Modified line-assisted complete closure of the defect after gastric endoscopic full-thickness resection: a pilot study in porcine models

Background and study aims Closure after endoscopic full-thickness resection (EFTR) is challenging. We previously developed a simple endoscopic closure method: line-assisted complete closure (LACC). We performed a pilot study using porcine models to evaluate the feasibility of modified LACC after gastric EFTR.

Patients and methods Six live pigs were included. EFTR (greater curvature of the gastric antrum [n = 3] and anterior wall of the gastric body [n = 3]) was performed under general anesthesia and the defect after EFTR was closed by modified LACC. The pigs were observed until postoperative day 4 (Day 4). The closure site was endoscopically evaluated and the presence or absence of peritonitis and fluid leakage was evaluated. The outcomes were the success rate of modified LACC on the day of the procedure, maintenance of defect closure, presence of peritonitis or leakage, and clinical course.

Results Once complete closure was successfully achieved in all cases, maintenance of closure on Day 4 was not achieved. However, there was neither peritonitis nor fluid leakage. The defect was completely covered by surrounding tissues on Day 4 and the clinical course was good in all cases.

Conclusions The feasibility of modified LACC after gastric EFTR was demonstrated in porcine models. Further improvement is needed to maintain defect closure.
Thus, we conducted a pilot study using porcine models to evaluate the feasibility of modified LACC for defects < 3 cm after gastric EFTR as a first step.

Patients and methods
Study approval
This study was conducted after approval from the Animal Care and Use Committee, Okayama University (institutional number: OKU-2020730).

Animal experiment
Six live, three-way crossbred, 3-month-old female pigs were included in this study. After environmental acclimation for 7 days, the pigs were fasted for 24 h before the endoscopic procedures. On the day of the procedure (Day 0), 0.04 mg/kg medetomidine, 0.2 mg/kg midazolam and 5 mg/kg ketamine were injected intramuscularly, followed by tracheal intubation and continuous inhalation anesthesia using 2% to 5% isoflurane. EFTR and modified LACC as described below, were performed at the greater curvature of the gastric antrum in three pigs, and at the anterior wall of the lower gastric body in the other three pigs. The procedures for the greater curvature of the gastric antrum were performed in forward view, and those for the anterior wall of the lower gastric body were performed in retroflex view. After the procedures, the pigs were allowed to drink water and were fed with a regular diet 1 day after the procedure (Day 1). For 3 days including Day 0, an antibiotic (enrofloxacin 2.5 mg/kg) was injected intramuscularly. Until postoperative day 4 (Day 4), the pigs were observed carefully, and their eating habits, body weight, and general conditions were documented. Blood samples were collected on Days 0 and 4. Under general anesthesia, closure sites were endoscopically observed on Day 4, and 50 mL 1% methylene blue was poured into the closure sites to evaluate leakage. A midline abdominal incision was performed, and the presence or absence of peritonitis and leakage of fluid with methylene blue was evaluated visually. The peritoneal side of the closure sites was also evaluated. All pigs were euthanized using a lethal dose of potassium chloride.

Modified LACC for defects after EFTR
The modified LACC and EFTR procedures were performed by three endoscopists who had experience with more than 100 gastrointestinal ESDs. A single-channel endoscope (PCF-Q240ZI; Olympus Tokyo, Japan) and CO₂ insufflation were used, and the endoscope was inserted into the stomach (Video 1). After irrigation of the stomach using physiological saline, a circular marking (~3 × 3 cm) was created using a triangle tip electrosurgical knife (TT knife; Olympus) and submucosal injection of saline was performed. ESD using the TT knife just outside the marking was performed to create a mucosal defect and expose the muscle layer. After endoscope withdrawal, a clip-and-line was applied. The endoscope was reinserted and the center of the exposed muscle layer was captured using a clip-and-line to achieve traction. A muscle layer (~2 × 2 cm) inside the defect was removed using the TT knife and EFTR was achieved. When excess free air affected the circulation dynamics during EFTR, peritoneal aspiration using a 20-gauge needle was performed.

