Duodenal tumors occur as sporadic lesions and are a common finding in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) [1]. Up to 80% of adult patients with FAP may develop duodenal tumors [2]. However, these tumors are becoming increasingly diagnosed even in patients without FAP due to the increasing number of endoscopies being performed [3]. The majority of these tumors arise in the major duodenal papilla and account for approximately 5% of gastrointestinal neoplasms [3]. In contrast, tumors arising in the minor papilla are uncommon and only described in case reports [4–10]. Tumors of the minor papilla are rare and are almost always reported as adenoma, or neuroendocrine tumors such as carcinoid, or somatostatinoma [4, 6]. Adenocarcinoma of the minor papilla is quite rare. A surgical resection is the primary treatment for both minor and major papillary adenocarcinomas [4]. Benign lesions of the papilla have the potential to undergo malignant transformation to papillary carcinomas [11]. The incidence of malignant transformation to carcinoma in situ or invasive carcinoma has ranged from 25% to 85% [11]. Papillary and duodenal carcinoma are aggressive cancers with poor 5-year survival rates. Like colorectal cancer, papillary carcinomas are also thought to follow the adenoma-carcinoma sequence. To prevent malignant transformation of minor papillary tumors, complete resection or surveillance of these lesions is advisable. There have been some case reports on endoscopic papillectomy and endoscopic mucosal resection of minor papillary tumors, however all of these studies include no more than one...
This lack of data compels further evaluation of managing minor papillary lesions by endoscopy. We report a multicenter case series of endoscopic papillectomy in the management of minor papillary tumors.

Patients and methods

Consecutive patients undergoing papillectomy for minor papillary tumors at four hospitals were included in this study over a period of 5 years. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are detailed below:

Inclusion criteria:
1. Any patient found to have minor papillary tumor with history of abdominal pain or pancreatitis, deemed to be secondary to the tumor, or tumor felt to be at high risk of progression to carcinoma were included in the study with intention of endoscopic resection.

Exclusion criteria:
1. Inability or refusal to provide informed consent
2. Contraindication to MAC sedation or general anesthesia
3. Contraindication to endoscopic resection including severe coagulopathy, immunosuppression.

A total of six patients were included in the study and all six patients underwent endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) for the purpose of minor papillectomy (Table 1). Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography and endoscopic ultrasound was performed on all patients prior to ERCP to rule out invasion (Fig. 1). All patients underwent ERCP, and resection of the minor papilla was performed using snare polypectomy technique. An ERBE generator was used for all cases with the following Endocut Q settings: Effect 2, cut duration 1, cut Interval three. The specimens that were retrieved were sent for histopathologic analysis. Pancreatic stents were placed in the duct of Santorini (minor duct) after papillectomy in five patients, 3F × 8-cm single pigtail stents in four patients, and one 5F × 5-cm straight stent in one patient. All stents were removed after approximately 2 weeks. Stent placement was not successful in one patient.

Complications were assessed postoperatively and by close outpatient follow-up. At 10 to 12 weeks, all six patients underwent repeat endoscopy with a standard duodenoscope for evaluation of residual neoplastic tissue. Results were reported as success, residual lesion, recurrence, and complications. Success was defined as a complete resection of the tumor regardless of the number of required procedures with no recurrences on follow-up endoscopies. A residual lesion was one in which gross or microscopic adenomatous tissue was present on follow up endoscopies. Complications included pancreatitis, bleeding, perforation, and delayed papillary stenosis [13]. Pancreatitis was defined by a three-fold increase in serum amylase or lipase in presence of abdominal pain. Bleeding was defined as a drop in hemoglobin of at least 2 grams or if clinical suspicion led to performing endoscopy to evaluate for possible bleeding. Recurrence was defined as the presence of a new tumor on repeat endoscopy. Complete excision was confirmed by reviewing the pathology of the prior tissue biopsy.

Table 1. Patient demographics and minor papillary tumor characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient 1</th>
<th>Patient 2</th>
<th>Patient 3</th>
<th>Patient 4</th>
<th>Patient 5</th>
<th>Patient 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor type</td>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>Carcinoid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor Size</td>
<td>1 cm</td>
<td>2 cm</td>
<td>1.5 cm</td>
<td>1.7 cm</td>
<td>2.5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic divisum</td>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FAP, familial adenomatous polyposis.

