Strength Training in Professional Soccer: Effects on Short-sprint and Jump Performance

Authors

Javier Nuñez¹, Luis Suarez-Arrones², Moisés de Hoyo³, Irineu Loturco⁴

Affiliations

- 1 Department of Sports and Informatics, University of Pablo de Olavide of Sevilla, Sevile, Spain
- 2 Faculty of Sport, Pablo de Olavide University, Sevilla, Spain
- 3 Physical Education and Sport, University of Seville, Seville, Spain
- 4 Sport Science, NAR Nucleus of High Performance in Sport, São Paulo, Brazil

Key words

power, sprint speed, soccer, concurrent training, vertical jump, team-sports

accepted 24.09.2021 published online 24.09.2021

Bibliography

Int J Sports Med 2022; 43: 485–495 **DOI** 10.1055/a-1653-7350 **ISSN** 0172-4622 © 2021. Thieme. All rights reserved. Georg Thieme Verlag KG, Rüdigerstraße 14, 70469 Stuttgart, Germany

Correspondence

Dr. Javier Nuñez Department of Sports and Informatics University of Pablo de Olavide of Sevilla Carretera de Utrera km 1 41013 Sevile Spain Tel.:+34/606/204 313, Fax:+34/954/977 534 fjnunsan@upo.es

ABSTRACT

Several studies have confirmed the efficacy of strength training to maximize soccer player performance during competition. The aim of this meta-analysis was to determine the effects of different strength training protocols on short-sprint and vertical jump performance of professional soccer players from the first division of their countries. The following inclusion criteria were employed for the analysis: (a) randomized studies; (b) high validity and reliability instruments; (c) studies published in a high-quality peer-reviewed journal; (d) studies involving professional soccer players from the first division; (e) studies with descriptions of strength training programs; and (f) studies where countermovement jump and 10-m sprint time were measured pre and post training. Overall, the different strengthoriented training schemes produced similar performance improvements, which seem not to depend on the training strateqy. Strength training appears to have a lower effect when applied during in-season than when applied in pre-season periods in first division soccer players. In this meta-analysis it is not possible to confirm that strength training in isolation is capable of improving the short-sprint and jump performance of elite soccer players. The congested fixture schedule and, thus, the limited time to perform complementary (non-specific) training sessions, may contribute to these reduced effects.

Introduction

Soccer is a tactical game; therefore, player behavior on the field is often constrained by tactical tasks [1–3]. To perform these tasks successfully, players need to be competent in several fitness attributes [3, 4]. It is well established that some of these qualities, which play significant roles in soccer performance, are maximal strength and power [4–9], being considered key physical components for professional soccer players [10]. Usually, maximal strength is described in terms of one-repetition maximum (1RM), assessed in

traditional resistance exercises (e.g., squat). Power is defined as the product of force and movement velocity; that is, the ability to perform as much work as possible in the shortest time possible [6]. Although technical-tactical training along with different soccer drills (e.g., small-sided games) seem to act as useful methods for improving endurance-related capacities and game skills, the development of strength and power in elite soccer players requires focused interventions in order to provide sufficient and effective stimuli to elicit significant adaptations [11, 12].

🖗 Thieme

The strength demands of soccer are complex and multifaceted. Overall, players must be able to effectively accelerate, decelerate, change direction, and jump [8, 11, 13–15]. On average, an elite player performs about 150-250 high-intensity activities during a soccer game [16]. Different training approaches have been suggested to enhance neuromuscular [8-11, 17-25] and recovery adaptations in soccer players [26]. It has now become apparent that strength and power training requires maximal efforts and optimal intensities to yield best effects [21]. Nevertheless, this scenario is not common in professional soccer training routines [17]. At the professional level, coaches are often concerned about the "side effects" from intensive training periods (e.g., muscle injuries, perceived muscle pain, and chronic fatigue), added to which, their training and recovery practices are extremely affected by congested match schedules [18, 21, 27]. As a consequence, almost all interventions which investigated the potential effects of strengthpower training on soccer players were conducted with semi-professional or amateur soccer teams [21]. At the professional level, considerably less information is available about the training approaches used to improve or maintain optimal strength levels. Thus, it remains to be established whether more traditional strength training programs really provide significant benefits to professional soccer players.

The ultimate goal of professional soccer players is to maximize performance during competition [28], where the ability to produce high levels of muscle power is considered of fundamental importance [29]. To date, coaches and researchers have provided some practical recommendations to properly assess power output in soccer players [6, 30]. In fact, it is not possible to determine a single gold-standard measurement since the neuromuscular demands of soccer are multifactorial. The force-vector theory, for example, states that the direction of the force vector applied during resistance exercises (e.g., half-squat or hip-thrust) may play a key role in the development of strength-and power-related abilities [31]. Likewise, during strength-power training sessions, athletes usually execute different types of ballistic exercises, in an attempt to improve their vertical and horizontal-based performance (e.g., vertical jumps and maximum acceleration efforts) [10, 11, 23, 24]. In this regard, by examining changes in counter-movement jump (i.e., [CM]]; related to vertical force application) and short-sprint abilities (i.e., 10 m time, [T10 m]; related to horizontal force application), we can indirectly evaluate the influence of strength training on the physical performance of professional soccer players [30]. These simple and applied physical tests are considered valid and reliable measures of power and speed [17, 24, 32], and have been shown to be strongly correlated with a number of strength-and power-related variables [29, 33].

We consider that a systematic review of studies conducted with professional soccer players playing in the first division leagues is necessary, especially for guiding practitioners working with this population [21]. This could help coaches to draw more consistent conclusions regarding the actual effects of strength training on the physical qualities of soccer players and, more importantly, to select the best training strategies for their players. Therefore, the aim of this meta-analysis was to determine the effects of different strength training programs on short-sprint and vertical jump performance of professional soccer players who play in the first division leagues of their countries.

Materials and Methods

Procedures

In this investigation a meta-analysis of 13 studies with a total of 29 effect sizes was performed to determine the effects of different strength training programs on jumping and sprinting abilities of professional soccer players who play in the first division of their countries. This study meets the IJSM ethical standards [34]. The following inclusion criteria were employed for the analysis: a) randomized controlled studies, 2) instruments with high validity and reliability, 3) published in a high-quality peer-review journal, 4) professional soccer players playing in the first division of their countries, 5) studies where the strength training was fully described, and 6) studies where CMJ and T10m were measured pre- and posttraining.

To evaluate the chronic effects of different strength training protocols on jumping and sprinting performance of professional soccer players, a meta-analysis was conducted. Literature searches were electronically conducted to identify investigations which examined the effects of different strength training protocols applied to professional soccer players on CMJ and T10m. The research assessed the ADONIS, ERIC, SPORTDiscus, EBSCOhost, Google Scholar, Medline, and PubMed electronic databases between December 2020 and January 2021 and was updated in February 2021. Moreover, manual searches were performed in relevant sport science journals. The references of identified articles were examined to identify additional studies eligible for the review. The search included studies published in English and studies in any language for which the abstract was available in English. Key words used included "strength training", "resistance training", "power training", "professional", "top-professional", "elite", "soccer", and "football". No age or sex restrictions were imposed at the search stage.

For the study selection, three steps were followed: 1) the article titles were read, 2) the abstracts were read, and 3) the entire articles were read. In this review, only full primary research papers (i.e. not conference abstracts, letter to the editors, and thesis, or reviews) were eligible for inclusion.

Studies were included if they met the following criteria based on the recommendations by Campbell and Stanley [35]: 1) randomized controlled studies, 2) instruments with high validity and reliability, 3) published in a high quality peer-review journal, 4) professional soccer players playing in the first division of their countries, 5) studies where the strength training was fully described, and 6) studies where CMJ (▶ **Table 1**) and T10m (▶ **Table 2**) were measured pre- and post-training. Following this search process, 13 articles were included in the analysis (▶ **Fig. 1**).

