Anogenital Warts in Childhood – Always a Marker for Sexual Abuse?

Anogenitale Kondylome im Kindesalter – immer ein Hinweis auf einen sexuellen Übergriff?
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Abstract

Human papilloma viruses (HPV) are common pathogens associated with a wide range of cutaneous and mucosal infections in childhood. Different HPV types can cause common warts and anogenital warts. Condylomata acuminata in children may be, but are not necessarily, an indicator of sexual abuse. Each individual case therefore requires careful examination, with consideration of other possible means of transmission. Diagnosis of anogenital warts is generally by means of clinical examination. Additional histological, serological or molecular genetic investigation may be indicated occasionally. The high rate of spontaneous remission and the rate of recurrence after treatment should be considered. The available topical and surgical treatment options are discussed.

Zusammenfassung


Introduction

Condylomata acuminata, also referred to as pointed condylomata or genital warts, are the most common types of benign tumour affecting the external anogenital region. Histologically, they are fibroepitheliomas [1,2]. Condylomata are virally induced. In more than 90% of cases, infection is through human papilloma viruses (HPV) of low-risk groups 6 or 11, but there has also been evidence of HPV-1, -2, -4, -7, -27, -57, -60 and -63 in condylomata [3]. There may be great variation in the clinical appearance of anogenital HPV infection. Most often, there are papules the size of a pinhead, up to several centimetres in size, which are generally whitish, grey-brown or reddish in colour (Fig. 1). Condylomata tend to disseminate and become multifocal, occasionally large tumorous masses form and rarely there is a single growth [3,4]. Whereas in older children and adults the vulva, the perianal region, the urethra, the shaft of the penis and, more rarely, the inguinal and pubic region are known to be preferred sites, children in the first and second year of life are predominantly affected in the perianal region. Girls are affected three times more often than boys [5–7].

Condylomata are frequently asymptomatic and are only diagnosed as incidental findings. They can cause itching, burning or weeping in the areas of skin affected. Occasionally, slight bleeding (on contact) is observed. However, for adult patients the clinical manifestation of an HPV infection is associated with a definite reduction in quality of life [8]. This is seen in the stigmatisation of a disease transmitted by sexual intercourse and its...
negative effects on intimate contact. When there is evidence of anogenital condylomata in childhood, this uncertainty also applies to doctors who are not familiar with this subject in detail since, in the case of an infection which is predominantly transmitted by direct skin-to-skin contact and which is manifested in the anogenital region, inevitably the suspicion arises that the child involved has been subjected to sexual abuse, and the search for appropriate suspects starts. The parents have a great need for information and communication with the treating doctor. They also want their child to have effective treatment which is not too stressful and is pain-free as far as possible. They fear that the treatment will not be successful, that there will be relapses and are worried about the uncertainty of the duration of the treatment. These fears often lead to psychosocial stress with a subjective reduction in quality of life [9,10].

**Non-sexual Transmission of Human Papilloma Viruses in Childhood**

Infection is predominantly by direct skin-to-skin contact. However, in addition to sexual transmission, which is the most frequent transmission route in adults, non-sexual transmission routes through close skin contact are also described. HPV infection can also occur in children through non-sexual transmission [19]. In this case, the infection route may be antenatal, perinatal or postnatal [20,21]. Transmission of the HPV infection from the mother to her child during birth can lead either to anogenital condylomata or to laryngeal papillomas [6,22]. This perinatal infection of the child can arise both from clinically manifest and from subclinical lesions. Since the incubation time for condylomata can vary from a minimum of 3 weeks to several months, a manifestation in the first months of life does not exclude an infection which has already occurred in the antenatal period [21,23].

One further possible non-sexual postnatal infection route is smear infection via the infant’s caregiver. Given the high prevalence of virus carriers in the total population and the infant’s intensive care requirements, particularly in the anogenital region, there is frequent skin-to-skin contact. This is in keeping with the observation that, in both male and female infants under 2 years of age, the typical preferred site is the perianal region [23]. The clinical manifestation of an HPV infection is also dependent on predisposing local factors such as moisture, macerations or epithelial lesions, conditions which often occur with infants in nappies with physiological incontinence, frequently intermittent anogenital eczema or dermatitis [6,23].

