The Role of Chinese Medicine in the Treatment of Chronic Diseases in China
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Abstract
Chinese medicine (CM) has a long history of experience and proven successful treatment for chronic diseases and has also played an important role in the provision of health care in China. Patients with chronic diseases are happy to accept CM and physicians are willing to use CM to relieve patients suffering from chronic illnesses. The Chinese health authorities encourage CM development to meet the requirements for the treatment of chronic diseases. CM products are an essential part of medications that have a predominant role in the prevention and treatment of chronic diseases in China. A large number of CM clinical studies, including a substantial number of available randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews, have shown that CM is effective and safe in the treatment of chronic diseases. Although the efficacies of some evaluated CM therapies remain uncertain, it is worth assessing them by using CM pattern (Zheng or syndrome) differentiation to verify treatment outcomes. CM is considered to have a better safety profile compared to pharmaceutical chemicals, but inappropriate applications of CM also makes the safety issues a hot discussed subject. As a medical system, CM should be able to provide worldwide contribution for the patients who are suffering from chronic diseases. The application of CM pattern classification in diagnosis with corresponding prescribed treatment using herbal formulae in the relief of chronic diseases can be linked with modern biomedical parameters (biomarkers) as treatment outcomes. These outcome parameters, together with the patients’ reported quality of life assessment, can provide innovative approaches for evidence-based estimation of the efficacy of CM treatment in chronic diseases.

Introduction
The long-term clinical practice of traditional Chinese medicine (CM) confirms its importance and essential role in the health care system in China, especially in the prevention and treatment of chronic diseases [1]. With its unique theoretical system and accumulated clinical experiences, CM has developed a comprehensive therapeutic approach and gained the confidence of the public. More and more patients with chronic diseases prefer the CM treatment or the integration of CM treatment with biomedicine therapy. Although CM is currently facing some significant challenges from both the application of modern medicine and social development, its practice still accounts for around 20% of all health care delivered in China [2]. The nutritional status and sanitary conditions have been significantly improved for the people in China, and the major causes of mortality have changed from infectious diseases to coronary heart disease and other chronic illnesses [3]. Meanwhile, the Chinese government has realized that an affordable and easily accessible health care system will be essential for the stability of the country. “The high cost to receive health care and the inconvenience to access it” have become two major complaints among Chinese people [4]. In an effort to address these concerns and provide high-quality, affordable, and accessible health care to Chinese people, the Chinese government has explored a number of approaches to remodel the health care system, which has been significantly reformed since the beginning of the 1980s [5], and new initiatives were launched in 2006, which are aimed to provide at least one community health care center for every 30000 to 100000 citizens. The centers will integrate Western medicine (WM, biomedicine) and CM, which...
will move CM into full application in the treatment of chronic diseases in China. This paper is to review the role of CM in the treatment of chronic diseases in China and discuss the major issues in spreading the application of CM worldwide for this purpose.

**CM is an Important Health Care Approach in China**

There is a saying in China: CM is good at treating chronic diseases since it is focusing on the Ben (root) of the disease, and Western medicine (WM) is good at treating acute diseases since it is focusing on the Biao (phenomenon) of the disease. The distribution of patient visits in different departments in China hospitals in 2009 showed that over 30% of the patient visits (both of inpatients and outpatients) were found in the internal medicine departments (the majority of the patients had chronic diseases) in CM hospitals (Fig. 1) [6], while patient visits to internal medicine departments of WM hospitals are remarkably lower (about 21–25%). The data also showed that there were more than 10% patient visits to CM departments of general (WM) hospitals (12.7% and 18.8% inpatients and outpatients, respectively). The results indicated that the patients with chronic diseases in China are willing to see CM doctors either in CM hospitals or in CM departments of WM hospitals.

Two surveys on the use of CM from patients with chronic diseases conducted by our team in Beijing from 2008 to 2009 also support that CM has been favored by these patients (unpublished data). The first survey was conducted on 968 patients with any of the 4 most common chronic diseases in China: diabetes, hypertension, coronary heart disease, and osteoporosis. The results show that more than half of the respondents believed that CM therapies could help them improve their health situation. The other survey was conducted on the health care professionals in community clinics in Beijing and focused on the major perception of the role of CM. A total of 306 medical staff members were included in the survey, and the majority expressed a desire to provide both WM and CM for the patients. All of them expressed fully confidence on the important role of CM in the prevention and treatment of chronic diseases. Furthermore, a total of 278 respondents expressed their willingness to accept further CM training to improve their health care career. The results suggest that the role of CM in the treatment of chronic diseases retains a good image not only for the public in China but also among the health care professionals.

