Dynamic Imaging Grade of Swallowing Toxicity in Children with Esophageal Atresia
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Abstract

Introduction The Dynamic Imaging Grade of Swallowing Toxicity (DIGEST) scale was developed to evaluate the safety, efficiency, and overall pharyngeal swallowing performance in patients with dysphagia (DIGESTs, DIGESTe, and DIGESTt, respectively). Although various types of swallowing dysfunction are encountered in children with esophageal atresia (EA), oropharyngeal dysphagia poses risk for aspiration. Therefore, a retrospective study was performed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of swallowing by using DIGEST score in children with EA.

Patients and Methods Thirty-nine EA patients were included. The demographic features, respiratory problems, results, and outcomes of surgical treatment were evaluated from medical records. The videofluoroscopic swallowing evaluation investigated for both airway protection and bolus residuals at the level of vallecula, posterior pharyngeal wall, and pyriform sinus at liquid and pudding consistencies. The penetration and aspiration scale (PAS) was used to define penetration and aspiration severity, and DIGEST was used to evaluate DIGESTs, DIGESTe, and DIGESTt.

Results The median age of the patients were 13 months (7–39 months), and male-to-female ratio was 25:14. Sixty-seven percent of patients were type-C EA and 61% of them has associated anomalies; 38% of patients had aspiration (PAS = 6–8) in liquids and 10% in pudding consistency. Life-threatening/profound swallowing dysfunction in DIGESTe (DIGEST = 4) was seen in 13% (n = 5) of patients; 40% of EA patients showed severe problems in DIGESTt.

Conclusion DIGEST is a valid and reliable tool to define the efficacy and safety of swallowing in children with EA.
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Introduction Esophageal atresia (EA) is the most common congenital anomaly of esophagus with an incidence of 1:3,500 live births.1 Patients with EA show wide range of swallowing problems and dysphagia is a common long-term morbidity in all age groups.2 Not only esophageal phase of deglutition but also oral and pharyngeal phases are impaired in 35% of cases.2,3 Oropharyngeal impairment and aspiration are considered as a significant risk factor for respiratory problems in children with EA.3,4 Previous studies have already shown that EA patients have bolus residuals at different anatomical
locations with different amount of food and consistencies.\(^5\) During the swallowing, the pharyngeal re...
Fig. 1  Bolus scoring criteria for DIGEST. DIGEST, Dynamic Imaging Grade of Swallowing Toxicity; PAS, penetration and aspiration scale.
When patients with no penetration and no aspiration (PAS = 1) were compared with patients with penetration (PAS = 2–5) and aspiration (PAS = 6–8), DIGESTe, DIGESTt, and DIGESTs grades were significantly higher in patients with penetration and aspiration (p < 0.05). Only DIGESTe and DIGESTt grades were higher in patients with aspiration compared with penetration in both liquid and solid consistencies (p < 0.05). DIGESTs were similar in patients with aspiration and penetration in liquid swallows (p > 0.05).

PAS scores were correlated with DIGEST grades for safety, efficacy, and total scores. Table 4 demonstrates the correlation of PAS scores with all DIGEST grades in different consistencies. Since PAS evaluation is integral part of the DIGEST scoring, a significant strong correlation between PAS and DIGEST scores were found as expected (p = 0.001, r = 0.954). When DIGEST scores were grouped as DIGEST negative (DIGEST [−] = 0) and positive (DIGEST [+] = 1–4), there was no statistical difference between DIGEST [−] and DIGEST [+] cases for respiratory problems, gastroesophageal reflux, and surgical complications (p > 0.05).
Table 4 The correlation between PAS scores and DIGEST grades in different consistencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DIGESTs</th>
<th>DIGESTe</th>
<th>DIGESTt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAS liquids</td>
<td>0.05, 0.440</td>
<td>0.001, 0.954</td>
<td>0.01, 0.956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAS solids</td>
<td>0.05, 0.439</td>
<td>0.001, 0.555</td>
<td>0.008, 0.418</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: DIGEST, Dynamic Imaging Grade of Swallowing Toxicity; DIGESTe, efficacy DIGEST; DIGESTt, safety DIGEST; DIGESTs, overall pharyngeal swallowing performance DIGEST; PAS, penetration and aspiration scale.

Discussion

Pharyngeal dysphagia is a well-documented postoperative complication in patients with EA. In EA, structural anomalies may cause disruption in anatomical relation between esophagus and epiglottis and may lead to insufficient airway closure and aspiration. In 35% of EA cases, pharyngeal swallowing problems ranging from mild to severe dysphagia have been reported. However, severity of pharyngeal dysphagia and its consequences on safe swallowing have not been evaluated. DIGEST is a validated method to define the severity and grade of swallowing dysfunction. The safety problems in DIGEST (DIGESTs) suggests an unsafe swallowing that may cause respiratory problem, whereas efficacy (DIGESTe) may refer ineffective swallowing resulting with nutritional impairment. In this study, we first documented that 40% of patients had severe DIGEST grades. Although the absence of life-threatening results in the safety evaluation was a very good result, efficacy evaluation showed profound problem in 13% of the patients. Therefore, we suggest that problems with effective swallowing are more common than safe swallowing in our cohort of patients. Moreover, we could not find any statistical difference in DIGEST (+) and (−) patients for respiratory problems.

PAS score is also reliable tool to define penetration and aspiration in VFSE. Patients with penetration are at risk for aspiration and recurrent respiratory infection. In EA patients, 20% of cases penetration and aspiration with delayed primary repair had higher PAS scores compared with early repair. In addition to structural anomalies, tensioned anastomosis and delayed repair associated with higher penetration and aspiration scores in EA patients. In this study, we also found that 38% of cases had aspiration in liquids and 10% had aspiration in solid consistencies. Also, PAS is strongly correlated with high grades of DIGEST efficacy and total especially in liquids in EA cases. Since PAS evaluation is integral part of DIGEST scoring, significant correlation between these two scores is expected.

DIGEST utilizes two scores including safety profiles and efficacy profiles of patients from VFSE derivate images. It is commonly used to grade the pharyngeal swallowing dysfunction in head and neck cancers and other dysphagia causes in adults. This is the first study that DIGEST was used to grade pediatric pharyngeal dysphagia. DIGEST scores are obtained from VFSE parameters. VFSE is a diagnostic method with proven validity and reliability in children. Therefore, we suggest that it is applicable for pediatric population and defines the severity of pharyngeal problems in terms of efficacy and safety. Since pediatric population is more vulnerable for aspiration, safety is very important for safe swallowing. Also, efficacy information is significant for effective deglutition and nutrition for a developing child. Therefore, we suggest that DIGEST seems a reliable tool superior to other observational and quantitative method in EA patients.

Our study has some limitations. First, we have small number of patients with varying types of treatment. Second, since the symptoms of the patients occur due to various underlying causes, it was not possible to correlate the symptoms with VFSE and DIGEST findings. Also, nutritional assessment of the patients will be more informative to interpret the efficacy parameters. Finally, tensioned anastomosis and other structural anomalies such as motility disorders, tracheomalacia should be evaluated together with DIGEST grades. However, the possible structures are multifactorial, a single structural anomaly could not attribute to impaired DIGEST scores. Despite these limitations, this is the first study showing the use of DIGEST grades in pediatric population and EA patients. Moreover, unlike to other diagnostic evaluations, DIGEST provides more reliable and detailed information about the severity and safety of pharyngeal swallowing.
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