Each article was read and coded by two investigators for the following variables: 1) descriptive information (i.e., age, body-mass, and height), league or competition in which the players participate (i.e., Greek Super league, Champions League, Norwegian Premier League, Brazilian First Division, Morocco First Division, Swedish First Division, China First Division, Ireland First Division); period of the season (i.e., pre-season, in-season, pre-season + in-season); 2)

-80 RM No 7.23 0.87	-80 KIVI NO 7.23 0.87	DVI NO 1740 1100	RM No 7.48 1.08 -80.RM No 4.03 0.41	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.64	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.00 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.00 00 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 - -0.83 -0.33 -0.33	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 1.94 2.00 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.33 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.33 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.33	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.63 90 RM Yes 1.95 2.00 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.33 90 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 90 RM Yes -0.83 -0.33 90 RM Yes -1.46 0.15	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.63 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.00 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 90 RM Yes -0.83 -0.33 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.15 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.15	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.63 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.00 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.15 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.15 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.63 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.33 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.33 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.33 90 RM Yes 1.146 -0.15 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.15 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.15 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.15 80 RM Yes 6.70 0.62	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.63 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 -0 RM Yes 1.94 0.33 -0 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 -90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.15 -90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.15 -90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.15 -90 RM Yes 6.70 0.62 -80 RM Yes 6.90 0.63	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.63 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.00 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.01 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.01 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 - -0.83 -0.33 -0.33 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.15 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.15 80 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 80 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 7.00 0.83 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.00 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.01 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 - - -0.83 -0.33 90 RM Yes 4.56 1.13 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 80 RM Yes -1.46 -0.13 80 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 7.00 0.83 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.63 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.83	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.33 90 RM Yes 1.46 -0.15 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.15 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.15 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 80 RM Yes 6.70 0.62 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 8M No 15.37 1.80	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 - 0.83 1.94 0.35 - - 0.83 -0.33 -0 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 -90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.15 -90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.16 -90 RM Yes 5.700 0.63 -90 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 -90 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 -90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 -90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 -90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 -90 RM No 15.37 1.80	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.53 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.00 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.01 90 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 -00 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 -00 RM Yes 1.46 -0.14 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 80 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 BM No 15.37 1.80 BM	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.53 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.00 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.01 90 RM Yes 4.95 1.13 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 - - 0.83 -0.33 90 RM Yes 1.46 -0.14 80 RM Yes 6.70 0.62 80 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 80 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 7.00 0.83 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.62 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.93 90 RM	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.00 0 RM Yes 4.95 2.00 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 - - -0.83 -0.33 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 80 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 <td< th=""><th>RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 -0 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 -0 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 -0 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 -0 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 -90 RM Yes 6.70 0.62 -90 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 BM No 15.37 1.80 BM No 15.37 1.80 BM No 15.37 0.39 -90 RM No 2.057 0.20 <td< th=""><th>RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.00 90 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 90 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 90 RM Yes 1.46 0.14 80 RM Yes 1.46 0.14 80 RM Yes 1.46 0.14 90 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 BM No 15.37 1.80 BM No 15.37 1.80 BM No 15.37 1.80 BM No 15.37 1.80 60 BM</th><th>RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.00 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 80 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 80 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.62 90 RM No 15.37 1.80 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 90 RM No 15.37 1.80</th><th>RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 1.93 -0.33 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.13 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 90 RM No 15.37 1.80 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 90 RM No 15.37 1.80</th></td<></th></td<>	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 -0 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 -0 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 -0 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 -0 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 -90 RM Yes 6.70 0.62 -90 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 BM No 15.37 1.80 BM No 15.37 1.80 BM No 15.37 0.39 -90 RM No 2.057 0.20 <td< th=""><th>RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.00 90 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 90 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 90 RM Yes 1.46 0.14 80 RM Yes 1.46 0.14 80 RM Yes 1.46 0.14 90 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 BM No 15.37 1.80 BM No 15.37 1.80 BM No 15.37 1.80 BM No 15.37 1.80 60 BM</th><th>RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.00 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 80 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 80 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.62 90 RM No 15.37 1.80 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 90 RM No 15.37 1.80</th><th>RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 1.93 -0.33 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.13 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 90 RM No 15.37 1.80 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 90 RM No 15.37 1.80</th></td<>	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No 4.03 0.41 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.00 90 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 90 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 90 RM Yes 1.46 0.14 80 RM Yes 1.46 0.14 80 RM Yes 1.46 0.14 90 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 BM No 15.37 1.80 BM No 15.37 1.80 BM No 15.37 1.80 BM No 15.37 1.80 60 BM	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 4.95 2.00 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 4.58 1.13 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 80 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 80 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 6.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.62 90 RM No 15.37 1.80 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 90 RM No 15.37 1.80	RM No 7.48 1.08 80 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM No -0.21 -0.02 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 5.24 0.64 90 RM Yes 1.93 -0.33 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes 1.94 0.35 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.13 90 RM Yes -1.46 -0.14 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.62 90 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 90 RM No 15.37 1.80 80 RM Yes 1.90 0.19 90 RM No 15.37 1.80
