Introduction

The disease known as depression is a syndrome characterized by profound sadness and the inhibition of psychic functions, sometimes accompanied by neurovegetative symptoms. Unipolar depression is a condition characterized by anhedonia (loss of interest or capacity for pleasure), apathy, changes in sleep and appetite, and sadness; under the worst circumstances, it can also provoke suicidal ideation [1]. Stress is the main trigger of depression as it produces a body’s reaction towards a stimulus, displayed in the form of a mental, physical, and/or emotional response [2]. In the latest edition of the DSM-5, the essential core of depression is al-
more difficult to access effective treatments or undertake preventive measures. This fact, along with the interrelationship between depression and physical health, has led to a worrying decrease in public health worldwide; therefore, depression is one of the priorities covered by the WHO’s Mental Health Gap Action Programme [4].

Because depression is a multifactorial disorder, its development can depend on different effects that can cause dysfunctions in neural networks and neurotransmission systems. For example, some depressed patients have been shown to suffer a decrease in monoamines and their metabolites, as well as the corresponding transporters and precursors. These findings led to the formulation of the monoaminergic hypothesis of depression, which is the basis for the standard pharmacological treatment of the disease [5]. To date, however, it has not been possible to demonstrate this hypothesis conclusively; although treated patients generally regain their normal mood and behavior, it cannot be said that antidepressants “normalize” brain activity because the brains of patients treated with antidepressants are in a different state than those of people who are not depressed [6]. In addition, various studies have also reported on the existence of a dysfunction of the HPA axis underlying depressive disorders, as well as the role of corticosteroids through the release of the corresponding hormones, such as the CRH in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus, the ACTH in the pituitary gland, and cortisol in the adrenal glands [6]. In depressed patients, circulating cortisol is increased, while alterations in the function of glucocorticoid receptors or the deterioration of negative feedback in the HPA axis play a central role in resistance to these hormones [7]. In this sense, various researchers have argued that psychoneuroimmunological dysfunction underlies major depressive disorders, as documented by several studies, which found that depressed patients present alterations in both the peripheral immune system and cellular immunity, as well as elevated levels of proinflammatory mediators [8].

Multiple theories have questioned the monoaminergic hypothesis and tried to explain the biological changes that occur in depression; some of these will be discussed briefly here since they were examined more extensively in a previous review of preclinical studies on the use of medicinal plants in depression [9]. Physiological or psychological conditions that activate the immune system also seem to make patients more susceptible to depression. Therefore, among the explanations regarding the pathophysiology of depression, the relationship between depression and inflammation has emerged as playing a relevant role [10, 11]. Currently, there are at least ten different families of antidepressants used for pharmacological treatment, with their differences not due to their efficacy, but rather to their tolerability profile. Moreover, inflammation probably plays a large role in the response to antidepressant treatment [12]. The presence of high levels of proinflammatory mediators in patients with depression has allowed researchers to establish a clear relationship between depression and inflammation. The symptoms of cytokine-induced depression in patients undergoing immunotherapy do not differ from a major depressive disorder of unknown etiology, and antidepressants may be effective for both. It has been shown that antidepressants decrease the inflammatory response and pro-

ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-HT</td>
<td>5-hydroxytriptamine (serotonin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTH</td>
<td>adrenocorticotropin hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPK</td>
<td>5‘-adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDNF</td>
<td>brain-derived neurotrophic factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CREB</td>
<td>cAMP response element binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRH</td>
<td>corticotrophin-releasing hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL8</td>
<td>interleukin-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>dopamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSM</td>
<td>Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERK</td>
<td>extracellular signal-regulated kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA</td>
<td>gamma-aminobutyric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCSF</td>
<td>granulocyte colony-stimulating factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPA</td>
<td>hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>interleukin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INOS</td>
<td>inducible nitric oxide synthase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAO</td>
<td>monoamine oxidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (also known as CCL2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>major depressive disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>noradrenaline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDA</td>
<td>N-methyl-D-aspartic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nNOS</td>
<td>neuronal nitric oxide synthase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>nitric oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nrf2</td>
<td>nuclear factor erythroid 2-like 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REM</td>
<td>rapid eye movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSRI</td>
<td>selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR4</td>
<td>Toll-like receptor-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ways characterized by sadness, hopelessness, lack of illusion, and anhedonia, although the clinical picture can be widely heterogeneous in course, intensity, and duration [1]. Due to their high prevalence and long evolution in most cases, diseases such as depression and anxiety require a great number of resources and health expenditure, with economic costs in excess of 200 billion dollars per year [3]. Moreover, depression is the main cause of disability worldwide. The latest report from the WHO points out that globally, 264 million people of all ages are suffering from depression [3], and 800 000 people die due to suicide every year. Depression is more frequent in women (5.1%) than men (3.6%) and 800 000 people die due to suicide every year [3]. Moreover, depression is the main cause of disability worldwide. The latest report from the WHO points out that globally, 264 million people of all ages are suffering from depression [3], and 800 000 people die due to suicide every year. Depression is more frequent in women (5.1%) than men (3.6%) and 800 000 people die due to suicide every year [3].

Because depression is a multifactorial disorder, its development can depend on different effects that can cause dysfunctions in neural networks and neurotransmission systems. For example, some depressed patients have been shown to suffer a decrease in monoamines and their metabolites, as well as the corresponding transporters and precursors. These findings led to the formulation of the monoaminergic hypothesis of depression, which is the basis for the standard pharmacological treatment of the disease [5]. To date, however, it has not been possible to demonstrate this hypothesis conclusively; although treated patients generally regain their normal mood and behavior, it cannot be said that antidepressants “normalize” brain activity because the brains of patients treated with antidepressants are in a different state than those of people who are not depressed [6]. In addition, various studies have also reported on the existence of a dysfunction of the HPA axis underlying depressive disorders, as well as the role of corticosteroids through the release of the corresponding hormones, such as the CRH in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus, the ACTH in the pituitary gland, and cortisol in the adrenal glands [6]. In depressed patients, circulating cortisol is increased, while alterations in the function of glucocorticoid receptors or the deterioration of negative feedback in the HPA axis play a central role in resistance to these hormones [7]. In this sense, various researchers have argued that psychoneuroimmunological dysfunction underlies major depressive disorders, as documented by several studies, which found that depressed patients present alterations in both the peripheral immune system and cellular immunity, as well as elevated levels of proinflammatory mediators [8].

Multiple theories have questioned the monoaminergic hypothesis and tried to explain the biological changes that occur in depression; some of these will be discussed briefly here since they were examined more extensively in a previous review of preclinical studies on the use of medicinal plants in depression [9]. Physiological or psychological conditions that activate the immune system also seem to make patients more susceptible to depression. Therefore, among the explanations regarding the pathophysiology of depression, the relationship between depression and inflammation has emerged as playing a relevant role [10, 11]. Currently, there are at least ten different families of antidepressants used for pharmacological treatment, with their differences not due to their efficacy, but rather to their tolerability profile. Moreover, inflammation probably plays a large role in the response to antidepressant treatment [12]. The presence of high levels of proinflammatory mediators in patients with depression has allowed researchers to establish a clear relationship between depression and inflammation. The symptoms of cytokine-induced depression in patients undergoing immunotherapy do not differ from a major depressive disorder of unknown etiology, and antidepressants may be effective for both. It has been shown that antidepressants decrease the inflammatory response and pro-
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inflammatory factors, such as IL-2, IL-6, TNF-α, and interferon-γ, and that the levels of these mediators are higher more frequently in individuals who do not respond to antidepressant treatment. Moreover, cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors and TNF antagonists may increase the effects of antidepressant treatments in some people, suggesting the possibility of a subtype of inflammatory depression [13]. All these findings indicate that cytokines seem to contribute to depression, both through their involvement in brain neurotransmission as well as their role in neuroendocrine functions [14–16]. Importantly, changes in brain volume and a reduction in gray matter density have been observed in several areas of the brain in depressed people, namely, the hippocampus, anterior cingulum, left amygdala, and right dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, all of which led to significant alterations in the homeostasis of neural circuitry [17]. In contrast, therapies that focus on provoking plasticity enhancements by promoting BDNF secretion in the brain, e.g., neurogenesis, dendritic branching, and synaptogenesis, have been shown to have antidepressant effects, with an increase in serum being associated with recovery [18,19]. These facts reinforce other possible hypotheses, such as the neuroplasticity or neurotrophic hypotheses, as possible explanations for the pathophysiology of depression.

