td>3.2; 3.6</td>
<td>3.8; 4.3</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>3.2; 3.8</td>
<td>3.7; 4.3</td>
<td>3.4; 3.8</td>
<td>3.2; 3.6</td>
<td>3.8; 4.3</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL-IS mean score</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>+0.01</td>
<td>+0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGb 761®</td>
<td>−0.22; −0.08</td>
<td>−0.12; +0.07</td>
<td>−0.22; −0.11</td>
<td>−0.04; +0.07</td>
<td>−0.04; +0.07</td>
<td>0.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>−0.22; −0.08</td>
<td>−0.12; +0.07</td>
<td>−0.22; −0.11</td>
<td>−0.04; +0.07</td>
<td>−0.04; +0.07</td>
<td>0.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEMQOL-proxy total score</td>
<td>+4.8</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
<td>+2.4</td>
<td>+0.8</td>
<td>+0.4</td>
<td>0.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGb 761®</td>
<td>+2.5; +7.0</td>
<td>−1.6; +1.9</td>
<td>+2.5; +4.0</td>
<td>+0.4; +2.8</td>
<td>+0.4</td>
<td>0.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>+0.5</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
<td>+0.8</td>
<td>+0.5; +1.2</td>
<td>+0.4</td>
<td>0.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal Fluency Test</td>
<td>+0.2; +0.9</td>
<td>−0.6; +0.2</td>
<td>+0.2; +0.9</td>
<td>−0.4; +0.3</td>
<td>+0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2 Change in NPI total score from baseline over week 12 to week 24, means and lower (EGb 761®) or upper (placebo) half of the 95 %-CI; *p < 0.05 for AD, **p < 0.001 for AD, #p < 0.05 for VaD (t-test, drug-placebo comparisons).
difficult, e.g., if white matter lesions are sparse but inspection of the eye fundus indicates atherosclerosis of cerebral vessels. With central review and verification, however, diagnoses should be sufficiently homogeneous and misclassifications limited to an extent not to distort the results of the subgroup analyses as reported here. Similar efficacy in dementias of both Alzheimer’s and vascular origin make the \textit{Ginkgo biloba} extract \textit{EGb 761®} particularly feasible for the treatment of dementia in primary care settings. Taking into account the high prevalence of mixed pathologies [34, 36, 37] and the difficulties of correct diagnostic classification even in a specialist care setting [33, 34] on the one hand and the AD-specific mechanisms of other anti-dementia agents on the other, \textit{EGb 761®} will still be an appropriate choice, if in a primary care setting a pure or predominant primary degenerative aetiology cannot be ascertained beyond reasonable doubt. As demonstrated in this and earlier studies [30, 31] the drug is also effective in vascular dementia for the treatment of which cholinesterase inhibitors are not approved. Using the once-daily formulation of \textit{EGb 761®} tested in the present study, high treatment compliance could be achieved. In a real-life setting, this treatment regimen requires only one reminder every day, be it associated with a patient’s invariable habits, a visit by the nursing service or a phone call by a caring relative.
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