Introduction

Inherited platelet disorders (IPDs) are a group of rare conditions featured by reduced circulating platelets and/or impaired platelet function causing variable bleeding tendency. Additional hematological or non-hematological features, which can be congenital or acquired, distinctively mark the clinical picture of a subgroup of patients. Recognizing an IPD is challenging, and diagnostic delay or mistakes are frequent. Despite the increasing availability of next-generation sequencing, a careful phenotyping of suspected patients—concerning the general clinical features, platelet morphology, and function—is still demanded. The cornerstones of IPD diagnosis are clinical evaluation, laboratory characterization, and genetic testing. Achieving a diagnosis of IPD is desirable for several reasons, including the possibility of tailored therapeutic strategies and individual follow-up programs. However, detailed investigations can also open complex scenarios raising ethical issues in case of IPDs predisposing to hematological malignancies. This review offers an overview of IPD diagnostic workup, from the interview with the proband to the molecular confirmation of the suspected disorder. The main implications of an IPD diagnosis are also discussed.
A confirmed diagnosis is relevant for many reasons including tailored therapeutic choices and individual follow-up programs. However, it can also open complex scenarios with delicate ethical concerns.

The aim of this review is to offer an overview of IPD diagnostic workup, in an integrated fashion, from the interview with the suspected patient to genetic confirmation. The main implications of a diagnosis of an IPD are also addressed.

### Modalities of Inherited Platelet Disorders Diagnosis

#### Clinical Evaluation

The collection of personal and family history, together with the physical examination, represents the cornerstone of clinical evaluation of suspected patients. Patient’s history can reveal thrombocytopenia or bleeding symptoms in the relatives, and even suggest the hereditary pattern of the disease (e.g., autosomal dominant or X-linked if the picture presents in all generations regardless of the sex or only in male subjects, respectively). Information on additional congenital or acquired manifestations shared within the pedigree should be carefully searched. For instance, a juvenile hearing defect frequently hits patients with MYH9-related disease (MYH9-RD) or DIAPH1-related thrombocytopenia (DIAPH1-RT), and eczema and immunodeficiency are associated with Wiskott–Aldrich syndrome/X-linked thrombocytopenia (WAS/XLT). Sometimes, such non hematological features affect the quality of life of the patients more than the platelet disorder itself. However, a silent family history does not exclude a genetic defect of platelets, as de novo mutations can be relatively frequent in some IPDs, such as in MYH9-RD. Therefore, a platelet defect of genetic origin should be suspected whenever a hint of an acquired condition (e.g., occurrence of thrombocytopenia and/or bleeding symptoms with former normal platelet counts and/or in the absence of previous hemorrhagic diathesis) cannot be found, independently of the age of the proband.

When assessing the bleeding history, the entire lifetime should be taken into account, and both spontaneous and provoked symptoms should be collected. IPD bleeding diathesis is typically mucocutaneous, with epistaxis,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical evaluation</td>
<td>- Some acquired conditions can be excluded</td>
<td>- Time consuming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- The hereditary nature and the inheritance pattern of the disease can emerge</td>
<td>- Silent family histories do not exclude IPDs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Possibility to detect congenital defects/syndromic pictures</td>
<td>- Bleeding phenotype can be blurred or absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Allows standardized collection of bleeding history</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood smear assessment—light microscopy</td>
<td>- Reliable estimation of platelet number and size</td>
<td>- Operator-dependent readout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Evaluation of platelet granularity</td>
<td>- Preanalytical artifacts can occur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Small amount of blood is needed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Relatively cheap and rapid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood smear assessment—immunofluorescence microscopy</td>
<td>- Capacity to confirm (e.g., MYH9-RD) or highly suggest specific IPDs (e.g., BSS, GT, TUBB1-RT, GFI1B-RT, FLNA-RT)</td>
<td>- Technically demanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Small amount of blood is needed</td>
<td>- Semiquantitative method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Relatively cheap and rapid</td>
<td>- Operator-dependent readout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Samples can be shipped safely even long distances</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet function assays</td>
<td>- Assessment of the same platelet function pathway from different points of view</td>
<td>- Low level of standardization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Gold standard for some IPDs (e.g., BSS, GT)</td>
<td>- Poorly automatized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Quantitative confirmation of some alterations</td>
<td>- Relatively large amount of blood is needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Tight timing for processing samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Low sensitivity for mild defects of platelet function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic testing</td>
<td>- Diagnostic certainty</td>
<td>- Poor diagnostic rate in patients with blurred phenotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Targeted gene panels for subgroups of IPDs</td>
<td>- Need of skilled expertise for VUS interpretation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Relatively cheap and rapid</td>
<td>- Investigations on new genes require further studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Novel genes responsible for IPDs can be identified (WES, WGS)</td>
<td>- Incidental findings can raise ethical concerns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Advantages and disadvantages of IPD diagnostic approaches