Oxidative and nitrosative damage also cause neurodegeneration, apoptosis, and reduction of neurogenesis and neuronal plasticity, leading to mitochondrial alterations, mitochondrial DNA damage, and a reduction of ATP production [14,20–25]. In the case of the relationship between depression and oxidative stress, some drugs can reduce the increase in markers of oxidative stress while producing a regulated increase in Nrf2, which is involved in the expression of different genes and antioxidant enzymes [20]. In this context, compounds with antioxidant properties could be of interest in the treatment of depression. These include melatonin, which has also demonstrated its ability to reestablish and adjust circadian rhythms, disruptions of which frequently accompany mood disorders [26]. Another example is the phenolic curcumin, which has been shown to regulate NO levels, possibly through the inhibition of iNOS, as well as the activity of nNOS in humans. Since NO is elevated in patients with major depression, a decrease in these levels could induce antidepressant effects, especially through the modulation exerted by NO on the production of neurotransmitters such as NA, 5-HT, and DA [20]. Consistent with these results, studies have found that the administration of cytokines induces behavioral changes through MAOs, modifying their uptake from the synaptic cleft into presynaptic neurons or modifying the transport, such as serotonin transporter (SERT), selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) or selective serotonin reuptake enhancer (SSRE) [27–29].

Another hypothesis for the pathogenesis of depression is the glutamatergic assumption, which postulates that glutamate signaling in the brain may be responsible for impairing neuroplasticity and that it may actually lead to neuronal death by excitotoxicity processes, if excessive. This theory is supported by the extraordinary clinical antidepressant effects that i.v. infusion of ketamine, an NMDA antagonist, produces in treatment-resistant patients, although this effect is short-lasting. It seems logical that if glutamate plays a role, then the inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA is also involved, both through its action on the neuroendocrine system, and also by exerting neuroprotective effects [30].

Many medicinal plants have been described as potential antidepressant agents [31–37] and a relevant group of them has been tested in animal models with different results. In a previous review [9], we compiled papers published between the years 2000 and 2020 in which these plants were cited as antidepressant-like agents and studied in vivo (using animals) or in vitro for their effects on various biochemical and physiological systems. Some of them have been shown to inhibit the expression of cytokines, while others seem to act directly on monoamines, modifying their expression, metabolism, reuptake, or effect on the target. Others exhibit antioxidant effects that can reduce neuronal alterations and damage. The aim of this review is, thus, to present and discuss the available evidence for the use of medicinal plants as antidepressant agents in clinical trials. Although treatments for depression can be derived from traditional practice and natural plants, the aim should be to establish their efficacy and safety through rigorous clinical trials. This narrative review examines the principal species tested and their possible mechanisms of action against depression as shown in clinical studies, as well as their general potential. It also presents the possibility of using some of them as adjuvants in the treatment of this disease. We have thus compiled all articles written in English since the year 2000 that were cited in the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, PubMed, and the Web of Science databases. The key words employed for this review were “antidepressant”, “medicinal plants”, “natural products”, and “clinical trials”. Our principal focus was on clinical trials that assayed the efficacy of the plants cited as antidepressants in the literature; of these, we selected those plants that had previously been studied in animal experiments with positive results. From the 183 species selected, to the best of our knowledge, only 17 have been subjected to some sort of clinical trial, with 6 offering relevant results and 3 (saffron, turmeric, and St. John’s wort) having an extensive and complete description of properties.

In this review, different rating scales for depression are cited. Some are used extensively while others are more specific and were employed only in a limited group of trials. Table 1 lists the rating scales cited in this text, whereas specific data from the trials are compiled in Tables 2–4.

Clinical Trials

In general, investigations carried out in humans are limited. There are some species with a relevant number of trials, such as saffron, turmeric, and St. John’s wort, but in other cases, the studies are small in number and of poor quality. For example, several studies have established the relationship between a higher consumption of green tea [Camellia sinensis (L.) Kuntze, Theaceae] and a lower prevalence of depression [38], but while the species Centella asiatica (L.) Urban. (syn: Hydrocotyle asiatica L., Apiaceae) has appeared in clinical studies on dermatological disorders, there is only one reference to this species as an anxiolytic [39], along with one more concerning cognitive functions [40], with no specific says performed with regard to its effects on depression. Another species, Clitoria ternatea L. (Leguminosae), appears in many exper-
recently, Tóth et al. reviewed the literature on randomized, controlled clinical trials in which saffron was compared to a placebo or standard antidepressants. They selected 1 trial for their qualitative analysis; nine were pooled for statistical analysis. This meta-analysis indicated that saffron is a more effective antidepressant than a placebo, with similar effects to those of the standard drugs tested [46]. However, Khaksarian et al. compared the effect of saffron vs. fluoxetine and a placebo and found that there were no significant differences [47].

Several authors studied the antidepressant effects of saffron in different physiopathological cases, such as postpartum depression and depression or anxiety due to pathologies such as type 2 diabetes. The first group included a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial performed with mothers suffering from mild to moderate postpartum depression who had a maximum score of 29 on the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)-II (see Table 1 for depression rating scales). In the case of women treated with saffron, the BDI-II scores decreased, with a final remission of 96% in the saffron group vs. 43% in the placebo group [45]. In a similar study, Kashani et al. compared the safety and efficacy of saffron vs. fluoxetine in the treatment of mild to moderate postpartum depression using a double-blind, randomized, clinical trial. Women with mild to moderate postpartum depression who had a high Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS) score received either saffron or fluoxetine. No significant differences were observed between groups, leading the authors to propose saffron as a safe alternative for improving the symptoms of postpartum depression; however, this clinical trial should be considered a preliminary study due to the limited number of patients involved [48]. These same authors [49] evaluated the efficacy and safety of saffron in cases of major depressive disorder associated with postmenopausal hot flashes. Fifty-six out of sixty patients completed the trial. The two groups, saffron or placebo, were evaluated using both the Hot Flash-Related Daily Interference Scale (HFRDIS) and HDRS. The results showed that saffron is safe and effective for improving hot flashes and depressive symptoms in healthy postmenopausal women, with no relevant side effects. In the case of patients with depression or anxiety due to type 2 diabetes, Milajerdi et al. carried out a double-blind, placebo-controlled, single-center, randomized trial for evaluating 54 subjects with mild to moderate Comorbid Depression-Anxiety (CDA) diagnosed using DSM-IV. The subjects were assessed with the aid of HDRS and Hamilton Anxiety Score (HAMA) measurements, the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), and the Satisfaction with Life Scale (SWLS). Participants in the saffron group improved signs of moderate to mild CDA, anxiety, and sleep disturbances, without changes in the placebo group [50]. Other authors used saffron as a food supplement in both an adjunct and a monotherapy. In this case, they studied the clinical symptoms of depression and anxiety in populations and compared the effects of this supplementation vs. pharmacotherapy or a placebo. Under these conditions, a meta-analysis of 23 studies was carried out for establish-

