Capillary Electrophoresis of Natural Products: Current Applications and Recent Advances

Introduction

Capillary electrophoresis (CE) represents one of the most attractive analytical techniques for the rapid qualitative and quantitative analysis of molecules with a wide range of polarity and molecular weight, including small molecules such as drugs but also macromolecules such as proteins or nucleic acids. Because of its versatility and high separation efficiency, CE is an interesting alternative to the widely used reversed-phase high-pressure liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) and has gained much interest for the analysis of natural products in herbal extracts, pharmaceutical formulations, and food supplements. Since its introduction in 1979 by Mikkers et al. [1] and in 1981 by Jorgenson and Lukacs [2, 3], the popularity of CE continuously increased so that high-performance CE instruments became rapidly available. Over the years the tremendous progress of this fascinating separation technique has led to a great variety of separation methods and applications that have been outlined in recently published reviews [4–9]. CE has been frequently applied especially for the qualitative and quantitative analysis of secondary plant metabolites in crude plant extracts [10–12]. In the following, a short description of the basic and widely used CE methods...
Capillary Zone Electrophoresis

In the absence of an electroosmotic flow (EOF), the migration velocity \( v_i \) of a charged molecule \( i \) in an electrical field is proportional to the electrophoretic mobility \( \mu_i \) and the electrical field strength \( E \) (equation 1):

\[
v_i = \mu_i \cdot E
\]

The electrophoretic mobility \( \mu_i \) is dependent on the net charge \( z_i \cdot e_0 \) and the friction coefficient \( 6 \cdot \pi \cdot r \cdot \eta \) (equation 2):

\[
\mu_i = \frac{z_i \cdot e_0}{6 \cdot \pi \cdot r \cdot \eta}
\]

Whereas the net charge of a molecule is the result of its \( pK_a \) value and of the pH value of the electrolyte, the friction coefficient is determined by its size \( (6 \cdot \pi \cdot r) \) and the viscosity \( (\eta) \) of the running buffer. As the size and shape of a molecule cannot be influenced, the net charge of the analytes can be manipulated by the pH value of the buffer. Furthermore, if the \( pK_a \) values of the analytes are known, the net charge can be calculated via the dissociation rate using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation (equation 3):

\[
\text{pH} = pK_a + \log \frac{c(\text{base})}{c(\text{acid})}
\]

Ideal candidates for CZE analyses of natural products are permanently charged molecules such as anthocyanins, quaternary alkaloids, and sulphated flavonoids. For such molecules, the pH value of the buffer can be varied over a wide range without loss of the electrophoretic mobility, which is also particularly advantageous for capillary electrophoresis–mass spectrometry (CE/MS). Indeed, CE/MS analyses of anthocyanins and quaternary alkaloids have been published frequently [13–17]. If several permanently charged molecules with similar molecular weights have to be analysed, the permanent charge is a disadvantage because all molecules exhibit a very similar mass-to-charge ratio. In this case the selectivity can be tuned by organic solvents and counterions that have a high affinity to the oppositely charged analytes [18].

Because many secondary plant metabolites (e.g., benzoic or cinnamic acids, coumarins, flavonoids) possess phenolic hydroxyl groups, they can be analysed with CZE using running buffers with neutral to basic pH values in the range between 7 and 12. For example, the three major curcuminoinds curcumin, demethoxycurcumin, and bis-demethoxycurcumin from *Curcuma domestica*, *Curcuma longa*, and *Curcuma xanthorrhiza* were fully separated and quantified in less than 5 min using a basic running buffer consisting of 20 mM phosphate, 50 mM sodium hydroxide, and 14 mM \( \beta \)-cyclodextrin \( (\beta-CD) \) [19]. Comparable to CEC, MEKC and MEEKC, CE analyses with electrolytes containing cyclodextrins can also be considered electrokinetic chromatography. The addition of \( \beta-CD \) enhanced the solubility of the curcuminoinds and influenced the selectivity because the different stability of the curcuminoid–CD inclusion complexes individually influenced the migration behaviour of the analytes. Curcuminoinds with a high affinity to the \( \beta-CD \) molecules have a lower migration velocity to the anode than do curcuminoinds that do not easily form inclusion complexes with \( \beta-CD \). For some natural product classes that are not acidic or basic (e.g., sugars, phenolic glycosides), a charge can be created by complexation. Viscinal hydroxy groups of neutral sugars or glycosides with a cis-configuration can form complexes with borate anions, which leads to a migration of the anionic complexes to the anode. In fused silica capillaries and at neutral to basic pH values, the EOF carries the negatively charged complexes to the cathode. In this case the selectivity of the separation is determined by the stability, size, and molecular geometry of the analyte-borate complexes. This separation principle was frequently applied for the analysis of neutral secondary plant metabolites in the past [20,21]. For example, Honda et al. reported the CZE analysis of reducing monosaccharides after derivatisation to their corresponding \( N-2 \)-pyridylglycamines [20]. The derivatised sugar molecules were separated as their borate complexes using 200 mM borate \( (\text{pH} 10.5) \) as running buffer. Also, Schwaiger et al. separated aldoses and ketoses as their borate complexes using 175 mM borate \( (\text{pH} 10.5) \) as electrolyte [21]. In order to enhance the UV absorption of the sugars, a reductive amination with 4-aminobenzonitrile was performed.

