


# *tert*-Butoxide-Mediated Protodeformylative Decarbonylation of $\alpha$ -Quaternary Homobenzaldehydes

Benjamin J. Stokes\*<sup>a</sup> Xiao Cai<sup>b</sup>Ritter V. Amsbaugh<sup>a</sup>Lauren J. Drake<sup>a</sup>Ravi M. A. Kotamraju<sup>a</sup>Nicholas Javier C. Licaucó<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry,  
Santa Clara University, 500 El Camino Real, Santa Clara,  
CA 95053, USA  
bstokes@scu.edu

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology,  
University of California, Merced, 5200 N. Lake Road, Merced,  
CA 95343, USA



- straightforward access to cumene derivatives via *tert*-butoxide-mediated **deformylation**
- 21 examples with isolated yield >60% (including monocyclic and polycyclic **arenes**)
- the aldehyde reactants are accessible via cross-coupling in many cases

Received: 05.09.2023

Accepted after revision: 04.12.2023

Published online: 18.12.2023 (Accepted Manuscript), 19.01.2024 (Version of Record)

DOI: 10.1055/a-2231-3108; Art ID: SO-2023-09-0072-L

License terms:

© 2024. The Author(s). This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, permitting unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction, so long as the original work is properly cited. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)


**Abstract** *tert*-Butoxide mediates the Haller–Bauer-type (protodeformylative) decarbonylation of readily accessed  $\alpha$ -quaternary homobenzaldehydes and related compounds at room temperature, generating cumene products. Both geminal dialkyl and geminal diaryl substituents are tolerated. *gem*-Dimethyls are sufficient for decarbonylation of polycyclic arenyl substrates whereas monocyclic aromatic homobenzaldehydes require cyclic *gem*-dialkyls or *gem*-diaryls for significant decarbonylation.

**Key words** decarbonylation, C–C bond cleavage, protodeformylation, Haller–Bauer reaction, *tert*-butoxide, benzylic anion, cumenes


The decarbonylation of aldehydes is an important C–C bond-cleaving reaction in synthesis and in nature.<sup>1,2</sup> Chemosynthetic decarbonylations mediated by stoichiometric rhodium complexes were first developed by Tsuji and Wilkinson<sup>3</sup> and are notable for their application in natural products total synthesis;<sup>4</sup> flow-type and catalytic variants have been developed to lower the cost.<sup>5</sup> Haller and Bauer popularized the base-mediated debenzoylation of aromatic ketones in the early 1900s;<sup>6</sup> a room-temperature Haller–Bauer-type *tert*-butoxide-mediated protodebenzoylation was used as the third step to achieve formal protodeformylation of non-enolizable aldehydes (Scheme 1A).<sup>7</sup> Recently, Madsen and co-workers studied the mechanism of Haller–Bauer-type decarbonylations of enolizable aldehydes (Scheme 1B) as well as non-enolizable aldehyde substrates like 2,6-dichlorobenzaldehyde (not shown).<sup>8</sup> Similar conditions are known to be capable of deformylating certain non-

enolizable aldehydes like triphenylacetaldehyde<sup>9</sup> despite benzaldehydes being especially sensitive to hydroxide-mediated Cannizzaro-type disproportionation into the alcohol and carboxylic acid.<sup>10</sup> Other methods for formal protodeformylation of aldehydes have also been described.<sup>11–13</sup> Of the single-pot approaches (specifically Wilkinson and Haller–Bauer-type), a mild and general decarbonylation of  $\alpha$ -quaternary aldehydes has not been described. Herein, we show that a wide variety of readily accessed  $\alpha$ -quaternary


## A. Paquette and co-workers' formal aldehyde decarbonylation protocol (1987)<sup>7a</sup>



## B. Examples of Haller–Bauer-type reactions from Madsen and co-workers' mechanistic study (2017)<sup>8</sup>



## C. This work: *tert*-butoxide-mediated aldehyde decarbonylation and putative Haller–Bauer-type mechanism



**Scheme 1** Comparison of Haller–Bauer-type aldehyde decarbonylation methods

homobenzaldehydes are deformedylated at ambient temperature using *tert*-butoxide in THF to afford isopropyl arene (cumene) derivatives (Scheme 1C).<sup>14</sup> Mechanistically, this presumably occurs via stabilized anion **B** generated from *tert*-butoxide adduct **A**.<sup>15</sup>