**Table 1** Depression rating scales that appear in this review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal Rating Scales for Depression</th>
<th>Complementary Rating Scales for Depression-Anxiety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BDI</td>
<td>Beck Anxiety Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS</td>
<td>Depression and Somatic Symptom Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAM-D</td>
<td>Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (also HRSD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDI</td>
<td>Hamilton Depression Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDRS</td>
<td>Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (also HAM-D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFRDIS</td>
<td>Hot Flash-Related Daily Interference Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDS-SR30</td>
<td>Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology – self-rated version</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MADRS</td>
<td>Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAI</td>
<td>Beck Anxiety Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDA</td>
<td>Comorbid Depression-Anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI/C</td>
<td>Clinical Global Impression Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGIS</td>
<td>Clinical Global Impression-Severity Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DASS</td>
<td>Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRSS</td>
<td>Depression Residual Symptom Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDS</td>
<td>Geriatric Depression Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAMA</td>
<td>Hamilton Anxiety Scores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS</td>
<td>Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KADSS</td>
<td>Kava Anxiety Depression Spectrum Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSQI</td>
<td>Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAI</td>
<td>Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWLS</td>
<td>Satisfaction With Life Scale</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Crocus sativus L. (Iridaceae)

In 2018, Shafiee et al. compiled and described the principal reports on the antidepressant and anti-anxiety properties of saffron in both preclinical and clinical studies. They established that a relevant number of clinical trials demonstrated the antidepressant properties of saffron and its constituents, the actions of which can be considered similar to those of standard antidepressant medications such as imipramine, fluoxetine, and citalopram, but with fewer reported side effects [42]. Among reviews of clinical trials, several stand out, including those written by Ulbricht et al. [43], Lopresti and Drummond [44], Tabeshpour et al. [45], and Tóth et al. [46]. In the first review, there is clear evidence of the therapeutic effect of saffron in mild to moderate depression [43]. With the same objective, Lopresti and Drummond carried out a systematic review of the clinical trials, selecting high-quality, randomized, and double-blind studies with placebo or antidepressant controls. They selected six studies in which saffron exhibited antidepressant effects similar to those achieved with standard drugs, establishing that these antidepressant effects are due to saffron’s serotoninergic, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, neuroendocrine, and neuroprotective effects. They therefore suggested using saffron to treat mild to moderate depression and proposed further research to elucidate its possible effects in major depression [44].

In this case, they studied the clinical symptoms of depression and anxiety in populations and compared the effects of this supplementation vs. pharmacotherapy or a placebo. Under these conditions, a meta-analysis of 23 studies was carried out for establish-
Saffron (15 mg × 2/d/8 wk) vs. placebo
Mothers with mild to moderate postpartum depressive disorder (n = 60); double-blind, randomized, and placebo-controlled trial; BDI-II
Saffron had a more significant impact on the BDI-II than placebo. The information collected by the questionnaires may not be precise. [45]

Saffron (15 mg × 2/d/6 wk), fluoxetine (20 mg × 2/d/6 wk)
Women with mild to moderate postpartum depression (18 to 45 yr old) n = 13 (saffron), n = 16 (fluoxetine); HDRS
No differences between saffron and positive control. Limited number of patients. [48]

Saffron (15 mg × 2/d/6 wk), vs. placebo
MDD associated with post-menopausal hot flashes (n = 56); HFRDIS, HDRS
Saffron is safe and effective, improving hot flashes and depressive symptoms. [49]

Saffron, 30 mg/d/8 wk vs. placebo
Patients with mild to moderate depression or anxiety associated to type 2 diabetes; double-blind, placebo-controlled, single-center, and randomized trial (n = 54); CDA, DSM-IV, HAM-D, HAMA, PSQI, SWLS
Participates passed from mild to moderate CDA. No changes observed in placebo group. [50]

Saffron (50 mg × 2/d/12 wk)
Patients with anxiety and depression. Double-blind, placebo-controlled trial (n = 60); BAI, BDI
Saffron is effective for mild to moderate mixed anxiety and depression. Limitations: single dose of saffron, minor sample size, and the temporary follow-up. [52]

Saffron (30–100 mg/d/6–12 wk) vs. placebo, fluoxetine, imipramine, or citalopram
Meta-analysis with seven studies, patients with MDD; DSM-IV
Saffron was effective in the treatment of MDD with comparable efficacy to synthetic antidepressants. Saffron was also safe without serious adverse events reported. [54]

Saffron, (30 mg/d plus fluoxetine, 20 mg/d) vs. control group with only fluoxetine (20 mg/d), 4 wk
Randomized and double-blind clinical trial of patients diagnosed with severe depression (n = 40), 15 males and 25 females
Saffron could be positive in the treatment of depression and homocysteinemia in patients. [55]

In the case of MDD, in addition to Leone’s assay [53], other studies have been conducted. For example, Yang et al. analyzed the efficacy and safety of saffron vs. a placebo or synthetic antidepressants in the treatment of MDD, reviewing 7 studies in a meta-analysis (from 182 records identified in the first revision). In the primary outcome (a change in scores for depressive symptoms compared to baseline), saffron showed more improvements in symptoms of depression than a placebo, exhibiting an efficacy comparable to that of synthetic antidepressants. After evaluating the treatment, dosage, and duration, the authors established that there were no differences in remission, response, or dropout rates, and concluded that saffron is as effective in the treatment of MDD as synthetic antidepressants, but with no adverse events [54]. In what could be considered a complementary study, Jelodar et al. evaluated the correlation between hyperhomocysteinemia and depression by studying the effect of the coadministration of saffron and fluoxetine on plasma homocysteine and its effect on major depression. In a randomized, double-blind clinical trial of patients diagnosed with severe depression, one group received fluoxetine plus saffron whereas the control group received only fluoxetine. At the end of the trial, there was a significant reduction in homocysteinemia in both sexes in the saffron group compared to pretreatment values; however, no significant changes were observed in the control group. The authors thus concluded that saffron could be effective in treating depression and homocys-
teinemia in patients with major depression [55]. The details of the experimental data are compiled in ▶ Table 2.

**Curcuma longa L. (Zingiberaceae)**

In the case of turmeric, the clinical assays were performed with its major principle, curcumin (▶ Fig. 1), which was studied in different trials in humans. Many of these have been summarized in previous reviews. For example, studies prior to 2010 were compiled by Dowlati et al. [56]. Lopresti and his group [62] also observed significant positive changes on all scales in both groups in all trials. In another study [59], the curcumin group had faster alleviation from depressive symptoms than placebo.