The data of recent years from the Ministry of Public Health of China shows that the quality and efficiency of the medical service in CM and WM hospitals are similar (Fig. 2) [6,7]. However the average expenditure for both outpatient and inpatient in CM hospitals was lower than in WM hospitals (Fig. 3), even though the average stay in hospital of inpatients in CM hospitals was longer than in WM hospitals (Fig. 4). The data indicated that CM therapy is relatively cheaper than WM therapy. That might be one of the reasons why CM is favored both by patients with chronic diseases and professionals in community clinics and the Chinese authorities encourage the use of CM for the treatment of chronic diseases.

In the health care system reform of China, to set up the National Essential Drug List and Drug List for Insurance Coverage are primary steps towards the provision of accessible, affordable, effective, and safer health care for all. In the National Essential Drug List in China (issued in 2009 for community clinics), there are 102 CM products out of total 307 drugs, and CM products are recommended to treat patients with chronic diseases. In the National Health Insurance Drug List (Version 2009), there are a total of 683 CM products (also called proprietary Chinese medicines, PCM) which are mainly for internal medicine application. Among them, 103 are commonly used for the treatment of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases. These essential policies on CM in China, which are based on its clinical effectiveness in a long
history, supply a solid base for further development and application of CM in the Chinese health care system.

Since China has good policies for CM development, there are steadily increasing trends in application, numbers of personnel, and medical services in China (Figs. 5 and 6). China has been encouraging the development of CM products in the past 20 years. The data shows that the output value of the pharmaceutical industry in CM in 2007 was up to 669.7 billion RMB (Yuan) which amounts to 26.4% of the total value for the pharmaceutical industry in China. On the other hand, there are much more functional foods developed from CM in China. A study shows that, as of the end of September 2010, China had totally reviewed 10118 functional food applications, and most of them are formulated with Chinese Materia Medica (CMM) ingredients. Among them,
1307 items have the function of improving immunity, 780 of regulating blood lipids, 327 of regulating blood glucose, 94 are used as antioxidants, 140 for improving memory, 292 for improving sleep, 566 as antifatigue agents, 222 for weight-reduction, 225 for improving osteoporosis, and 328 as purgation agents. As to the application of CMM, 856 items of health functional foods used Ginseng Radix, *Ginseng rubra* Radix, Codonopsis Radix, or Panacis Quinquefolii Radix as ingredients, with the main effects as immune regulators, antifatigue, and antiaging ingredients. These health products, with total sales about 40 billion RMB (Yuan), have become essential products used by patients with chronic diseases for prevention and rehabilitation.

CM as one part of the Chinese health care system has been favored by both patients and medical professionals. Especially patients with chronic diseases welcome CM treatment, and more medical professionals believe that CM is good at the prevention and treatment of chronic diseases. Based on the preference for CM in the treatment of chronic diseases, Chinese authorities encourage further application and overall development of CM in the health care system.

**CM Has Shown Efficacy in the Treatment of Chronic Diseases**

The very large clinical services of CM in China attract an increasing number of scientists focusing on demonstrating or providing evidence of the efficacy of CM for treating chronic diseases in the past 30 years. Although the interest in and use of CM is not the most valuable indication that CM is effective, it does show at least that patients with chronic diseases and the physicians who treat them are looking for CM therapeutic options.

More CM clinical studies have been reported (in Chinese) in China over the past 30 years ([Fig. 7](#)), and the majority are observational ones since it is still difficult to conduct randomized controlled trials (RCTs) for CM with its unique diagnostic approach. The observational clinical studies on CM do show its efficacy for the treatment of chronic diseases. The treatment processes, which include individually prescribed, bulk-dispensed, water-based decoctions, are the professional standard of CM care in China [8]. This means that decoctions have their own particular indications and uses in a large outpatient population. Usually, non-randomized controlled clinical trials and observational studies indicate decoctions’ efficacy while their effectiveness tested by RCTs has not yet been verified.