The impetus for developing this method stemmed from our interest in alkene functionalization reactions of  $\alpha$ -quaternary homobenzylstyrenes and related compounds,<sup>16</sup> whereby we occasionally observed competing decarbonylation of  $\alpha$ -quaternary homobenzaldehydes during Wittig olefination if excess *tert*-butoxide was present. We sought to optimize this reaction using the homonaphthaldehyde substrate shown in Table 1.<sup>17</sup> Excitingly, the use of 1.6 equivalents of KOt-Bu afforded complete substrate conversion and good yield at ambient temperature upon aqueous workup (entry 1). Evaluation of solvent effects showed that DMF was also well tolerated (entry 2) whereas HOt-Bu did not allow appreciable reaction (not shown).<sup>18</sup> The reaction must be performed air-free (entry 3), and the yield decreased somewhat when molecular sieves were employed (entry 4). Adding TEMPO inhibited substrate conversion somewhat (entry 5). NaOt-Bu was similarly effective as KOt-Bu (entry 6), whereas the use of lithium diisopropyl amide (LDA) resulted in complex decomposition (entry 7). Potassium hydroxide afforded no reaction in THF, with or without HOt-Bu present as additive (entries 8 and 9, respectively). Taken together, none of these data refute the canonical mechanism shown in Scheme 1C.<sup>19</sup> It should be noted that product formation can take place prior to workup via quench by adventitious water, but excess water in the reaction will lead to competing detrimental Cannizzaro disproportionation.

In terms of breadth of scope, phenyl analogues (**1a–c**) afford lower yield than the optimized naphthyl substrate (Scheme 2A). In particular, cumene (**2a**) was only produced in 11% NMR yield; the yield improved significantly by substitution with a *para*-phenyl group, thereby accessing **2d** in 67% yield. In revision, the *para*-trifluoromethyl analogue was prepared and protodeformylated to afford a modest 20% yield of the corresponding cumene by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis.<sup>20</sup> Strained cyclic *gem*-dialkyl-containing substrates like  $\alpha$ -cyclopropyl (**1e**) and  $\alpha$ -cyclobutyl (**1f**) afford just 9% and 24% yield of their respective methine products, whereas cyclopentyl (**1g**) and cyclohexyl (**1h**) substrates were decarbonylated in useful yield (44% and 76%, respectively). Other monoarenyl substrates evaluated include tetralin **1i** and triphenylacetaldehyde **1j**, both of which afforded decarbonylation products in good yield (61% and 79%, respectively). *tert*-Butanol was a common byproduct after workup, potentially arising from hydrolysis of the implied *tert*-butylformate byproduct of C–C bond cleavage of intermediate **A** in Scheme 1C.

Fused bicyclic and tricyclic substrates afforded generally excellent decarbonylation yields (Scheme 2B and C), presumably because the extended conjugation in these com-

**Table 1** Optimization of Aldehyde Decarbonylation<sup>a</sup>

Entry	Base <sup>b</sup>	Additive	Conv. (%)	Yield (%)
1	KOt-Bu	none	>95	89
2 <sup>c</sup>	KOt-Bu	none	>95	74
3 <sup>d</sup>	KOt-Bu	air	>95	17
4 <sup>e</sup>	KOt-Bu	4 Å MS	>95	70
5	KOt-Bu	TEMPO	78	62
6	NaOt-Bu	none	>95	87
7	LDA	none	>95	<5
8 <sup>f</sup>	KOH	HOt-Bu	<5	n.d.
9	KOH	none	<5	n.d.

<sup>a</sup> Reactions were conducted on 0.1 mmol scale in solvent (1.1 mL) under an atmosphere of N<sub>2</sub> unless otherwise noted. Conversions and yields were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard (n.d. = not detected).

<sup>b</sup> Formulations of bases unless otherwise noted: KOt-Bu = 1.6 M solution in THF; KOH = solid; LDA = 2.0 M solution in THF/*n*-heptane/ethylbenzene; NaOt-Bu = 2.0 M in THF.