### ▶ Table 3 Relevant clinical trials on curcumin as an antidepressant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Protocols</th>
<th>Effects</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curcumin (1 g/d/30 d) vs. placebo after a washout interval of 2 wk, each subject was crossed over to the alternative regimen for a further 30 d</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, crossover clinical trial, obese subjects (n = 30); BAI, BDI</td>
<td>BAI score reduced, BDI scales was not modified.</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curcumin (500 mg/d, for 5 wk) vs. escitalopram or venlafaxine</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study against placebo (n = 40); CGIS, HDRS (HAM-D), MADRS</td>
<td>Significant positive changes in both groups in all scales. No differences vs. placebo, but the curcumin group had faster alleviation from depressive symptoms than placebo.</td>
<td>[59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curcumin (500 mg × 2/d/8 wk vs. placebo</td>
<td>MDD patients (n = 56); IDS-SR30 and STAI scales</td>
<td>Improving the symptoms related to mood, particularly in patients with atypical depression.</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curcumin (1000 mg/d), fluoxetine (20 mg/day), and the combination of both (1000 and 20 mg/d of each)</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>The decrease in depressive symptoms of the combination of fluoxetine and curcumin reached 78 vs. 65% fluoxetine and 63% of curcumin alone.</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curcumin (500 mg × 2/d/8 wk) vs. placebo</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study (n = 50), 18 to 65 yr old with MDD; IDS-SR30</td>
<td>Depressive symptoms were reduced. Curcumin may enhance the sensitivity of endothelin-1 or leptin receptors, or some other mechanism associated with biomarkers that have antidepressant effects.</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curcumin (1500 mg/d/12 to 16 wk), vs. placebo; all patients treated simultaneously with their own standard medication</td>
<td>Double-blind, randomized, controlled against placebo (n = 65), MDD established; MADRS and HAM-D</td>
<td>Curcumin was more effective than placebo, improving MADRS score in the 12th and 16th wk. Effects more pronounced in men than in women.</td>
<td>[64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curcumin (1000 mg/day + 10 mg piperine/d) or antidepressant standard therapy alone (fluoxetine 20 mg/d), 6 wk</td>
<td>Open-label study (n = 111); HADS</td>
<td>Significant reductions in anxiety and depression in patients treated with curcumin/piperine vs. control.</td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curcumin and saffron vs. placebo, curcumin (250 mg × 2), (500 mg × 2), and curcumin (250 mg × 2) plus saffron (15 mg × 2), 12 wk</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study, patients with MDD, (n = 123); IDS-SR30 (depression) and STAI (anxiety).</td>
<td>Major improvements in depressive and anxiety-state symptoms vs. placebo. More effective in patients with atypical depression (65 vs. 35%).</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curcumin (1000 mg/d/6 wk) vs. placebo</td>
<td>Adult men 31 to 59 yr old with MDD diagnosed (n = 108); HDRS-17 and MADRS scores</td>
<td>Curcumin reduced both scores after 6 weeks, and reduced IL-1β, TNF-α, and salivary cortisol levels and increase plasma levels of BDNF.</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
symptoms related to mood, particularly in individuals with atypical depression according to the Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology Self-Rated (IDS-SR30) scale and the Spielberger state-trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) scale [60]. In addition, a randomized, controlled trial showed similar efficacy between monotherapy with curcumin vs. monotherapy with fluoxetine, and even better results when both treatments were combined. In fact, the decrease in depressive symptoms with the combination treatment reached 78%, compared to 65% for monotherapy with fluoxetine and 63% for curcumin, although the differences did not reach statistical significance [61]. A subsequent randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study conducted by Lopresti et al. reaffirmed the efficacy of curcumin supplementation in the reduction of depressive symptoms. The study involved patients diagnosed with major depressive disorder as evaluated by IDS-SR30. At the end of treatment, increases in both thromboxane B2 and substance P were observed in urine, while the placebo group showed lower levels of aldosterone and cortisol. At the plasma level, the increase in leptin and endothelin-1 in the group treated with curcumin was associated with greater reductions in the IDS-SR30 score. Although the interpretation of these results is complicated, the authors hypothesize that curcumin may enhance the sensitivity of endothelin-1 or leptin receptors, or affect some other mechanism associated with biomarkers which, in turn, exert antidepressant effects [62].

Ng et al. reviewed six clinical trials in which curcumin (500 to 1000 mg/d, 5 to 8 wk) was compared to a placebo. In patients with established depression, the clinical efficacy of curcumin in improving depressive symptoms was noteworthy. Significant anxiolytic effects were also observed in three of the trials, with no adverse effects reported in any of them. However, due to the small number of studies available (6, n = 377), it was not possible to establish any evidence of the long-term efficacy and safety of curcumin, although its short-term safety, tolerability, and efficacy in patients with depression were all confirmed [63]. Kanchanatwan et al. conducted a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in which participants diagnosed with MDD were treated with either high doses of curcumin or a placebo along with their standard medication. The MADRS and the HAM-D scores were evaluated at the beginning of the study and then again, several weeks later. The authors observed a higher activity for curcumin vs. the placebo in improving the MADRS score. They also observed a more pronounced effect in men than in women, but with no significant differences in safety or tolerability between curcumin and the placebo. The authors hypothesized that curcumin modifies the immune-inflammatory and oxidative-nitrosative pathways in treated patients. Because these pathways both play an important role in the pathophysiology of major depression, these results justify the use of curcumin as a monotherapy or as an adjuvant treatment for depression [64].

An important barrier to the clinical efficacy of curcumin is its low bioavailability; therefore, efforts have been devoted to developing formulations with greater bioavailability and systemic tissue distribution. In this context, several authors have tested the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4 Relevant clinical studies on St. John’s wort.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dose</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. John’s wort (240 to 1800 mg/d) vs. imipramine (50 to 150 mg/d), fluoxetine (20 to 40 mg/d), sertraline (75 to 150 mg/d), amitriptyline (30 and 75 mg/d), maprotiline (75 mg/d), placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI 160 extract (240 to 1800 mg/d) vs. imipramine (50 to 150 mg/d), fluoxetine (20 to 40 mg/d), sertraline (75 to 150 mg/d), amitriptyline (30 and 75 mg/d), maprotiline (75 mg/d), placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. John’s wort (240 to 1800 mg/d) vs. fluoxetine (20 to 40 mg/d), sertraline (50 to 100 mg/d), imipramine (100 to 150 mg/d), citalopram (20 mg/d), paroxetine (20 to 40 mg/d), amitriptyline (75 mg/d), maprotiline (75 mg/d), placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard extracts: WS5570 (900 mg/d), LI-160 (20–900 mg/d), STW3 (612–900 mg/d), Ze117 (500 mg/d), LoHyp-57 (800 mg/d), iperisan (900 mg/d), vs. fluoxetine (20–40 mg/d), sertraline (50–100 mg/d), imipramine (100–150 mg/d), citalopram (20 mg/d), paroxetine (20–40 mg/d), placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard extracts: calmigen (300 mg/d), LI-160 (600–900 mg/d), SJW (600–900 mg/d), STW3 (612 mg/d), LoHyp-57 (800 mg/d) vs. paroxetine (20 mg/d), fluoxetine (20 mg/d), sertraline (50 mg/d), placebo; time variable from 4 to 12 wk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
effects of formulations elaborated to improve the bioavailability of curcumin. For example, in an open-label study with a combination of curcumin and piperine vs. fluoxetine therapy alone, the authors found significant reductions in anxiety and depression scores of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) in patients treated with curcumin/piperine as opposed to standard antidepressants [65]. A meta-analysis of six clinical trials supported the use of curcumin, since it was shown to reduce the symptoms in patients with major depression, both in new formulations (for example, BCM-95 CG) and in the conventional curcumin-piperine formula, with no significant differences in efficacy observed between the two [66]. Another therapeutic combination that could be of interest in the treatment of depression is that of curcumin and saffron. To establish the potential efficacy of this combination, Lopresti and Drummond conducted a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study with MDD patients (n = 123), who received either curcumin at low and high doses or curcumin plus saffron. The effects were evaluated by examining the scores from the IDS-SR30 for depression and the STAI for anxiety. The results showed significantly greater improvements in depressive and anxiety-state symptoms in the treatment groups compared to the placebo group, with a higher efficacy in patients with atypical depression compared to the rest of the patients (65 vs. 35%, respectively), but the improvements could not be conclusively attributed to either the curcumin dose or the potentiation of its effects with saffron [67]. It is thus likely that curcumin’s potential as a therapeutic agent does not depend solely on its bioavailability, but that its therapeutic benefits may be positively influenced by the gut microbiota [68]. In any case, with the experimental and clinical data available and the small number of

![Fig. 1] Chemical structures of the active component of saffron (safranal and crocin), turmeric (curcumin), and St. John’s wort (hypericin and hyperforin)
existing trials, it should only be considered as a coadjuvant therapy in conjunction with standard medication [69]. The data of all the protocols are summarized in Table 3.

