Is There Clinically Relevant Plasma Interference with ELISA Detection of APS Antibodies? Reproducibility of Real-World Paired Serum and Plasma Testing
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The detection of antiphospholipid antibodies is a cornerstone requisite practice in the evaluation and diagnosis of antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). APS is commonly characterized by the detection of persisting antiphospholipid antibodies in the clinical context of thrombotic or obstetric manifestations. The 2006 Sydney Criteria outline clinical criteria and define anticardiolipin (aCL), anti-β-2 glycoprotein-1 (β2GP1), and the lupus anticoagulant in classifying definite APS. Other antibodies, including anti-phosphatidylserine-prothrombin (aPSPT), have been identified and linked to APS though their clinical utility remains an area of uncertainty and subject to ongoing research.

In the ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay) detection of APS antibodies, the use of serum is thought preferable over plasma according to international consensus bodies and guidelines. The cited concerns include the dilution effects of the citrate anticoagulant needed in plasma sampling, possible matrix effects from fibrinogen, and potential for interference from platelets. There are few studies suggesting that paired serum and plasma ELISA testing for antiphospholipid antibodies produce comparable quantitative values. Further, there is a lack of replicating studies on a well-defined clinical population in a real-world clinical setting. Therefore, we sought to evaluate the reproducibility of ELISA detection of antiphospholipid antibodies in an all-comer clinical population undergoing medical evaluation for APS.

A cross-section of all patients from our institution from June 2017 to December 2018 was identified undergoing serologic evaluations for suspected or previously diagnosed APS. The cohort underwent serum tests for immunoglobulin G and immunoglobulin M isotypes of aCL and β2GP1 which are recognized in the current 2006 Sydney APS classification criteria. Serologic testing used commercially available ELISA assays (QUANTA Lite, Inova Diagnostics, San Diego, California, United States) in a CLIA (Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments)-accredited medical laboratory. The same ELISA tests were repeated once from the same patient on the same day, platelet-poor citrated plasma. aPS-PT antibodies, which are not a part of current Sydney APS classification criteria, were also found to be tested in a small minority of patients and thus presented opportunity for...
Plasma samples were stored at −80°C and tested within 3 weeks. Quantitative antibody levels were determined for each isotype without citrate volume correction and then stratified into the following reference value intervals for aCL and β2GP1: negative (<15.0 GPL/MPL or U/mL), weakly positive (15.0–39.9), or positive (≥40.0). For aPS-PT, the following reference value intervals were used: negative (≤30 U), borderline (30.1–39.9), or positive (≥40.0).

Patient demographics and clinical characteristics were summarized using descriptive statistics (medians, percentages, etc.). Paired differences of antibody levels were compared using Wilcoxon paired signed-rank tests. Inter-sample reliability of resulted reference value intervals was compared using kappa coefficients. Analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, United States).

Proportion of those with autoimmune diseases were enumerated as determined by the related specialty physician. Particularly, patients diagnosed with APS met 2006 Sydney Criteria (revised 1999 Sapporo Criteria) and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) met 2012 SLICC (Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics) criteria.

One hundred fifty patients were identified for study with 134 aCL, 110 β2GP1, and 7 aPS-PT unique serum samples eligible for subsequent paired plasma testing. The cohort mean age was approximately 49 ± 17 years. Approximately 69% were female and 87% were white. Approximately 51% had one or more autoimmune condition. Notably, 21% had APS which included both newly diagnosed disease and plasma in the resulting reference intervals stratifying into negative, weakly positive, and positive.

In conclusion, this study includes the large sample size which has aided in detection of very small differences. Compared with prior studies, this study’s use of real-world clinical patients with commercially available laboratory kits improves generalizability to the clinical setting. Though it should be noted that this study cohort is derived from a predominantly white North American tertiary referral population which may have other limitations in applying to other ethnic populations. There have been reports of differences in APS antibody distribution and resulting clinical phenotypes that may need additional considerations in interpreting and applying this study’s data.

Inclusion, this study finds small differences in the detection of APS antibodies between serum and plasma which do not appear clinically significant. These findings suggest that plasma could reasonably be used interchangeably in lieu of serum for ELISA detection of antiphospholipid antibodies.
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