After completion of EFTR, modified LACC was performed as described below (Fig. 1, Fig. 2 and Video 1) [14, 15]. Multiple small incisions just outside the defect (precutting method [16]) were made circumferentially using the TT knife as preparation for LACC. Precutting was performed four on each side (in total eight precutting). A 3–0 silk line (Akiyama-Seisakusho Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was tied to a clip (HX-610–090, EZ clip; Olympus) mounted on an applicator (HX–110LR; Olympus), and the clip was retracted into the applicator and inserted into the accessory channel. The clip tied a line was fully opened, and one side of the claw of the clip was inserted into the incision on the oral side, while the other side of the claw was placed inside the margin of the defect (at the exposed muscle layer), and the claws were closed. Another clip (without a line) was used to anchor the line to the opposite side. One side of the claw of another clip was inserted into the anal-side incision, while the other side of the claw was placed inside the margin (at the exposed muscle layer), and the claws were closed. Finally, both clips were gathered together by gently pulling the line by hand. At this stage, both mucosa and muscle layer were gathered as much as possible. A third clip was inserted while threading a line through the gap at the base of the clip teeth, and the clip was fully opened near the first and second clips, resulting in the line and clips being fixed (locking technique) [17]. The third clip was closed to catch the mucosa. The TT knife was inserted, and the line was cut by forced coagulation mode. The modified LACC procedure using regular clips (EZ clip) was repeated to minimize the defects, and reopenable clips (Sure Clip; MC Medical, Tokyo, Japan) were added to close the defect completely. The modified LACC was a combination of the original LACC and, precutting and locking technique.

Video
Endoscopic full-thickness resection (EFTR) and line-assisted complete closure (LACC) of the defect. First, endoscopic submucosal dissection about 3 cm in size was performed. Second, EFTR 2 cm in size was performed using the traction technique. Third, the precutting method was used. Fourth, LACC was applied to minimize the defect. Fifth, a simple clip was added to achieve complete closure.
Measurement outcomes

The evaluated outcomes were the success rate of modified LACC, which was defined as complete closure (whole defect was covered by the mucosa) on Day 0, closure procedure time, modified LACC times, maintenance of defect closure on Day 4, presence of peritonitis or leakage on Day 4, change of laboratory test, and clinical course. Clinical course was evaluated on the basis of the weight-loss process and dietary intake.

Results

Table 1 shows the location and defect size based on resected specimens and the outcomes of modified LACC. Successful modified LACC of the defect after EFTR was achieved in all six cases. Median closure procedure time was 43.5 minutes (range 37 to 65). Median number of LACC were 3 times (range 3 to 4 times), and median total number of clips, which included the clip used for modified LACC, was 24.5 (range 20 to 28).

Maintenance of defect closure on Day 4 was not achieved in all cases. Partial dehiscence was observed in three cases at the greater curvature of the gastric antrum and complete dehiscence was observed in the other three cases at the anterior wall of the lower gastric body. However, there was neither peritonitis nor fluid leakage in any case. In the gastric antrum, the defects were covered by greater omentum, and in the gastric body, the defects were covered by adhesion by surrounding tissues including the liver. All pigs ate well on Day 1 (700 g/day swine diet; Oriental Yeast Co. Ltd. Tokyo.) and body

Fig. 1 Modified line-assisted complete closure (LACC) of the defect after endoscopic full-thickness resection (EFTR). a The defect after EFTR. The clip-and-line was applied to catch the mucosa of the oral side. c Another clip was used to anchor the line to the opposite side. d Both clips were gathered together. e The third clip fixed the line. f The third clip was closed to catch the mucosa. g The line was cut by triangle tip knife. h LACC procedure was repeated to minimize the defects. i Complete closure was achieved.
weight increased on Day 4. The clinical course progressed well with no delayed adverse events (AEs) (▶ Table 2).

Discussion
Our study using porcine models showed that modified LACC for defect closure after gastric EFTR was feasible without any AEs, but the closure was only partial or entirely dehiscent on Day 4. The clinical course was good in all cases due to the defect being rapidly covered by adhesion from the peritoneal side, but permanent closure was theoretically ideal. Thus, some improvements in LACC are needed to achieve more secure closure after EFTR.

To date, LACC has mainly been used for mucosal defect closure after ESD, although there are several reports of successful application of LACC for large iatrogenic perforations during endoscopic treatment [18, 19]. In this study, we first evaluated the feasibility of modified LACC for defect closure after EFTR. The defect after EFTR was completely closed in all cases on Day 0, but closure was not maintained. There may be several reasons for closure dehiscence. First, the gastric mucosa is thick and has few folds compared with other organs, which exert tension, resulting in disruption of the closure site. Second, dietary control was impossible in the porcine model. It is usual in clinical practice to fast a few days after EFTR. The fasting period might influence dehiscence. Third, the strength of closure by modified LACC seemed insufficient to maintain closure. A previous study suggested that the closure strength of purse-string suturing using endoloop and simple clip closure was weaker than that of hand suturing [20]. Besides, even if hand suturing were applied, permanent closure in all cases after gastric ESD is difficult [9, 10]. We assumed that the closure strength of modified LACC was equivalent to that of purse-string suturing or simple clip closure; thus, we applied a precutting and locking technique for LACC to reinforce the closure. However, the closure was dehiscent. Maintenance of closure after gastric EFTR is still challenging and the rate of closure maintenance after gastric EFTR has not been fully evaluated for other methods. Improvement in LACC (e.g., application of cinching devices or tissue adhesives) in future might be a good option to strengthen the closure.