With respect to the potential mechanism by which curcumin exerts its effect on depression, different studies have examined various pathways of actuation. For example, the anti-inflammatory properties and antioxidant effects of curcumin may, in part, be responsible for its antidepressant effects, leading it to act through different mechanisms than those employed by standard therapeutic drugs [70, 71]. This hypothesis has led to the development of different inflammatory models of depression, in which symptoms and general behaviors associated with the disease are analyzed and compared, including the particular symptoms of depression such as anorexia, sleep disturbances, reduced locomotor activity, anhedonia, and cognitive disturbances [72, 73]. The question remains, however, as to whether depression is a manifestation or a consequence of disease. It is clear that there are similarities, as previously discussed, between the general behavior of a given disease and the specific behavior of depressive disorders. In this case, there is a transition to the sensitization of the immune-inflammatory pathways, progressive damage to lipids, proteins, and DNA by oxidative and nitrosative stress, and altered autoimmune responses [74]. In preliminary studies, researchers looked for different proinflammatory markers in patients with depression to establish potential targets for possible treatments [14, 75]. In this context, Dowlati et al. [56] concluded that there is a relationship between two of the proinflammatory cytokines, IL-6 and TNF-α, and major depression [57]; however, other cytokines such as IL-1β, IL-2, IL-4, IL-10, and interferon-γ were not found to have any relevant implications. Lopresti’s review [57] concluded that the administration of cognitive behavioral therapy reduces both the depressive symptoms and the proinflammatory cytokines cited as relevant (IL-6 and TNF-α), although other mediators and factors also experienced modifications, such as reductions in signaling of the TLR4, C-reactive protein, IL-1 antagonist receptor, and IL-5, along with the stimulation of GCSF. In conclusion, these reviews noted a relationship between TNF-α and IL-6 and major depression, as both cytokines are increased and involved in the response of the acute phase of the disease. However, although changes in these cytokines are clearly significant, it has not yet been possible to establish whether they are the cause or consequence of the disease [56, 57, 76]. Similar results were obtained by Hannestad et al. in a meta-analysis. After analyzing the subgroups stratified by class of antidepressants used, the authors observed that inhibitors of 5-HT reuptake can reduce levels of IL-6 and TNF-α, while other effective antidepressants do not [77]. Other groups of mediators involved in inflammation and depression are chemokines, especially MCP-1 (also known as CCL2) and IL-8 (called CXCL8) [78].

Recognizing the potential of curcumin in the treatment of diseases in which oxidative stress and inflammation are involved, several authors hypothesized that its antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties may be partly responsible for its pharmacological effects, including in the treatment of depression [64, 79]. In order to establish the relationship between depression and inflammation and to justify the use of curcumin as a potential antidepressant agent because of its anti-inflammatory, monoamineergic (mainly 5-HT, but also DA and NA), antioxidant, and immunomodulatory properties, Lopresti et al. reviewed the articles published in the past decade on this subject. They concluded that although more clinical trials are needed, the promising results observed for cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors against depression support the potential of both the turmeric rhizome and, particularly, curcumin as potential antidepressant agents [25]. More recently, Yu et al. observed that chronic curcumin supplementation in 108 adult men diagnosed with major depression reduced HDRS-17 and MADRS scores, decreased the concentrations of proinflammatory cytokines IL-1β and TNF-α, decreased salivary cortisol levels, and increased plasma levels of BDNF in depressed patients vs. the placebo group (see Table 3 for experimental data) [18].

Of the proinflammatory mediators involved in depression, TNF-α is key; its reduction could thus be one of the main objectives in the fight against this disease. In the case of curcumin, its inhibitory effect on proinflammatory cytokines was evaluated through a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. In eight of them, a significant reduction of the circulating concentrations of TNF-α was observed after the administration of curcumin, with an analysis of the results confirming the effect of curcumin in the reduction of plasma concentrations of this cytokine [19]. In the case of the second relevant cytokine, IL-6, Derosa et al. performed a meta-analysis of nine randomized controlled trials to evaluate the efficacy of curcuminoids on plasma concentrations of this protein. In all trials evaluated, a significant reduction of IL-6 was observed after administration of curcuminoids, with the reduction more evident in patients with a high degree of systemic inflammation [80].

In conclusion, after analysis of the studies and reviews carried out, it can be established that depending on the type of depression (acute or chronic), monitoring times, and doses used, curcumin has a positive effect on the reduction of depressive symptoms, with its possible mechanism of action implicating various pathways, mainly antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and neuroprotective effects. Regarding the relationship between depression and inflammation, curcumin’s effect on the reduction of some proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α [18] and IL-6 [76, 80], along with the increase in BDNF and the decrease in cortisol concentrations [18, 19] the compound seems to cause are all worth noting, although other pathways and mediators are also involved, such as the aforementioned modulation of endothelin-1 and leptin [62, 81]. Fig. 2 summarizes the main pathways and mediators affected by curcumin related to its antidepressant effects [82].

Ginkgo biloba L. (Ginkgoaceae)

The use of ginkgo for medicinal purposes dates back to ancient cultures, principally that of China. It is probably the oldest living tree species and has an extremely long lifespan [83]. The extract of the leaves has been used for various circulatory alterations, facilitating blood flow, and influencing NO systems. It also has a relaxant effect on smooth muscle tissue [84]. In addition, it is used to combat symptoms associated with mild to moderate dementia and in combination therapy with antidepressants [83]. Various clinical trials have focused on the study of ginkgo and its effects on depression. Several previous reports indicated that ginkgo
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improved symptoms such as depressed mood; however, these studies had many limitations [85]. Ashton et al. studied its effect on antidepressant-induced sexual dysfunctions, but observed no relevant responses, as only 14% of subjects exhibited partial improvement in their sexual functioning [86]. In contrast, previous studies had declared that ginkgo leaf was 84% effective in treating antidepressant-induced sexual dysfunction caused by SSRIs but was more effective in women than men [87]. A similar study was developed by Kang et al., who administered gingko for a period of 2 months to patients with antidepressant-induced sexual dysfunction. The authors observed no significant differences in eight of the outcome items included in the study. Only the item of satisfaction with orgasm exhibited any change at 8 weeks, and in this case, it was the control group that showed a significant improvement [88]. In another trial (240 mg/d, n = 24, 12 wk), there were some spectacular individual responses with complete or virtually complete relief of symptoms, but these also occurred in the placebo group [89].

Meston et al. studied the long-term effects of ginkgo on sexual functions in sexually dysfunctional women (n = 68, 8 wk) using ginkgo (300 mg/d), sex therapy focused on training women to attend to genital sensations, and sex therapy plus ginkgo, along with a placebo group. Only when combined with sex therapy did ginkgo increase sexual desire and contentment above the levels of the placebo group; this effect was not extant in the group taking ginkgo alone. Sex therapy alone also significantly enhanced orgasm function versus a placebo [84]. In other work, Hemmeter et al. designed an open, non-randomized pilot study with ginkgo extract on cognitive performance and sleep regulation in depressed inpatients (n = 16) treated with trimipramine (200 mg) for 6 weeks. Half of the patients received ginkgo (240 mg/d) for 4 weeks after a baseline week, and the other 8 patients remained on trimipramine monotherapy (200 mg) throughout the entire study. Results showed that ginkgo improved sleep patterns, increasing sleep efficiency and reducing awakenings. Moreover, sleep stage 1 and REM density were reduced, while stage 2 increased [90].