Recently, numerous RCTs have been conducted in China to evaluate the efficacy of PCMs generated from well-known Chinese medicine formulae or from currently effective practice formulae for the treatment of chronic diseases. Some studies have shown good effectiveness in the treatment of certain chronic diseases, such as hypertension [9], gastroenteritis [10], diabetes mellitus [11], rheumatoid arthritis [12], cerebrovascular disease [13], intervertebral disc disorders [14], chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [15], ischemic heart disease [16], cholelith and cholecystitis [17], and peptic ulcer [18]. However, the efficacy evaluation focus on PCM is not comprehensive enough since the clinical trials are not so good to evaluate the individually pre-
scribed decoctions. In fact, the bulk of current RCTs are testing one substance’s action on a specific chronic disease diagnosed with WM (biomedicine) and aimed to prove the “one size fits all” hypothesis, while CM practice is more personalized and the herbal combination is prescribed individually on the basis of each person’s particular CM pattern (Zheng or syndrome).

As the evidence gathering tools, systematic reviews (SRs) and meta-analyses provide synthesis of available research. The number of SRs and meta-analysis on CM is increasing rapidly after evidence-based medicine (EBM) was introduced and practiced in China. Here, recently published findings of SRs that explore a range of evidence on CM for the treatment of chronic diseases have been summarized.

For herbal medicines used against irritable bowel syndrome, 75 randomized trials, involving 7957 participants are included [19]. Traditional Chinese formulae showed significant improvement of the global symptoms. 22 CMM demonstrated a statistically significant benefit in symptom improvement. Six tested PCM showed an additional benefit from the combination therapy compared to conventional monotherapy. Some herbal medicines deserve further examination in high-quality trials.

For Chinese herbal medicines used in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus, 66 randomized trials, involving 8302 participants are included [20]. Methodological quality was generally low. Some PCM showed hypoglycemic effects in type 2 diabetes. Some PCM deserve further examination in high-quality trials.

Danshen (Radix Salviae Miltiorrhizae) and related PCM were introduced into clinical practice for the treatment of ischemic stroke in 1970 in China. Six trials involving 494 patients were included [21]. These Dan Shen products were associated with a significant increase in the number of patients with a positive outcome. No deaths were reported within the first two weeks of treatment or during the whole follow-up period. The authors suggest that further high-quality randomized controlled trials should be performed.

Most of the clinical studies have been shown to be inconclusive about CM for the treatment of chronic diseases [22–25]. Reasons were multiple. Most of these projects employed “standard” randomized controlled designs that were not suitable for CM trial designs, and some of the research had not involved collaboration between WM and CM researchers. Thus, there was a failure to consider only the fundamental concepts of CM. It is important to incorporate the concepts of both WM and CM into research protocols, thus collaboration between WM and CM practitioners is essential. Despite the fact that RCT is considered to be the methodology offering the highest level of evidence, different types of research are needed to answer different types of clinical questions. Observational studies and case reports often are the best research methods suitable for certain clinical researches. CM efficacy research is one of them. A case report indicated effective treatment for diabetic foot ulcers with integration of CM and WM [26]. CM is holistic and conceptual, and it identifies and treats patterns rather than diseases. Because of the unique characteristics of CM pattern classification, CM efficacy evaluation on one herbal product or preparation should focus on a specific subgroup of patients with a specific disease. Unfortunately, many SRs on CM efficacy, which declared that there were no solid evidences to support the CM intervention efficacy and indicated that the quality of clinical trials of CM are needed for collecting evidence to support CM clinical application, have neglected the application of CM pattern classification [27]. For example, based on our search on China Biology Medicine (Chinese) and Pubmed (English) databases, there were 180 RCTs on Danshen herbal products, which is commonly used in clinical practice in China for activation of blood stasis (based on CM theory), and only two studies described the trial design based on CM pattern classification. Since CM pattern classification is aimed to further classify the patients into subgroups, the efficacy would be improved if the responsive cases could be distinguished from nonresponsive cases with CM patterns. Therefore, the incorporation of CM pattern classification into disease diagnosis, an innovative approach by additional specific diagnosis based on CM theory for documented indications, would greatly improve the assessment of the efficacy of CM interventions.

It has been reported that CM pattern differentiation can help to specify the indications for combination of biomedical therapy in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA), and our previous work indicated that the total effective rate of CM intervention could be predicted to be 80% if 10% of the patients were classified with a corresponding CM pattern [28]. This multicenter, randomized, controlled trial suggested the CM pattern (or CM related symptom assemblages) to have predictive roles considering the American College of Rheumatology 20% response criteria (ACR20) evaluation in RA. Our other RCT was performed to compare the symptomatic effects on patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) treated by two therapeutic approaches, WM and CM, and the results showed that CM is a potentially effective treatment in improving the quality of life (QOL), prostate volumes, and maximum urine flow ratio (UFR) for a subgroup of patients with BPH, and the non-urethra-related symptoms experienced by BPH patients might be one of the parameters for further distinguishing the effects of CM and WM [29]. The results suggest that it may also be useful in defining specific indications both for
CM interventions and biomedical therapies used for the treatment of other chronic diseases.