Among the different classes of natural compounds analysed with CZE are alkaloids [16,22–24], anthraquinones [25,26], anthocyanins [27,28], carbohydrates [29], catechins [30], coumarins [31,32], flavonoids [33–35], glucosinolates [36], phenolic acids [37], proanthocyanidins [38], red wine pigments [39], saponins [40], and xanthones [41]. Due to the variety of factors influencing the separation selectivity and efficiency in CZE, an extensive discussion on method development strategies cannot be given in this review. Thus, for a comprehensive overview about the optimisation of CZE methods for the analysis of natural products, refer to the recently published review of Li et al. [42].

Non-Aqueous Capillary Electrophoresis

The first paper dealing with CE separations in a pure non-aqueous medium was written by Walbroehl and Jorgenson in 1984 [43]. Since then, non-aqueous buffer systems have been increasingly applied for the separation of small molecules including secondary plant metabolites [44]. The separation media in non-aqueous capillary electrophoresis (NACE) contain water-miscible organic solvents such as acetonitrile (ACN), dimethyl formamide (DMF), or various alcohols and acidic or basic solvents such as formic acid, acetic acid (AcOH), ammonia, or diethylamine [45]. Because the low conductivity of these buffer media normally results in lower currents compared with aqueous media, the electrolyte concentration and the electrical field strength can be increased. Another major advantage of non-aqueous media is the good solubility of less-polar compounds, for example, long-chained fatty acids [46]. According to Porras and Kenndler, the often-cited selectivity and efficiency improvements for CE analyses in non-aqueous media are based on heteroconjugation and/or ion pairing rather than on selective changes of the analyte mobilities or \( pK_a \) values [45]. As most buffer salts used for CE separations are not fairly soluble in non-aqueous media, the use of electrolytes in NACE is often restricted to ammonium acetate and ammonium formate, which also allows the application of these buffer systems for CE/MS analyses. In fact, one major reason for the popularity of non-aqueous running buffers is their applicability for CE/MS [47]. The high amount of organic solvents in non-aqueous
buffers increases their volatility and strongly reduces the surface tension of the droplets generated via electrospray ionisation (ESI). Therefore, non-aqueous media often provide a higher sensitivity and compatibility for CE/ESI-MS compared with aqueous electrolytes. Natural products analysed with NACE include alkaloids [48–50], anthraquinones [51], coumarins [52], flavonoids [53], polyphenolic compounds [54], terpenes [55, 56], and hypericins and hyperforins from Hypericum perforatum [57]. Additional references are listed in the recently published reviews of Scriba [47] and Geiser and Veuthey [58]. Selected examples for NACE separations of natural products are given in Table 1.

### Electrokinetic Chromatography

Neutral substances without acidic or basic functional groups and which cannot be transferred to charged complexes can be analysed with electrokinetic chromatography (EKC). In contrast to CZE, electrokinetic separation techniques (e.g., micellar electrokinetic chromatography [MEKC], microemulsion electrokinetic chromatography [MEEKC], and capillary electrochromatography [CEC]) are based on the distribution of analytes between a stationary or pseudo-stationary phase and the mobile phase driven by the EOF. In the following paragraphs the characteristics of these methods and their potential for natural products analysis will be explained.

**Micellar electrokinetic chromatography**

MEKC was introduced by Terabe and coworkers in 1984 [59] and rapidly proved to be the method of choice for the CE analysis of neutral compounds. In MEKC, a pseudo-stationary phase is generated by the addition of a micelle-forming ionic surfactant molecule such as sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) or cetyltrimethylammonium bromide. Above the critical micelle concentration, the tenside molecules aggregate to micelles where the polar and lipophilic core. Whereas the selectivity of CZE separations is dominantly determined by their affinity to the pseudo-stationary phase (SDS) and the formation of complexes with borate anions, for quercetin 3-O-glucoside (6) the phenolic acids (7–9), however, the longer migration times are due to the almost complete dissociation of their carboxylic groups. This deprotonation leads to a strong electrophoretic mobility to the anode but also to a reduced incorporation into the SDS micelles because of repulsion forces and higher polarity.

In addition to the above-mentioned example, MEKC has been frequently applied for the analysis of natural products, for example, alkaloids [61–63], anthraquinones [64, 65], cardiac glycosides [66], catechins [67, 68], coumarins [69], ecydones [70], flavonoids [71–73], glucosinolates [74, 75], isoflavones [76, 77], procyanidins [78, 79], saponins [80], and terpenes [81, 82]. Examples for MEKC separations of secondary plant metabolites are given in Table 2.

