Disseminated Intravascular Coagulation, Hemoperitoneum, and Reversible Ischemic Neurological Deficit Complicating Anaphylaxis to Prophylactic Antibiotics during Cesarean Delivery: A Case Report and Review of Literature
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ABSTRACT

Routine use of prophylactic antibiotics reduces the risk of postcesarean fever and infections by over 50% in both nonelective and elective (scheduled) procedures. Although anaphylaxis to prophylactic antibiotics is rare, potentially fatal complications might occur. Herein, we present a case where disseminated intravascular coagulation and reversible ischemic neurological deficit complicated anaphylactic reactions to prophylactic antibiotics administered during cesarean delivery. A 27-year-old gravida 9, para 7 at 39\(\frac{1}{7}\) weeks underwent elective repeat cesarean delivery and bilateral tubal ligation. Her surgery was complicated by intraoperative hypotension, generalized itching, and urticarial skin rash consistent with anaphylactic reaction upon administering prophylactic cefazolin. In the recovery room, she continued to be hemodynamically unstable despite energetic resuscitation. Hemoperitoneum was suspected, and laboratory evaluation indicated disseminated intravascular coagulation. Abdominal exploration revealed massive hemoperitoneum, but there was no source of active bleeding noted. The postoperative course was complicated by reversible ischemic neurological deficit, which resolved on expectant management. Disseminated intravascular coagulation and reversible ischemic neurological deficit may complicate anaphylactic reaction to prophylactic antibiotics administered during cesarean delivery. Immediate recognition and intervention is crucial for a successful outcome.
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Infection remains among the top five causes of pregnancy-related mortality and accounts for a disproportionate contribution to maternal morbidity, both in the United States and around the world. Cesarean delivery is the single most important risk factor for postpartum infection. Women who deliver via cesarean have 5- to 20-fold increased risk for postpartum infection compared with those delivered vaginally. Routine use of prophylactic antibiotics reduces the risk of postcesarean fever and infections by over 50% in both nonelective and elective (scheduled) procedures. Given these clear benefits, administration of prophylactic antibiotics became the standard of care in modern obstetric practice. Our case describes a patient who developed intraoperative anaphylaxis to prophylactic antibiotics during cesarean delivery. Her postoperative course was complicated with hemoperitoneum, disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), and reversible ischemic neurological deficit. Review of the literature revealed few cases of anaphylaxis during cesarean section. To our knowledge, this is the first case of anaphylaxis during cesarean section followed by this set of complications. Herein, we will describe the case and review relevant literature.

**CASE REPORT**

A 27-year-old woman (gravida 9, para 7) at 39 2/7 weeks' gestation presented for scheduled repeat cesarean delivery and bilateral tubal ligation. Her past medical history was significant for prior allergic reaction to iodine and intravenous (IV) contrast media (anaphylaxis). Her antenatal care was significant for iron-deficiency anemia. On presentation, her vital signs were blood pressure (BP) 133/83 mm Hg; heart rate (HR) 82/min; temperature 36.6°C; and respiratory rate 20/min. Physical examination revealed mild pallor and term gestation. Laboratory evaluation showed hemoglobin 8.3 g/dL, hematocrit 25.9%, and platelet count 232,000/mL. Given her moderate anemia, 2 U packed red blood cells were cross-matched prior to surgery.

In the operating room, spinal anesthesia was administered without difficulty, and cefazolin 1 g was given intravenously after umbilical cord clamping. Following administration of antibiotic, the patient's BP dropped to 90/50 mm Hg, but her HR remained stable at 70/min and O2 saturation at 100%. The initial impression was spinal hypotension, and IV fluids and vasopressors (epinephrine sulfate 40 mg intramuscularly, phenylephrine 1 mg, followed by 2 mg IV) were administered. Five minutes later, BP dropped further to 55/25 mm Hg, but HR and O2 saturation remained stable at 75/min and 100%, respectively. The patient remained fully conscious and complained of generalized itching. Anaphylaxis was suspected, and an epinephrine drip was initiated at 0.03 μg/kg/min and then titrated to effective dose of 0.1 μg/kg/min until BP was restored to 120/70 mm Hg. Hydrocortisone 100 mg, diphenhydramine 50 mg, and famotidine 20 mg were also administered intravenously. Surgery, including closure of hysterotomy and bilateral tubal ligation, was completed, and hemostasis was secured. Generalized peau d'orange rash was noted upon closure if the skin. After surgery, the patient was taken to the postanesthetic care unit (PACU) for close observation.

