Update for the Performance of CT Coronary Angiography
Evidence-Based Application and Technical Guidance According to Current Consensus Guidelines and Practical Advice from the Clinical Routine
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ABSTRACT
Background Coronary CT angiography (cCTA) is a class 1 recommendation in the current guidelines by the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) for excluding significant coronary artery stenosis. To achieve optimal image quality at a low radiation dose, the imaging physician may choose different acquisition modes. Therefore, the consensus guidelines by the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography (SCCT) provide helpful guidance for this procedure.

Method The article provides practical recommendations for the application and acquisition of cCTA based on the current literature and our own experience.

Results and Conclusion According to current ESC guidelines, cCTA is recommended in symptomatic patients with a low or intermediate clinical likelihood for coronary artery disease. We recommend premedication with beta blockers and nitrates prior to CT acquisition under certain conditions even with the latest CT scanner generations. The most current CT scanners offer three possible scan modes for cCTA acquisition. Heart rate is the main factor for selecting the scan mode. Other factors may be coronary calcifications and body mass index (BMI).

Key Points:
▪ CCTA is a valid method to exclude coronary artery disease in patients with a low to intermediate clinical likelihood.
▪ Even with the latest generation CT scanners, premedication with beta blockers and nitrates can improve image quality at low radiation exposure.
▪ Current CT scanners usually provide retrospective ECG gating and prospective ECG triggering. Dual-source scanners additionally provide a “high pitch” scan mode to scan the whole heart during one heartbeat, which may also be achieved using single-source scanners with broad detectors in some cases.
▪ Besides the available scanner technology, the choice of scan mode primarily depends on heart rate and heart rate variability (e.g., arrhythmia).
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Introduction

Coronary CT angiography (cCTA) is a recognized diagnostic method for excluding coronary stenosis with a high degree of scientific and clinical evidence. According to the current guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC), which were revised in 2019, cCTA already has a class 1 indication for the diagnosis of coronary artery disease [1]. In spite of a high degree of standardization, the diagnostic significance of cCTA is still highly dependent on optimal performance of the examination. Moreover, even when using the latest scanner generations, the applied dose depends on the imaging protocol that is used. This is particular to cCTA compared to many other CT examination protocols in radiology. The method requires a high degree of direct patient contact and personalization.

The goal of this article is to provide the examiner with an overview of the evidence-based use, examination procedure, and image acquisition techniques of cCTA. Recommendations are based on the current consensus recommendations of the professional societies, the relevant literature, current studies, and our own experience. Examination preparation and procedure do not differ significantly between various frequently used types of scanner. The discussion of technical implementation, particularly the scan modes, is primarily focused here on second and third-generation dual-source scanners with which we use in our own department. The described examination procedure is an example of an implementation of cCTA that we use at our own site. It is not intended to be binding or generally valid and is not a recommendation from a professional society. This article provides supplementary and updated information to an earlier overview of the coronary CT angiography examination technique published in RöFo [2].

Current guidelines for using cCTA

In 2019, the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) published updated guidelines regarding the diagnosis and management of chronic symptomatic coronary syndrome [1]. These guidelines recommend primary anatomical cCTA imaging or noninvasive functional imaging (myocardial ischemic test) to rule out coronary artery disease. cCTA is the preferred test in the case of low clinical probability of coronary artery disease, no preexisting coronary artery disease, and high probability of good image quality [1]. In the case of high probability of coronary artery disease or known coronary artery disease, a noninvasive functional ischemic test (e.g., stress MRI, SPECT, or stress echocardiography) is preferred. However, local expertise, availability, and patient-specific characteristics play a role in test selection (cCTA or functional ischemic test) [1]. In the case of intermediate clinical probability, both cCTA and functional tests are recommended equally. The guidelines are based on multiple large studies and meta-analyses. A meta-analysis including 5332 patients from 65 prospective studies examining the diagnostic accuracy of cCTA showed that cCTA achieved the best diagnostic accuracy in patients with stable angina pectoris and a clinical pretest probability between 7% and 67% [3]. The often cited “Prospective Multicenter Imaging Study for Evaluation of Chest Pain” (PROMISE study) showed that cCTA can be used as an equivalent alternative to stress tests for diagnosing coronary artery disease in patients with low to intermediate pretest probability.