The autoinoculation and heteroinoculation of human papilloma viruses, for example originating from warts on the fingers (particularly HPV type 2) of the child himself or the reference person, also represents a non-sexual transmission route [21,24].

**Paediatric Gynaecological Aspects of Sexual Abuse**

According to police criminal statistics, 11 867 cases of sexual abuse of children under the age of 14 were reported in Germany in 2010. In the same period, 9326 sexual violations of adolescents were recorded [25]. The clearance rate was between 73% for children and 91% for adolescents. However, only 13% of the legal proceedings resulted in conviction of the perpetrator [26–28]. In addition to these known criminal offences against the sexual self-determination of children and adolescents, the estimated number of unreported cases is thought by experts to be 10–20 times higher [29–32].

The suspicion of sexual abuse can arise in various ways. Direct or indirect statements by the child, abnormal behaviour, physical signs on the child, observations of caregivers or disturbed family interaction can lead to the suspicion of sexual abuse [26,30,31,33,34]. The symptoms noticeable in the paediatric gynaecological examination are only rarely pathognomonic of sexual abuse having taken place. Sexual abuse can be demonstrated by physical examination in less than 10% of children [26,33–37]. Circumstances providing clear evidence of sexual abuse, even without a statement by the child, include acute trauma of the anogenital tract (lacerations, haematomas, deep perineal tears) without clear evidence of accidental aetiology, infections with gonococci, syphilis and HIV after ruling out perinatal transmission, trichomoniasis after the 1st year of life, a chlamydia-trachomatis infection after the 3rd year of life, as well as evidence of spermatозoa.
on the child’s body and pregnancy [26,33]. Thus, in most cases, the suspicion is based on a combination of non-specific individual symptoms [21]. Reductions of the hymenal edge no longer have a part to play in the diagnosis; however, clefts in the sense of complete transection of continuity are diagnostic and notches must be taken into account, depending on the location, the age group and depth in relation to overall height of the hymenal edge [33].

When investigating sexual abuse, care of the child concerned is paramount and takes precedence over the interests of parents and other persons involved with the child or institutions. In addition to the professional uncertainty of persons who are only rarely confronted with this subject, personal concern is also always a factor. Therefore, anyone having professional contact with victims of sexual abuse should be aware that precipitous and overhasty remedial measures, prejudgement or speculations can do more harm than good. On the other hand, dealing with the child concerned empathetically and considerately, and carrying out a careful investigation with comprehensive documentation of the findings obtained are of great benefit [33].

Sexual Transmission of Human Papilloma Viruses in Childhood

The investigation with the largest collective of children examined was published by Ingram et al. in 1992 [38]. Although the acceptance criterion was suspected sexual abuse, it is not to be deduced from the methodology of this study, on which this suspicion is based, whether the history or clinical findings led to presentation at a special clinic. 1538 children (of which 85% were girls and 15% boys) aged between 1 and 12 years were examined. Of this total collective, 28 children were found to have condylomata acuminata in the anogenital region. This corresponds to a prevalence of anogenital condylomata in an at-risk collective of children questionably subjected to sexual abuse of 1.8%. Following differentiated multidisciplinary investigation, the suspicion of sexual abuse was not corroborated for 69 out of 1538 children (4.5%). Of the total of 28 children with condylomata, 16 children were in this group. This means that in 57% of the children with anogenital condylomata, the HPV infection resulted from non-sexual transmission. For 8 of these children, taking into account the available medical findings for the mother, perinatal transmission was plausible. For the remaining 1469 children (95.5%) of the total collective, the initial suspicion that the children had been the victims of sexual abuse was confirmed in the course of further exploration and investigation. Of the 28 children with condylomata, 12 were in this group. This meant that in 43% of the children with anogenital condylomata the HPV infection was caused by sexual transmission. For 2 of these children, taking into account the available medical findings for the mother, perinatal transmission was assumed. The age distribution of the 28 children with anogenital condylomata in relation to proven sexual abuse is shown in Fig. 2. However, in the total collective of children with proven sexual abuse, the prevalence was only 0.8% (12/1538 children). Although there was rarely evidence of anogenital condylomata in children who were subjected to sexual abuse, the authors were able to establish a very significant relationship between the age of the children affected and the probability of sexual transmission.