### Microemulsion electrokinetic chromatography

In recent years microemulsion electrokinetic chromatography (MEEKC) has become an attractive alternative to previously established CE methods such as CZE and particularly MEKC [83]. An important prerequisite for the performance of MEEKC analyses with UV detection is the use of running buffers with optically transparent emulsions containing oil droplets below 10 nm so that light scattering does not occur. Such microemulsions are obtained by dispersion of immiscible liquids in an aqueous buffer in the presence of surfactants that decrease the surface tension between the two liquid layers. The addition of a short-chain alcohol such as butanol (co-surfactant) further lowers the droplet’s surface tension. Whereas the oil phase typically consists of heptane

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural product class</th>
<th>Plant species</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>50 mM ammonium acetate, 0.6 M AcOH in MeOH–ACN (75:25, v/v)</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthraquinones</td>
<td>Xanthophyllum attopensis</td>
<td>50 mM sodium cholate, 1.0% (v/v) AcOH, 40% (v/v) ACN in MeOH</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coumarins</td>
<td>Cortex Fraxini, Fraxinus spp.</td>
<td>60 mM sodium cholate, 20 mM ammonium acetate, 3.0% (v/v) AcOH, 20% (v/v) ACN in MeOH</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavones</td>
<td>Chinese herbs</td>
<td>10 mM sodium cholate, 80 mM TRIS in ACN–MeOH (60:40, v/v)</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diterpenes</td>
<td>Danshen (Salvia militorhiza)</td>
<td>250 mM ammonium acetate, 1.0% (v/v) AcOH, 30% (v/v) ACN in MeOH</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypericins and hyperforins</td>
<td>St. John’s wort (Hypericum perforatum)</td>
<td>50 mM ammonium acetate, 150 mM sodium acetate, 0.002% (w/v) hexadecane, 1% (v/v) methylene bromide in MeOH–DMSO–5-methylformamide (3:2:1, v/v)</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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or octane, the aqueous phase comprises borate, phosphate, or TRIS buffers at basic pH values between 7 and 11; however, MEEKC analyses at low buffer pH values also have been reported [84, 85]. Although most MEEKC applications use oil-in-water emulsions, the use of reverse MEEKC with water-in-oil emulsions is also possible and is particularly advantageous for the separation of highly hydrophobic compounds [86]. Comparable to MEKC, enantioselective microemulsions that contain chiral surfactants or chiral alcohols allow the enantiomeric separation of analytes in racemic mixtures. For example, Aiken and Huie described a novel chiral microemulsion based on (2R,3R)-2,3-n-d-n-buty tartrate (0.5% w/w) as a water-immiscible chiral selector [87]. The addition of this chiral selector to the running buffer consisting of 0.6% (w/w) SDS and 1.2% (w/w) butanol in 15 mM TRIS (pH 8.1) allowed the enantiomeric separation of a racemic mixture of ephedrine (selectivity factor: 2.6) [87]. Especially because of its applicability for charged, neutral, and lipophilic compounds, MEEKC is an interesting alternative for the analysis of secondary plant metabolites complimentary to CEC, MEKC, and RP-HPLC [83].