Studies like the SCOT-HEART Trial showed that cCTA was able to lower the rate of future coronary events (heart attack, coronary cardiac death) in patients with stable angina pectoris compared to standard treatment alone without cCTA [4]. In the case of chronic symptomatic coronary syndrome, invasive coronary angiography is recommended only rarely as a primary diagnostic method without prior noninvasive imaging, e.g., in the case of a high probability of coronary artery disease with typical angina already under minimal stress, in the case of severe symptoms of coronary artery disease that do not respond to medications, and in the case of left ventricular dysfunction that is clinically probably associated with coronary artery disease [1].

A further area of application for cCTA according to the guidelines of the ESC is the detection of coronary bypass occlusion [1].
cCTA imaging of non-contrast-enhanced coronary vessels is not indicated in the case of a bypass situation [5]. A diagnostic alternative for detecting ischemia after bypass surgery is a noninvasive stress test [5].

The use of cCTA to diagnose in-stent restenosis is not recommended in the current guidelines [1, 6] because the diagnostic accuracy even of modern CT scanners depends greatly on the width of the stent and the type of stent (material) [7, 8]. For stents with a diameter greater than 3 mm, cCTA had a high diagnostic accuracy regarding restenosis particularly in the left main coronary artery [8]. The updated guidelines of the ESC and the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) recommend cCTA as an alternative to invasive coronary angiography to rule out coronary artery disease prior to surgical valve replacement in patients with low pretest probability, thus creating an additional large field of application for the method [9]. For the sake of completeness, it must be mentioned that there are areas of use and indications for cCTA outside of chronic symptomatic coronary syndrome, for example, the exclusion of acute coronary syndrome in acute chest pain (in certain constellations with unremarkable ECG and normal cardiac enzymes [10]), the detection and classification of coronary anomalies, the detection of coronary aneurysms, and anatomical imaging in the case of complex malformations. The focus of this study is the diagnosis of chronic symptomatic coronary syndrome. The additionally mentioned indications are not further discussed.

Update of examination techniques

Examination procedure

To allow a routine examination procedure, the individual steps should be as standardized as possible, ideally in the form of a standard operating procedure (SOP). For orientation purposes, the SCCT published consensus recommendations for cCTA preparation and acquisition [11]. In concordance with the current recommendations, the examination can include the following steps:

1. Preparation for the scan
2. Oral Beta blockers
3. Nitrate
4. Calcium-Scoring
5. Intravenous beta blockers
6. Test bolus
7. cCTA
8. Patient 2nd Scan

Examination preparation

In addition to the risks of contrast agent administration, the informed consent discussion should include side effects and possible contraindications for beta blockers and nitrates according to the package insert. ▶ Table 1 provides a summary of the constellations in which beta blockers and nitrates are not recommended or are only recommended with caution and monitoring [11–16]. One approach is to use intravenous beta blockers, e.g., Metoprolol (Beloc i.v. 5 ml = 5 mg) with oral immediate-release beta blockers (e.g., 50 mg tablets of Metoprolol Tartrate) as an alternative. In addition, isosorbide dinitrate (Isoket) is administered as a sublingual tablet (5 mg). Due to the beta-1 selectivity of Metoprolol, the effect on the bronchial system tends to be minimal. However, beta blockers should not be used in patients taking long-term medication to treat severe asthma or COPD.

Peripheral venous catheters with the largest possible diameter (ideally 18 gauge, often color-coded green) should be used for intravenous access preferably in the right elbow to allow high flow rates (5–6 ml/s). The patient’s blood pressure and pulse should be measured prior to administration of beta blockers and nitrates.

It should be noted that there is currently no evidence that the administration of beta blockers improves the diagnostic accuracy of third-generation dual-source CT scanners in the case of signifi-
cant coronary stenosis. One study comparing the image quality of 3rd-generation dual-source scanners for various heart rate ranges was able to show that a heart rate of up to 80/min did not result in a significant reduction of the image quality compared to a heart rate \( \leq 60/min \) [14]. A meta-analysis comparing the diagnostic accuracy of cCTA for the detection of significant coronary stenosis on dual-source scanners with and without heart rate monitoring did not show any significant difference in the diagnostic accuracy on the patient level but did show a significant difference in the specificity on the segment level and a significant difference in radiation dose [15]. Therefore, the current consensus recommendations of the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography (SCCT) recommend the administration of beta blockers also in the case of scanners with improved temporal resolution [11]. In our opinion, the administration of beta blockers is no longer essential for all HR ranges on 3rd-generation dual-source scanners but is recommended to optimize image quality and particularly to reduce the radiation dose.