Abbreviations: BSS, Bernard-Soulier syndrome; GT, Glanzmann thrombasthenia; IPDs, inherited platelet disorders; VUS, variants of uncertain significance; WES, whole-exome sequencing; WGS, whole-genome sequencing.
menorrhagia, cutaneous-, and oral cavity bleeds as the most frequently reported symptoms.\textsuperscript{19} The number and the intensity of bleedings is substantially higher in IPDs with defective platelet function than in IPDs with thrombocytopenia only. In fact, patients affected with the most severe dysfunctional forms such as Glanzmann thrombasthenia (GT) and biallelic Bernard–Soulier syndrome (BSS)—or with platelet counts lower than 50 × 10\textsuperscript{9}/L—usually show the most serious hemorrhagic manifestations.\textsuperscript{20} On the other hand, the majority of IPD patients with mild or moderate thrombocytopenia and preserved platelet function have trivial or no bleedings at all.\textsuperscript{19} In any case, upon traumas, invasive procedures, or use of drugs impairing platelet function, hemorrhagic symptoms can emerge even in these patients. Therefore, the patients are to be asked also about bleedings occurring in conjunction with use of anti-inflammatory compounds or viral infections. The latter can lead to a transient reduction of platelet count.\textsuperscript{21}

The use of validated bleeding assessment tools (BATs) such as the World Health Organization (WHO) scale and the International Society of Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH)-BAT is highly recommended.\textsuperscript{22–25} The WHO scale is a global, nonstructured tool that categorizes bleeding into four groups: grade 0, no bleeding; grade 1, cutaneous bleeding only; grade 2, mild blood loss; grade 3, gross blood loss; and grade 4, debilitating blood loss. Conversely, the ISTH-BAT sums 14 distinct scores corresponding to specific graduated bleeding manifestations. In a recent study involving a large series of patients with different IPDs, the ISTH-BAT showed a higher discriminative power with respect to WHO scale in distinguishing IPD patients from healthy controls and patients with bleeding manifestations due to von Willebrand disease (vWD).\textsuperscript{19} Notably, patients with a cumulative score higher than 6—for whom WVD had been excluded—had a very high probability of being affected by an IPD. A subsequent follow-up study showed that the ISTH-BAT is a useful tool also for the prediction of future bleedings in IPD patients, and may help identify cases requiring more aggressive treatment.\textsuperscript{26}

A meticulous physical examination can also identify peculiar defects associated with a group of syndromic IPDs such as skeletal deformations, facial dimorphisms, or myopathy.\textsuperscript{27–33} These clinical aspects have been recently addressed in two review articles authored by Nurden and colleagues.\textsuperscript{1,2}

**Immunomorphologic Analysis of Blood Smear**

For a long time, the role of the blood smear remained confined to the identification of few, relevant parameters assessable on May–Grünewald–Giemsa–stained slides by light microscopy.\textsuperscript{7} These elements are the visual estimation of the real platelet count (which can be underestimated by automated cell counters in patients with platelet macrocytosis),\textsuperscript{34} the platelet dimension, and the platelet granularity (►Fig. 1). The mean platelet diameter (MPD) represents a major classification criterion for IPDs.\textsuperscript{7} By evaluating a cohort of patients affected with 19 disorders, Noris and collaborators distinguished forms with enlarged (i.e., > 3.2 µm), decreased (i.e., < 2.6 µm), and normal (i.e., 2.6–3.2 µm) MPD.\textsuperscript{35} In particular, an MPD larger than 3.9 µm or smaller than 2.6 µm showed high sensitivity and specificity in discerning IPDs featured by giant platelets (i.e., BSS and MYH9-RD) from forms with small platelets (i.e., WAS/XLT and TAR).\textsuperscript{35}

A profound reduction of platelet staining is typically found in gray platelet syndrome (GPS), where α-granules

---

**Fig. 1** Morphologic abnormalities of platelets by light microscopy. Alterations of platelet dimension. (A) With respect to control, (B) platelets may appear enlarged, (C) or giant. Changes of platelet distribution, staining, and shape. (D) A platelet aggregate, (E) a "pale" platelet due to reduced granularity, (F) a vacuolated platelet, and (G) an abnormally elongated platelet, somewhat resembling a proplatelet, in a patient affected with thrombocytopenia due to IKZF5 mutations.\textsuperscript{52} Scale bars correspond to 2 µm.
are almost absent. In other IPDs such as GFI1B-related thrombocytopenia (GFI1B-RT) or GATA1-related thrombocytopenia (GATA1-RT), the reduction of azurophilic granules is suggestive, albeit partial. Alpha granules can also appear enlarged or disturbed in thrombocytopenia Paris-Trousseau and large vacuoles can be detected in platelets of GATA1-RT patients. The presence of platelet clumps (Fig. 1D) can suggest type 2B- or platelet-type vWD.