<sup>c</sup> Solid KOt-Bu and DMF as solvent.

<sup>d</sup> Reaction was conducted open to air.


<sup>e</sup> 100% w/w of molecular sieves.

<sup>f</sup> Base and HOt-Bu (1.6 equiv) sonicated for 5 minutes.


pounds affords a relatively stabilized benzylic anion. Among bicyclic arenes (Scheme 2B), cyclopentane-containing product **4a** was accessed with double the yield of the analogous monocyclic arene **2g**. A 1.0 mmol scale reaction of 1-naphthyl substrate **3b** afforded the highest decarbonylation yield that we observed in the study (93% yield of **4b**). 2-Naphthyl and 4-benzofuranyl analogues (**4c** and **4d**) were also accessed in good yield. In contrast, 3-benzofuranyl analogue **4e** was not prepared efficiently and a significant amount of dearomatized product **7** was formed (Scheme 3). A number of benzyl-protected 4-substituted indole analogues (**3f–j**) were also decarbonylated efficiently, as were a number of benzothiophenyl substrates (**3k–n**), with the exception of the 3-substituted analogue **3o**, which may be prone to dearomatization as observed for **3e**.

Finally, we evaluated four fused tricyclic arenes as shown in Scheme 2C, including carbazoles (**5a** and **5b**), a dibenzothiophene (**5c**), and a dibenzofuran (**5d**), all of which afforded the corresponding decarbonylated products (**6a–d**) in good yield.

In conclusion, we have developed a *tert*-butoxide-mediated protodeformylative decarbonylation of  $\alpha$ -quaternary homobenzaldehydes.<sup>21,22</sup> The method enables efficient access to a variety of cumenes. Efforts to expand the scope and better understand the mechanism are ongoing in our lab.



**Scheme 2** Evaluation of the generality of the protodeformylation of  $\alpha$ -quaternary homobenzaldehydes. <sup>a</sup> Reactions were conducted with 0.2 mmol of aldehyde unless otherwise noted, and yields refer to isolated yields unless otherwise noted. <sup>b</sup> Yield was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. <sup>c</sup> Product is volatile under high vacuum. <sup>d</sup> Reaction was executed on 1.0 mmol scale of **3b**.



**Scheme 3** Dearomative protodeformylation predominates in the case of benzofuran **3e**.

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Funding Information

This research was sponsored by Santa Clara University and the University of California, Merced. L.J.D. was sponsored by a summer research award from Dr. Richard Bastiani.

## Acknowledgment

We thank a reviewer of a prior version of this manuscript for valuable insight and feedback. A version of this manuscript was deposited on ChemRxiv prior to review.<sup>23</sup>

## Supporting Information

Supporting information for this article is available online at <https://doi.org/10.1055/a-2231-3108>.

## References and Notes

- (1) For biochemical studies, see: (a) Sen, K.; Hackett, J. C. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 8170. (b) Sen, K.; Hackett, J. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 10293. (c) Patra, T.; Manna, S.; Maiti, D. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 12140.
- (2) For decarbonylations towards biofuel conversion, see: (a) Monrad, R. N.; Madsen, R. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 9782. (b) Geilen, F. M. A.; vom Stein, T.; Engendahl, B.; Winterle, S.; Liauw, M. A.; Klankermayer, J.; Leitner, W. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 6831. (c) Huang, Y.-B.; Yang, Z.; Chen, M.-Y.; Dai, J.-J.; Guo, Q.-X.; Fu, Y. *ChemSusChem* **2013**, *6*, 1348. (d) Jastrzebski, R.; Constant, S.; Lancefield, C. S.; Westwood, N. J.; Weckhuysen, B. M.; Bruijninx, P. C. A. *ChemSusChem* **2016**, *9*, 2074.
- (3) For the original Tsuji–Wilkinson reports, see: (a) Tsuji, J.; Ohno, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1965**, 3969. (b) Osborn, J. A.; Jardine, F. H.; Wilkinson, G. *J. Chem. Soc. A* **1966**, 1711. (c) Ohno, K.; Tsuji, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1968**, *90*, 99. For reviews, see: (d) Tsuji, J.; Ohno, K. *Synthesis* **1969**, 157. (e) Jardine, F. H. *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **1981**, *28*, 63.