### Oral beta blockers

The consensus recommendations of the SCCT specify 50–100 mg Metoprolol Tartrate one hour before examination as the typical dose used in practice [11]. However, an exact recommendation regarding dosage based on heart rate is not provided. Therefore, we created a possible simple dosing regimen as a function of heart rate (refer to ►Table 2). We implemented this regimen in our department, and it proved to be well tolerated by most patients. Alternatively, administration can be performed in a weight-adapted manner and/or in combination with intravenous administration of Metoprolol [17]. If Metoprolol is administered intravenously over the further course, oral administration can be dispensed with as described above or can be reduced in the case of a combination.

### Nitrates

Nitrates for coronary artery dilation can be administered sublingually as a spray or a tablet prior to examination [11]. Studies showed slightly greater dilatation of the coronary arteries when using a sublingual spray compared to a tablet [18, 19]. For hygienic reasons and in light of the current covid-19 pandemic, we are still using individually packaged isosorbide dinitrate sublingual tablets (5 mg Isoket, Aesica Pharmaceuticals GmbH). The time to maximum effect is approx. 8 minutes. When using a sublingual spray, e.g. glyceryl trinitrate (2 sprays Nitrolingual N Spray, Pohl-Boskamp), the time to maximum coronary artery dilatation was 3–5 minutes in studies [16, 20, 21].

### Scout view, calcium scoring

The scout view typically extends from the apex of the lungs to the costodiaphragmatic recess. It is almost always followed by a calcium scoring scan. Exceptions include very young patients (due to the additional radiation dose) and the examination of coronary bypasses (since the calcium scoring scan affects neither examination planning nor clinical management in the case of bypasses). At our hospital we always use a high-pitch coil with a low radiation dose for calcium scoring on second and third-generation dual-source scanners (Definition Flash and Force, Siemens Healthcare GmbH, Erlangen). A protocol with tin filtration can be used on third-generation DSCT scanners for further dose reduction and a virtual 120 kV reconstruction can be created to ensure that the Agatston score is comparable. An initial quick analysis of the calcium scoring scan is then performed. In the case of severe or very severe calcifications, not performing any contrast-enhanced examinations can be considered since the probability of relevant

---

**Table 1** Precautions/contraindications for drugs routinely used for cCTA. Furthermore, the package leaflet must be observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Precautions regarding beta blockers (Metoprolol)</th>
<th>Precautions regarding nitrates (isosorbide dinitrate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP &lt; 110 mmHg</td>
<td>Hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy (HOCM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe aortic valve stenosis</td>
<td>PDE-5 inhibitors: Sildenafil (Viagra), Tadalafil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe aortic valve insufficiency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decompensated heart failure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinus bradycardia (HR&lt;45)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd or 3rd degree atrioventricular (AV) block</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication inducing AV block (e.g., Verapamil, Diltiazem)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma/CO/P bronchospasm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(reduced risk due to Beta-1 selectivity of Metoprolol. However, should be avoided in case of severe asthma/CO/P under long-term medication)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Possible dosage regimen of oral beta blockers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HR</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \leq 65/min )</td>
<td>No oral beta blockers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alternatively, i. v. Metoprolol may be considered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–69/min</td>
<td>50 mg oral Metoprolol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 70/min )</td>
<td>100 mg oral Metoprolol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR: heart rate.

---
coronary artery disease – particularly in the case of typical symptoms – increases significantly with a simultaneous significant decrease in sensitivity and specificity [22]. However, this is rarely the case when using modern dual-source scanners. An Agatston score of 400 was previously recommended as the upper limit for performing/evaluating cCTA [23, 24]. However, this is no longer valid for scanners of the latest generation (e.g., third-generation dual-source (DSCT) scanners) [25].