RCT, as a good approach to evaluate the effectiveness of intervention, should be used widely in CM clinical study, and CM pattern classification should be incorporated into the RCT design in order to make sure that we can assess the real efficacy of CM in the treatment of chronic diseases. Following the active and important role of CM in the treatment of chronic diseases, the Chinese government continued to raise research funding for evidence-based CM, and more than fifty CM RCTs programs were supported in the “Eleventh Five-Year plan (2006–2010)” by the Ministry of Science and Technology. We believe that more positive results from RCTs with CM pattern classification will be shown up in the near future.

Table 1  Summary of adverse events for chronic diseases reported in SRs (CM vs. pharmaceuticals).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Year published</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Authors’ conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Chinese Journal of Evidence-based Medicine</td>
<td>The incidence of adverse reaction is 9.66% [31].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Liaoning Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine</td>
<td>The adverse effect is diarrhea, incidence rate is about 2.56% [22].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervertebral disc disorders</td>
<td>Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Many adverse events were reported, more details in the article [32].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Chinese Journal of Evidence-based Medicine</td>
<td>No adverse events reported in included studies [23].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>The Cochrane Library</td>
<td>There was an increased risk of adverse effects, including increased blood glucose, adrenal suppression, and reduced serum osteocalcin [33].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Chinese Journal of Evidence-based Medicine</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal adverse events reported in 3 RCTs. No serious adverse events from the herbal medicines were reported [24].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischaemic heart disease</td>
<td>Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Lancet</td>
<td>Serious drug-related adverse events were not significantly increased by fibrates (17 413 participants, 225 events), although increases in serum creatinine concentrations were common (1.99, 1.46–2.70; p &lt; 0.0001) [34].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Journal of the Fourth Military Medical University</td>
<td>No adverse events reported in included studies [23].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CM Has Been Proved to Be Relatively Safe in the Treatment of Chronic Diseases

CM is regarded as safe since CM practice has a history of several thousands of years, and it achieved personalized therapy by means of a successful organization of concerted actions derived from holistic, multitarget, and multidimensional pharmacological actions [30]. However, the safety issues of CM have been established through experience of clinical practice during the evolution and development of the traditional practice.

We searched literatures on safety and adverse drug reactions (ADRs) of CM treatment from 1978 to October of 2010 in the Sinomed database (http://sinomed.imicams.ac.cn/), and there are altogether 30 631 related papers on the safety of CM. The increasing literature number on ADRs after the year 2005 indicated the close attention paid to the safety issue of CM recently in China. The Table 1 shows the summary of adverse events for chronic diseases reported in SRs (CM vs. Pharmaceuticals) focusing on the common chronic diseases in China. The conclusion exhibited a desirable safety profile of CM compared to pharmaceuticals. CM products (including CMM) could be applied according to the principles of diagnosis and treatment in CM, and based on that, CM practice can be considered as safe. There are various studies reporting the good safety of CM decoctions in the treatment of some chronic diseases [35–39]. Our survey also showed that both CM practitioners and patients believe that decoction is effective and safe (unpublished data). Although the majority of herbal products (PCMs) have been reported to be safe [40–47], the safety issues were still more prevalent in many PCMs when compared to CM decoctions due to the usually long-period usage of PCM. The latest published National Adverse Drug Reaction (ADR) Report by State Food and Drug Administration (SFDA) asserted that in the year of 2009, only 13.3% ADRs were related to Chinese medicines, and totally about 2600 categories were involved in these reactions, among which, 99.5% were relevant to PCM, less than 0.5% to decoctions. In particular, 52% of the total ADRs were related to CM injections [48]. In the past years, the safety issue on CM injections was a hot topic, and questions such as whether CM injection could be considered to be a traditional dosage form and how to set up the quality control were discussed both in scientific research and the administration field. The issues about CM injection products have aroused the high attention of the authorities [49]. The SFDA has set up a mandatory documentation system for CM injections, with such determinations as the basic ingredients in CM injections must be clear, the single components of the active ingredient injection should not be less than 90%, and the systemic clinical trials should be a prerequisite for safety assessment [50].