In addition, some morphologic changes of other peripheral blood cells can be of great aid. For instance, "Döhle-like" bodies in granulocytes are pathognomonic for MYH9-RD, and alterations of the shape or dimension of the red blood cells can suggest GATA1-RT (prominent difference in size and dimension of erythrocytes, i.e., anisopoikilocytosis) or thrombocytopenia associated with sitosterolemia (red cell resembling a mouth, i.e., stomatocytosis).

Recently, a novel investigational approach on the blood smear has been proposed as a diagnostic tool for IPDs. The method is based on the assessment of a series of platelet proteins stained with monoclonal antibodies by immunofluorescence microscopy. These are membrane receptors (i.e., GpIbIX and Gplb/Illa), α (i.e., von Willebrand factor, P-selectin, thrombospondin) or dense granule, and lysosome membrane components (i.e., Lamp 1, Lamp 2, and CD63), cytoskeletal markers (e.g., non-muscular myosin IIA, α- and β1-tubulin, filamin A), or the stem-cell antigen CD34. This technique has preliminary proved to be effective in recognizing a group of IPDs, which had been previously confirmed by genetic testing or standard laboratory tools.

In combination with light microscopy, immunofluorescence assessment of the blood smear can provide diagnosis by itself, as in the case of MYH9-RD (Fig. 3A, B) or in addition to established platelet function assays (see below). This is the case of GT and BSS (Fig. 3C, D) including the monoallelic variants of these disorders, which are also featured by dominant macrothrombocytopenia (i.e., ITGA2B/ITGB3-related thrombocytopenia and monoallelic BSS). Alterations of granule content representing the principal sign or a component of some IPDs can be distinctly visualized (Fig. 1E, F).

By labelling cytoskeletal proteins, diagnostic patterns have been proposed for TUBB1-related thrombocytopenia (TUBB1-RT), FLNA-related thrombocytopenia (FLNA-RT), and WAS. A positive staining for CD34, which is normally absent on mature platelets, hints
to GPIX-RT.51 We have also observed expression of CD34 in a subpopulation of platelets in a patient with Jacobsen’s syndrome (Fig. 2I).

A major advantage of the method is the need of small amounts of blood (<100 µL), thus making the analysis achievable even in newborns, and the possibility to ship samples to be assessed even long distances. Although it requires specialized expertise, it is relatively cheap and not excessively time-consuming. Even beyond the diagnostic spectrum of IPDs, this approach can provide clinicians with relevant information about the bleeding (or prothrombotic) phenotype of patients. For instance, the presence of a disturbance of granules can suggest the patient may have an increased risk of provoked bleeding. On the other hand, the presence of platelet clumps associated with mild alterations of granule- and cytoskeleton markers can suggest in vivo platelet preactivation, which can act as additional risk for thrombotic complications in case of systemic inflammatory responses, or may explain increased risk of bleeding because platelets are exhausted. In this case, platelet transfusion is likely more effective than desmopressin. In addition, features of platelets can be altered by EDTA or by mechanical stress in case of blood tube before preparing the smear. Moreover, platelets can be also altered by EDTA or by mechanical stress in case of smears obtained by finger prick.52 However, none of the currently available morphological markers of platelet activation can differentiate between artifactual and spontaneous (e.g., due to signaling defect such as in dominant GT) causes of platelet preactivation.

Platelet Function Tests

Platelet Aggregation

Light-transmission aggregometry (LTA), which was set for the first time more than 50 years ago, still represents the gold standard for the evaluation of in vitro platelet aggregation.54 Platelets suspended in a platelet-rich plasma (PRP)-stirred sample are stimulated with defined concentration of diverse agonists (e.g., ristocetin, collagen, adenosine diphosphate [ADP], arachidonic acid, and epinephrine). The entity of platelet aggregation over time is measured by the evaluation of the capacity of a light beam to pass through the sample, which is proportional to the clarity of the suspension due to the formation of platelet aggregates.55

Some LTA patterns are highly suggestive for specific IPDs.56 For instance, the absence of platelet aggregation with all agonists with the exception of (high-concentrations of) ristocetin or isolated impaired aggregation in response to (high-concentrations of) ristocetin is diagnostic for classical GT and biallelic BSS, respectively.57 In other cases, peculiar patterns can greatly narrow down the diagnostic spectrum, although further studies are required for confirmation, for example, in case of increased response to low concentrations of ristocetin, hinting to platelet type (PT)- or type 2B vWD, which can then be distinguished using ristocetin-induced platelet aggregation after mixing normal platelets with patient’s plasma and patient’s platelets with normal plasma.39,40,59,60 On the other hand, isolated impaired collagen-induced aggregation can suggest GpVI deficiency.61