In the PACU, the patient was hemodynamically stable on continuous epinephrine 0.1 μg/kg/min infusion. However, after 150 minutes, her BP dropped again to 70/45 mm Hg, and HR increased to 140/min. No vaginal bleeding was noted, but her abdomen was notably distended. Bedside ultrasonography showed free intra-abdominal fluid. Laboratory evaluation revealed DIC; drop of hematocrit 20.4%, and platelet count 103,000/μL; elevated prothrombin time 30.9 seconds; international normalized ratio of 3, and activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) 57 seconds; low fibrinogen 62 mg/dL; and elevated fibrin degradation products to >20 μg/mL. Packed red blood cells and fresh frozen plasma were transfused in addition to crystalloid and colloid fluids. Due to the continued hemodynamic instability, the patient was taken back to the operating room for an exploratory laparotomy. Massive hemoperitoneum with ~4 L of blood was noted. Hysterotomy was inspected. No active bleeders were evident, but generalized oozing was noted. Further exploration revealed intact liver, kidneys, bowel, and omentum. Both uterine arteries and infundibulopelvic ligaments were ligated, and cryoprecipitate and thrombin were applied locally to control areas of oozing. After securing hemostasis, fascia was closed, subcutaneous space was packed, and skin incision was left open for delayed primary closure.

The postoperative course after laparotomy was uneventful, and the patient was maintained on steroids, H1- and H2-blockers, and close observation. The clinical picture of DIC resolved within the first 24 hours. She remained intubated in the surgical intensive care unit for a total of 48 hours before transfer to the general floor. On the fifth postoperative day, the patient complained of blurry vision in her left eye as well as decreased sensation and weakness of her right arm. Brain magnetic resonance imaging with contrast revealed no abnormalities, and within 4 days, these neurological manifestations completely resolved. Given the completely reversible nature of these abnormalities and negative brain imaging, the impression was reversible ischemic neurological deficit. The patient continued to show satisfactory improvement and was discharged home on the tenth postoperative day in good condition.

**DISCUSSION**

Anaphylaxis, as recently defined by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease and Food Allergy...
and Anaphylaxis Network symposium, is “a serious allergic reaction that is rapid in onset and may cause death.” The lifetime prevalence of anaphylaxis from all triggers is estimated to be 0.05 to 2%. The most frequently identified triggers for anaphylaxis include foods (especially peanuts and tree nuts), drugs (antibiotics, vaccines, medications, and anesthetics), insect venoms, latex, and allergen immunotherapy injections. There are also a significant number of anaphylaxis cases reported for which there is no cause identified (idiopathic anaphylaxis).

Although anaphylaxis is a rare event during pregnancy, it has the potential to lead to serious maternal and/or fetal morbidity. In labor and delivery units, anaphylaxis is mainly caused by β-lactam antibiotics given for group B streptococcal prophylaxis. Mulla et al studied the prevalence of anaphylaxis in obstetric patients using a statewide hospital discharge database of the state of Texas during 2 years (2004 and 2005). Of 705,183 deliveries, they found 19 cases, with a prevalence of 2.7 cases per 100,000 deliveries. β-Lactam antibiotics (specifically, a penicillin or a cephalosporin) were the anaphylactic trigger in 11 patients. Because antibiotics are frequently used in obstetrics patients, obstetricians are prone to encounter such anaphylactic reactions. Therefore, they should be familiar with criteria for diagnosis of anaphylaxis and its management. These criteria (Table 1) were proposed to facilitate the immediate recognition of anaphylaxis and to standardize its diagnosis as well as providing a description for epidemiologic and research purposes. These criteria are likely to capture anaphylactic reactions in more than 95% of cases. Of note, the first criterion alone (that includes skin and/or mucous membrane involvement) captures 80% of cases, whereas ~20% of cases lack such involvement.

Table 1 Clinical Criteria for Diagnosing Anaphylaxis

Anaphylaxis is highly likely when any one of the following three criteria is fulfilled:

1. Acute onset of an illness (minutes to several hours) with involvement of the skin, mucosal tissue, or both (e.g., generalized hives, pruritus or flushing, swollen lips, tongue, or uvula)

and at least one of the following

a. Respiratory compromise (e.g., dyspnea, wheeze-bronchospasm, stridor, reduced PEF, hypoxemia)
b. Reduced BP or associated symptoms of end-organ dysfunction (e.g., hypotonia [collapse], syncpe, incontinence)

2. Two or more of the following that occur rapidly after exposure to a likely allergen for that patient (minutes to several hours)

a. Involvement of the skin-mucosal tissue (e.g., generalized hives, itch-flush, swollen lips, tongue, uvula)
b. Respiratory compromise (e.g., dyspnea, wheeze-bronchospasm, stridor, reduced PEF, hypoxemia)
c. Reduced BP or associated symptoms (e.g., hypotonia [collapse], syncpe, incontinence)
d. Persistent gastrointestinal symptoms (e.g., crampy abdominal pain, vomiting)

3. Reduced BP after exposure to known allergen for that patient (minutes to several hours)

a. Infants and children: low systolic BP (age-specific*) or greater than 30% decrease in systolic BP
b. Adults: systolic BP of less than 90 mm Hg or greater than 30% decrease from that person’s baseline

*Systolic BP for children is defined as less than 70 mm Hg from 1 month to 1 year, less than 70 mm Hg + (2 x 3 age) from 1 to 10 years, and less than 90 mm Hg from 11 to 17 years.