4 70-80 RM N	4 /0-80 KW N 4 90 RM N		4 70-80 RM N	4 70-80 RM Ni 4 90 RM N	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Y	4 70-80 RM Nr 4 90 RM Nr 3 90 RM Yr - 85-90 RM Yr	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 0-90 RM Y	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 0-90 RM Y	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 0-90 RM Y - 0-90 RM Y - 0-90 RM Y	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 0-90 RM Y - 2 80-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y Y	4 70-80 RM Nr 4 90 RM Nr 3 90 RM Yr - 85-90 RM Yr - 0-90 RM Yr - 80-90 RM Yr - 80-90 RM Yr	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 0-90 RM Y - 0-90 RM Y - 0-90 RM Y - 0-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 0-90 RM Y - 0-90 RM Y - 0-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y 2 30-80 RM Y 2 30-80 RM Y	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y - 0-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y 2 30-80 RM Y 2 30-80 RM Y 2 30-80 RM Y 2 30-80 RM Y	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 0-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y - - - - 80-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y 2 30-80 RM Y 2 30-80 RM Y 2 30-80 RM Y 1-1.5 75-90 RM Y	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Y - 90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y - - - - 80-90 RM Y 1-1.5 75-90 RM Y 1-1.5 75-90 RM Y 1-3 60 BM N	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 0-90 RM Y - 2 0-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y Y 2 30-80 RM Y Y 1-1.5 75-90 RM Y Y 1-3 60 BM N Y 1-3 60 BM N Y	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y 1-1.5 30-80 RM Y 1-1.5 75-90 RM Y 1-3 60 BM N 1-3 60 BM N	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y - - - - - 80-90 RM Y Y - 80-90 RM Y Y - 80-90 RM Y Y 2 30-80 RM Y Y 1-1.5 75-90 RM Y Y 1-3 60 BM N N 1-3 60 BM N Y - 20-60 BM N N	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Y - 90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y - - - - - 80-90 RM Y Y 1-1.5 75-90 RM Y Y 1-1.5 75-90 RM Y Y 1-3 60 BM N N - 20-60 BM N N - 0.60 BM N N	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y - - - - - 80-90 RM Y Y 1 30-80 RM Y Y 1-1.5 75-90 RM Y Y 1-3.4 60 BM N Y 1-3 60 BM N Y - - 20-60 BM N Y - 0-50 RM N N Y	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y - - - - - 80-90 RM Y Y 1 - 80-90 RM Y 2 30-80 RM Y Y 1-1.5 75-90 RM Y Y 1-3 60 BM N N - - 20-60 BM N - 0-60 BM N N - 50-70 RM N N	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Ye - 85-90 RM Ye - 85-90 RM Ye - 80-90 RM Ye 1-1.5 75-90 RM Ye 1-3.60 BM Ni Ni 1-3.60 BM Ni Ni - 0-60 BM N - 0-50 RM N - 90 200 M N - 50-70 RM N 2 - - Ye	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y 1-1.5 30-80 RM Y 1-1.5 75-90 RM Y 1-1.5 75-90 RM Y 1-1.5 75-90 RM N 1-3 60 BM N - 20-60 BM N - 0-60 BM N 2 0-50 RM N 2 20-70 RM N 2 - Y 2 - Y 3 50-70 RM N 2 - Y	4 70-80 RM N 4 90 RM N 3 90 RM Y - 90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 85-90 RM Y - 80-90 RM Y - - - - - 80-90 RM Y Y 1 30-80 RM Y Y 1-1.5 75-90 RM Y Y 1-1.5 75-90 RM N Y 1-1.5 75-90 RM N Y 1-1.5 75-90 RM N Y - 20-60 BM N N - 0-60 BM N Y 2 20-70 RM N Y 2 - 0-050 RM N 2
	1920 4	7160 4	-	1440 4	1440 4 256 3	1440 4 256 3 272 -	1440 4 256 3 272 - 928 -	1440 4 256 3 272 - 928 - - -	1440 4 256 3 272 - 928 - - - 387 -	1440 4 256 3 272 - 928 - - - 387 - 144 -	1440 4 256 3 272 - 928 - 928 - 144 - 72 -	1440 4 256 3 272 - 928 - - - 387 - 144 - 72 25 287 - 387 - 1244 - 72 256 72 256	1440 4 256 3 272 - 928 - 928 - 144 - 144 - 72 2 72 2 72 2 72 2 72 2 72 2 72 2 296 2	1440 4 256 3 272 - 272 - 928 - 928 - 144 - 72 - 72 - 296 2 296 2 296 1-1.5	1440 4 256 3 272 - 272 - 928 - 928 - 144 - 144 - 226 2 226 2 296 2 296 1-1.5 60 1-1.5	1440 4 256 3 272 - 272 - 928 - 144 - 144 - 272 - 287 - 287 - 287 - 287 - 287 - 287 - 287 - 296 2 296 1-1.5 60 1-1.5 348 1-3	1440 4 256 3 272 - 272 - 928 - 928 - 144 - 144 - 72 2 72 2 72 2 296 2 296 2 296 1-1.5 60 1-1.5 60 1-3 348 1-3	1440 4 256 3 272 - 272 - 928 - 928 - 144 - 72 - 387 - 296 2 296 2 296 1-1.5 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 1152 -	1440 4 256 3 272 - 272 - 928 - 928 - 72 - 72 - 387 - 200 144 72 - 72 - 72 - 72 - 72 - 296 2 296 1-1.5 60 1-1.5 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 1152 - 1704 -	1440 4 256 3 272 - 272 - 928 - 928 - 144 - 72 - 387 - 928 - 270 2 287 2 296 2 296 1-1.5 60 1-1.5 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 1152 - 1704 - 1704 -	1440 4 256 3 272 - 272 - 928 - 144 - 144 - 250 2 272 - 144 - 129 2 290 2 290 2 290 2 290 2 290 2 290 2 348 1-1.5 60 1-1.5 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 1152 - 11704 - 11704 - 11704 - 11704 - 11704 - 11704 - 11704 -	1440 4 256 3 272 - 272 - 928 - 928 - 928 - 144 - 72 - 387 - 926 2 296 2 296 1-1.5 60 1-1.5 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 1704 - 1704 - 1704 - 372 - 372 - 372 - 373 372	1440 4 256 3 272 - 272 - 928 - 928 - 144 - 72 - 387 - 256 2 387 - 256 2 256 2 256 2 2348 1-1.5 60 1-1.5 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 1152 - 1152 - 1153 - 1154 - 372 372 372 2 372 2 372 2	1440 4 256 3 272 - 272 - 928 - 928 - 144 - 387 - 256 2 387 - 387 - 256 2 260 1-1.5 296 1-1.5 60 1-1.5 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 372 2 372 2 372 2 372 2 372 2	1440 4 256 3 272 - 272 - 928 - 144 - 144 - 256 2 272 - 144 - 144 - 256 2 296 1-1.5 60 1-1.5 60 1-1.5 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 1-3 348 2 372 2 372 2 372 2 372 2 372 2 <tr td=""> 1-1.5</tr>
4 5-6		1 10	4 5-6		4	4 4 3-5 4-6	4 4 4 3-5 4-10 2-5 4-10	4 4 3-5 4-6 2-5 4-10	4 4 3-5 4-6 2-5 4-10 3 4-10	4 4 3-5 4-6 2-5 4-10 - - 3 4-10 3 4-10	4 4 3-5 4-6 2-5 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10	4 4 3-5 4-6 2-5 4-10 - - 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 4 4-8	4 4 3-5 4-6 2-5 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 4 4-8	4 4 3-5 4-6 2-5 4-10 - - 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 4 4-8 4 4-8 5 3	4 4 3-5 4-6 2-5 4-10 - - 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 2-5 4-10 3 4-10 2 4-10 3 4-10 2 3 4 4-8 4 2-3 5-10 2-3	4 4 3-5 4-6 2-5 4-10 - - 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 2-5 2-10 2-7 2-10 2-8 2-10 2-9 2-10 2-9 2-10 2-9 2-10	4 4 3-5 4-6 2-5 4-10 - - 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 2:3 4-10 2:3 5-10 2:3 5-10 2:3 5-10 2:5 4-6 2:6 4-6	4 4 3-5 4-6 2-5 4-10 - - 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 2-5 4-10 3 4-10 2 2-3 2-10 2-3 2-3 5-10 2-5 4+6 2-6 4+6 2-6 4	4 4 3-5 4-6 2-5 4-10 - - 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 2 2 4 4-8 4 4-8 2 5-10 2-5 4-6 4-8 1 4-8 1	4 4 3-5 4-6 2-5 4-10 - - 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 2-5 4-10 3 4-10 2 4 4 4-8 4 4-8 2-5 4-6 2-6 4-6 4-8 1 4-6 4 2-6 4-6 2-6 4-6 2-6 4-6 2-6 4-6 2-6 4-6 2-7.3 5-10 2-6 4-6 2-6 4-6 2-7.3 5-10 2-3 5-10 2-5.3 5-10	4 4 3-5 4-6 2-5 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 2 2 4 4-8 4 4-8 4 4-8 4 4-8 4 4-8 4 4-8 1 4-6 2 5-10 2 5-10 2 2 4-6 4 4-6 4 2 4 4-6 4 2 2 2 3 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4 4 3-5 4-6 2-5 4-10 - - 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 3 4-10 2 4 4 4-8 2 4-10 2 2-3 5-10 2-5 2-6 4-6 4 4 4-6 4 2-5 4-10 2-3 5-10 2-3 5-10 2-5 4 4-6 4 4-6 4 6 4	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
4 2	. 6		. 4	1	-	2 3-5	- ⁻ 2 3-5 5 2-5	2 3-5 5 2-5 		- - - 2 3-5 3-5 5 2-5 - - - - 1 3	- - - - 5 2.5 3.5 - - - - - - 1 3 - - - 1 3 - - -	- - - 2 3-5 3-5 5 2 2-5 - - - 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 4	- - - 2 2-5 3-5 5 2-5 2-5 1 - - 1 3 - 1 3 - 1 4 -	- - - 2 3-5 3-5 5 2 2-5 - - - 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 4 1 6 2-3 3-5	- - - 5 2-5 3-5 - - - 1 3 - 1 3 - 1 3 - 1 4 - 6 2-3 -	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
24 OKC 27 V	27 V		18 OKC	16 V	1 1	14	14 V 14 V-H	- 14 v 	14 V 14 V-H 20 V	14 V-H 14 V-H 20 V 12 V	14 v 114 V-H - - 20 V 112 V 6 V	14 V.H 14 V.H 20 V 12 V 6 V 6 V	14 V 14 V-H 20 V 6 V 6 V 6 V 7	14 V 114 V-H - - 20 V 112 V 6 V 15 V-H	14 V.H 14 V.H 20 V 6 V 6 V 112 V 115 V.H 15 V.H	14 V.H 14 V.H 20 V 12 V 6 V 15 V.H 15 V.H	14 V.H 14 V.H 12 V 12 V 6 V 15 V.H 15 V.H 6 V.H 6 V.H	14 V.H 14 V.H 12 V 6 V 6 V.H 15 V.H 6 V.H 17 V.H 12 V.H 13 V.H	14 V.H 14 V.H 20 V 20 V 6 V 15 V.H 15 V.H 15 V.H 112 V.H 12 V.H 12 V.H 12 V.H	14 V.H 14 V.H 20 V 12 V.H 15 V.H 15 V.H 112 V.H	14 V.H 14 V.H 12 V 12 V 6 V 15 V.H 15 V.H 112 V.H 113 V.H 113 V.H 113 V.H 113 V.H 113 V.H 118 V	14 V 14 V-H 11 12 20 V 6 V 6 V-H 15 V-H 6 V-H 112 V-H 112 V-H 113 V-H 118 V 118 V 10 V	14 V.H 14 V.H 12 V 6 V 6 V.H 15 V.H 15 V.H 15 V.H 15 V.H 15 V.H 13 V.H 13 V.H 10 V	14 V 14 V-H 12 V 12 V 6 V 6 V-H 15 V-H 15 V-H 112 V-H 12 V-H 13 V-H 11 V 12 V-H 13 V-H 10 V 110 V	14 - 14 VH 14 VH 12 V 12 V 6 V 6 VH 15 VH 15 VH 112 VH 12 VH 13 VH 11 VH 12 VH 13 VH 13 V 13 V 13 V