16 of the children with condylomata were younger than 5 years. The supposed sexual abuse was confirmed in only 3 of these children (20%). Of the 12 children aged between 5 and 12 years who were found to have condylomata, sexual transmission was taken to be the cause in 9 children (75%). On analysing the 5 children who were 8 to 12 years old at the time of diagnosis, the HPV infections were caused by sexual abuse in each case.

A second extensive study was published by the working group Sinclair et al. in 2005 [22]. Here, too, the collective investigated consisted of a total of 1640 girls and boys up to 13 years of age who presented to a special interdisciplinary clinic due to suspected sexual abuse. In 74 children (4.5%), anogenital condylomata were diagnosed. Only 55 of these 74 children were evaluated with regard to sexual abuse. In 17 of these 55 children (31%), the suspicion that they had been victims of sexual abuse was corroborated. The data published do not provide any detailed information regarding the prevalence of condylomata in the various age groups.

An informative study was published by Jones et al. (2007) [19], in which the environment of 131 girls and boys aged 6 months to 9 years with anogenital condylomata was evaluated for indications of sexual or non-sexual transmission. In 66 of the 131 children (50%), the mother’s previous history pointed to anogenital condylomata or cervical dysplasia. For the 81 of the 131 children of this collective who had siblings, 40% of the siblings also had condylomata. Thus, in 98 of the 131 children (75%), the history pointed to non-sexual transmission. All the children were taken for interdisciplinary examination to rule out sexual abuse. After careful paediatric psychiatric investigation, in only 3 of the 131 children (2.3%) with anogenital condylomata was the suspicion of sexual violation and sexual transmission of human papilloma viruses confirmed on the basis of indicators in the history or further clinical findings. Two of these children had a negative maternal history and siblings without condylomata.

Statements by National and International Professional Associations

These and other investigations carried out on smaller collectives [38–41] have led to the recommendations of various professional associations which are basically in agreement. These are summarised as follows:

“A clinical examination for anogenital condylomata should be carried out, but no HPV test. The evidence is considered to be suspect if the child is older than 24 months.”

Guidelines on child abuse and neglect by the Deutsche Gesellschaft für Sozialpädiatrie und Jugendmedizin [German Society for Social Paediatrics and Adolescent Medicine], the Deutsche Gesellschaft für Kinderheilkunde und Jugendmedizin [German Society for Paediatric and Adolescent Medicine] and the Deutsche Gesellschaft für Kinderchirurgie [German Society for Paediatric Surgery], 9/2008 [42]:
“Anogenital condylomata are of unclear specificity for sexual transmission. In the absence of a clear and unambiguous statement by the child, the evidence is to be treated as a dubious finding and should be carefully assessed.”

“Sexual abuse as the cause of genital warts in children does occur, but is far less common than previously supposed”.

“Sexual abuse can lead to warts in the anogenital region of children, but careful and guarded investigation must be carried out in each individual case where there are other transmission possibilities.”

“Sexual abuse can lead to warts in the anogenital region of children. Condylomata acuminata in children may be, but are not always, an indicator for sexual abuse. If this suspicion is corroborated (abnormal behaviour by the child, signs of violence, etc.), a paediatrician and a specially experienced child psychologist should always be called in, in addition to a dermatologist.”

Diagnostics and Differential Diagnoses

With their typical appearance, condylomata are generally diagnosed by clinical examination. Histological investigation is only indicated in the case of uncertain clinical diagnosis, resistance to therapy, early recurrence, ulcerations, increasing pigmentation, immunosuppression or isolated skin eruptions larger than 1 cm [1, 2, 45, 46]. One exception which should be mentioned is the investigation of solitary verruciform genital warts as further clarification of possible malignancy [1, 3]. Serological HPV investigation is not meaningful [1, 3, 23]. The routine use of acetic acid is not necessary and therefore, in view of the painfulness of this examination in a very sensitive area of the body, should be avoided, particularly in children. Molecular diagnostic HPV typing is also not indicated in the standard investigations. In the case of inoculation, HPV types 2, 27 and 57 can cause condylomata via warts on the fingers. Although in individual cases evidence of these types can undermine the suspicion of sexual abuse, as the specificity and sensitivity of the available tests has not been evaluated, they are not recommended for providing evidence in cases where sexual abuse is suspected [21–23, 33, 34, 41].