### Hypericum perforatum L. (Hypericaceae)

Several placebo-controlled trials have suggested that St. John’s wort extracts have minimal beneficial effects while other trials have found that St. John’s wort has beneficial effects similar to those of standard antidepressants. To establish a more conclusive opinion on this subject, Linde and Mulrow reviewed a total of 27 trials that included 2291 patients and established that extracts of St. John’s wort are more effective than a placebo for the short-term treatment of mild to moderately severe depressive disorders [91]. They then reviewed 37 trials (26 compared to a placebo and 14 compared with standard antidepressants, n = 1754) and observed that trials comparing St. John’s wort extracts and standard antidepressants were statistically homogeneous [92]. In a third review, they analyzed the effects of St. John’s wort extracts on MDD and found that the effects of the extracts tested in these 18 combined studies were higher than those of a placebo in patients with major depression, with results similar to those of standard antidepressants (SSRIs), but with fewer side effects [93]. Another meta-analysis conducted by Rahimi et al. [94] also revealed a significant efficacy and tolerability of St. John’s wort in...
MDD (13 controlled clinical trials), comparable to that of SSRIs and better than that of a placebo. Other studies established that in the treatment of moderate to severe major depression, St. John’s wort extract WS5570 (900–1800 mg/d) is at least as effective as paroxetine (20–40 mg/d) and is better tolerated [95], while the LI-160 extract (900 mg/d) is more effective than fluoxetine (20 mg/d) and tended toward a better result than that of a placebo [96]. Ng et al. evaluated 27 clinical trials with 3808 patients (from 1999 to 2014), comparing the use of St. John’s wort and SSRIs. In patients with mild to moderate depression, the efficacy and safety of St. John’s wort was comparable to SSRI treatments. The limitations of these studies included the disparate number of patients in each (n = 30 to 428), the variety of extracts used (calmigen, LI-160, SJW, LoHyp-57), the various duration periods (4 to 12 wk), the diverse dosages used (20 to 1800 mg/d), and the exclusion of patients with severe depression [97].

In 2014, Russo et al. reviewed the pharmacokinetics, mechanisms of action, tolerability, and clinical drug-drug interactions of H. perforatum and established some interesting points for consideration in future research [98]. For example, hyperforin, hypericin, pseudohypericin, and flavonoids are the principal components of H. perforatum extracts and are considered to be responsible for the extracts’ pharmacological effects [20, 98]. In fact, these authors specifically cite hyperforin and rutin as the main active ingredients in St. John’s wort that reduce depressive symptoms; however, other authors also described melatonin as a principal component in this species [99]. As frequently occurs in phyotherapy, the synergy between constituents is a vital aspect of efficacy, as evidenced by the fact that the absence of rutin, a common flavonoid, reduces the antidepressant activity [20] while low hyperforin content preparations are effective in the treatment of depression [98]. Because the pharmacokinetics of these compounds are quite variable, the use of these extracts can induce many drug interactions due to the induction of various cytochrome P450 isozymes and/or P-glycoprotein [98]. However, low-dose hyperforin preparations (<4 mg) did not affect P-glycoprotein expression, which could be of interest because the efficacy was the same [20]. Is interesting to note that St. John’s wort can also be used to treat certain forms of anxiety [98]. In 2019, Zirak et al. reviewed H. perforatum and compiled 29 clinical trials on its antidepressant properties [100]. All the experimental data and protocols of these clinical trials are summarized in Table 4.

In the reviews of Sarris et al. and Zirak et al., the authors reference different doses, periods of study duration, numbers of patients, and types of trials. For example, dosages varied between 270 and 1800 mg/day of extract, generally of standardized samples (WS5570, PM23, STW 3-VI, ZE117, LI160). Duration periods ranged from 6 weeks to 1 year and the number of patients went from n = 26 to 426. The protocols ranged from preliminary and open trials to randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials, in some cases, with reference drugs (imipramine, fluoxetine, sertraline, or citalopram). The trials demonstrated that patients with MDD showed only minor improvements compared to the placebo group, whereas trials not restricted to patients with MDD showed greater differences [31]. In addition, the extracts exerted similar effects to those of standard antidepressants when they were compared. In general, then, it seems that standardized extracts of St. John’s wort could be an alternative to standard antidepressants. Moreover, there were fewer numbers of adverse events associated with the use of H. perforatum [100].

**Piper methysticum** G.Forst. (Piperaceae)

It is commonly known as kava or kava-kava and is used and recognized as an effective agent in treating generalized anxiety disorder with similar efficacy to buspirone or opipramol [101]. In addition to its anxiolytic properties, some authors have analyzed the effect of kava in other conditions. Thus, Witte et al. evaluated an acetonic kava extract (WS1490) used in a meta-analysis based on six placebo-controlled, randomized trials that used changes in HAMA during treatment as endpoints. The authors concluded that WS1490 extract, and possibly other kava extracts, are effective and could serve as alternatives to benzodiazepines, SSRIs, and other antidepressants in the treatment of nonpsychotic anxiety disorders [102]. However, kava has been withdrawn from the market in Europe and Canada due to several described hepatotoxic reactions, while the WHO recently recommended using only aqueous extracts [98]. In this context, Sarris et al. carried out a 3-week placebo-controlled, double-blind, crossover trial with 60 adult participants with 4 weeks or more of elevated generalized anxiety (Kava Anxiety Depression Spectrum Study, KADSS) using tablets containing an aqueous extract (250 mg of kavalactones/d). The results demonstrated a high reduction in MADRS scores in addition to observed anxiolytic effects, leading the authors to conclude that the aqueous kava preparation has significant antidepressant activity and is equally effective in cases where anxiety is accompanied by depression. Moreover, the aqueous extract was found to be safe, with no serious adverse effects and no clinical hepatotoxicity [101].

**Sedum roseum** (L.) Scop. (syn: *Rhodiola rosea* L., Crassulaceae)

Golden root, also known as roseroot, has been studied in humans, with several previous trials demonstrating that the standardized dried rhizome extract (Rhodiola SHR-5) significantly improves depressive symptoms vs. a placebo. The first study of interest was performed by Darbinyan et al., who studied the efficacy and safety of the standardized extract SHR-5 obtained from the rhizomes of the plant in patients suffering from an episode of mild/moderate depression. The clinical trial (phase III) was a randomized, double-blind, parallel, placebo-controlled study, with patients (both sexes, 18 to 70 years old, treatment duration: 6 wk) selected according to DSM-IV diagnostic criteria for depression, the severity of which was determined by BDI and HAM-D questionnaires. Three groups of patients received 340 mg/d, (n = 31), 680 mg/d (n = 29), or a placebo (n = 29). The efficacy of the extract was assessed with total and specific subgroup HAM-D scores. The authors concluded that the standardized extract SHR-5 has antidepressant effects in patients with mild to moderate depression at both 340 and 680 mg/day [103]. The extract also improved HAM-D scores in both the 340 mg (35%) and 680 mg (30%) groups compared to baseline, but not in the placebo group (3%); there were no serious adverse side effects in any group [104]. To confirm these properties, different authors investigated golden root’s application in humans in several clinical
trials, some of them of good quality. Mao et al. carried out various clinical trials to ascertain the efficacy of this species in cases of depression. In 2015, they developed a phase II, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial (n = 57) over a 12-week period. Patients received the standardized extract, sertraline, or a placebo and the data were analyzed using HAM-D, BDI, and Clinical Global Impression Change (CGI/C) scores. Although the extract had a lower antidepressant effect than the positive control (sertraline), it exerted a positive effect in individuals with mild to moderate depression and led to fewer adverse reactions [105]. Previously, these same authors had studied the effects of golden root on MDD using a 12-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel group study design. Patients diagnosed with MDD received the extract (340–1360 mg/d), sertraline (50–200 mg/d), or a placebo. The primary outcome measure was change over time in the mean 17-item HAM-D score. The results for golden root extract compared to conventional antidepressant therapy for MDD established that there were no significant differences between the two treatments, with the effects of golden root being similar to those of sertraline [106]. Amsterdam and Panossian compiled the clinical trial results for golden root extracts in patients with depressive syndromes. Their review included two randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials with MDD patients (n = 146) and seven open-label studies with patients diagnosed with mild depression (n = 714). The compilation demonstrated the antidepressant effect of the extracts, which were well tolerated and had a favorable safety profile. The authors established that the possible beneficial effects on mood were most likely due to modifications to the neurotransmitter re-
prevalence of depression [38]. Niu et al. designed a cross-sectional study carried out on 1058 community-dwelling elderly Japanese individuals (≥70 years) and concluded that frequent consumption of green tea is associated with a lower prevalence of depressive symptoms in this community, as measured with the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) [116]. As a revision of the potential of green tea, Rothenberg and Zhang reviewed the relationship between green tea consumption and its biochemical and neurobiological effects. They found that multiple pathways seem to be affected by various constituents in green tea, which can collectively lead to antidepressant effects in tea drinkers; there is also a clear relationship with its anti-inflammatory effects. For example, the tea-mediated antidepressant activity involves the reduction of inflammation, the improvement of monoaminergic systems, and the reduction of the stress response via normalized HPA axis activity. In addition, the ERK/CREB/BDNF signaling pathway is upregulated by various compounds found in tea, such as teasaponin, L-theanine, epigallocatechin gallate, epicatechin, and their combinations with their metabolites. Other mechanisms include increased short-chain fatty acids/AMPK signaling by oxidized tea polyphenols, which improve the generation of monoamines and BDNF upregulation of the gut microbiota, especially Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium. The authors concluded that there are simply not enough data to specify which mechanisms are responsible for producing the largest net induction of antidepressant response and thus propose this topic for future research on depression [117].