▶ Fig. 2 shows an exception, i.e., a case of very pronounced coronary calcifications. In such a case, coronary CT angiography with contrast agent can be dispensed with since reduced diagnostic accuracy can be expected. Calcium scoring is of prognostic value here and can help when making decisions regarding medication for atherosclerosis prophylaxis. Therefore, multiple studies, e.g., the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA), were able to show that the Agatston score is highly correlated with the amount of coronary plaque and is an independent risk predictor for the occurrence of a future coronary event (heart attack, coronary cardiac death) and for overall mortality [26, 27].

Calcium scoring is of prognostic value here and can help when making decisions regarding medication for atherosclerosis prophylaxis. Therefore, multiple studies, e.g., the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA), were able to show that the Agatston score is highly correlated with the amount of coronary plaque and is an independent risk predictor for the occurrence of a future coronary event (heart attack, coronary cardiac death) and for overall mortality [26, 27]. A study with over 13 000 patients was able to show that the total Agatston score could identify with high accuracy patients who would benefit from statin therapy [28]. The new clinical guidelines of the American College of Cardiology, the American Heart Association, and other professional societies now recommend calcium scoring in certain patients to help determine if statin therapy should be initiated [29].

The scan field for the subsequent cCTA (in the z-direction) can be adjusted more precisely with the help of the calcium scoring scan than with the scout view. Upper scan limit: 1–2 cm cranial to the top coronary artery section in calcium scoring (LM or LAD). Lower scan limit: 1–2 cm caudal to the bottom apex section in calcium scoring.

Intravenous beta blockers

The intravenous administration of beta blockers is ideally performed before the test bolus to prevent differences in blood circulation velocity between the test bolus scan and cCTA. After a check for contraindications has been performed, Metoprolol can be administered intravenously (e.g., Beloc 5 mg/5 ml ampoules, Cenexi) slowly in small incremental doses of 1–2 mg under simultaneous ECG monitoring and heart rate monitoring until to a total dose of 15 mg is reached. The patient’s heart rate is checked during inspiration after administration of each dose. The target rate is 60/min [11]. ▶ Fig. 3 shows a possible administration regimen.

Test bolus or bolus tracking

There are two established methods for determining contrast agent delay: The test bolus method and the bolus tracking method. We primarily use the test bolus method in our department. For example, 10 ml contrast agent (e.g., 90 % Imeron 400 or 100 % Ultravist 370) are administered with a flow rate of 6 ml/s. A 60-ml saline bolus (NaCl chaser) is then administered with the same flow rate. After 9 seconds, sequential single slice images are acquired at 1-second intervals at the level of the ascending aorta. Using a region of interest (ROI), the HU values in the ascending aorta are measured over time and the time to maximum enhancement is derived (Tmax). The contrast delay from the start of injection for the actual cCTA examination is set to Tmax + 4 or + 5 seconds [11]. In the case of obesity class II (BMI ≥ 35 kg/m²), we
apply a 15-ml test bolus of contrast agent with a flow rate of 7–8 ml/s [30]. The contrast flow rate must be set to be identical to the main bolus of the subsequent cCTA.

In the case of the bolus tracking method, the enhancement in the form of an increase in HU values in an ROI of the ascending artery is first measured at the start of cCTA during contrast injection. As soon as a defined HU threshold (typically 100–500 HU) is reached, the patient is given breathing commands. The scan then begins automatically approximately 7–10 seconds after the threshold value is reached [11, 31, 32]. Both methods have advantages and disadvantages: An advantage of the test bolus method is that the test bolus can serve as a test run for the actual cCTA examination. Therefore, for example, the correct position of the peripheral venous catheter is confirmed. In addition, there are advantages of a very long or extremely short circulation time [11]. The bolus tracking method does not require the 10-ml test bolus of contrast agent, resulting in a time savings. Moreover, the bolus tracking method is typically easier for inexperienced examiners to use.

**Coronary CT angiography**

Essentially the following scan modes are available on modern scanners:

1. A helical “high-pitch” scan with acquisition of the entire heart within one cardiac cycle (RR interval) on dual-source devices of the second generation or higher. A “single heartbeat” mode is possible on single-source scanners with a detector width of 16 cm, but the temporal resolution of dual-source scanners is currently higher [33]. Since the heart is only scanned at one point in time in the RR interval (usually during diastole), this mode has the lowest radiation dose.