1 24 1.5 27 1 18	1.5 <u>27</u> 1 18	1 18		2 16	2 14		2 14	2 14	2 14 2 20	2 14 2 20 1 12	2 14 2 20 1 12 0.5 6	2 14 2 20 1 112 0.5 6 0.5 6	2 14 - - 2 20 1 12 0.5 6 2 2 2 6 2 2 2 6 2 2 2 6 2 6 2 2	2 14 - - - 2 2 20 1 12 0.5 6 2 6 2 6 3 15	2 14 - - 2 20 1 12 0.5 6 2 6 2 6 3 15 3 15	2 14 - - - 2 1 12 1 12 2 6 2 6 3 15 3 15 3 15	2 14 - - - 2 1 12 1 12 2 6 2 6 3 15 3 15 1 6	2 14 - - 2 20 1 12 0.5 6 2 6 3 15 3 15 3 15 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 14 - - - 2 1 12 1 12 2 6 2 6 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 15 2 6 2 1 1 6 1 6 2.5 12 2.5 12 3 18	2 14 - - - 2 1 12 1 12 2 6 2 6 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 13 3 13 3 18 3 18	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
81 24 82 18 81 18 81 0	81 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	81 18	0	0 +0	30 7	36 7	г 	50 /	35 10	85 10 34 12	85 / 35 10 34 12 36 12	35 / 35 10 34 12 36 12 73 6	 56 / 55 10 34 12 36 12 73 6 72 6 	 55 / 35 10 34 12 36 12 73 6 72 6 80 5 	35 10 35 10 34 12 35 12 36 12 73 6 72 6 830 5	 55 / 35 10 34 12 36 12 73 6 72 6 80 5 90 5 79 6 	55 / 35 10 34 12 35 12 73 6 72 6 80 5 70 6	55 / 85 10 84 12 85 12 73 6 73 6 80 5 80 5 79 6 77 5	35 10 35 10 34 12 36 12 73 6 72 6 80 5 79 6 77 5 79 5	55 / 35 10 34 12 36 12 73 6 72 6 72 6 70 5 70 6 77 5 79 6 77 5 74 6	55 / 35 10 34 12 36 12 73 6 72 6 30 5 30 6 77 5 77 5 74 6 74 6	55 / 85 10 86 12 73 6 73 6 80 5 80 5 79 6 77 5 79 5 74 6 78 4	55 / 85 10 86 12 73 6 73 6 80 5 90 6 79 6 77 5 79 6 74 6 78 4 79 5	55 / 35 10 34 12 36 12 73 6 72 6 72 6 72 6 70 5 79 6 74 6 78 4 79 5 74 6 75 74 76 6	55 / 35 10 34 12 36 12 73 6 73 6 70 5 70 5 77 5 79 6 74 6 78 4 79 5 79 5 79 5 79 5 70 5 73 6 74 6 78 4 79 5 70 5 70 6
80 181 79 182 80 181 78 181	79 182 80 181 78 184	80 181 78 184 78 184	78 184		74 180	80 186	81 186	_	80 185	80 185 76 184	80 185 76 184 83 186	80 185 76 184 83 186 83 186 73 173	80 185 76 184 83 186 83 186 73 173 72 172	80 185 76 184 83 186 83 187 73 173 72 172 76 180	80 185 76 184 83 186 83 186 73 173 72 172 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180	80 185 76 184 83 186 83 186 73 173 72 172 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180	80 185 76 184 83 186 83 173 73 173 72 172 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180	80 185 76 184 83 186 83 173 73 173 72 173 76 180 76 180 78 179 76 180 78 179 78 179 76 180 78 179 78 179 76 180 76 180 78 179 74 177	80 185 76 184 83 186 83 173 73 173 72 172 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 78 179 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 179 76 170	80 185 76 184 83 186 83 173 73 173 72 172 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 177 76 180 76 180 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 174 76 174	80 185 76 184 83 186 83 173 73 173 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 179 76 179 76 170 76 170 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177	80 185 76 184 83 186 83 173 73 173 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 179 76 179 76 179 76 179 76 170 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 178 76 178 76 178 76 178 76 178	80 185 76 184 83 186 83 173 73 173 75 173 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 179 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 173 76 174 76 174 76 174 76 174 76 174 76 174 76 174 76 174 76 174 76 174 76 174 76 173 76 173 76 173	80 185 76 184 83 186 83 173 73 173 72 172 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 179 76 179 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 173 76 174 76 178 76 178 76 178 76 178 76 178 76 178 76 178 75 176 75 176	80 185 76 184 83 186 83 173 73 173 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 180 76 179 76 179 76 179 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 177 76 174 76 174 76 177 76 178 75 177 75 177 75 177
24.7 8 25.5 7 25.5 7 24.7 8 25 7 25 7	25.5 7 24.7 8 25 7 25 7	24.7 8 25 7 25 7	25 7	- cc	/ C7	22 8	24 8	24 8		22 7	22 7 26 8	22 7 26 8 19.2 7	22 7 26 8 19.2 7 19.1 7	22 7 26 8 19.2 7 19.1 7 19.1 7 23 7	22 7 26 8 19.2 7 19.1 7 23 7 23 7 23 7	22 7 26 8 19.2 7 19.1 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7	22 7 26 8 26 8 19.2 7 19.1 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7	22 7 26 8 26 8 19.2 7 19.1 7 23 7 22.8 7 22.1.7 7	22 7 26 8 26 8 19.2 7 19.1 7 23 7 22.8 7 21.7 7 22.2 7	22 7 26 8 26 8 19.2 7 19.1 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 22 7 22 7 23.3 7 23.4 7 23.5 7 23.5 7 23.5 7 23.5 7 23.5 7	22 7 26 8 19.2 7 19.1 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23.8 7 22.8 7 22.8 7 22.1.7 7 21.7 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7	22 7 26 8 19.2 7 19.1 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23.8 7 21.7 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.4 7	22 7 26 8 19.2 7 19.1 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23.8 7 21.7 7 22.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.4 7 23.4 7 23.4 7 23.4 7 23.4 7 23.4 7	22 7 26 8 26 8 19.2 7 19.1 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.8 7 23.4 7 23.4 7 23.4 7 23.4 7 23.4 7 23.4 7 23.4 7 23.4 7 23.4 7 23.4 7 23.4 7 23.4 7 23.4 7 23.4 7 23.4 7	22 7 26 8 19.2 7 19.1 7 23 7 23 7 23.1 7 22.8 7 23.8 7 22.1 7 22.3 7 23.4 7
E 22 E 23 E 22 E 21	E 23 E 23 E 21	E 22 E 21	E 21		Е 0	Е 8	C 7	E 12		E 7	E 7	E 7 E 7 E 16	E 7 E 7 E 16 E 16	E 7 E 16 E 16 E 9	E 7 E 16 E 16 E 9 E 9	7 7 E 16 E 16 E 9 E 9 E 9	7 16 16 9 9 9 9 9	7 16 16 16 9 9 8 9 8 7	7 16 16 16 9 9 9 9 1 11 11	7 16 16 16 9 9 9 9 9 1 11 11 10	7 16 16 16 9 9 9 9 9 11 11 11 11 10	7 16 16 16 16 9 9 9 9 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	7 7 E 16 E 9 P 9 E 9 P 9 P 9 P 9 P 9 P 9 P 10 P 12 P 12	7 7 E 16 E 16 E 9 E 9 E 11 E 10 E 12 E 12	7 16 16 16 9 9 9 9 111 111 111 111
P-I I I I				Pre E	Pre E	Pre E	Pre C	Pre E		In E	ш ш Е Е	In E Pre E	In E In F Pre E Pre E	Pre E E E	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	In E In E Pre E Pre E Pre E Pre E Pre E	In In <td>In E In E In E Pre E</td> <td>In E In F In F Pre E Pre E</td> <td>In E In F In F In F Pre E Pre E</td> <td>In E In In In In Pre E Pre Pre E Pre Pre E Pre Pre E Pre E E In F E</td> <td>In E In In In Pre E In Pre In In Pre In In</td> <td>In E In F In F Pre E Pre E</td> <td>In E In In In Pre E E Pre E In Pre E E Pre E E</td> <td>In E In In In Pre E E Pre E In Pre E E Pre E E</td>	In E In E In E Pre E	In E In F In F Pre E	In E In F In F In F Pre E	In E In In In In Pre E Pre Pre E Pre Pre E Pre Pre E Pre E E In F E	In E In In In Pre E In Pre In In Pre In In	In E In F In F Pre E	In E In In In Pre E E Pre E In Pre E E	In E In In In Pre E E Pre E In Pre E E
014 Gre)14 Gre) 4 רופ)11 Cha	008 Nor	008 Nor	008 Nor	111 Nor		111 Nor	111 Nor 011 Nor	111 Nor 111 Nor 113 Bra	I11 Nor I11 Nor I13 Bra I13 Bra	111 Nor 111 Nor 113 Bra 113 Bra 113 Swe	11 Nor 111 Nor 113 Bra 113 Bra 113 Swe 113 Swe	11 Nor 111 Nor 113 Bra 113 Bra 113 Swe 113 Swe 113 Swe 113 Swe 113 Swe	11 Nor 111 Nor 113 Bra 113 Bra 113 Swe	11 Nor 111 Nor 113 Bra 113 Bra 113 Swe 118 Bra 117 Bra	11 Nor 111 Nor 113 Bra 113 Bra 113 Swe 118 Bra 117 Bra 117 Bra 117 Bra	11 Nor 111 Nor 113 Bra 113 Bra 113 Swe 117 Bra 117 Bra 117 Bra 117 Bra 117 Bra	11 Nor 111 Nor 113 Bra 113 Bra 113 Swe 117 Bra 117 Bra 117 Bra 117 Mor 117 Mor	11 Nor 111 Nor 113 Bra 113 Bra 113 Swe 118 Bra 117 Bra	11 Nor 111 Nor 113 Bra 113 Bra 113 Swe 113 Bra 117 Bra 115 Bra 115 Bra 115 Bra	11 Nor 111 Nor 113 Bra 113 Bra 113 Swe 114 Bra 117 Bra 118 Bra 119 Bra	11 Nor 111 Nor 113 Bra 113 Bra 113 Swe 117 Bra 118 Bra 117 Bra 118 Bra 119 Bra 116 Bra
	DUNDOURAKIS ET AL	oundourakis et al. 20 oundourakis et al 20	Koundourakis et al. 20	Helgerud et al. 20	Rønnestad et al. 20	Rønnestad et al. 20	Rønnestad et al. 20	Rønnestad et al. 20		Rønnestad et al. 20	Rønnestad et al. 20 Rønnestad et al. 20	Rønnestad et al. 20 Rønnestad et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20	Rønnestad et al. 20 Rønnestad et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20	Rønnestad et al. 20 Rønnestad et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20	Rønnestad et al. 20 Rønnestad et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20	Rønnestad et al.20Rønnestad et al.20Loturco et al.20Loturco et al.20McGawley et al.20McGawley et al.20Gil et al.20	Rønnestad et al.20Rønnestad et al.20Loturco et al.20Loturco et al.20McGawley et al.20McGawley et al.20Gil et al.20	Rønnestad et al. 20 Rønnestad et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20	Rønnestad et al. 20 Rønnestad et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20	Rønnestad et al. 20 Rønnestad et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Pareja-Blanco et al. 20	Rønnestad et al. 20 Rønnestad et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Pareja-Blanco et al. 20 Pareja-Blanco et al. 20	Rønnestad et al. 20 Rønnestad et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Pareja-Blanco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20	Rønnestad et al. 20 Rønnestad et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20	Rønnestad et al. 20 Rønnestad et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20	Rønnestad et al. 20 Rønnestad et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 McGawley et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Gil et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20 Pareja-Blanco et al. 20 Loturco et al. 20