An impressive example of the MEEKC analysis of lipophilic and medium polar plant constituents has been published by Vanhoenacker et al., who separated the rather unstable hop ingredients humulone, adhumulone, and cohumulone (α-acids) and lupulone, adlupulone, and colupulone (β-acids) as well as the chalcone derivatives isoxanthohumol, 6-prenyllarigenin, and 8-prenyllarigenin [86]. The separation was obtained using a running buffer containing 10 mM borate adjusted to a pH value of 9.7, 40 mM SDS, 3% (v/v) butanol, and 0.3% (v/v) heptane. Injections were performed hydrodynamically for 3 s at 50 mbar, and the temperature of the capillary was set to 25°C and the applied separation voltage was 30 kV [86]. The pH value was an extremely important experimental condition, whereas an increase in the SDS concentration or the replacement of butanol by pentanol did not significantly affect the separation. By using the above-mentioned conditions, a baseline separation of the applied hop constituents could be obtained, and it was also possible to detect these compounds in hop extracts [86]. Other natural products that have been analysed with MEEKC include anthraquinones and bianthrones in rhubarb [88], catechins in green and black tea [84, 85], ephedrine alkaloids in Chinese herbs [89], sesquiterpene lactones of radix inulae (Inula helenium) [90], and xanthones from Securidaca inappendiculata [91]. The buffer systems used for these MEEKC separations are given in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural product class</th>
<th>Plant species</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tropane alkaloids</td>
<td>Hyoscyamus muticus</td>
<td>30 mM phosphate–borate, 40 mM SDS, 16.5% (v/v) ACN, pH 8.7</td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthraquinones</td>
<td>Rheum rhaponticum</td>
<td>30 mM phosphate, 20 mM SDS, 20 mM sodium cholate, 10 mM β-CD, pH 10.4</td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catechins</td>
<td>Green tea (Carnella sinensis)</td>
<td>5 mM borate, 60 mM phosphate, 50 mM SDS, pH 7.0</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecdysteroids and flavonoids</td>
<td>Serratula stragulata</td>
<td>15 mM borate, 60 mM SDS in 20% (v/v) methanol, pH 9.08</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>Aquilegia graciola</td>
<td>15 mM borate, 30 mM SDS in 10% (v/v) ethanol, pH 10.5</td>
<td>[71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>Astragalus membranaceus</td>
<td>20 mM borate, 100 mM sodium cholate in 25% (v/v) acetonitrile, pH 9.2</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoflavones</td>
<td>Medicago spp., Mellotus alba</td>
<td>25 mM borate, 60 mM SDS, 1.6% (v/v) 1,2-hexanediol, pH 9.0</td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids and terpene lactones</td>
<td>Ginkgo biloba</td>
<td>20 mM phosphoric acid, 40 mM SDS, 12 mM β-CD, pH 2.2</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Capillary electrochromatography
Capillary electrochromatography (CEC) can be addressed as a hybrid-technique that combines chromatography with capillary electrophoresis. In CEC the mobile phase is normally driven through the stationary phase by the EOF. In contrast to MEKC...
and MEEKC, the analyses are performed in coated, packed, or monolithic capillaries. There are three common types of stationary phases applied in CEC: (i) capillary columns with packed homogeneous silica or reversed-phase silica particles with a diameter of about 3 µm; (ii) capillaries coated with the stationary phase; or (iii) monolithic capillary columns made by an in situ polymerisation of suitable polymer materials inside the capillary. Whereas for neutral compounds the separation mechanism is primarily based on interactions of the analytes with the applied stationary phase, charged compounds are separated because of their electrophoretic mobility and their affinity to the stationary phase, charged compounds are separated because of their electrophoretic mobility and their affinity to the stationary phase. Whereas for neutral compounds the separation mechanism is primarily based on interactions of the analytes with the applied stationary phase, charged compounds are separated because of their electrophoretic mobility and their affinity to the stationary phase. As for MEKC and MEEKC, this aspect is favourable for fingerprint analyses of crude plant extracts and often reveals excellent selectivities for complex separations. For the most often used reversed-phase stationary phases in CEC, the mobile phase typically consists of a mixture of organic solvents with buffers (e.g., phosphate or borate) [92]. Comparable to NACE and MEEKC, the use of mobile phases with high contents of organic solvents allows the separation of lipophilic natural products (e.g., boswellic acids, cannabinoids, or tocopherols) (Table 4). This aspect contributed to the use of CEC and CEC/MS for the analytical characterisation of plant extracts [92]. Because a detailed overview of the application of CEC for the analysis of natural products was recently given by Scherz et al. [92], single applications will not be presented at this point. Instead, selected examples for the application of CEC in natural products analysis and the corresponding references are given in Table 4.

### Table 3: Selected examples for the analysis of secondary plant metabolites with MEEKC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural product class</th>
<th>Plant species</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthraquinones</td>
<td>Rheubarb (Rheum rhaponticum)</td>
<td>9.77 % (w/v) 10 mM sodium borate (pH 9.2), 0.6 % (w/v) SDS, 0.5 % (w/v) dibutyl-L-tartrate, 1.2 % (w/v) butanol, 0–40% (w/v) ACN</td>
<td>[88]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catechins</td>
<td>Green tea (Camellia sinensis)</td>
<td>86.61–94.13% (w/v) 50 mM phosphate (pH 2.5), 2.31–3.32% (w/v) SDS, 1.36% (w/v) heptane, 7.58–9.72% (w/v) co-surfactant</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ephedrine alkaloids</td>
<td>Chinese herbs</td>
<td>20 mM borate, 23.3 mM SDS, 16.4 mM heptane, 180.85 mM butanol in 8% ACN, pH 9.4</td>
<td>[89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenolic acids and catechins</td>
<td>Black tea (Camellia sinensis)</td>
<td>86.1 % (v/v) 25 mM phosphate (pH 2.0), 2.89 % (w/v) SDS, 1.36 % (w/v) heptane, 7.66 % (w/v) cyclohexanol, 2 % (w/v) ACN</td>
<td>[85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesquiterpene lactones</td>
<td>Radix Inulae (Inula helenium)</td>
<td>85.8% (w/v) sodium tetraborate, 1.24% (w/v) SDS, 0.32% (v/v) hexane, 2.64% (v/v) butanol, 10% (v/v) ACN, pH 9.2</td>
<td>[90]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthones</td>
<td>Securidaca inappendiculata</td>
<td>50 mM borate, 120 mM SDS, 80 mM heptane, 10% (v/v) butanol, 5 mM sulphated β-CD, pH 9.5</td>
<td>[91]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: Selected examples for the analysis of secondary plant metabolites with CEC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance class</th>
<th>Stationary phase</th>
<th>Mobile phase</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purine alkaloids</td>
<td>Silica; 3 µm</td>
<td>IsoOH, hexane, 1 mM TRIS (pH 8.0) 52:40:8</td>
<td>[93]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthraquinones</td>
<td>Hypersil C18; 3 µm</td>
<td>5 mM AcOH:ACN:20:80</td>
<td>[94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boswellic acids</td>
<td>Hypersil C18; 3 µm</td>
<td>20 mM ammonium formate (pH 6.5), ACN 1:9 (v/v)</td>
<td>[95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabinoids</td>
<td>Hypersil C18; 3 µm</td>
<td>ACN, 25 mM phosphate (pH 2.57), 75:25</td>
<td>[96]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavanones</td>
<td>Lichropher C18; 5 µm</td>
<td>2.5 mM ammonium formate:ACN 80:20</td>
<td>[97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoflavones</td>
<td>Monolith</td>
<td>2.4 mM ammonium formate (pH 2.7), ACN 70:30</td>
<td>[98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant sterols</td>
<td>Hypersil C18; 3 µm</td>
<td>ACN, THF, 25 mM TRIs (pH 8.0) 60:35:5</td>
<td>[99]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withanolides</td>
<td>Hypersil C18; 3 µm</td>
<td>10 mM ammonium acetate (pH 8.0), ACN 40:60</td>
<td>[100]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CE vs. HPLC in the Analysis of Natural Products**