2. A prospective ECG-triggered sequential scan (known as the “Step&Shoot” technique). In this method, a certain segment of the ECG cycle can be selectively scanned (“padding”), e.g., only the diastolic 66–74 % phase of the RR interval or a systolic + diastolic 30–80 % phase of the RR interval (acquisition window). The radiation dose of this scan mode is usually lower than that of the “low-pitch coil” but depends greatly on the acquisition window (“padding”) [33].

3. A “low-pitch” coil with retrospective ECG-synchronized image reconstruction. Today this is only still used in exceptional cases for cCTA. ECG-guided tube current modulation (known as “pulsing”) can be used to reduce the dose. In spite of this, this scan mode is usually associated with the highest radiation dose even on modern scanners [25].

The scan mode is selected here based primarily on heart rate and heart rate variability. In individual cases, BMI and the amount of coronary calcifications can additionally affect the selection of the scan protocol.
Fig. 4 shows a possible decision algorithm for the scan protocol on a second or third-generation dual-source scanner that can be effectively used for most patients. Exceptions to this rule include, for example, young patients for whom a protocol using the lowest possible dose depending on the particular medical issue (e.g., coronary anomaly) should be selected. The radiation dose values of the planned scan mode for every examination should be reviewed for suitability in terms of the ALARA principle.

1. “High-pitch” scan
This option is only available on dual-source scanners. At a heart rate ≤ 65/min and a low heart rate variability ≤ 2/min, numerous studies on third-generation dual-source scanners showed very good quality of cCTA in the case of acquisition in high-pitch mode ("Flash"/"Turbo-Flash" scan) during diastole (starting at approx. 65 % of the RR interval) [34–37]. In the case of second-generation dual-source scanners, we recommend more conservative selection of the high-pitch mode at a heart rate ≤ 60/min and a heart rate variability ≤ 1/min [38].

In the case of severe coronary calcifications with an Agatston score of 600 or higher, studies showed a significant decrease in image quality in high-pitch mode regardless of the heart rate [37], while studies on the same scanners showed consistently high image quality for the prospective sequential scan ("Step&Shoot") at a significantly higher Agatston score [25]. One explanation for this could be the higher radiation dose used in sequential mode. Another explanation could be that sequential mode allows the reconstruction of multiple time points, while high-pitch mode only allows reconstruction of one time point. Therefore, we use sequential mode ("Step&Shoot") in the case of a high Agatston score regardless of heart rate.

In studies including patients with a high BMI, a conservative scan mode with the option of reconstructing multiple cardiac cycle phases was selected [25]. Based on this, we also typically use the sequential mode ("Step&Shoot") instead of the high-pitch mode in patients with class II obesity (BMI ≥ 35 kg/m²).

2. Sequential scan ("Step&Shoot")
In the case of modern dual-source scanners, the prospective ECG-triggered sequential scan ("Step&Shoot technique") can be used without a significant loss of image quality even at a high heart rate (approx. 70–100/min) [14, 25]. At a heart rate of up to 70/min, a narrow acquisition window ("narrow padding") limited exclusively to diastole (e.g., 60–80 % of the RR interval) is sufficient in this mode on second or third-generation dual-source scanners to achieve good image quality with high reliability. However, it must be taken into consideration here that the acquisition window is expanded at a high heart rate ("wide padding") or should be shifted from diastolic to end-systolic cardiac phases ("systolic padding"). Studies on older [39–41] as well as modern scanners [14, 42] showed that end-systolic reconstructions (acquisition window approx. 30–50 % of the RR interval) have fewer motion artifacts at higher heart rates (> 70–75/min) than diastolic reconstructions (acquisition window approx. 30–50 % of the RR interval). In the case of a greatly fluctuating heart rate < 70/min, the acquisition window should also be expanded (e.g., to 30–80 % of the RR interval in the case of fluctuations of > 10 beats/min). In the case of an arrhythmia (at a heart rate 70–100/min), it is recommended to switch from percentage-based triggering of the
RR interval (e.g., 35–55 %) to a fixed systolic window in milliseconds (ms) (e.g., 210–440 ms at an HR 75–100/min) [43] because the systolic phase remains largely constant at a high irregular heart rate while the duration of diastole changes greatly. Triggering based on a percentage of the RR interval thus results in highly fluctuating, unpredictable acquisition phases in the cardiac cycle, while triggering on an ms basis starting at the R wave results in a relatively predictable constant acquisition phase.