The use of additional agonists can differentiate IPDs leading to apparently similar aggregation patterns, as for
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**Fig. 4** Peculiar alterations of GpIIb/IIIa distribution by immunofluorescence microscopy. (B) With respect to control, (A) in a patient with dominant Glanzmann thrombasthenia GpIIb/IIIa appears mainly intracellularly distributed and not expressed in the pseudopodia. (C) In a patient with in vivo platelet preactivation, peculiar elongations of platelet membrane (‘tethers’) containing GpIIb/IIIa are evident. Scale bars correspond to 2 µm. ctrl = healthy control, pt = patient.
the lack of response to arachidonic acid. In this case, inherited alterations of TXA2 synthesis pathway (e.g., hitting thromboxane synthase or cyclooxygenase) can be distinguished from defects of TXA2 receptor by assessing the aggregation in response to a TXA2 analog.62

More frequently, LTA shows patterns shared by more IPDs. The absence of the secondary aggregation wave in response to ADP and/or epinephrine, for example, is commonly observed in IPDs featured by dense granule disturbance or signaling defects.55,63 To refer to the methods discussed earlier, in such a case the assessment of dense granule structures by immunofluorescence is a straightforward option to narrow down the diagnosis.

LTA has some disadvantages: it requires a relatively large volume of blood, which is problematic particularly for children, is time consuming, and requires skilled manual processing of the samples. In addition, it is not appropriate in cases with thrombocytopenia since platelet function can be underestimated when platelet count in PRP is lower than 100 to 150 × 109/L.64 Moreover, the method is not completely standardized.8,65

Whole-blood impedance aggregometry is another available technique, which might be a reliable alternative to LTA at least for the detection of profound alterations of platelet function.56,67 A major advantage is using whole blood, thereby omitting the centrifugation step. In IPDs with platelet macrocytosis, the large platelets typically sediment with the red cells and do not remain in the PRP supernatant.

Evaluation of the Content and Secretion of Platelet Granules

Alpha- and dense platelet granules, as well as lysosomes and vacuoles, can be reliably investigated and quantified with transmission electron microscopy, which represents the gold standard methodology for this purpose.58,69 The content and the morphology of platelet granules and lysosomes can also be estimated by immunofluorescence-confocal microscopy.70 Moreover, α-granule components such as platelet factor 4 and β-thromboglobulin can be quantified in platelet lysates by commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay-based tests.8 However, these techniques are not widespread used in the routine yet, as they require close cooperation between physicians, first-level diagnostic centers, and specialized research laboratories.63

On the other hand, a comprehensive estimation of granule distribution, albeit less sophisticated, can be provided by the aforementioned traditional immunofluorescence microscopy on the blood smears.46 By using monoclonal antibodies against specific granule components, patterns of granule reduction or misdistribution, which are typical or highly suggestive for some IPDs, can be detected. In the presence of macro-thrombocytopenia with “pale” platelets, a major reduction of α-granules is typical for GPS.71 Incomplete α-granule reduction can be found in two other genetic macro-thrombocytopenias: GATA1-RT and GFI1B-RT.37,45,72

A mild-to-moderate granule disturbance is also present in two forms of autosomal dominant IPD with normal platelet dimension that predispose to acquired hematological malignancies: ET/6-RT (dense granules) and ANKK26-RT (α-granules).73-75 This finding can guide the direction of further testing. In the absence of thrombocytopenia, granule reduction orients toward α-, δ-, or combined storage pool diseases.5 Immunofluorescence can also identify abnormalities of granule dimensions, which could hint certain forms of IPD. In fact, enlarged α-granules have been reported in the syndromic TCPT/Jacobsen syndrome.29 Moreover, heterogeneity in α-granule diameter has been described in patients with inherited thrombocytopenia due to mutations in filamin A gene.49

Alpha granule release can be estimated by evaluating the surface expression of P-selectin (CD62P)—in resting conditions and upon platelet stimulation—by flow cytometry (FC), which represents the most commonly used method for this purpose. However, high CD62P expression can be found in patients affected by α-granule disorders such as GPS,76 as CD62P, which cannot be integrated into the lacking granule membrane, is expressed on the cell surface. Therefore, results obtained after platelet activation should always be compared with the baseline level.

Although the gold standard for the assessment of dense granule secretion remains the measurement of the secretion of 14C-S-HT (serotonin) from platelets,77 the assessment of ATP release by lumigegrometry is a well-established method that integrates the results of LTA. A primary secretion defect can then be confirmed by the measurement of ATP: ADP ratio in the platelet lysate, which is typically normal in this case and increased in granule deficiency.8 The dense granule release can also be measured by FC using the indirect marker of mepacrine uptake and release,78 thus avoiding the use of radioisotopes.79 The mepacrine test showed high sensitivity but low specificity for the identification of δ Storage Pool Deficiency (SPD) and has been proposed as a screening tool to exclude this condition.80 A systematic comparison of the effectiveness of these methods in clinical use would be desirable.