Fig. 1. Endoscopic ultrasound demonstrating no deep invasion of tumor.

Results
Papillectomy was technically successful in all six patients. One patient required two ERCPs for complete papillectomy. One patient developed post ERCP pancreatitis and was kept in the hospital for 2 days. No other major complications were noted. Two patients had abdominal pain for one day post ERCP which was considered a minor complication.

The tumors varied in size from 1 cm to 3 cm (Fig. 2). Pathology revealed adenoma in three patients, adenoma with high-grade dysplasia in one patient, carcinoma in one patient, and carcinoid tumor in one patient.

Follow-up for these patients ranged from 2 to 5 years with esophagastroduodenoscopy using duodenoscope at 3 months, at 1 year and yearly thereafter. One patient had an additional tumor identified at 2 years, which was found to be a recurrence of the original adenoma (4 mm). This patient was treated with repeat papillectomy. The patient with carcinoma had endoscopies every 3 months for a year followed by yearly endoscopy; no recurrence was noted during the 3 years of follow-up. Confocal laser endomicroscopy was performed at the time of each endoscopy to look for tumor recurrence, showing no further evidence of tumor at the cellular level. Two of six patients (33%) had FAP. Two patients had pancreas divisum, one with Type 1, and other with Type 3 Divisum.

Discussion
Tumors of the minor papilla are rare [6]. In contrast to tumors of the major papilla, those of the minor papilla are less symptomatic unless they grow large or cause pancreatic duct anomalies [5,6]. Historically, tumors of major papilla are removed surgically but given the increased risk of post-surgical complications, endoscopic resection is now becoming a safe and effective treatment option in these patients [3]. Surgical or endoscopic resection is indicated for all tumors to prevent progression to carcinomas [11]. There are several existing case reports on endoscopic treatment of tumors of minor papilla in order to ascertain the long-term safety and efficacy of such treatment. One study identified three cases of minor papillary tumors that were managed by endoscopic resection, but the follow-up duration was only 12 months [9]. Our case series is unique as not only was the follow-up period longer between 2 to 5 years, consistent with follow-up period suggested by recent guidelines on papillary tumor management [14], but it also highlighted that endoscopic resection of benign tumors and early malignant tumors of the minor duodenal papilla is a relatively safe procedure associated with favorable long-term outcomes.

Pancreatitis is a well-recognized complication after endoscopic resection of tumor of major papilla. Recent evidence has suggested prophylactic pancreatic duct stenting to reduce the risk of pancreatitis [14]. With our case series, stents were placed after minor papillary resection into the duct of Santorini, or minor duct. Our case series showed a comparable rate of procedure-related complication to reported findings in the literature, as one out of six patients was found to have pancreatitis [3]. The other five patients had no major complications and were discharged within 24 hours. In addition, none of our patients were noted to have delayed stenosis. Among the patients who followed up, there were no recurrences of tumor and no patient was found to have cancer develop over a mean follow-up period of 2 to 5 years. This demonstrates that complete removal of these lesions via endoscopic resection is safe and has favorable outcomes.

Confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE) is an advanced endoscopic imaging technology that facilitates the observation of gastrointestinal epithelia at a magnified, cellular level [15]. CLE is utilized in the detection of dysplasia, adenoma, and carcinoma. CLE has a high diagnostic accuracy for conditions affecting the gastrointestinal tract, such as esophageal, gastric, and colonic neoplasia, pancreatic cysts and solid lesions, and malignant pancreaticobiliary strictures [15]. One single-center study comparing dual-focus narrow band imaging and CLE for real-time diagnosis of adenomatous polyps in patients with FAP, showed that CLE had a similar, high degree of diagnostic value as compared with narrow band imaging [16]. With respect to duodenal papilla, there has been evidence to suggest that CLE provides adequate diagnostic accuracy similar to histopathologic specimens [17]. In our study, we were able to effectively demonstrate CLE as a reliable tool for detection of recurrence of minor papilla tumors.

Conclusions
In our pilot study, endoscopic papillectomy appears safe and effective in the management of minor papillary tumors. Larger
studies with long-term follow-up are needed to further demonstrate the safety and efficacy of endoscopic resection for minor papillary tumors.
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