Table 1 Summary of characteristics of all studies meeting the inclusion criteria. CMJ.

Authors	Year	_	PoS	ษ	۲	Age	Bm	т	Wk	FWS	TS	DF	Nexe	Nset	Nrs	TNr	۲	lex	VC	% Т 10m	ES
Koundourakis et al.	2014	Gre	P-I	ш	23	25.5	79	182	24	1.5	36	>	3	4	10	4320	4	70-80 RM	No	-2.2	-0.66
Koundourakis et al.	2014	Gre	P-I	ш	22	24.7	80	181	24	1	24	OKC	4	4	5-6	1920	4	90 RM	No	-2.8	-0.07
Koundourakis et al.	2014	Gre	Ч	ш	23	25.5	79	182	18	1.5	27	^	3	4	10	2160	4	70-80 RM	No	-1,1	-0.33
Koundourakis et al.	2014	Gre	Ē	ш	22	24.7	80	181	18	-	18	OKC	4	4	5-6	1440	4	90 RM	No	0	0
Helgerud et al.	2011	Cha	Pre	ш	21	25	78	184	8	2	16	>	-	4	4	256	e	90 RM	Yes	-3.2	<u>.</u>
Rønnestad et al.	2008	Nor	Pre	ш	9	23	74	180	7	2	14	>	2	3-5	4-6	272	-	85-90 RM	Yes	-1.1	<u>.</u>
Rønnestad et al.	2008	Nor	Pre	ш	8	22	80	186	7	2	14	H-V	2	2-5	4-10	928	-	0-90 RM	Yes	-1.7	<u>.</u>
Rønnestad et al.	2008	Nor	Pre	υ	7	24	81	186	7	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	0	0
Loturco et al.	2013	Bra	Pre	ш	16	19.2	73	173	9	2	9	>	-	4	4-8	296	2	30-80 RM	I	-1.6	-0.25
Loturco et al.	2013	Bra	Pre	ш	16	19.1	72	172	9	2	9	>	-	4	4-8	296	2	30-80 RM	Yes	-4.3	-0.5
McGawley et al.	2013	Swe	Pre	ш	6	23	76	180	5	m	15	H-V	6	2-3	5-10	60	1-1.5	75-90 RM	I	-1.4	-0.4
McGawley et al.	2013	Swe	Pre	ш	6	23	76	180	5	m	15	H-V	6	2-3	5-10	60	1-1.5	75-90 RM	Yes	-2.2	-0.5
Gil et al.	2018	Bra	Pre	ш	6	22.8	78	179	9	-	9	H-V	ε	2-6	4-6	348	1-3	60 BM	No	-5.3	-1.3
Gil et al.	2018	Bra	Pre	ш	6	22	76	180	9	-	9	H-V	e	2-6	4	348	1-3	60 BM	No	-5.4	-1.3
Loturco et al.	2015	Bra	Pre	ш	12	23.4	76	178	4	2.5	10	>	-	9	4	372	2	I	Yes	-0.5	-0.17
Loturco et al.	2015	Bra	Pre	ш	11	24.1	76	179	4	2.5	10	>	-	9	4	372	2	I	Yes	-1.1	-0.31
Loturco et al.	2016	Bra	Pre	ш	12	23.1	75	176	9	m	18	>	-	9	4	470	1-1.5	30-90 RM	No	-3.3	-0.8
Loturco et al.	2016	Bra	Pre	ш	11	23.9	75	177	9	m	18	>	-	9	6	648	1-1.5	I	Yes	-7.1	-2.1
Loturco et al.	2017	Bra	Pre	ш	7	21.7	74	177	5	2.5	12	H-V	2	4-8	-	1152	I	20-60 BM	No	-5.7	-2.5
Loturco et al.	2017	Bra	Pre	ш	11	22.2	76	179	2	2.5	12	H-V	2	4-6	4	1704	I	0-60 BM	No	-5.17	-1.8
Wong et al.	2010	Chi	Ь	ш	20	24.6	71.4	176	∞	2	18	>	4	9	3	72	Э	85 RM	Yes	-5.8	-5.5
Wong et al.	2010	Chi	Ь	υ	19	21	63.7	173	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No	0	0
Mc Morrow et al.	2019	Ire	_	ш	7	24.7	80.6	180	9	2	10	H-V	1-6	2-9	6	4	1-5	85-95 RM	Yes	-4	-1.06
Mc Morrow et al.	2019	Ire	_	ш	6	24.7	80.6	180	9	2	10	H-V	1-6	2-9	9	4	1-5	85-95 RM	Yes	-5	-1.31
L: League or competit China First División; Ir Wk: Weeks program c	ion in wh e: Ireland luration;	iich he p l First Di FWS: fre	articipaté vision); Po squency o	es (Gre: oS: Periu of weekly	Greek St od of the v sessior	uper leagu e season (l s: TS: Tot	Je; Cha: (Pre: Pre- al session	Champic season; ns; DF: I	ons Leag In: In-se Directior	lue; Nor: N ason; P-l: l of force a	Jorwegiz Pre-seasu applied c	an Premi on + In-s(Juring th	er League eason) Gr e exercis	e; Bra: Bra :: Group (I e (V:Verti	sil First D E: Experir cal: V-H:	ivision Ch nental gro Vertical + I	ampionsł wp; C: Cc Horizonta	iip; Swe: Swedis ntrol Group); Br II: OKC: Open Kii	n: Body n n: Body n	ision 1; C nass; H: H n exercise	hi: eight; ():
Nexe: number of exer One repetition maxim	cise per c ium. BM:	lay; Nse Bodv m	t: numbel ass): VC: \	r of sets Variabili	, per exe tv of th∈	rcise; Nrs: ? charge d	number uring the	- of repe 2 trainin	tition pe a period	r set; TNr. (Yes/No);	: Total ni : T 10m i	umber o (%): ES: I	f repetitic	on during ze	the train	ing period	ł; RI:rest i	ntervals; lex: Int	ensity of	che exerci	se (RM:

Ē
10
Η.
criteria.
clusion
.⊑
the
meeting
studies
=
Ę
of characteristics o
Š
Summary
2
 Table



training exercises: direction of force applied (vertical, vertical + horizontal, or open kinetic chain movements), variability of loads throughout the training period (yes or no); 3) training variables and content: program duration in weeks, frequency of weekly sessions, total training sessions, number of exercises per day, number of sets per exercise, number of repetitions per set, total number of repetitions during the training period, rest intervals, and exercise intensity. Mean agreement was calculated using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). The coding agreement between investigators was determined by dividing the variables coded by the total number of variables. The mean agreement of 0.90 is accepted as an appropriate level of reliability for such procedures [36]. Any coding differences between investigators were scrutinized and resolved before the analysis.

Gain effect size (ES) was calculated using the Hedges' g and Olkin's formula (1):

$$g = (M_{post} - M_{pre}) / SD_{pooled}$$

where Mpost is the mean for the post-test, Mpre is the mean for the pre-test, and SDpooled is the pooled SD of the measurements (2):

$$SD_{pooled} = \frac{(M_{post} - M_{pre})}{\sqrt{((n_1 - 1) \cdot SD_1^2 + (n_2 - 1) \cdot SD_2^2)/(n_1 + n_2 - 2)}}$$

The ES is a standardized value that permits the determination of the magnitude of the differences between the groups or experimental conditions. It has been suggested that the ES should be corrected for the magnitude of the sample size of each study[35]. Therefore, corrections were performed using the formula (3):

where m = n -1, as proposed by Hedges and Olkin [36].

Statistical analyses

To determine the effects of the categorical independent variables (league or competition in which players participated, period of the season, and programmed exercises [direction of force applied during the exercise, variability of the load during the training period (yes or no)], on CMJ and T10m effect sizes (ES), an analysis of variance (ANOVA) was employed. In the case of quantitative independent variables (e.g., age, body-mass, height, program duration in weeks, frequency of weekly sessions, total sessions, number of exercises per day, number of sets per exercise, number of repetitions per set, total number of repetitions during the training period, rest intervals, and exercise intensity) a Pearson's (r) correlation test was used to examine the relationships between CMJ ES and T10m ES, and the descriptive information of players and training variables. The level of significance was set at $p \le 0.05$. In addition, data were also assessed for clinical significance using an approach based on the magnitude of the changes. Threshold values for assessing magnitudes of ES were < 0.35, 0.35-0.80, 0.80-1.50, and > 2.0 for trivial, small, moderate, and large, respectively [37].

Results

The analysis demonstrated that there were no statistical differences between average ES of the experimental $(0.10 \pm 1.24; n = 44)$ and control groups $(0.11 \pm 0.19; n = 3)$, for the two assessed variables (i.e., CMJ and T10m) (p = 0.986).