Flow Cytometry

The assessment of surface expression of platelet antigens and activation markers play an important role in the first-line IPD diagnostic workup. Although suffering from poor standardization, the method is long established and requires small amounts of blood, which, however, has to be analyzed within 24 hours after bloodletting in case of activation markers (membrane glycoproteins are stable for 1–2 days at least to identify severe deficiency).52,81,82 Partial-to-complete decrease of certain surface glycoproteins typically characterizes some IPDs. In biallelic BSS and classical GT, the expression of Gpllb/IX and Gpllb/Illa, respectively, is strongly reduced or even absent. The same glycoproteins are partially reduced in monoallelic BSS and in dominant GT.83,84 If the suspicion of these two disorders is raised by the immunofluorescence analysis on the blood smear, the role of FC for
confirmation is particularly important when the reduction is partial, as immunofluorescence is at best semiquantitative.\textsuperscript{46} Similarly, deficiencies of GpVI and GpIIa/IIla can be found by FC.\textsuperscript{85,86}

The FC measurement of activation parameters such as CD62P or the activated form of GpIIb/IIla (recognized by the antibody PAC1) can integrate the results of LTA in response to specific agonists, or may even substitute for classical aggregation studies in children. In GT, the binding of PAC1 is typically absent. The same alteration has also been described in two IPDs with normal platelet count and impaired platelet function: the Stormorken syndrome and the platelet defect of protein kinase C.\textsuperscript{8} Using the fluorescent dye mepacrine, which is internalized into platelet granules, dense granule secretion can also be assessed by FC.\textsuperscript{80}

By exposing anionic phospholipids on the membrane, activated platelets provide a stimulating surface for the coagulation cascade, thus promoting thrombin generation.\textsuperscript{87} FC assessment of phosphatidylserine expression by annexin V binding can be of aid in diagnosing IPDs with enhanced or impaired procoagulant activity of platelets as reported in Stormorken and Scott syndrome, respectively.\textsuperscript{87–89}

Methodologies combining FC with microscopy (i.e., imaging FC), fluorescence labeling (i.e., multiplexed FC), or microfluidic techniques (i.e., real-time deformation cytometry, RT-DC) represent new generation tests, which seem promising for studying IPDs with high throughput and novel approaches.\textsuperscript{90–93} but they are not well established for patient diagnosis, yet.

Other Tests
Clot retraction evaluates the interaction of platelet GpIIb/IIla with fibrin in the forming clot.\textsuperscript{94} This method is well-established for diagnosing GT.\textsuperscript{95} In the field of IPDs, alterations of clot retraction have also been described in WAS and Stormorken syndrome. However, its sensitivity for minor alterations of platelet function is low.\textsuperscript{96}

Platelet activity can also be studied by measuring soluble markers. For instance, the assessment of the TXB\textsubscript{2} in serum, in urine, or in the supernatant of PRP can indicate IPDs with impaired metabolism of arachidonic acid (e.g., inherited defects of thromboxane or cyclooxygenase synthase, or cytosolic phospholipase A\textsubscript{2}). Nevertheless, this test is time-consuming and available only in specialized laboratories.\textsuperscript{8,96} By using multicolor fluorescence beads, FC allows simultaneous quantitative evaluation of circulating parameters,\textsuperscript{97} for instance the in vivo platelet activation marker plasmatic soluble P-selectin.\textsuperscript{98} Although this modality is more frequently used in research than in the diagnostic workup of patients, it might be of aid in the IPD field when the available blood sample is limited, for instance in pediatric patients.

Genetic Testing
Sanger sequencing has been used for a long time for the molecular confirmation of a small group of IPDs with well-defined genetic causes. Over the past 15 years, with the coming of the high-throughput sequencing (HTS), the genetic analysis has achieved a prominent role even among the first-line diagnostic tools for IPDs.\textsuperscript{99,100}