- (4) Selected examples: (a) Hu, P.; Snyder, S. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 5007. (b) Ziegler, F. E.; Belema, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 1083. (c) Kato, T.; Hoshikawa, M.; Yaguchi, Y.; Izumi, K.; Uotsu, Y.; Sakai, K. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 9213. (d) Tanaka, M.; Ohshima, T.; Mitsunashi, H.; Maruno, M.; Wakamatsu, T. *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 11693. (e) Hansson, T.; Wickberg, B. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 5370.
- (5) For a detailed overview, see: (a) Richter, S. C.; Oestreich, M. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 8508. Selected examples: (b) Min, T.-S.; Mei, Y.-K.; Chen, B.-Z.; He, L.-B.; Song, T.-T.; Ji, D.-W.; Hu, Y.-C.; Wan, B.; Chen, Q.-A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, *144*, 11081. (c) Gutmann, B.; Elsner, P.; Glasnov, T.; Roberge, D. M.; Kappe, C. O. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 11557. (d) Kreis, M.; Palmelund, A.; Bunch, L.; Madsen, R. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2006**, *348*, 2148.
- (6) For the earliest reports of this debenzoylation, see: (a) Semmler, F. W. *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.* **1906**, *39*, 2577. (b) Haller, A.; Bauer, E. C. R. *Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci.* **1908**, *147*, 824. For reviews of the Haller–Bauer reaction, see: (c) Mehta, G.; Venkateswaran, R. V. *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 1399. (d) Gilday, J. P.; Paquette, L. A. *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.* **1990**, *22*, 167.
- (7) For works by Paquette and co-workers, see: (a) Paquette, L. A.; Gilday, J. P.; Ra, C. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 6858. (b) Paquette, L. A.; Gilday, J. P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 4972. (c) Paquette, L. A.; Ra, C. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 4978. (d) Gilday, J. P.; Gallucci, J. C.; Paquette, L. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 1399. (e) Paquette, L. A.; Maynard, G. D.; Ra, C. S.; Hoppe, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 1408. (f) Paquette, L. A.; Gilday, J. P.; Maynard, G. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 5044. For other examples and applications, see: (g) Hamlin, K. E.; Weston, A. W. *Org. React.* **1957**, *9*, 1. (h) Walborsky, H. M.; Allen, L. E.; Traenckner, H. J.; Powers, E. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1971**, *36*, 2937. (i) Kaiser, E. M.; Warner, C. D. *Synthesis* **1975**, 395. (j) Calas, M.; Calas, B.; Giral, L. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1976**, 857. (k) Mehta, G.; Praveen, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 279. (l) Mehta, G.; Reddy, K. S.; Kunwar, A. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 2289. (m) Mittra, A.; Bhowmik, D. R.; Venkateswaran, R. V. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 9555.
- (8) Mazziotta, A.; Makarov, I. S.; Fristrup, P.; Madsen, R. *J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, *82*, 5890.
- (9) For an early report of triphenylacetaldehyde deformylation using hydroxide, see: (a) Daniloff, S.; Venus-Danilova, E. *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.* **1926**, *59*, 377. (b) For a review, see: Artamkina, G. A.; Beletskaya, I. P. *Russ. Chem. Rev.* **1987**, *56*, 983.
- (10) For the original Cannizzaro disproportionation reaction, see: (a) Cannizzaro, S. *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1853**, *88*, 129. (b) For a review, see: Geissman, T. A. *Org. React.* **1944**, *2*, 94. For a relevant example, see: (c) DiBiase, S. A.; Gokel, G. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 447.
- (11) For a report of CO-releasing decarbonylation of tertiary aldehydes in water, see: Rodrigues, C. A. B.; Norton de Matos, M.; Guerreiro, B. M. H.; Goncalves, A. M. L.; Romao, C. C.; Afonso, C. A. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2011**, *52*, 2803.
- (12) For examples of peroxide-mediated radical decarbonylations of aldehydes, see: (a) Doering, W. v. E.; Farber, M.; Sprecher, M.; Wiberg, K. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1952**, *74*, 3000. (b) Winstein, S.; Seubold, F. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1947**, *69*, 2916.