In comparison with previously reported methods, modified LACC was easily available without special devices, because hand suturing and purse-string require a two-channel endoscope, and expensive devices are required for OTSC and OverStitch. However, median closure time for modified LACC (43.5
minutes) was not shorter than that of other reported methods for similar defect size in porcine or human studies: hand suturing, median 25 to 49.5 minutes [9,10]; O-ring and OTSC, median 35.5 minutes [12]; and pure-string suture, mean 14.6 minutes [8]. Further developments are needed to reduce procedure time.

This study had several limitations. First, small numbers of porcine models were used for the pilot study to minimize animal suffering. Second, the follow-up period was limited to Day 4. Long-term outcome of modified LACC after EFTR was unclear, although most delayed AEs occur within 2 days after endoscopic resection. However, administration of an antibiotic during most of the study period might mask peritonitis. Third, the closure site and the presence of leakage were evaluated macroscopically. The site was carefully observed using methylene blue, but there was no pathological evaluation. Fourth, the LACC time varied, although the size of the defect treated by modified LACC was limited. Although three experts performed the procedures, the stability and reproducibility of modified LACC after EFTR was questionable, based on results from this study. Fifth, many more clips were used for modified LACC than we anticipated, because there are few folds in the

### Table 1: Outcomes of modified LACC after EFTR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>ESD defect size, mm</th>
<th>EFTR defect size, mm</th>
<th>Complete closure</th>
<th>Closure procedure time, min</th>
<th>Total no. clips</th>
<th>Modified LACC times</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Antrum, Gre</td>
<td>26 × 25</td>
<td>18 × 16</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Antrum, Gre</td>
<td>28 × 26</td>
<td>18 × 17</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Antrum, Gre</td>
<td>27 × 25</td>
<td>20 × 19</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lower body, Ant</td>
<td>27 × 26</td>
<td>18 × 18</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Lower body, Ant</td>
<td>29 × 28</td>
<td>19 × 18</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Lower body, Ant</td>
<td>30 × 28</td>
<td>21 × 19</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LACC, line-assisted complete closure; EFTR, endoscopic full-thickness resection; ESD, endoscopic submucosal dissection.

---

**Fig. 3** Closure site on Day 4. a Partial dehiscence was observed (greater curvature of the antrum). b Methylene blue was poured into the closure sites (luminal side). c The defects were covered by greater omentum (peritoneal side). d Complete dehiscence was observed (anterior wall of the lower body). e Methylene blue was poured into the closure sites (luminal side). f The defects were covered by surrounding tissue (peritoneal side).
gastric of porcine models and endoscopy maneuverability was poor, which was not cost-effective.

Conclusions
In conclusion, we have shown that modified LACC can be used to close the defect after gastric EFTR in porcine models, although the closure is not maintained. Fortunately, the clinical course after the procedures was good in all cases, given the rapid adhesion, but improvement is needed to maintain closure before using the technique in human EFTR.
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Table 2  Clinical course after line-assisted complete closure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>WBC, /µL (Day 0)</th>
<th>WBC, /µL (Day 4)</th>
<th>BW, kg (Day 0)</th>
<th>BW, kg (Day 4)</th>
<th>No. remaining clips (Day 4)</th>
<th>Maintaining closure (Day 4)</th>
<th>Peritonitis</th>
<th>Leakage</th>
<th>Clinical course</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17000</td>
<td>35100</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Partially dehiscent</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Being well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>19000</td>
<td>23000</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Partially dehiscent</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Being well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>19700</td>
<td>25200</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Partially dehiscent</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Being well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>17500</td>
<td>15700</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Entirely dehiscent</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Being well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>19900</td>
<td>20300</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Entirely dehiscent</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Being well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>15700</td>
<td>17400</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Entirely dehiscent</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Being well</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WBC, white blood cell; BW, body weight.
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