The dried flowers of Echium amoenum Fisch. & C. A.Mey. (Boraginaceae), commonly known as red feathers, are used in Iran for nervous disorders. Sayyad et al. studied the efficacy of an aqueous extract in patients with mild to moderate MDD as measured with the DSM-IV criteria and using the HAM-D17 scale. In a double-blind, parallel-group, randomized trial, the treatment group (500 mg/day, n = 19) was compared with a placebo group (n = 16) during a 6-week period. At 4 and 6 weeks, the extract showed higher activity than the placebo, but with no differences in adverse events. The results showed a greater effect for the extract than for the placebo in reducing depressive symptoms on the HAM-D after 4 weeks, but this effect disappeared 2 weeks later [118]. Several limited investigations with Apocynum venetum L. (Apocynaceae) have also been carried out in humans, but only Yamatsu et al.’s study analyzed the effect of GABA (100 mg) and A. venetum leaf extract (50 mg) on sleep improvement. Oral administration of both substances had beneficial effects on sleep, shortening sleep latency by 4.3 min and increasing non-REM sleep time by 5.1% [119]. Firoozabadi et al. performed a randomized, triple-blind, controlled clinical trial on patients with MDD using Cuscuta planiflora Ten. (Convolvulaceae) (500 mg), Nepeta menthaides Boiss. & Buhse (Lamiaceae) (400 mg), and a combination of a tricyclic antidepressant and a selective serotonin receptor inhibitor (no drug names were provided). Patients (n = 43) were randomly divided into three groups; two received the extract plus the reference drugs and the third group received only the drugs. Depression was measured before and after 8 weeks with the aid of the BDI and the Hamilton Depression Inventory (HDI). After treatment, there was a significant decrease in mean scores for both the BDI and the HDI in all three groups. Moreover, the decrease in scores was greater in the groups treated with both plants and drugs compared to the group receiving drugs alone [120]. There are various clinical studies on gotu kola (C. asiatica) in the literature, but most of them deal with dermatological disorders, not neurological troubles. There is only one reference to its anxiolytic properties [39], with one other on cognitive function [40], but no specific assays on depression have been performed. With respect to the possible use of Cannabis sativa L. (Cannabinaceae) as an antidepressant agent, Black et al. carried out a systematic review and meta-analysis with 42 eligible studies for depression; 23 of them were randomized controlled trials (n = 2551). The authors established that, “There is scarce evidence to suggest that cannabinoids improve depressive disorders and symptoms” [121]. Nevertheless, Ferber et al. have proposed studying the potential therapeutic value of cannabidiol, with or without additional tetrahydrocannabinol, after the addition of terpenes (mircene, limonene, and others from essential oils) to treat patients with depression [122].

Studies with combinations of plants
Bangratz et al. performed an observational study (n = 45 adults, 18 to 85 yr old) suffering from mild to moderate depression (International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th Revision Definition) which reached a score of 8–18 on the HDRS. Patients received dietary supplements that contained a combination of golden root (154 mg) and saffron (15 mg) extracts (2 tablets/d for 6 wk). At the end of the study, HDRS scores decreased significantly by 58%, with improved scores in 85% of patients. A significant drop in both HADS scores was also observed. The authors concluded that the combination of golden root and saffron could be useful for the management of mild to moderate depression and improve symptoms of both depression and anxiety; however, they recommended conducting a double-blind, placebo-controlled study to confirm their hypothesis [123]. The combination of St. John’s wort with low- or high-dose valerian extract to treat anxious depression was more effective with the higher dose of valerian, but the effect was not significant [124]. However, administration of a combination of St. John’s wort (1.8 g/d × 3; equivalent to 990 mg × 3 of hypericin) and kava (2.66 g × 3/d; equivalent to 50 mg × 3 of kavalactones) for 2 weeks produced a significantly greater reduction in self-reported depression on the BDI-II over a placebo in the first controlled phase. Unfortunately, in the crossover phase, a replication of those effects in the delayed medication group did not occur [125].

Concluding Remarks
Among the approximately 155 species reviewed [9], just a reduced number have been subjected to clinical trials and only 5 of those (turmeric, saffron, St. John’s wort, ginkgo, and golden root) can be considered relevant. Indeed, solely one principle (curcumin from turmeric) can be considered of high interest as it has been studied extensively. After previous preclinical studies of species tested in rodents, a great number of clinical trials were selected for review. In many cases, the roles of 5-HT, NA, and DA were analyzed, as well as the neuroendocrine and neuroprotective effects
of natural products and the plant extracts. In general, the protocols employed varied, but some were used repeatedly, such as the HAM-D or BDI compiled in Table 1. With respect to the mechanisms implicated in the antidepressant effects of these plants, they varied depending on the species and kind of extract used. Fig. 3 summarizes the main neurotransmission and other significant processes targeted in the mechanisms used by medicinal plants to alleviate depression.

For example, the antidepressant mechanism of saffron most likely involves the inhibition of both MAO-Aa and MAO-B, together with inhibition of the neuronal reuptake of DA, NA, and 5-HT, but the NMDA antagonist and GABA agonist activities of some of its principles may also be partly responsible for its antidepressant properties. Regarding the monoaminergic neurotransmitters (5-HT, DA, and NA), other species have been cited as potential inhibitors, in some cases, through transporters via their metabolism, uptake, or synthesis. Turmeric, golden root, ginkgo, and St. John’s wort are the principal species targeting this neurotransmission, although some of them have other complementary mechanisms. Some species can also exert their effects through the HPA axis and the role of corticosteroids through the release of the corresponding hormones (turmeric, golden root, green tea, and St. John’s wort). In the case of BDNF, some species seem to prevent the decrease in hippocampal BDNF signaling while promoting neurogenesis, such as Andrographis paniculata (Burm.f.) Nees (Acanthaceae), which could also be considered a valuable antidepressant mechanism [126].