Targeted HTS allows for the simultaneous analysis of more genes with ever-shorter turnaround time and cheaper costs. Accordingly, specific diagnostic panels have been created for IPDs, or subgroups of IPDs (e.g., macro-thrombocytopenias) whose phenotype can be caused by several genes.\textsuperscript{101} Various groups have tested this approach in the field of IPDs, and the global diagnostic rate appeared to be around 50% for inherited thrombocytopenias and around 25% for inherited alterations of platelet function, respectively.\textsuperscript{102–115} On the other hand, targeted sequencing usually unravels many variants of uncertain significance (VUS), whose correlation with the phenotype can be complicated, especially when the clinical presentation is blurred or the referring clinicians are not adequately skilled in genetic interpretation. Guidelines based on multidisciplinary expertise (i.e., geneticists, clinicians, bioinformaticians) have been created to classify variants as pathogenic, likely pathogenic or benign, thus reducing the number of unresolved cases.\textsuperscript{116–118} Moreover, the creation of shared databases for VUS—once the sensitive data of the patients have been de-identified—has been proposed to improve the understanding of the possible pathogenic significance of such variants.\textsuperscript{119–121} Here, the functional analyses and the immune-morphologic assessment on the blood smear are highly complementary to genetic testing. In fact, the presence of peculiar functional defects can provide evidence that the variant is causal as the patient displays the specific functional phenotype associated with that disease. Similarly, when typical changes of platelet markers are identified by immunofluorescence, the variant under examination is most likely pathogenic. Such pieces of evidence would be enough to upgrade a VUS to a likely pathogenic variant. However, in case of an unaltered platelet phenotype, the VUS is most likely not relevant.

A technical limitation of targeted sequencing is the inability to identify variants located in noncoding regions such as 3’UTR or promoter sites (if not targeted), which can be relevant for some IPDs.\textsuperscript{100} In addition, novel genes potentially responsible for IPDs are excluded from the analysis. These drawbacks can be overcome by extending the investigation to the entire exome or even genome with whole-exome sequencing (WES) and whole-genome sequencing (WGS). Here, the interpretation of the great amount of data is burdensome and often more expensive than the sequencing itself. Moreover, the sum of information, in particular related to new genes, deserves further studies to be interpreted.\textsuperscript{99} Lastly, despite calling algorithms are now available for research and clinical diagnostics, some structural variants that can be disease-causing—for example, complex copy number variations—can be difficult to detect even with HTS methods, including WGS.\textsuperscript{100,113,122,123}

Consequences of IPD Diagnosis
Diagnosing IPDs has many positive impacts on the patients. First, it gives a reason for the laboratory alterations and
possible hemorrhagic symptoms, which are usually chronic and therefore highly influencing their quality of life, even if mild. If the bleeding symptoms do not affect quality of life, often the repetitive control of the platelet count, restriction of sport activities in children, or mistreatment due to the wrong diagnosis of ITP by the treating physician does.

The bleeding treatment in IPDs is mostly symptomatic and includes general behavioral norms, antifibrinolytics, desmopressin, platelet transfusions, and recombinant factor VIIa (rFVIIa). Despite this, recognizing the specific IPD sometimes allows clinicians to suggest medications that are more likely to be effective. For instance, (non-severe) mucosal bleedings in patients with inherited thrombocytopenias respond well to tranexamic acid. Conversely, desmopressin is a good option for covering hemostatic challenges in some patients with δ SPD. Despite a similar presentation, type 2B- and PT vWD are distinct diseases that deserve different treatments in case of bleeding, and a definite diagnosis is advisable. While platelet transfusions are effective in PT vWD, they should be avoided in type 2B vWD, opting instead for von Willebrand factor supply.

When platelet transfusions are indicated, the use of in-line leukocyte-depleted platelet concentrates is recommendable in all patients with IPDs, especially when the bleeding phenotype is substantial and the probability to receive further transfusions is high. In GT, the risk of isoimmunization against the glycoprotein lacking on the patient’s own platelets is one of the biggest risks for the patient, and platelet transfusions should be limited to situations in which other treatments are ineffective. rFVIIa is instead a better choice.

A definition of the specific IPD may be decisive also for the prognosis. Relevant genotype–phenotype correlations have been reported for some disorders, and the type of mutation can predict the severity of bleeding (as for null variants in GT) or the risk to develop throughout life nephropathy and hearing loss in MYH9-RD. Since therapeutic options to cure deafness and slow down the progression toward renal failure are available (i.e., cochlear implantation and renin–angiotensin pathway inhibitors, respectively), the genotype definition informs the therapeutic choices and the surveillance schedule.

Thrombopoietin-mimetic drugs such as eltrombopag and romiplostim have recently entered the field of treatment of IPDs, as some forms proved to respond to these drugs, which represent a promising alternative to platelet transfusions especially for elective surgery. The genetic confirmation, in this case, is important to offer the patients such option.

Patients affected with ANKRD26-RT, ETV6-RT, and thrombocytopenia due to mutations in RUNX1 are at higher risk to develop hematological malignancies. The molecular definition, albeit not yet helpful to predict the individual risk, can be relevant in the view of an early search for a stem cell donor in the family for transplantation. In this case, it is crucial to exclude as candidate donors all family members, who carry the same IPD-causing genetic variant as the recipient. Nonetheless, recognizing one of these forms has also a problematic side. As the possibilities to estimate the individual risk for leukemia is poor, and no treatment for prevention of development of leukemia exists yet, the diagnosis may cause psychological distress to the patient in the absence of major benefits. Patients who have been diagnosed with one of these forms can find comfort in the planning of a follow-up program. However, the type and the timing of the examinations to be performed are difficult to establish. Some guidance is given in reviews authored by University of Chicago Hematopoietic Malignancies Cancer Risk Team and by Pecci & Balduini.