- (13) For a metal-free formal (two-pot) decarbonylation of tertiary aldehydes, see: (a) Ref. 5a. (b) For a one-pot Pd-catalyzed tandem arylation/cyclization/migration between tertiary benzaldehydes and aryl iodides, see: Gou, B.-B.; Yang, H.; Sun, H.-R.; Chen, J.; Wu, J.; Zhou, L. *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 80. (c) For an example of a method involving the synthesis of tertiary benzaldehydes as synthetic intermediates, see: Debien, L.; Zard, S. Z. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 3808.
- (14) Similar conditions were employed by Giral and co-workers for the debenzoylation of (-)quaternary benzophenones. See ref. 7j. For a leading report on cumene synthesis via iron-catalyzed isopropylation of aryl chlorides, see: Sanderson, J. N.; Dominey, A. P.; Percy, J. M. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2017**, *359*, 1007.
- (15) Intermediate **A** is analogous to a ketone-derived intermediate invoked by Gilday and Paquette (ref. 7b). For a relevant study on benzylic anion formation via C–C bond cleavage analogous to **A**→**B**, see: Cram, D. J.; Langemann, A.; Lwowski, W.; Kopecky, K. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1959**, *81*, 5760.
- (16) (a) Cai, X.; Keshavarz, A.; Omaque, J. D.; Stokes, B. J. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 2626. (b) Cai, X.; Tohti, A.; Ramirez, C.; Harb, H.; Fettinger, J. C.; Hratchian, H. P.; Stokes, B. J. *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 1574. (c) Tohti, A.; Lerda, V.; Stokes, B. J. *Synlett* **2022**, in press; DOI 10.1055/a-1894-8726.
- (17) This substrate and many others herein were prepared in one step from the corresponding aryl bromide using a variant of the Pd-catalyzed zinc-enolate cross-coupling developed by Hartwig and co-workers, see: Hama, T.; Liu, X.; Culkin, D. A.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 11176.
- (18) A similar disparity between aprotic (ethereal) and protic (HOT-Bu) solvents has been observed in *tert*-butoxide-mediated fragmentations of ketones, see: (a) Gassman, P. G.; Lumb, J. T.; Zalar, F. V. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, *89*, 946. (b) Cristol, S. J.; Freeman, P. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1961**, *83*, 4427.
- (19) As further mechanistic support, two deuterium labeling experiments (one employing deuterated aldehyde as substrate, the other employing THF-*d*<sub>8</sub> as solvent) both afforded no detectable deuterium incorporation in the product.
- (20) See the Supporting Information for details.
- (21) **Protodeformylation; General Procedure:** An oven-dried 25-mL round-bottom flask was charged with a PTFE-coated magnetic stir bar, fitted with a rubber septum, and purged with nitrogen for 2 min. Then, under ambient pressure of N<sub>2</sub>, KOt-Bu solution (1.6 M in THF, 0.3 mmol, 1.6 equiv, 0.2 mL) was added to the flask, and further diluted with anhydrous THF (1.0 mL). To the flask, an anhydrous THF solution of aldehyde (0.2 M, 1.0 equiv, 1.0 mL) was added dropwise at room temperature. The mixture was then allowed to stir for 5 h under ambient pressure of N<sub>2</sub>. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc (2 mL), saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (5 mL) was added, and the mixture was allowed to stir until the solution became decolorized. The aqueous layer was then extracted with EtOAc (3 × 5 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude decarbonylated product, which was then purified by silica gel chromatography.
- (22) Characterization data of representative product **4b**: Yield (1.0 mmol scale): 158 mg (93%); colorless oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 8.16 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.90–7.84 (m, 1 H), 7.73 (dt, *J* = 7.8, 1.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.62–7.38 (m, 4 H), 3.79 (sept, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.44 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 6 H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 144.6 (C), 133.9 (C), 131.3 (C), 128.9 (CH), 126.3 (CH), 125.7 (CH), 125.6 (CH), 125.2 (CH), 123.3 (CH), 121.7 (CH), 28.5 (C), 23.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>).
- (23) Cai X.; Stokes B. J. *ChemRxiv*; **2021**, preprint; DOI: 10.26434/chemrxiv-2021-q22x8