To evaluate the safety of CM is complicated by using conventional methodological approaches since CM products are complex, which includes chemical complexity, in particular in prescriptions containing multiple herbal medicines, the lack of known synergetic active ingredients, the risk of contaminants such as pesticides, heavy metals, and the addition of other ingredients (sometimes pharmaceuticals), deterioration and variation in composition [30]. Furthermore, the interactions between CM and pharmaceutical drugs might be another issue related to the safety of CM, and it should also be addressed [51]. Any kind of medicines when used inappropriately may turn beneficial pharmacological actions into toxicity [52]. Inappropriate
application of CM could lead to more ADRs in clinical practice. CM herbs (CMM) and CM products (such as herbal extract and PCM) recorded in the Chinese pharmacopoeia (version 2010) either have been used for thousands of years for prevention and treatment of diseases in China or passed a strict safety evaluation, and when applied or prescribed according to CM theory, they have been proven to be safe. Also the potent and toxic CMMs are included in the Pharmacopoeia with warning and precaution to use. In addition, all the CM products were proven to have high quality, efficacy, and safety with strict examinations, including the tests based on Good Agricultural Practice (GAP), Good Sourcing Practice (GSP), Good Laboratory Practice (GLP), Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP), and Good Clinical Practice (GCP) [53]. Unprofessional CM practice or improper application of CM would lead to unfavorable adverse events. For example, in clinical research, it has been reported that the side effects of a Tripterygium multiglycoside preparation in RA patients with CM dampness-heat pattern were lower than in patients with CM yin-deficiency of liver and kidney pattern [54]. One retrospective study also pinpointed that the main factors related to safety problems of the Niuhuang luijue tablet are irrational drug use and drug quality [55]. Thus, to ensure clinical rational application of CM and improve the quality of CM products are the major steps for safer CM application in clinics. On the other hand, some ADRs in CM treatment might be due to the misunderstanding of CM. In view of CM, some ADRs can be regarded as a sign of CM pattern change, and CM pattern can be a predictive factor for effectiveness. Thus, it is important to explore the correlation between ADRs and efficacy. In a RCT, it was reported that gastrointestinal ADRs were inversely correlated with efficacy in conventional biomedical therapy for the treatment of RA [56]. To better achieve the goal of further analysis and comprehension on ADR, detailed and particular ADR related efficacy analysis is essential in clinical research.

CM safety profile is essential for CM application in clinical practice, especially in patients with chronic diseases since they often need prolonged treatment with CM products. Though clinical application based on CM theory and strict quality control can improve the safer application of CM, CM safety has not been fully determined, and further studies are needed to explore the mechanisms of CM products in order to decipher their toxic activities.

Major Issues for Worldwide Application of CM

CM has been influencing the health care system in China for thousands of years, and supplies about one-fifth of the medical services nowadays in China. More importantly, CM takes an active role in preventive and rehabilitative medicine in China. Though CM has been spread worldwide recently, there are still some obstacles for further application of CM in medical services worldwide. The major issues include the CM specific diagnostic approaches and interventions.

CM specific diagnostic approach: pattern classification
CM pattern classification is applied to stratify the patients based on CM information. CM pattern classification has been proven to be more essential, as many CM physicians prefer to prescribe herbal formulae for patients based on this classification. Increasing numbers of medical researchers recognized that the combination of disease diagnosis in biomedical and pattern classification in CM is essential for the clinical practice, and it has been a common practice model in China since it may produce a better clinical effect [57]. However, there are still some problems in the application of CM pattern classification for the treatment of chronic diseases in the biomedicine field.

The first is that the practice of pattern classification is very difficult, since pattern classification is mainly based on symptoms (including self-reported signs), tongue and pulse diagnosis [58]. Poor understanding in CM pattern classification will lead to the unsuitable application of CM products, and most of the products (such as PCMs) are used by biomedicine doctors and can be obtained from markets. Furthermore, the unsuitable application of the PCM will result in lower efficacy and even higher risks in safety. Therefore simplifying the pattern classification would be the most important issue for better understanding of CM by biomedical professionals for further CM application. A recent study shows that the symptoms, though diversified in a disease, can be clustered into specific groups with biostatistical approaches [59]. Thus, it is possible for us to find some approaches to simplify the CM pattern classification and to guide the application of herbal products in future clinical practice.