Table 3 shows a possible padding scheme for second and third-generation dual-source CT scanners.

### 3. “Low-pitch” coil with retrospective gating

In the case of pronounced tachycardia with arrhythmia (HR > 100/min) without an option for anti-arrhythmia treatment or medication to reduce HR, it is possible to use a “low-pitch” coil with retrospective ECG-synchronized image reconstruction. The relatively high radiation dose used in this scan mode can be reduced by ECG-synchronized tube current modulation (“pulsing”). The tube current can be reduced (e.g., to 20 % of the initial value) during segments of the ECG cycle that are presumably not diagnostic (e.g. 0–20 % and 80–100 % of the RR interval). An advantage of retrospective image reconstruction is that, in the case of poor identification of cardiac cycles, ECG synchronization points can be subsequently shifted or reset, thereby reducing artifacts due to arrhythmia.

**Contrast agent**

The amount of contrast agent is 60 ml for high-pitch mode and 70 ml for the other scan modes. The contrast flow rate is 6 ml/s (identical to the test bolus). In high-pitch mode, the amount of contrast agent can be significantly reduced on an individual basis in slender patients and in the case of a lower cardiac output [34, 35]. In one study the amount of contrast agent at 70 kV was able to be reduced to 30 ml (370 mg iodine/ml) in slender patients with a BMI < 25 kg/m² while maintaining diagnostic image quality [34]. In another study, the amount of contrast agent in patients with a BMI < 26 kg/m² was able to be reduced to 45 ml (400 mg iodine/ml).

In the case of class II obesity (BMI ≥ 35 kg/m²) and a correspondingly high cardiac output, the amount of contrast agent should be increased (e.g., 90 ml, contrast flow rate using a 16G/17G catheter (gray/white) 7–8 ml/s) [29, 32]. It is recommended to use a biphasic contrast protocol, i.e., to follow contrast administration directly with an identical volume of NaCl solution (chaser) to flush the contrast agent out of the peripheral veins into the right atrium.

### Reconstructions

The voxel size and thus the spatial resolution depends on the resolution in the Z-direction (through-plane resolution) and the pixel size in an axial slice plane (in-plane resolution) [44]. The latter corresponds (to a certain extent) to the quotient of the field of view (FOV) divided by the matrix size [44]. To achieve a high spatial resolution, it is therefore recommended to use a smaller slice thickness (< 1 mm) and to perform reconstruction in a smaller FOV focused on the heart and containing the coronary vessels instead of an FOV containing the entire thorax. However, the real maximum in-plane resolution is determined by the scanner that is used. Moreover, some scanners have the option of reconstructing a 1024 × 1024 matrix for higher resolution.

We recommend reconstructing the following cardiac phases: For Step&Shoot (sequential):

1. Software-based, automatic detection of the diastolic cardiac phase with the fewest artifacts (e.g., “Best Diastole” from Siemens Healthcare).
2. Software-based, automatic detection of the systolic cardiac phase with the fewest artifacts (e.g., “Best Systole” from Siemens Healthcare).
3. Reconstruction of the entire acquired interval (multiphase) in 5 % increments. We recommend reconstruction without subsequent overlapping of the individual stacks so that artifacts caused by shifting between the stacks can be easily detected (“TrueStack from Siemens Healthcare”).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HR, HV</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>End</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR ≤ 70/min, HV ≤ 10/min</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR ≤ 70/min, HV &gt; 10/min</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR &gt; 70/min, HV ≤ 10/min</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR &gt; 70/min, HV &gt; 10/min</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR: heart rate; HV: heart rate variability.
Fig. 5 Successful acquisition with good image quality using high-pitch mode ("Turbo-Flash") on a 3rd-generation DSCT scanner. HR = 63/min, HV = 1/min. Amount of contrast = 60 ml. a Curved planar reconstruction (CPR) of left anterior descending (LAD) artery. b CPR of Ramus Circumflexus (LCx). c CPR of the right coronary artery (RCA). d Volume Rendering Technique (VRT) of the heart.