Although the performance of CE instruments and the handling of the capillaries have been improved in recent years, there are still some difficulties such as capillary conditioning, capillary cleaning, and blockage of the capillary due to particles or precipitation of buffer components. Especially the cleaning procedures between runs have to be carefully evaluated and validated, because they have a strong impact on the reproducibility of migration times and peak areas in fused silica capillaries [101]. For example, alkaloids tend to strongly adsorb to the capillary wall, which results in distorted peak shapes or sometimes a complete loss of the signal [18]. Although the use of running buffers with ammonium ions (e.g., ammonium acetate) reduces the adsorption of basic analytes to the capillary wall, exact cleaning procedures with water, sodium hydroxide, or even SDS [102] as well as a sufficient re-equilibration with the electrolyte are essential for reproducible results [101]. Because these cleaning procedures often last several minutes, cleaning and re-equilibration of the inner capillary surface often exceeds the analysis time. Whereas reproducibility, handling, and robustness are major advantages of conventional reversed-phase HPLC (RP-HPLC), the high separation efficiency and selectivity as well as short analysis times are favourable attributes of CE. However, short analysis times and high separation efficiencies were recently achieved for HPLC by the introduction of short HPLC columns with small diameters and particle sizes below 3 µm. This technical progress led to the development of ultrahigh-pressure liquid chromatography, which was recently reviewed by Wu and Clausen [103]. Perhaps one of the most striking drawbacks of HPLC compared with CE is the limited applicability of normal-phase and RP-HPLC methods for the analysis of highly polar compounds such as phenolic...
acids/glycosides, glucuronide and sulphate conjugates, purine and pyrimidine bases, nucleotides, and water-soluble vitamins. These polar compounds are normally not soluble in the non-aqueous solvents used for normal-phase chromatography, and they do not show a sufficient affinity to the most often applied reversed-phase silica gel. Furthermore, the application of aminoalkyl- or diol-based silica columns often does not provide reproducible results or sufficient robustness. Although the introduction of hydrophilic interaction chromatography was an important development for HPLC analysis of polar compounds with acetonitrile-water mixtures containing varying contents of alcohols and electrolytes [104], the analysis of highly water-soluble natural products with HPLC is still a challenge. For CE, however, the analysis of polar substances can be easily done with various methods (e.g., CZE, MEKC, or even NACE). For example, highly polar calystegines could be easily determined both qualitatively and quantitatively with CZE [105], whereas, in this case, the application of RP-HPLC was not possible. Another example is the use of CZE for the quantification of reserterrol in a food supplement in the presence of acsesulfame K, riboflavin, ascorbic acid, flavanones, and hydroxycinnamic acids [106]. By using a running buffer consisting of 23 mM borate (pH 10.0) with 7% (v/v) acetonitrile, a baseline separation of all components was obtained in only seven minutes.

Its limited robustness and sometimes difficult manageability has hampered the establishment of CE, and especially CE/MS, in research facilities and quality-control laboratories. But, as outlined in the next section, the number of CE/MS applications has steadily increased as the demand for rapid, efficient, and multidimensional analytical methods increases.

Capillary Electrophoresis-Mass Spectrometry

Since its introduction in 1987 by Olivares et al. [107], CE/MS has evolved to a widely applicable, multidimensional analytical technique complementary to conventional LC/MS methods. One of the major problems that had to be solved for the application of CE/MS was the extremely small liquid flow inside the CE capillaries, which normally ranges from a few nanoliters to several hundred nanoliters per minute. Because even the typical flow rates of microscale LC/MS systems are in the low µL/min range, the flow-out of the CE capillary has to be increased. For this purpose, a so-called make-up flow (ca. 1–5 µL/min) is added to the capillary flow via a simple tee (liquid junction) or as a coaxial sheath liquid (sheath flow). Although other online interfaces (e.g., sheathless, direct electrode) have been developed, nowadays almost all CE/MS applications use the sheath-flow interface introduced by Smith and coworkers in 1988 [108]. Whereas the sheath-liquid reduces the sensitivity due to additional background noise and the additional solvent, this approach also allows the post-column addition of chemicals in order to improve ESI characteristics and ionisation efficiency. This is particularly relevant if buffer additives with a low volatility or ion-pairing reagents must be used [109].