The second problem is the lack of CM pattern criteria for clinical practice in the treatment of diseases. In some RCTs, patients with the same disease were recruited and received CM or conventional therapies, respectively, and the CM pattern classification was ignored. In these studies, conventional treatment tends to produce a better curative effect than CM. This should be the major reason why the RCTs failed to evaluate the real efficacy of CM since CM could be used only to treat part of the patients with corresponding CM pattern. Therefore, CM pattern classification criteria become the key issue both for RCT and clinical practice. Recently pattern criteria were recommended based on expert consensus and analysis of CM information obtained from the disease [60]. We believe that the CM pattern criteria, even primary criteria, could be very helpful for further application of CM products since they could be revised during the application.

CM pattern classification, as the key in CM diagnosis, should be well defined with biomedical language and thus CM would take a more important role in the treatment of chronic diseases worldwide.

CM specific intervention: herbal mixture
CM intervention using herbal formulae which consist of several herbs is highly individualized. In the past decades, more scientists have been trying to elucidate the chemistry and mechanism of CM intervention in the treatment of disease. Though many progresses have been made, there is still a long way to go in the clarification of the mechanism through which an herbal mixture works in the treatment of a disease. Furthermore, the clinical practice in CM abides by “multiple formulae for one disease based on the changes of CM pattern and adjusting the formulae based on the change of symptoms”, and this makes it more difficult to elucidate the action mechanism of an herbal mixture.

Theoretically, there must be some core herbal combinations in the treatment of one specific disease. We propose a hierarchical analysis algorithm known as discrete derivatives, which is based on the frequency analysis of herbal combinations used in all published clinical studies in China [61]. This algorithm can retrieve simple and meaningful herbal combination networks from large data sets. Taking “RA” as an example, the “core” herbal combinations used in the treatment of RA consist of 19 CMM: Rumulus Ginnamomi (Gui Zhi), Rhizoma Anemarrhenae (Zhi Mu), Radix Angelicae Sinensis (Dang Gui), Radix Astragali Mongolici (Huang
QI), Radix et Rhizoma Clematidis (Wei Ling Xian), Rhizoma Chuan xiong (Chuan Xiong), Radix Paeoniae Alba (Bai Shao), Radix et Rhizoma Tripterygii (Lei Gong Teng), Radix Angelicae Bisserratae (Du Huo), Rhizoma et Radix Notopterygii (Qiang Huo), Olibanum (Ru Xiang), Myrrha (Mo Yao), Pheretima Aspergillum (Di Long), Radix Aconiti (Chuan Wu), Radix Glycyrrhizae (GAN CAO), Radix Stephaniae Tetrandrae (Fang Ji), Radix Aconiti Lateralis (Fu Zi), Radix Saposhnikoviae (Fang Herba), Asari Mandshurici (Xi Xin). Some other CMM commonly used together with the core complex combinations are often added due to the changes of CM pattern or symptoms after initial treatment [62]. The understanding of the chemical profiles and bioactivities of the core herbal combination will help to elucidate the action mechanism of the herbal formula.

Systems biology investigations and symptoms analysis of CMM formulae can be applied as a holistic approach in analyzing their complexity. This approach has become an important direction for the elucidation of the action mechanism of herbal mixtures [63]. Our previous study showed that therapeutic efficacies of Salvia miltiorrhiza (SM) and Panax notoginseng (PN), in combination, indicate interactions between SM and PN in multiple pathways of biological processes. SM plays a principal role and PN serves as adjuvant to assist the effects during the treatment of coronary heart disease [64]. Thus, we recommend applying systems biology, including data-mining from prescription analysis and bioinformatics, for elucidating the mechanisms through which herbal mixtures work in the treatment of diseases, and studies of quality and adverse effects when CMMs are not used properly [65].

With the quality, safety, and bioactivity of CM formulae and products assured and their mechanisms elucidated, the clinical trials of these medications with patients’ reported outcomes should be placed in a priority position for providing CM treatment worldwide.

Conclusion

In summary, CM has been widely used in China with its convenient accessibility, efficacy, efficacy, and safety in the treatment of chronic diseases. CM practice with quality practitioners and CMM products should be shared worldwide in the treatment of chronic diseases for which WM does not have adequate answers. Although CM pattern classification of diagnosis and treatment, as well as complexity of CM prescriptions may require further translation into conventional health care terms, the application of modern biomedical science, bioinformatics, and chemical profiling of CM prescriptions can be applied to provide new directions for clinical studies with evidence-based approaches for assessing CM products and practice. Eventually CM will play an important role in integrative health care worldwide.
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