Prenatal diagnosis and preimplantation testing for IPDs are possible, and are generally regarded as a personal decision of the family. However, a careful counseling from the medical professionals is advisable, particularly in case of disorders with possible acquired hematological or extrahematological manifestations impacting the morbidity and the quality of life of the patients. The medical history of the affected family members, the possible presence of genotype–phenotype correlations to predict the evolution of the disease, and the availability of therapeutic or preventive measures can orient the final decision on the use of prenatal testing in the case at hand.

Genetic testing performed for IPDs can also detect incidental findings such as a carrier status for an unsuspected recessive disease (e.g., hemophilia A). This can influence the reproductive choices of the patients, and eventually generate apprehension. Therefore, the possible desire “not-to-know” of the patient should be safeguarded when planning genetic investigations. A group of experts joining the Subcommittee on Genomics in Thrombosis and Hemostasis of International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH) recently approached this delicate issue. Briefly, they agreed to recommend the use of targeted multigene panels for diagnosing IPDs, as long as the candidate genes are selected based on an accurate phenotype evaluation. In addition, a guideline for the acquisition of the informed consent with active involvement of patients (or parents, in case of probands of minor age) has been proposed.

In summary, phenotyping of patients suspected to have an IPD consists of several aspects: (1) clinical phenotyping; (2) platelet phenotyping, including platelet function (by different laboratory techniques) and platelet morphology (by light microscopy and immunofluorescence microscopy); and (3) genetic phenotyping. In combination, this allows to diagnose the underlying IPD in approximately 50 to 60% of patients with IPDs associated with thrombocytopenia and in 20 to 30% of patients with an IPD with normal platelet counts but platelet function defects. Despite the major progress in the understanding of IPDs achieved during the last decade, still a lot has to be done to improve diagnosis and management of IPDs. The clotting cascade consists of less than 50 different proteins, while in platelets more than 2,000 different proteins have been identified.
Table 2  Guidance for discussion and recommended text to be included in an informed consent for genetic testing of IPD proposed by the Subcommittee on Genomics in Thrombosis and Hemostasis of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommended items to include in the informed consent of diagnostic panel-based HTS for IPDs</th>
<th>Example of text for informed consent for patients (in between brackets is adjusted informed consent for parents)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information:</strong> What is an HTS test for IPDs?</td>
<td>You (or your child) are/is suspected of having an IPD based on clinical and/or laboratory evidence and/or family history. This might include platelet dysfunction or an abnormal low platelet count (thrombocytopenia) associated with bleeding or other clinical symptoms. The symptoms may be caused by a change in the DNA of a specific gene, called a variant, that may have been passed down from generation to generation or occurs for the first time as a novel variant (de novo). A confirmative genetic diagnosis of this IPD can sometimes be obtained using an HTS test. The test is a DNA-based analysis of all genes that are currently known to cause an IPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information:</strong> What are the limitations of an HTS test?</td>
<td>Some IPDs cannot be explained by a genetic diagnosis because the gene defects for these disorders are not yet known or the genetic change may be missed because of technical limitations of the test. Sometimes a genetic change is found, but it is not clear whether it is the cause of an IPD or not. These are known as “variants of uncertain significance” (VUS).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information:</strong> What type of genetic report will I receive?</td>
<td>You (or your child) can receive three types of genetic reports when HTS test is performed: (1) a disease-causing, referred to as pathogenic, DNA variant is found that can explain your IPD (the IPD in your child); (2) no DNA variant is found that can explain your IPD (the IPD in your child); and (3) a DNA variant is found that requires further studies because its clinical significance is not clear. This type of variant is sometimes referred to as a VUS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information:</strong> Implication for family members</td>
<td>The results of a genetic test for IPD are likely to have implications for your (your child’s) family members. It is encouraged to discuss that you (your child) are being tested for an IPD with your (your child’s) family. Your (your child’s) family members can be informed about the option for genetic counseling. You may be asked to share your (your child’s) genetic test report with the clinician of family members.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information:</strong> Are there any risks involved?</td>
<td>A genetic change may be identified that indicates a disorder, or the risk of having or carrying a disorder, that is not part of the IPD that you (your child) are being tested for. There may be unexpected findings. For example, the results might indicate that the relationship between family members is not what is expected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient choice:</strong> Opt-in/Opt-out choice for testing of IPD genes that are also associated with an increased risk of leukemia</td>
<td>The HTS test includes three genes (RUNX1, ETV6, and ANKRD26) that if a pathogenic variant is discovered, is associated with an increased risk of leukemia (blood cancer), in addition to causing my IPD (the IPD in my child). The estimated risk for leukemia differs between these three genes. Close to one-half of patients (~44%) with a variant in RUNX1 develop a blood cancer. The average age of onset is 33 years and ~25% who are diagnosed with a blood cancer are children. About one in three patients with ETV6 variants develops leukemia. Among those who develop leukemia, most are children. About 1 in 20 patients with ANKRD26 variant develops leukemia. Among those who develop leukemia, most are adults. Knowing the genetic variant will not help my clinician (the clinician of my child) predict my (his/her) precise risk for developing leukemia, but the clinician can regularly test my (his/her) blood cell count and look for changes in my (his/her) bone marrow more closely. It is possible that close surveillance could help detect a blood cancer early and knowing the genetic variant would impact donor selection for bone marrow transplant. I understand that a genetic test cannot prevent leukemia. I understand that I have the “right not to know” about these incidental findings. I have chosen (for my child) to analyze these three genes: (YES) or (NO).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient choice:</strong> Participation to the HTS test is voluntary</td>
<td>I understand that my participation (the participation of my child) to the HTS test for IPD is voluntary and that I am free to withdraw this participation (the participation of my child) at any time, without giving any reason and this will not alter the clinical care I (my child) receive. In this case, any further addition of data to my record (the record of my child) will be stopped.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient choice:</strong> Sharing variants with other health care specialists to improve disease knowledge</td>
<td>My anonymized genetic variants (or genetic variants of my child) can be shared among health care professionals and laboratory scientists nationally or internationally in publicly accessible databases. This is done to compare the findings from patients with similar symptoms or variants, which can help determine which variants may or may not be linked to a particular condition. Sharing data can also support ongoing research aimed at understanding how genetic variants cause disease and may potentially support the discovery of new treatments for a specific inherited condition. My privacy and my health status (the privacy and the health status of my child) is fully respected upon sharing the genetic information. No personal data are shared among other health care professionals or scientists. All data will be anonymized.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Table 2 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommended items to include in the informed consent of diagnostic panel-based HTS for IPDs</th>
<th>Example of text for informed consent for patients (in between brackets is adjusted informed consent for parents)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient choice:</strong> Acknowledgment of expectations related to an HTS test for IPD</td>
<td>I want to know the genetic cause of my IPD (of the IPD present in my child). I have been told and understand how information about the genetic cause of my IPD may or may not change my clinical care (the clinical care of my child). I have been informed about the option for genetic counseling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional items that can be included</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient choice:</strong> Opt-in/Opt-out choice for further studies of a VUS</td>
<td>If my report (the report of my child) contains a DNA variant(s) that requires further studies and from which the relevance for my (their) IPD is not clear, I grant permission for my clinician to recontact me (on behalf of my child) for further studies: (YES) or (NO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient choice:</strong> Opt-in/Opt-out choice for information regarding carriership of recessive conditions and implications</td>
<td>I would like to receive details of DNA variants that I carry (that my child carries). These DNA variants are not always directly related to my clinical condition (the clinical condition of my child). I want to know if I (my child) carry (carries) a DNA variant for a recessive disease: (YES) or (NO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HTS, High Throughput Sequencing; IPDs, Inherited Platelet Disorders; ISTH, International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis; VUS, Variants of Uncertain Significance.