BP, blood pressure; PEF, peak expiratory flow.
In this case, anaphylaxis was followed by two rare complications: DIC and reversible ischemic neurological deficit. Although the relation between anaphylaxis and DIC has been described in animal trials, there is a scarcity of published cases in humans. Review of the literature revealed only two such cases. Inal et al. described a 16-year-old girl who underwent burn scar deformity reconstruction with insertion of latex tissue expanders. This was followed by late-onset hypotension, thrombocytopenia, DIC, and pulmonary edema. Lombardini et al. reported a 19-year-old woman who sustained anaphylactic shock due to a wasp sting. She subsequently had prolonged prothrombin time, prolonged aPTT, and a low fibrinogen level, but a normal platelet count. She had no bleeding, and these laboratory abnormalities normalized in 24 hours.

Choi et al. used a mouse model to investigate the role of platelet-activating factor (PAF) as the potential link between anaphylaxis and DIC at the molecular level. Induction of active systemic anaphylaxis resulted in the development of DIC manifestations, which were prevented by pretreatment with platelet-activating factor antagonist (BN 50739). Moreover, the same changes were produced by a bolus injection of PAF. These findings strongly suggest that DIC can occur in anaphylaxis, and PAF plays a pivotal role in the development of DIC in anaphylaxis.

As with the treatment of any critically ill patient, the treatment of anaphylaxis begins with a rapid assessment and maintenance of airway, breathing, and circulation. When a patient fulfills any of the three criteria of anaphylaxis as mentioned in Table 1, the patient should receive epinephrine immediately as the treatment of choice. Subsequent interventions are determined on the basis of the clinical course and response to epinephrine. Aqueous epinephrine, 0.01 mg/kg (maximum dose, 0.5 mg) administered intramuscularly every 5 to 15 minutes as necessary, is the recommended dosage for controlling symptoms and maintaining blood pressure. The 5-minute interval between injections can be liberalized to permit more frequent injections if deemed necessary. Intravenous epinephrine is an option for patients with severe hypotension or cardiac arrest unresponsive to intramuscular doses of epinephrine and fluid resuscitation. Although there is no precisely established dosage or regimen for intravenous epinephrine in anaphylaxis, 5- to 10-μg intravenous bolus (0.2 μg/kg) doses for hypotension and 0.1 to 0.5 mg IV in the presence of cardiovascular collapse have been suggested. It is important to recognize the potential for lethal arrhythmias when administering intravenous epinephrine; therefore, continuous cardiac monitoring is recommended. Continuous low-dose epinephrine infusion might represent the safest and most effective form of intravenous administration since the dose can be titrated to the desired effect. Low-dose intravenous epinephrine infusion has been successfully used in anaphylaxis during labor and delivery without untoward fetal effects. It is of paramount importance to note that intravenous injection of epinephrine should only be done using dilute 0.1 mg/mL (1:10,000) solution, whereas intramuscular injection is done using the rather concentrated 1 mg/mL (1:1000) solution. Mistakes in this regard can have catastrophic consequences.

Patients who remain hypotensive despite epinephrine should have aggressive fluid resuscitation. Large volumes of crystalloid might be needed in the first 5 to 10 minutes; in severe reactions with hypotension, up to 35% of the blood volume might extrav- asate in the first 10 minutes, and vasodilation can cause pooling, with even more reduction in the effective blood volume and, thus, distributive shock. Potent vasopressors, such as noradrenaline, vasopressin, or metaraminol, might be required to overcome vasodilatation if epinephrine and fluid resuscitation have failed to maintain a systolic blood pressure of greater than 90 mm Hg. Antihistamines (H1- and H2-antagonists) are slower in onset of action than epinephrine, have little effect on blood pressure, and should be considered a second-line treatment for anaphylaxis. The effectiveness of corticosteroids in anaphylaxis has never been determined in placebo-controlled trials. However, their usefulness in other allergic diseases has led to their incorporation into anaphylaxis management. Because the onset of action is slow, steroids are not useful in the acute management stage. It has been suggested that their use might prevent a protracted or biphasic reaction. If given, the dosing of intravenous corticosteroids should be equivalent to 1.0 to 2.0 mg/kg per dose of methylprednisolone every 6 hours.

Reversible ischemic neurological deficit has been defined as a sudden, focal neurological deficit of a presumed vascular origin lasting 24 hours to 7 days. Recently, there has been a shift toward tissue diagnosis rather than time diagnosis with high-resolution computed tomography and diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging studies used to detect even very small infarctions. In our case, neurological deficit is thought to have been secondary to the combination of hypotension and DIC. These neurological deficits completely resolved after resuscitation and expectant management. Because these ischemic changes can progress and become irreversible, obstetricians should be aware and promptly identify and treat such serious conditions.

In summary, this case highlights the importance of prompt recognition and management of anaphylaxis by obstetricians. Aggressive treatment of anaphylactic reactions can prevent other serious complications, including DIC and neurological vascular sequelae. Finally, a multidisciplinary approach with appropriate consultation is important in the management of these cases.
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