CMJ

There were no significant differences (p = 0.2) between average ES in the experimental (ES = 0.62; n = 24) and control groups (ES = -0.33; n = 1) when examining the CMJ performance. Similarly, regarding subjects' characteristics, the results indicated that there were no significant correlations for age, body-mass, or height and the CMJ ES magnitude (\triangleright **Table 3**). ANOVA results revealed a possible effect for some of the assessed variables (i.e., period of the season, p = 0.063; \triangleright **Table 4**). No significant relationships were detected between the training program variables with CMJ ES (\triangleright **Table 5**).

► Table 3	CMJ (cm). Analysis f	or independent variat	ples of subject characteristics.
-----------	----------------------	-----------------------	----------------------------------

Independent Variables	% of change ± SD	F	Level	ES	SD	n	r	р
Subject Characteristics								
Age (y)						24	-0.059	0.758
Body mass (kg)						24	-0.087	0.685
Height (cm)						24	-0.038	0.861
League		F(5,18)=0.245	p=0.937					
Greece	4.63±3.59			0.58	0.49	4		
Champions League	5.24			0.64	-	1		
Norway	1.71±3.11			0.63	0.92	5		
Brazil	6.56±0.77			0.75	0.82	10		
Sweden	4.45±3.60			0.51	0.45	2		
Могоссо	1.36±5.62			0.10	0.48	2		
Period of the season		F(2,21) = 3.157	p=0.063					
Pre-Season	5.7±5.4			0.79	0.74	16		
In-Season	0.6±3.2			0.05	0.30	6		
Pre-Season + In-Season	7.3±0.17			0.97	0.14	2		
ES = Effect size; n = sample; L	evel = alpha level; r = Pear	son Correlation coeffi	cient; p=alpha	level * p<0	.05,**p<0	0.01		

Table 4 CMJ (cm). Analysis of variance results on the differences of ES between various elements of eccentric training independent variables of program elements.

Independent Variables	% of change ± SD	F	Level	ES	SD	n	r	р
Program Exercises								
Direction of force applied during the exercise		F(2,21)=0.044	p=0.957					
Vertical	4.09±4.51			0.60	0.68	15		
Vertical + Horizontal	5.83±7.1			0.68	0.84	7		
Open Kinetic Chain Exercise	3.63±5.4			0.53	0.77	2		
Variability of the charge during the training period		F(1,22)=0.843	p=0.368					
Yes	3.06±4.10			0.50	0.67	14		
No	6.66±6.16			0.77	0.75	10		
ES = Effect size; n = sample; Level = al	pha level; r = Pearson Corre	elation coefficient; p =	alpha level. * p	<0.05, * * p<0	0.01.			

T10m

There were no significant differences (p = 0.2) between average ES in the experimental (ES = -0.97; n = 20) and control groups (ES = 0.0; n = 2) when examining the T10m. Regarding the subjects' characteristics, the results indicated that there were no significant correlations for age, body-mass, or height and the T10m ES magnitude (\blacktriangleright **Table 6**). However, ANOVA results revealed significant effects for certain variables analyzed (i.e., League, p < 0.000; \blacktriangleright **Table 7**). The league analysis demonstrated that the average ES in the China First Division (ES = -5.5; n = 1) was significantly higher (p < 0.05) than the ES observed in other leagues (ES ranging from -0.26 to -1.18). No significant relationships were noted between training program variables and T10m ES (\triangleright **Table 8**).

Discussion

The objective of this review was to determine the chronic effects of different strength training protocols on short-sprint and vertical

jump performance in professional soccer players playing in the first division of their countries. The main findings from this review were: a) the distinct strength training programs analysed here produced similar performance improvements, regardless of their specific characteristics (i.e., training exercises, volume, and intensity), and they were not significantly different from the improvements exhibited by the control groups; b) the different strength training protocols appear to have a lower effect when applied during in-season phases than when applied during pre-season and/or inter-season periods.

The most commonly used exercises in the different strength programs for the "vertical direction" were the back squat [8–11, 17–22] and the jump squat (JS) [8, 17, 18, 20, 23, 24]; and for the "horizontal direction" the resisted sprints (i.e., sled towing) [23–25] and unloaded horizontal jumps [10, 24]. Curiously, there were no significant differences in performance improvements between protocols that used exercises with different directions (i.e., vertical or horizontal) of force application during the training ses-

sions (▶ **Tables 4** and **7**). The first study that addressed this topic in professional soccer players (Norwegian Premier League) was conducted by Ronnestad et al. [10], who examined the chronic effects of training under vertical or vertical-horizontal training schemes. These authors compared, throughout a pre-season period, a 6-week training protocol composed of two sessions per week, based on 3–5 sets of 4–6 repetitions of vertically-oriented exercises (e.g., half squats) at 85–90% 1RM with a similar protocol combined with 2–4 sets of 5–10 repetitions of vertically-horizontallyoriented exercises (i.e., alternate leg bound, double leg hurdle jump, and single leg forward hop), and with a control group. There were no significant effects of time for CMJ (from 1.94 to 4.95%) and T10m (from -1.1 to -0.7%) and no significant differences between

► Table 5 CMJ (cm). Pearson correlation coefficients (r) between various program elements and training gains.

Training Program Variables	n	r	P
Frequency session/week	24	-0.094	0.663
Program duration (wk)	24	-0.009	0.968
Total of session	24	0.061	0.775
Number of exercises per day	24	0.075	0.729
Min number of sets per day	24	-0.058	0.789
Max number of sets per day	24	0.172	0.422
Min number of rep. per set	22	0.137	0.543
Max number of rep. per set	22	-0.248	0.265
Total of repetitions	22	-0.048	0.833
Min intensity of the exercise	21	-0.022	0.925
Max intensity of the exercise	21	-0.224	0.329
Rest	19	-0.228	0.347
n = sample; r = Pearson Correlation coe * $p < 0.05$, * * $p < 0.01$	efficient;	p = alpha level	

▶ Table 6 T10m (s). Analysis for independent variables of subject characteristics.

groups for any other performance variables. Subsequently, the authors pooled the two groups into the same experimental group, who showed a significantly higher increase than the control group in the T10m. Similarly, Koundourakis et al. [9] (Greek Super league soccer players), compared vertically-oriented vs. open kinetic chain exercises during the pre-season, the first half of the season (24 weeks), and the second half of the season (throughout 18 weeks): the first protocol was based on 1-2 sessions per week of 4 sets of 10 repetitions of circuit strength training using vertical exercises (e.g., lunge, squats, steps up on bench with external weight) at 70-80% 1RM; and the second protocol was based on 1 session per week of 4 sets of 10 repetitions of open kinetic chain movements (e.g., leg extension, hamstring curl) at 90% 1RM. Both groups showed increases in CMI (from 7.2 to 7.5%) and decreases in T10m (from -2.2 to 2.8%) from the beginning of the pre-season to the end of the first half of the season. During the second half of the season, only the vertically-oriented protocol produced increases in CMJ (4.3%) and T10m (from -2.2 to 2.8%), but there were no significant differences for the open kinetic chain group. Nevertheless, the strength training schemes which used vertically-horizontallyoriented exercises obtained a non-significantly higher CMJ mean of 5.83 % [10, 11, 23, 24] and a lower T10m mean of -3.57 % [10, 11, 23–25], compared to the training scheme using solely vertically-oriented exercises (CMJ mean = 4.09%) [8–10, 17–19, 21, 22] and T10m mean = -2.85 % [8-10, 17, 18, 20, 21]), and to the program using only open kinetic chain exercises (CMJ mean = 3.63 % and T10m mean = -1.4%)[9] (see ► **Tables 4** and ► **7**). In fact, the different combinations of exercises used to design these protocols (i.e., vertically- or horizontally-oriented exercises) and the variations in loading strategies (e.g., resisted sprints and horizontal-vertical jumps) could provide more comprehensive and effective mechanical stimuli to improve neuromuscular performance in profes-

Independent Variables	% of change ± SD	F	Level	ES	SD	n	r	р
Subject Characteristics								
Age (y)						22	-0.075	0.741
Body mass (kg)						22	0.410	0.058
Height (cm)						22	-0.229	0.305
League		F(6,21) = 8.847	p=0.000 * *					
Greece	-1.54 ± 1.24			-0.26	0.30	4		
Champions League	-3.21			-1	-	1		
Norway	-1.41±0.38			-1	0	2		
Brazil	-3.95 ± 2.22			-1.1	0.82	10		
Sweden	-1.8±0.56			-0.45	0.07	2		
China	-5.8			-5.5	-	1		
Ireland	-4.52±0.67			-1.18	0.17	2		
Period of the season		F(1,19)=0.398	p=0.677					
Pre-Season	-3.18±1.9			-1.25	1.38	14		
In-Season	-2.54±2.36			-0.67	0.61	4		
Pre-Season + In-Season	-3.86±2.2			-0.91	0.85	4		
ES = Effect size; n = sample; L	evel = alpha level; r = Pea	rson Correlation coeff	icient; p=alpha lev	el * p<0.05, *	* p<0.01			÷

Independent Variables	% of change ± SD	F	Level	ES	SD	n	r	р
Program Exercises								
Direction of force applied during		F(2,19) = 0.867	p=0.436					
the exercise								
Vertical	-2.85±2.13			1.14	1.54	11		
Vertical + Horizontal	-3.99±1.74			-1.24	0.63	9		
Open Kinetic Chain Exercise	-1.4±1.98			-0.03	0.04	2		
Variability of the charge during		F(1,20) = 1.463	p=0.242					
the training period								
Yes	-3.12±2.12			-1.23	1.44	12		
No	-3.26±2.01			-0.90	0.81	10		
ES = Effect size; n = sample; Level = al	pha level; r = Pearson Cor	relation coefficient; p =	alpha level * p <	<0.05,**p<	0.01			

Table 7 T10m (s). Analysis of variance results on the differences of ES between various elements of eccentric training independent variables of program elements.