Source: Modified from Downes et al.13

Authors’ Contributions

C.Z., M.W., and A.G. wrote the article. All the authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interests

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation)—project number: 374031971–TRR 240.

References

9 Melazzini F, Zaninetti C, Balduini CL. Bleeding is not the main clinical issue in many patients with inherited thrombocytopenias. Haemophilia 2017;23(05):673–681


44 Neff AT. Sitosterolemia’s stomatomyectomy and macrothrombocytopenia. Blood 2012;120(22):4283


60 Othman M. Platelet-type von Willebrand disease and type 2B von Willebrand disease: a story of nonidentical twins when two different genetic abnormalities evolve into similar phenotypes. Semin Thromb Hemost 2007;33(08):780–786

This document was downloaded for personal use only. Unauthorized distribution is strictly prohibited.
Diagnosis of Inherited Platelet Disorders


Diagnosis of Inherited Platelet Disorders

Zaninetti et al. 487


121 McVey JH, Kallapalli PM, Kembal-Cook G, et al. The European Association for Haemophilia and Allied Disorders (EAHAD) coagulation factor variant databases: important resources for haemostasis clinicians and researchers. Haemophilia 2020;26(02):306–313


130 Pecci A, Granata A, Fiore CE, Balduni CL. Renin-angiotensin system blockade is effective in reducing proteinuria of patients with progressive nephropathy caused by MYH9 mutations (Fechter-Epstein syndrome). Nephrol Dial Transplant 2008;23(08):2690–2692


