The Academic Research Consortium (ARC) proposed a definition for high bleeding risk (HBR) status to be implemented in trials. Recent papers validated these criteria in all-comers undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and showed a significant increase in bleeding risk according to the number of criteria fulfilled. However, older adults undergoing PCI were underrepresented in validation studies of the ARC HBR score, and its external validity remains unclear in this population.

We analyzed a cohort including patients older > 74 years from three large, multicenter prospective studies enrolling subjects with a final diagnosis of acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and undergoing PCI: the randomized clinical trials Elderly-ACS (NCT00510185), Elderly-ACS 2 (NCT01777503), and the prospective GEPRESS study. Bleeding events were adjudicated according to the Bleeding Academic Research Consortium (BARC) scale. Follow-up was censored at 1 year.

In this analysis we applied the following ARC-HBR criteria: oral anticoagulant therapy; estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) < 30 mL/min; baseline hemoglobin value < 11 g/dL; baseline platelet value < 100 × 10^9/L; age > 75 years; eGFR < 60 mL/min; hemoglobin 11 to 12.9 g/dL for men and 11 to 11.9 g/dL for women, and previous stroke. We computed the ARC-HBR status at a patient-level according to definition described in the consensus paper, meaning at least one major and/or two minor criteria; we also stratified the number of times each patient met this definition. Individual criteria were tested for association with BARC 3 or 5 events in a univariate generalized linear model if at least one event occurred in the subgroup and in a Cox multivariable model if they were univariate predictors. For comparison, also the PRECISE-DAPT and PARIS risk scores were calculated at a patient-level, imputed in a time-to-event receiver operator curve analysis, and compared with ARC-HBR status. Statistical analysis was performed in the R environment.

Baseline characteristics of the 1,988 subjects included are in Supplementary Table S1 (available in the online version). Of them, 1,184 (59.5%) met the ARC-HBR definition. The most common major criteria were severe-end-stage chronic kidney disease (CKD; n = 121, 6.1%) and moderate-severe anemia (n = 110, 5.5%). Considering that age > 75 years was present by definition, the second most common minor criterion was moderate CKD (n = 850, 42.8%) followed by mild anemia (n = 110, 5.5%). Notably, only 19 (1.0%) subjects presented both these criteria conjunctly. At 1 year, a total of 31 BARC 3 or 5 bleeding events occurred, 25 in the ARC-HBR group and 6 in those not meeting fulfilling the criteria.
Patients who met the ARC-HBR definition had a significantly higher risk of BARC 3 or 5 bleedings (HR: 2.8; 95% confidence interval 1.2–7.0; log-rank p = 0.01; – Fig. 1). Of them, 1,053 (53.0%) fulfilled the ARC-HBR definition once, 127 (6.4%) twice, and 4 (0.2%) three times. Compared with those with non-HBR, we observed a significantly higher hazard of bleedings with a stepwise increase in HR according to the number of times the ARC-HBR definition was fulfilled (log-rank p = 0.03 and – Supplementary Fig. S2, available in the online version).

Area under the curve (AUC) analysis revealed a moderate discriminative power (c-statistic = 0.61) for the ARC-HBR status. When compared with other bleeding risk scores calculated, only the PRECISE-DAPT showed a significantly higher AUC (c-statistic = 0.69; p = 0.04) while the PARIS score showed a similar predictive power (c-statistic = 0.60; p = 0.9) (– Fig. 2).

In conclusion, our study observed that the ARC-HBR definition is able to identify older adults undergoing PCI who are at higher risk of bleeding. Our findings are consistent with those of another validation study that compared ARC-HBR, PARIS, and PRECISE-DAPT risk scores in a larger sample of unselected patients undergoing PCI, which suggested that the ARC-HBR have a similar performance than the PARIS and PRECISE-DAPT score and therefore it could be implemented in clinical practice. These results corroborate the utility of the ARC-HBR and its widespread use in future clinical trials dedicated to elderly subjects. Of note, milder form of anemia and CKD were not independent predictors of bleeding.

We also observed that fulfilling the ARC-HBR definition (one major or two minor criteria) more than once significantly increased the hazard of bleeding, but the ARC-HBR definition retained only a modest discriminative power, which was similar for the PARIS score AUC (c-statistic = 0.60 and 0.61, respectively). On the other hand, the PRECISE-DAPT showed a slightly higher discriminative value (c-statistic = 0.69) which was significantly higher than that of ARC-HBR (p = 0.04). Our work also suggests that the PRECISE-DAPT score might offer a modestly, but significantly, improved discriminative capacity in older adults which confirms previous findings. The ARC-HBR definition and the PARIS score rely on broader definitions of items which might overestimate bleeding risk in older adults who often present with several risk factors in conjunction.

Limitations

Some limitations should be acknowledged. First, a relatively small number of events were observed. Second, 8 of the 20 domains proposed by the ARC-HBR definition were explored. In fact, this validation cohort of older adults includes patients from studies dated before the ARC-HBR statement, therefore some criteria were not prospectively collected or represented exclusion criteria for enrollment. This is a limitation found also in other published validation initiatives that might limit the validity of our findings. Third, our validation cohort enrolled patients from Western countries and this might limit the generalizability of our findings to other ethnicities; whether a population difference exists deserve further attention in future research.
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