CE/MS analyses of natural products were first published in 1994 by Hsieh et al. for the qualitative analysis of the protoberberine alkaloids berberine and palmatine [110] and again in 1994 by Henion et al. for the quantitative determination of isoquinoline alkaloids in the bark of Phellodendron wilsonii [111]. Both groups used tandem MS and a sheath-flow interface instead of a liquid-junction interface to obtain a stable electrospray. In 1997, a generally applicable buffer system for the CZE and CE/MS analysis of various alkaloid classes was developed by Unger et al. [16]. A 1:1 mixture of 100 mM ammonium acetate, adjusted to pH 3.1, and acetonitrile allowed the CZE separation of monoterpenoid indole alkaloids, isoquinoline alkaloids, β-carboline, and opium alkaloids. By reducing the ammonium acetate concentration to 80 mM and adjusting the pH value to 4.0 before the solution was mixed with acetonitrile, the electrolyte was also suitable for the CE/MS analysis of the aforementioned alkaloid classes in crude extracts from opium and the cortex of Aspidosperma quebrachoblanco and from root and cell suspension cultures of Rauwolfia serpentina [112, 113]. Isoquinoline alkaloids were also analysed by Sturm et al., who applied a running buffer consisting of ammonium formate (70 or 100 mM), adjusted to pH 3.0 or 4.0, and methanol or acetonitrile as buffer additives [17]. By using a sheathflow (5 mM formic acid in acetonitrile) at a flow rate of 3 µL/min and ESI in the positive mode, they obtained [M]+ ions in the case of quaternary isoquinoline alkaloids such as berberine or sanguinarine and [M + H]+ ions for the tertiary amines (e.g., chelidonine). This method was successfully applied for the analytical characterisation of the alkaloid pattern in crude methanolic extracts of Berberis vulgaris, Chelidonium majus, Eschscholzia californica, Jatropha curcas, and Hydrastis canadensis [17]. Approximately 10 years later again Stuppner and coworkers applied CE/MS for the analysis of isoquinoline alkaloids [114]. In this case NACE and ion-trap tandem MS with a sheath-flow ESI interface was used for the analysis of isoquinoline alkaloids in central European Corydalis species. The non-aqueous electrolyte used for the NACE/ESI-/MS analysis was a mixture of 50 mM ammonium acetate, 1 M acetic acid, and 10% (v/v) methanol in acetonitrile [114]. Among the alkaloid classes also analysed with CE/MS are glycoalcaloids from Solanum tuberosum [115], naphthyisoquinoline alkaloids from a Central African Ancistrocladus species [116], quinolizidine alkaloids from Sophora flavescens [117], and tropane alkaloids from Atropa belladonna [118]. Naturally, the application of CE/MS is not restricted to alkaloids. Since 1997 almost all classes of natural products have been analysed with CE coupled to various types of MS instruments [6, 8, 15, 36, 92, 119, 120]. Both ion-trap and time-of-flight (TOF) MS have been applied for the CE/MS analysis of intact glucosinolates in Arabidopsis thaliana [36]. Due to the very low and almost identical pKₐ values of the permanently charged sulphate groups of the intact glucosinolates, CZE analysis of these compounds at a pH value near the pKₐ values of the analytes – which normally provides the optimum selectivity – was not possible. Furthermore, because glucosinolates form relatively stable ion pairs with ammonium ions, thereby reducing the sensitivity for MS detection, the use of ammonium acetate or formate for the running buffer and sheath liquid was avoided. By using 1 M and 0.2% (m/v) formic acid as electrolyte and sheath liquid, respectively, the glucosinolates could be successfully identified with CE/MS in crude extracts without interference from ubiquitous acidic plant constituents such as benzoic or cinnamic acids, which possess much higher pKₐ values and thus are negatively charged under the applied conditions. The intact glucosinolates, however, maintained their electrophoretic mobility even under the acidic conditions of the applied electrolyte (1 M formic acid) and migrated as anions, which are easily detectable with ESI in the negative-ion mode [36]. In Fig. 2, the CE/ESI-TOF-MS base peak electropherogram of the glucosinolate pattern of a crude Arabidopsis thaliana extract is shown. The application of CE/TOF-MS finally allowed the assignment of glucosinolates that could not be
identified with ion-trap MS. However, as stated by the authors, even with TOF-MS it was not possible to unequivocally identify all peaks according to their elemental composition because of overlapping isotopic patterns of co-eluting compounds [36].