Differential diagnoses, which must be taken into account in investigating anogenital verruciform lesions, are listed in Table 1 [1, 3, 43, 44]. Special attention should be paid to the anatomical deviation from the norm of micropapillomatosis, with which we see physiological skin appendages, so-called genital papillae [47].

Table 1  Differential diagnoses of anogenital condylomata [1, 3, 43, 44].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical variants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>papillomatosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ectopic sebaceous glands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skin appendages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign skin tumour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fibromas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>angiolkeratomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seborrhoic warts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>perirotal skin tags</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory dermatoses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>condylomata lata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mollusca contagiosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genital herpes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant tumours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intraepithelial neoplasias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verrucous squamous epithelial carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>malignant melanoma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2  Topical therapy options for the treatment of anogenital condylomata [3, 43, 44, 48–50].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Podophytox cream 0.15%</th>
<th>antimitogenic substance, reduction of virus activity by local necrosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>twice daily for 3 days, then pause for 4 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>repeat up to a maximum of four cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imiquimod cream 5%</td>
<td>stimulation of the cellular immune response to HPV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>apply on alternate days in the evening, wash off in the morning, for a maximum of 16 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyphenon E 15%</td>
<td>extract of green tea (Camilla sinensis, so-called tea catechin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>activation of T lymphocytes, antioxidative effect, inhibition of virus receptor binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 x daily without a pause in treatment, max. 16 weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
possibilities is clearly advantageous in comparison with any other [58]. All the forms of treatment are associated with more or less pronounced local skin reactions, such as erythema, oedema or erosions, or more or less clearly pronounced symptoms, such as burning, itching or pain, which make it difficult to achieve optimal compliance, particularly with the smaller paediatric patients. In view of these side effects of treatment, the slight symptoms, the high spontaneous remission rate (up to 35% after 4 months, up to 50% after 12 months, up to 90% after 24 months) [58, 59] and the high recurrence rate after treatment, the option of watchful waiting is to be considered in each individual case [24, 60, 61]. In the case of extensive local findings, and depending on the symptoms, treatment may be indicated, taking into account the psychological strain, which is generally not felt by the young patients, but is experienced all the more so by their parents.

**Future prospects**

After several decades of rapidly rising subclinical and clinical HPV infections, it is hoped that, following the introduction of the quadrivalent HPV-6,11,16,18 vaccine in October 2006, there will be a reduction in the number of cases in the coming decades and that the recommendation still given by the Center for Disease Control (CDC) in 2010 [58] that only refraining from sexual contact can prevent HPV infection will lose its relevance. In Australia, 12 months after the introduction of the vaccination programme, with a vaccination rate of 65–70% in women under 28 years of age, Donovan et al. (2011) [62] observed a 48% reduction in the rate of new condylomata infections. Since the recommendation by the Standing Vaccination Committee (STIKO) at the Robert Koch Institute in March 2007 [63], confirmed in August 2009 [64], that girls aged 12 to 17 should be vaccinated before their first sexual intercourse to prevent HPV-associated neoplasias, a vaccination rate of approximately 30% has been achieved to date [65, 66]. This rate needs to be increased, but in the collective vaccinated with the quadrivalent HPV-6,11,16,18 vaccine we are certain to see a reduction in the rate of new condylomata infections in the near future [67, 68].

**Summary for Practice**

Condylomata acuminata in children may be, but are not necessarily, an indicator of sexual abuse. Each individual case therefore requires very careful examination, with consideration of other possible means of transmission. Without a clear statement by the child and further indicators, the presence of anogenital condylomata alone is not to be seen as evidence of sexual abuse. The at-risk collective in respect of HPV infection through sexual transmission is taken to be children first infected at > 4, and particularly > 8 years of age without any known maternal HPV infection and without any known ambient infection with common warts.

Diagnosis is by means of clinical examination. HPV typing or serological examination is not recommended.

In the event of condylomata in childhood, there should be a paediatric gynaecological examination in relation to abuse-associated findings and screening for coexistent sexual diseases, a history should be taken with regard to maternal or familial condylomata and questions should be asked either verbally or by means of a questionnaire regarding any abnormalities exhibited by the child [69, 70].
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