Fig. 6 High-pitch mode ("Turbo-Flash") on a 3rd-generation DSCT scanner was used at HR = 67/min and HV = 5/min. Motion artifacts and an abrupt drop in contrast attenuation at the transition of proximal to mid LAD are visible. a CPR of LAD. b–d Multiplanar reconstruction (MPR) of LAD. Diagnostic image quality is reduced. Relevant coronary artery stenosis cannot be excluded with certainty.
For the low-pitch coil (retrospective):

1. Check synchronization points and shift, reset, or delete if necessary.
2. Software-based, automatic detection of the diastolic cardiac phase with the fewest artifacts (e.g., "Best Diastole" from Siemens Healthcare).
3. Software-based, automatic detection of the systolic cardiac phase with the fewest artifacts (e.g., "Best Systole" from Siemens Healthcare).
4. Reconstruction of the entire acquired interval (multiphase) in 5% increments. We recommend reconstruction without subsequent overlapping of the individual stacks ("TrueStack" from Siemens Healthcare).
5. Reconstruction of the entire acquired interval (multiphase) in 50 ms increments. Reconstruction without subsequent overlapping of the individual stacks ("TrueStack" from Siemens Healthcare).

Checking image quality

At the end of the examination, the image quality is checked visually. Attention is given to the following:

- Has the heart including the coronary arteries been completely visualized?
- Is there good contrast enhancement of the target vessels?
- Is the examination free of significant motion artifacts (breathing artifacts or artifacts caused by arrhythmia) that limit the diagnostic image quality?

If one of these points is not satisfied, repeating the part of the examination that is limited by artifacts should be considered. Fig. 5 shows an example of a cCTA examination with sufficient diagnostic image quality. An example of limited diagnostic image quality due to artifacts is shown in Fig. 6.

The Step&Shoot mode with expanded padding (e.g., 30–80% of the RR interval) with a contrast volume of 50–60 ml (with 1–2 steps) is typically sufficient for repeating individual parts of the examination. It is usually not necessary to administer nitrates or beta blockers again. The scan with artifacts shown in Fig. 6 was repeated to achieve good diagnostic image quality as shown in Fig. 7.

Discharge and reporting

If beta blockers or nitrates are administered, outpatients remain in the department for 20–30 minutes after the examination to monitor for any possible side effects. The physician provides an initial report of the relevant findings directly after the examination. If the patient requests a case discussion or in the event of acute relevant findings, the findings are presented to the patient and the referring physician. In our department, the further course of action is determined in interdisciplinary collaboration with the referring physician and/or colleagues in cardiology. The CAD-RADS criteria can provide guidance for determining coronary stenosis severity and further recommendations based on the CT findings [45]. However, the recommendations should always be considered on an individual basis in the clinical context [45].
KEY POINTS FOR CLINICAL PRACTICE

- cCTA is recommended in the current guidelines of the ESC primarily in the case of low and intermediate clinical probability of coronary artery disease.
- This study and the consensus recommendations of the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography (SCCT) can be used as an orientation guide for the practical workflow for cCTA preparation and acquisition.
- Premedication with beta blockers and nitrates prior to examination can be helpful even when using the latest scanner generations.
- Image quality and radiation dose still depend on the examination preparation and the selection of the scan protocol.
- CT scanners currently in use typically allow retrospective ECG gating, a prospective ECG-triggered scan, and a high-pitch mode (dual-source scanners) or single heartbeat mode (single-source scanners).
- The scan mode is selected primarily based on heart rate and heart rate variability and only in individual cases on the amount of coronary calcification and BMI.
- High-pitch mode in dual-source scanners (“Flash”/“Turbo-Flash”) is used primarily in the case of low and regular heart rates and has the lowest dose exposure.
- The Step&Shoot mode can be used for a broad range of heart rates. The ECG-triggered acquisition window is to be set in % or ms of the RR interval (padding) based on heart rate and heart rate variability.
- The low-pitch coil (retrospective gating) is only used in individual cases, e.g., in absolute tachycardia. It typically has the highest radiation dose of the three scan modes.
- cCTA image quality should be checked prior to the end of the examination. In the case of limited diagnostic image quality, it is recommended to repeat the relevant examination segments.
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