Table 8 T10m (s). Pearson correlation coefficients (r) between various program elements and training gains.

Training Program Variables	n	r	р
Frequency session/week	22	-0.116	0.608
Program duration (wk)	22	0.239	0.285
Total of session	22	0.25	0.911
Number of exercises per day	22	0.056	0.805
Min number of sets per day	22	0.015	0.947
Max number of sets per day	22	-0.238	0.287
Min number of rep. per set	22	-0.080	0.725
Max number of rep. per set	22	0.354	0.106
Total of repetitions	22	0.172	0.445
Min intensity of the exercise	22	0.057	0.817
Max intensity of the exercise	22	0.217	0.372
Rest	22	-0.026	0.913
n = sample; r = Pearson Correlation coe * p<0.05, * * p<0.01.	efficient; p	= alpha level	

sional soccer players. This argument certainly requires deeper analysis.

Loturco et al. [24] (Brazilian First Division Championship) compared a training protocol of twelve training sessions in 5 weeks, based on 6 sets of 6 repetitions of JS performed at the optimum power load (i.e., the load that maximizes power output), combined with 6-8 sets of 6 repetitions of horizontal jumps and CMJ, with the same IS protocol mixed with 6-8 sets of resisted sprints (i.e., 20-30m) with 5–20% body mass overload. The training that combined IS and horizontal-vertical jumps increased the CMI (2%), with substantial differences from the training that combined JS and resisted sprints (-2.9%). The training that combined JS and resisted sprints showed no significant differences from the training that combined JS and horizontal-vertical jumps in T10m. These results are in accordance with the force-vector theory, where one group used more vertically-oriented exercises than the other, which could play a crucial role in increasing CMJ performance [31]. Equally, previous investigations have shown that horizontal plyometrics and resisted sprints improve short-distance acceleration performance (i.e., T10m) [38]. In fact, recently, McMorrow et al. [25] (Ireland First Division) showed similar results combining the front squat exercise with 20m resisted sprint (T10m -4-5%); therefore, it is not a surprise that the T10m performance could be similar in both groups. Nevertheless, Gil et al. [23] (Brazil First Division Championship) implementing a training scheme similar to that proposed by Loturco et al.[24] found higher CMI (15%) and T10m (-5%) performance. Briefly, the training protocol was based on 6 weeks, one session per week, including: (1) 4–6 sets of 6 repetitions of JS with 60% of body mass, (2) 2-4 sets of 7-m linear sprint, and (3) 2-4 sets of change of direction drills. During the 7-m linear sprint, one of the groups executed resisted sprints (VertiMax, Model V8, Genetic Potential, Tampa, Florida) with an overload capable of reducing sprint velocity by 10% (compared to the unresisted condition). There were no significant differences between groups in any assessed variable. In agreement with Loturco et al. [17], both groups performed the ballistic JS, which appeared to be more indicated for developing the kinematic aspects of both jump- and speed-related capacities (at least for professional soccer players). The main difference was that Loturco et al. [24] used as overload the "optimum power load", while Gil et al. [23] used 60% of the body-mass of each player as a fixed overload. Accordingly, Loturco et al. [18] (Brazil First Division Championship) revealed that training continuously at the "optimum power zone" and training under different %1RM (i.e., "traditional" strength-power periodization) produced significant increases in CMJ (11.5; 11.4%) and T10m (-7.1%; -3.3%); respectively, without differences between groups. However, delta change scores demonstrated a superior effect of optimum power loads to improve T10m. The strength training protocol proposed by Loturco et al. [18] comprised 4 weeks, 3 sessions per week, 6 sets of 10-4 repetitions of half squat from 60 % to 90 % 1RM or optimum power load; and two weeks, 6 times per week, 6 sets of 6 repetitions of JS at 30% 1RM or optimum power load. The results obtained in that study [18] are nearer to those obtained by Gil et al. [23] and those obtained by Loturco et al. [24]. Both studies used the IS with overload at approximately 30% 1RM, and their results were higher than other studies that used half squat or IS with loads higher than 30%1RM [8–10, 17, 19, 21, 22]. For example, Loturco et al. [17] (Brazilian First Division Championship) did not find differences between half squat and JS training protocols under optimum loading

conditions, and the authors reported changes in CMJ from -1.24 to 0.37 % and in T10m from -0.5 to -1.1 %, in 10 training sessions during a 4-week pre-season period, based on 6 sets of 4-8 repetitions of each exercise. Equally, Loturco et al. [8] (Brazil First Division Championship) obtained better results when combining IS and half squat in the same strength training protocol, in a study that compared the effects of "increasing" or "decreasing" exercise velocity within a 6-week training period. Both groups demonstrated increases in CMI (6.70; 6.90%) and decreases in T10m (-1.6; -4.3%), without differences between groups. Specifically, the protocol used was composed of 3 weeks, 2 days per week, and based on 4 sets of 6-8 repetitions of back squat with 50-80% 1RM overload, followed by 3 weeks comprising 4 sets of 4-6 repetitions of IS increasing or reducing the exercise velocity with loads ranging from 30% to 60%1RM. Pareja-Blanco et al. [22] (Morocco First Division Championship) using a half squat with overloads between 50 and 70% 1RM, during 6 weeks, three sessions per week, based on 2-3 sets of 4 repetitions, obtained similar results in CMJ performance (5.34%). Helgerud et al. [21] (Champions League soccer players), when increasing the training intensity to 90% 1RM overload, showed increments in CMI (5.2%) and decrements in T10m (-3.2%) after 8 weeks, two sessions per week, using 4 sets of 4 repetitions of half squats. Therefore, it seems that lighter intensities may provide improvements towards the high-velocity end of the force-velocity spectrum, and it is possible to speculate that in strength training protocols, during vertically-oriented exercises, overloads ~30% 1RM could optimize jumping and sprinting performance in professional soccer players. However, we need to consider that the majority of the protocols that used an overload of around 30% 1RM were preceded by a strength training foundation based on overload above 30 % 1RM [8]. Although there is evidence that this strength training foundation is not able to "increase" the transference of maximum strength capacity to the ability to produce force at higher velocities in elite soccer players [18], more studies are clearly required to corroborate this notion.

Our analysis revealed a possible effect for the period of the season in which the strength training was performed (see ► Table 4). Ronnestad et al. [19] (Norwegian Premier League), were the first authors to investigate the effects of strength training in different season periods. These authors proposed a training protocol during a pre-season of 10 weeks, two sessions per week, with 3 sets of 4–10 repetitions of vertically-oriented exercises (i.e., half squats), with 80-90 % RM overload. During the next 12 weeks (in-season phase), the authors compared the effects of applying this training protocol once a week versus every two weeks. The results showed increments in CMI (4.58%) during the pre-season period. During the in-season period, the values of CMJ height were reduced (-1.46%) in both groups. These results agreed with those obtained by Koundourakis et al. [9] for the group who performed 1 session per week of 4 sets of 10 repetitions of open kinetic chain exercises (e.g., leg extension, hamstring curl), with 90 % RM overload (CMJ: -0.21 %). However, the group who performed a circuit strength training program composed of 1–2 sessions per week of 4 sets of 10 repetitions of vertically-oriented exercises (e.g., lunge, squats, steps up on bench with external weight), from 70 to 80% 1RM, improved the CMJ performance by 4%. This phenomenon could be better elucidated when examining the high physical and physiological demands and the very congested fixture schedules usually imposed by elite soccer leagues. Indeed, during the in-season period, there are increased demands of aerobic-based activities (e.g., technical-tactical training and official matches), which may hamper the proper development of strength-power capacities [39, 40]. In this regard, the strength training seems to work more as an effective strategy to maintain the strength-power levels achieved during the preparatory phases (being unable to elicit substantial gains in sprint and jump performance) [19]. For example, it is possible that heavy strength training, as proposed by Ronnestad et al. [19], once a week, is sufficient to maintain the initial strength gains obtained by professional soccer players during preparatory phases, but only when the resistance training sessions are applied at least once a week. Still in this context, it could be possible that the mixed strength-speed training protocol proposed by Koundourakis et al. [9], applied 1–2 times a week, was a more effective stimulus for these players, increasing CMJ and T10m performance, while avoiding excessive training load and insufficient recovery.