**CE/MS vs. LC/MS in the Analysis of Natural Products**

A direct comparison of the applicability of CE/MS and LC/MS for the analysis of natural products was published by Vanhoenacker et al., who analysed phenolic compounds in diethyl ether extracts of red wines [120]. For these analyses both CE/MS and LC/MS were performed with ESI in the negative-ion mode. Despite the higher separation efficiency of CE compared with HPLC, the application of LC/MS in this case was superior because better selectivity and sensitivity were obtained. Moreover, by applying a single-quadrupole mass spectrometer, 23 red wine constituents could be identified using LC/MS, whereas only 13 ingredients could be identified with CE/MS. Interestingly, the application of a more volatile ammonium acetate buffer (25 mM, pH 9.5) instead of an ammonium borate buffer (18.75 mM, pH 9.3) during CE/MS did not result in better sensitivity or improved peak identification. The sensitivity was increased for the catechins and the phenolic acids, but the separation and the signal intensities of the flavonols decreased [120]. The main reason for this result was the significant loss in separation selectivity when borate was replaced by acetate. Obviously, the complication of aromatic or cis-configured vicinal hydroxy groups by borate anions was the key to the successful separation of the flavonols. This complication also influenced the ionisation behaviour and fragmentation of the phenolic compounds, because the red wine constituents with a vicinal hydroxy group preferentially showed cluster ions with borate or borate and methanol from the sheath liquid [120]. However, it should be mentioned that for CE/MS analyses the application of single-quadrupole mass spectrometers is particularly unfavourable for fingerprint analyses of natural products because these instruments show a relatively low sensitivity in scan mode. That is why most of the CE/MS analyses of crude plant extracts have been performed with ion-trap MS, which provides a much higher sensitivity in scan mode.

**Online Pre-Concentration Methods**

Whereas in CE very low absolute sample amounts, typically in the low picogram range, are detected, the low injection volumes and the narrow diameter of the capillaries typically used (25–100 µm) lead to a poor concentration sensitivity for the most often applied UV detection. For molecules with average extinction coefficients, such as flavones, the limit of detection often lies between 1 and 10 µg/mL. Even for CE coupled to MS, the concentration sensitivity is only somewhat higher compared with CE with UV detection because the sheath liquid necessary to obtain a stable nanospray dilutes the sample and causes additional background noise [109]. To overcome the low concentration sensitivity of CE analyses, several strategies are currently applied. These strategies involve the use of capillaries with extended detection path lengths (e.g., Z-shaped, multi-reflection, bubble cell) or the application of highly sensitive detection methods such as electrochemical or fluorometric detection. The combination of an extended detection path length with laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) was reported recently for the sensitive detection of riboflavin and other aromatic compounds [121]. Because the laser intensity had to be decreased when bubble cells were used, the sensitivity for riboflavin, for example, was only 8 times higher compared with conventional UV detection [121]. Nevertheless, the use of LIF detectors generally results in a significant increase in sensitivity if the target analytes possess a fluorophoric structure. Although one major drawback is the limited availability of excitation and emission wavelengths for LIF detectors, this technique has been applied several times in the past for the CE analysis of natural products [122–124]. Comparable to catecholamines, many flavonoids can be electrochemically detected with high sensitivity because the catechol structures of, e.g., quercetin or luteolin can be easily oxidised to ortho-quinones. Thus, electrochemical detection was preferentially applied for the CE analysis of flavonoids [125, 126]. An alternative strategy to the use of extended detection path lengths or more sensitive detection methods is the application of online pre-concentration methods such as stacking or sweeping. For a comprehensive overview of these methods, refer to the excellent review of Simpson et al. [127]. Originally applied for charged compounds in CZE applications, online pre-concentration techniques are nowadays also widely used for the MEKC or MEEKC analysis of natural products [128, 129]. During online pre-concentration a relatively large sample amount is introduced into the CE capillary with hydrodynamic or electrokinetic injection, and the target analytes are focused in a narrow band before the separation begins. The focusing of sample molecules most often results from (i) a different field strength in the sample zone and running buffer (e.g., stacking, field-enhanced sample stacking, FESS); (ii) a change in the effective charge of the analyte (e.g., dynamic pH junction); or (iii) the partitioning of the sample molecules in a pseudo-stationary phase such as SDS (sweeping).
The application of these online pre-concentration techniques provides a 10- to 1000-fold enhancement in concentration sensitivity, or even higher if large sample amounts can be introduced into the capillary [127]. The first application of a simple and effective online pre-concentration method for the CE analysis of natural products in crude plant extracts was published by Unger and Stöckigt in 1997 [130]. They used field-amplified sample injection (FASI) for the determination of alkaloids in crude methanolic extracts of Berberis vulgaris and Hydrastis canadensis. By introducing a short plug of methanol 70% (v/v) before electrokinetic injection of the alkaloids at 16 kV for 8 s, the concentration sensitivity was 1000 times higher compared with hydrodynamic injection at 345 mbar for 1 s [130].