In many cases, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties were implicated, as well as proinflammatory mediators such as IL-2, IL-6, and TNF-α. Indeed, some plants, such as turmeric, modify the activity of proinflammatory mediators, reducing IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α, as well as TLR-4, C-reactive protein, IL-1 receptor (antagonist), and the stimulation of GCSF and MCP-1. This is in addition to its antioxidant and anti-nitrosative properties, which improve its antidepressant effects by reducing the inflammatory response. Golden root also reduces the proinflammatory mediators TNF-α and IL-1β, and, like ginkgo, has antioxidant and anti-nitrosative effects. Ginkgo is also of interest because it was shown to attenuate several parallel issues in women with sexual problems, along with the general observation that ginkgo can increase sexual desire and reduce sleep stage 1 and REM density while increasing stage 2. This effect is also mediated by the reduction of proinflammatory mediators (TNF-α and IL-1β) and the blockade of 5-HT release.

In the case of clinical trials with patients diagnosed with MDD and treated with medicinal plants, the number is small, but the data from them is relevant. For example, studies on the use of saffron for treating MDD showed not only that it could improve symptoms of depression, but also that it was as effective as synthetic antidepressants, implying that saffron is effective for treating MDD without the adverse effects of synthetic drugs [54]. Another relevant plant studied as an antidepressant in humans is St. John’s wort. In this case, the clinical trials demonstrated that larger trials restricted to patients with MDD showed only minor effects over placebo, whereas older and smaller trials not restricted to patients with MDD showed marked effects. However, in general, when their activity was compared to that of synthetic antidepressants, standardized extracts of St. John’s wort showed...
similar effects to those of standard drugs in the treatment of mild and moderate depression, with a reduced number of adverse events [100], making it a candidate for application in pharmacological treatments. Moreover, its use in clinical practice is common, especially in Germany, where a case-control study focusing on its prescription found that it was associated with a decreased risk of dementia [127], as was escitalopram, in contrast to SSRIs or serotonin noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors, which increased the risk. In addition, the combination of St. John’s wort with kava was shown to improve depression compared to a placebo. Nevertheless, it must be noted that synergistic effects of St. John’s wort with other pharmacological agents can be potentially life-threatening due to increased serotonin levels. This side effect should be taken into account by both patients and prescribers. Moreover, St. John’s wort should not be prescribed, even as a monotherapy, in people with bipolar disorder or schizophrenia since it could actually worsen psychotic symptoms [128].

A third drug of interest for treating MDD is golden root, as it was demonstrated that there are no significant differences between golden root extract and the conventional antidepressant drug sertraline in the treatment of patients with MDD [105,106]. In addition, golden root associated with saffron could be useful for the management of mild to moderate depression. Curcumin, from turmeric, was also tested in combination with other antidepressants in patients with MDD and showed significant positive effects in both groups as measured with CGIS, HDRS, and MADRS scales, with faster relief from depressive symptoms than a placebo [59].

The association of different medicinal plants, i.e., polyherbal prescription, seeks to obtain the best results with the fewest non-desirable effects. Curcumin, the principal active compound of turmeric, reduces depressive symptoms in patients with established depression, improving their overall condition. However, the principal problem of curcumin is its low bioavailability, which can be improved with piperine or special formulations. Other possible associations can also lead to improvement of symptoms due to a synergistic effect. This occurs with the combination of curcumin and saffron, which improves the symptoms of depression and anxiety when compared to a placebo, as do the combinations of golden root and saffron, along with St. John’s wort and valerian or kava.

Other species of interest are *B. monnieri*, *L. angustifolia*, *M. officinalis*, *P. ginseng*, and *V. officinalis*, but the limited clinical studies developed to date hinder the assessment of these medicinal plants as relevant antidepressant drugs at the moment. Still, in some cases, they could be of interest for developing future trials.

It is well known that the long-term use of antidepressant drugs frequently causes side effects that can jeopardize treatment adherence. Moreover, the UK’s NICE (National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence) and the Royal College of Psychiatrists recently acknowledged that withdrawal symptoms related to antidepressants can last for months or even years [129,130]. These facts have promoted the search for complementary and alternative treatments, which is attracting intense interest globally, with many researchers working on both herbal and poly-herbal formulations. Several factors contribute to this higher demand, including the fact that plant-based therapy is generally considered safer than conventional medicine. It also tends to be cost-effective, well tolerated, is often more readily available to all kinds of populations and has been tested for years in folk medicine. This does not mean that medicinal plants should be used without precautions; in fact, quite the opposite is true. As mentioned above, there may be serious issues involved with St. John’s wort and serotonergic increases. These therapies are not risk-free and should only be taken with a prescription and under a physician’s supervision. Although it may seem obvious, it should be emphasized that the “absence of evidence” of toxicity is not the same as “evidence of absence” of toxicity. Thus, it is necessary to conduct more research to elucidate the mechanisms of action, the effective dosages, and the interactions with other psychochemical or pharmacological agents to avoid undesirable effects or even life-threatening events, which plant-based therapies may cause. Of course, one of the limitations of our study, despite having focused only on the most relevant and methodologically stronger clinical trials, is that the botanical extracts used in behavioral research are not standardized. Indeed, comparing the results of all of the studies without standardization of the compounds under study may invalidate the validity of the results, even if they are consistent with each other. Even standardization based on concentrations of one or more psychoactive constituents does not guarantee consistency among lots or “phytoequivalence”, which is crucial when examining the literature on herbal psychopharmacology or phytotherapy [32].

The epigenetic issue is also an important limitation that has already proven difficult to include in large clinical trials; its introduction in herbal trials is thus harder still. Epigenetic studies show that combinations of compounds not only trigger gene expression but may, in fact, themselves be the triggers. An example of this can be found in a study on a multicomponent herbal product composed of different anti-inflammatory herbs in which the epigenetic assays showed that the gene expression profile of the whole formula was unique and did not solely reflect the effects of the individual herbs [131]. Another study provides evidence that *H. perforatum* not only affects the transcription of many genes but modulates gene expression similar to the way in which a conventional antidepressant does [132]. Thus, future proteomic studies of other herbal psychotropics may reveal gene expressions similar to those of conventional pharmacotherapies. The “omics” genetic technologies, which include pharmacogenomics, proteomics (epigenetics), and metabolomics, are therefore essential for the clinical validation of herbal medicines [32]; unfortunately, this information is not always available.

Notwithstanding these limitations, our aim in this review is to provide a summary of the available data regarding the important questions raised in order to facilitate the work of practitioners and prescribers. At the same time, we provide a picture focusing on the main objectives in alleviating depression with medicinal plants and their active principles. There are at least 670 reports of antidepressant plants in PubMed, 155 of which were already compiled in the first part of this work, which dealt with the preclinical trials [9]. This second part only addresses clinical trials. PubMed yields a total of 121 such trials; of those, the present paper examined 18, but only 6 are actually relevant studies. Still, from these studies, it
becomes more evident which conditions an herbal remedy should meet in order to be an effective antidepressant. They should have anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties as well as the ability to mediate proinflammatory factors, mitochondrial damage, and oxidative stress in neuronal damage. Although inflammatory processes interact with the immune system through biochemical and biobehavioral mechanisms that have not yet been fully elucidated, it seems clear that depression can be considered a psycho-neuroimmunological disorder.

In conclusion, after conducting this review, we can say that saffron, turmeric (or its principle, curcumin), and St. John’s wort are currently the principal species of interest for potential use as anti-depressant agents, with tested effects against both a placebo and standard treatments, whereas the remaining plants require larger clinical trials in order to be considered appropriate alternative treatments to combat the challenge of depression worldwide.
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