Interventions with professional soccer players present two evident problems: the lack of control over some aspects of the intervention and the nonexistence of control groups that receive the same attention from the coaching staff during the intervention [21]. It is surprising that the majority of the proposed strength training protocols improved athletic performance but did not present significant differences from the players who did not perform a specific strength-training protocol. As mentioned above, this could be partially explained by the concurrent effects of endurance and strength-power adaptations, which typically occur during congested soccer seasons and pre-seasons [17, 19–21, 24]. However, there are studies showing that regular technical-tactical training sessions and high intensity interval running can be simultaneously performed with strength training in order to enhance the strength and endurance capacities of professional soccer players [11, 20, 21]. Accordingly, McGawley et al. [11] (Swedish First Division) analyzed the effects of performing a physical training program 3 times per week during a 5-week preseason on some soccer-specific variables and compared the impacts of completing high intensity training (HIT) and strength-power training sessions in different orders within the same session. The authors observed a positive effect of the concurrent training approach on key measures of soccer performance (increased CMJ from 1.9 to 7% and T10m from -1.4 to 2.2%), but the order of completing HIT and strength-power training seemed not to affect performance adaptations. Equally, Wong et al. [20] proposed training protocols where high intensity interval running was concurrently performed with heavy strength training based on 8 weeks, two sessions per week, comprising 4 sets of 6 repetitions of vertically-oriented exercises (i.e., half squats and jump squats) at 85%1RM, with a 3-min recovery. The professional soccer players presented decreases in T10m time (~6%) and increases in aerobic capacity with significant differences compared to the control group. Therefore, additional studies are still needed to better elucidate the influence of concurrent training practices on the physical performance of professional soccer players.

In general, researchers suggest that the lack of differences between experimental and control groups commonly found after strength training interventions in soccer players may be due to inadequate (low) volumes and frequencies of resistance training sessions throughout the professional soccer seasons, especially when compared to the total training content [8, 10, 17, 24]. In fact, it is widely known that the specific soccer training (i.e., technical-tactical sessions) places competing demands on complementary training sessions [11], which may compromise the proper development of speed- and power-related performance. Therefore, at least for the moment, it appears that the only practical solution is to search for more time-efficient strength and power training strategies, which are viable and effective in real soccer scenarios.

Conclusions

After examining the data available in the literature, it is possible to infer that strength training – in the way it has been applied - may have a limited impact on the short-sprint and jump performance of elite soccer players. The congested fixture schedules and the high-volume of soccer-specific training usually performed by these players during some specific training phases likely contribute to these "reduced effects". Coaches and sport scientists are advised to prioritize time-efficient training strategies as well as to use any available time (e.g., warm-up sessions) in an attempt to maximize the strength development of elite soccer players, since an increased number of matches, journeys, and training sessions are common-place in modern soccer.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Mendez-Villanueva A, Buchheit M, Simpson B et al. Does on-field sprinting performance in young soccer players depend on how fast they can run or how fast they do run? J Strength Cond Res 2011; 25: 2634–2638
- [2] Buchheit M, Simpson BM, Mendez-Villanueva A. Repeated high-speed activities during youth soccer games in relation to changes in maximal sprinting and aerobic speeds. Int J Sports Med 2013; 34: 40–48
- [3] Haugen T, Tonnessen E, Hisdal J et al. The role and development of sprinting speed in soccer. Int J Sports Physiol Perform 2014; 9: 432–441
- [4] Los Arcos A, Yanci J, Mendiguchia J et al. Short-term training effects of vertically and horizontally oriented exercises on neuromuscular performance in professional soccer players. Int J Sports Physiol Perform 2014; 9: 480–488
- [5] Chelly MS, Ghenem MA, Abid K et al. Effects of in-season short-term plyometric training program on leg power, jump- and sprint performance of soccer players. J Strength Cond Res 2010; 24: 2670–2676
- [6] Hoff J, Helgerud J. Endurance and strength training for soccer players: Physiological considerations. Sports Med 2004; 34: 165–180
- [7] Maio Alves JM, Rebelo AN, Abrantes C et al. Short-term effects of complex and contrast training in soccer players' vertical jump, sprint, and agility abilities. J Strength Cond Res 2010; 24: 936–941
- [8] Loturco I, Ugrinowitsch C, Tricoli V et al. Different loading schemes in power training during the preseason promote similar performance

improvements in Brazilian elite soccer players. J Strength Cond Res 2013; 27: 1791–1797

- [9] Koundourakis NE, Androulakis N, Spyridaki EC et al. Effect of different seasonal strength training protocols on circulating androgen levels and performance parameters in professional soccer players. Hormones (Athens) 2014; 13: 104–118
- [10] Ronnestad BR, Kvamme NH, Sunde A et al. Short-term effects of strength and plyometric training on sprint and jump performance in professional soccer players. J Strength Cond Res 2008; 22: 773–780
- [11] McGawley K, Andersson PI. The order of concurrent training does not affect soccer-related performance adaptations. Int J Sports Med 2013; 34: 983–990
- [12] Reilly T. An ergonomics model of the soccer training process. J Sports Sci 2005; 23: 561–572
- [13] Stolen T, Chamari K, Castagna C et al. Physiology of soccer: an update. Sports Med 2005; 35: 501–536
- [14] Bangsbo J, Mohr M, Krustrup P. Physical and metabolic demands of training and match-play in the elite football player. J Sports Sci 2006; 24: 665–674
- [15] Ekblom B. Applied physiology of soccer. Sports Med 1986; 3: 50-60
- [16] Ali A, Farrally M. A computer-video aided time motion analysis technique for match analysis. J Sports Med Phys Fitness 1991; 31: 82–88
- [17] Loturco I, Nakamura FY, Tricoli V et al. Determining the optimum power load in jump squat using the mean propulsive velocity. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0140102
- [18] Loturco I, Nakamura FY, Kobal R et al. Traditional periodization versus optimum training load applied to soccer players: Effects on neuromuscular abilities. Int J Sports Med 2016; 37: 1051–1059
- [19] Ronnestad BR, Nymark BS, Raastad T. Effects of in-season strength maintenance training frequency in professional soccer players. J Strength Cond Res 2011; 25: 2653–2660
- [20] Wong PL, Chaouachi A, Chamari K et al. Effect of preseason concurrent muscular strength and high-intensity interval training in professional soccer players. J Strength Cond Res 2010; 24: 653–660
- [21] Helgerud J, Rodas G, Kemi OJ et al. Strength and endurance in elite football players. Int J Sports Med 2011; 32: 677–682
- [22] Pareja-Blanco F, Sanchez-Medina L, Suarez-Arrones L et al. Effects of velocity loss during resistance training on performance in professional soccer players. Int J Sports Physiol Perform 2017; 12: 512–519
- [23] Gil S, Barroso R, Crivoi do Carmo E et al. Effects of resisted sprint training on sprinting ability and change of direction speed in professional soccer players. J Sports Sci 2018; 36: 1923–1929
- [24] Loturco I, Kobal R, Kitamura K et al. Mixed training methods: Effects of combining resisted sprints or plyometrics with optimum power loads on sprint and agility performance in professional soccer players. Front Physiol 2017; 8: 1034
- [25] McMorrow BJ, Ditroilo M, Egan B. Effect of heavy resisted sled sprint training during the competitive season on sprint and change-ofdirection performance in professional soccer players. Int J Sports Physiol Perform 2019; 14: 1066–1073
- [26] Trecroci A, Porcelli S, Perri E et al. Effects of different training interventions on the recovery of physical and neuromuscular performance after a soccer match. J Strength Cond Res 2020; 34: 2189–2196
- [27] Julian R, Page RM, Harper LD. The effect of fixture congestion on performance during professional male soccer match-play: A systematic critical review with meta-analysis. Sports Med 2021; 51: 255–273
- [28] McGuigan MR, Wright GA, Fleck SJ. Strength training for athletes: does it really help sports performance? Int J Sports Physiol Perform 2012; 7: 2–5

- [29] Wisloff U, Castagna C, Helgerud J et al. Strong correlation of maximal squat strength with sprint performance and vertical jump height in elite soccer players. Br J Sports Med 2004; 38: 285–288
- [30] Turner A, Walker S, Stembridge M et al. A testing battery for the assessment of fitness in soccer players. Strength Cond J 2011; 33: 29–39
- [31] Randell AD, Cronin JB, Keogh JW et al. Transference of strength and power adaptation to sports performance horizontal and vertical force production. Strength Cond J 2010; 32: 100–106
- [32] Aragón LF. Evaluation of four vertical jump tests: Methodology, reliability, validity, and accuracy. Meas Phys Educ Exerc Sci 2000; 4: 215–228
- [33] Nuzzo JL, McBride JM, Cormie P et al. Relationship between countermovement jump performance and multijoint isometric and dynamic tests of strength. J Strength Cond Res 2008; 22: 699–707
- [34] Harriss DJ, Macsween A, Atkinson G. Ethical standards in sport and exercise science research: 2020 update. Int J Sports Med 2019; 40: 813–817

- [35] Campbell DT, Stanley JC. Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Designs for Research. Ravenio Books; 2015
- [36] Hedges LV, Olkin I. Statistical Methods for Meta-Analysis. Academic press; 2014
- [37] Rhea MR, Alvar BA, Burkett LN et al. A meta-analysis to determine the dose response for strength development. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2003; 35: 456–464
- [38] Petrakos G, Morin JB, Egan B. Resisted sled sprint training to improve sprint performance: A systematic review. Sports Med 2016; 46: 381–400
- [39] Docherty D, Sporer B. A proposed model for examining the interference phenomenon between concurrent aerobic and strength training. Sports Med 2000; 30: 385–394
- [40] Hickson RC. Interference of strength development by simultaneously training for strength and endurance. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol 1980; 45: 255–263