The online enrichment of charged substances with FASI is not limited to CZE separations and can be combined with other CE separation methods such as MEEKC. The combination of FASI with MEEKC was used by Yu et al. to analyse trace amounts of the quinolizidine alkaloids sophoridine, matrine, oxymatrine, oxysophocarpine, and cytisine in the roots of Sophora flavescens [129]. In this case the obtained LODs (defined as signal-to-noise ratio = 3) for the analytes were as low as 0.1 ng/mL, which is approximately 10000 times higher compared with conventional hydrodynamic injection.

Among the various online pre-concentration methods, FESS, FASI, dynamic pH injection, and sweeping can be considered the most useful techniques for the analysis of trace amounts of natural products in crude plant extracts, pharmaceutical formulations, and even body fluids such as urine or plasma [127]. For example, a combination of electrokinetic injection, dynamic pH junction, and sweeping was used for the sensitive determination of sinapic, ferulic, coumarinic, caffeic, syringic, vanillic, and 4-hydroxybenzoic acid in crude acetone extracts from Majorana hortensis [131]. The sample was dissolved in a basic borate buffer (50 mM, pH 9.5), and both the function electrolyte and the running buffer (mobilisation electrolyte) consisted of 50 mM phosphate, pH 2.5. The latter also contained 60 mM SDS because the mobilisation and separation of the organic acids were done by reversed-migration MEKC (RM-MEKC). In RM-MEKC the running buffer is adjusted to a low pH value (< 2.5) where virtually no EOF is present or the EOF is suppressed by coating the capillaries [132]. Therefore, the micelles with the partially included analytes migrate to the oppositely charged electrode without being swept by the EOF. For online pre-concentration, the phenolic acids were electrokinetically injected (~10 kV, 30 min) from the alkaline sample buffer (pH 9.5) into the junction electrolyte (pH 2.5); at this point the phenolic acids were neutralised and stacked (accumulation part). After accumulation of the phenolic acids, the sample solution (inlet vial) was replaced by the running buffer (50 mM phosphate, 60 mM SDS, pH 2.5) and the separation voltage (~10 kV) was applied. The negatively charged SDS micelles of the inlet vial migrated to the anode and penetrated the junction electrolyte, where the neutralised phenolic acids were stacked due to the loss in electrophoretic mobility at the acidic pH value of 2.5. Because of their higher lipophilicity, the neutralised phenolic acids were efficiently incorporated into the SDS micelles and swept to the anode. The obtained LODs between 0.4 and 4.2 ng/mL mainly resulted from the long duration used for electrokinetic injection (30 min) and the stacking process during the accumulation of the negatively charged phenolic acids in the acidic junction electrolyte [131]. However, it can be speculated that the application of a permanently or dynamically coated capillary and a simple electrokinetic injection or FASI at relatively high electrical field strengths may have provided similar results regarding sensitivity enhancement and separation efficiency.

Sweeping was originally developed for the online concentration of neutral analytes in MEKC [133]. In this online concentration technique, neutral or charged analytes are picked up and accumulated in micelles of a pseudo-stationary phase that penetrates the sample zone. Because the analytes are incorporated into the micelles, lipophilic molecules are more efficiently concentrated than hydrophilic substances. Also, in order to obtain a strong incorporation of the analytes into the pseudo-stationary phase, buffer additives such as cyclodextrins or organic solvents should be avoided in the sample matrix. In recent years, sweeping has been applied several times for the online pre-concentration of natural products, e.g., alkaloids [134], catechins [135], flavonoids [128], and phenolic acids [136]. By introducing a large sample amount into the capillary via hydrodynamic or electrokinetic injection, sweeping can lead to a dramatic increase in sensitivity (>1000-fold) [127,133]. For example, a 1500-fold improvement in detection sensitivity was obtained by a sweeping technique applied for the MEKC analysis of trans-resveratrol in red wine [137].

Future Perspectives and Concluding Remarks

The ongoing technical advances and future developments in all fields of instrumental analytics will lead to further miniaturisation and improvement of CE instruments. Such improvements may soon lead to the routine CE analysis of very small absolute sample amounts in the low femtogram range. This sensitivity may be achieved by a combination of various online pre-concentration techniques with highly sensitive detection methods, such as fluorometry or electrochemiluminescence [138]. Because the interest in nanoscale separation techniques is high and still growing, CE will be the method of choice for future applications in all areas of bioanalytical research. Due to the widespread use of a great variety of medicinal plants for the production of herbal supplements and phytomedical products, one of the future challenges in natural products analysis will be the detection and exact quantification of trace amounts of species-specific constituents (marker compounds) for the unambiguous identification of authentic plant material. Furthermore, CE will be an important tool for the detection and quantification of trace amounts of harmful plant constituents or impurities from toxic plants, for example, aristolochic acids or colchicine. In this regard, it can be speculated that the future application of CE in natural products analysis is directly linked to the availability of robust and highly sensitive detection methods.
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