Initial experience with per-rectal endoscopic myotomy for Hirschsprung's disease: medium and long term outcomes of the first case series of a novel third-space endoscopy procedure
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Hirschsprung’s disease (HSCR) is congenital aganglionosis affecting the hindgut and presents with constipation. Surgical pull-through is the current standard treatment but causes morbidity. Per-rectal endoscopic myotomy is a novel third-space endoscopy technique for treating short-segment (SS)-HSCR.

Methods Retrospective study of SS-HSCR patients diagnosed on history, contrast enema, rectal biopsies, and anorectal manometry, and treated by PREM. The aganglionic segment was mapped before PREM was performed using third-space endoscopy principles. Stool frequency and laxative usage before and after PREM were compared.

Results Nine patients (age 7.5 ± 5.2 years; 7 male) underwent PREM during a 4-year period. Mean aganglionic segment length was 6.3 cm, mean procedure time 96.1 minutes, and mean length of hospital stay 2.5 days. Median follow-up was 17 months (range 9–58 months). Stool frequency was 1/4.4 days before vs. 1/1.2 days after PREM (P = 0.0004). Mean laxative usage was 5.4 units of laxative (UL) before vs. 0.4 UL after PREM (P = 0.0002). No laxatives were used by 6/9 patients after PREM. The single adverse effect seen (anal stenosis) was treated with dilatation.

Conclusions PREM is a safe and effective minimally invasive procedure to treat SS-HSCR and results in long-term response.
An alternative, surgical posterior anorectal myotomy/myectomy, has been described, based on the principle of disruption of the spastic aganglionic segment. This technique was effective in patients with USS-HSCR limited to the distal 5–8 cm [6–8].

Third-space endoscopy permits performance of longer or tailor-made myotomy, as is evident from peroral endoscopic myotomy (POEM) for achalasia cardia and G-POEM for gastroparesis. Based on this philosophy, per-rectal endoscopic myotomy (PREM) was conceptualized, successfully performed, and reported by the authors’ group in the form of two case reports and one conference abstract on adults followed by pediatric and infantile SS-HSCR patients [9–11]. The current case series reports a single-center experience of PREM for SS-HSCR patients with medium-term to long-term follow-up.

Patients and methods

This study was a retrospective analysis of a prospectively maintained database of all patients undergoing PREM for SS-HSCR over 4 years.

HSCR was diagnosed by clinical history and contrast enema demonstrating the presence of a distal spastic segment and transition zone (▶Fig.1). Anorectal manometry (ARM) was performed, confirming absence of the rectoanal inhibitory reflex (RAIR) and high rectal basal pressures. Sigmoidoscopy-guided serial deep muco-submucosal biopsies were obtained every 4–5 cm using the cap-assisted endoscopic mucosal resection technique from the dilated segment up to 2 cm above the dentate line (▶Fig.2) [10, 12]. The presence of ganglia in proximal biopsies, transition-zone hypoganglionosis, and absent ganglia distally are classical signs of HSCR [13–16]. The aganglionic segment was thus measured and optimum myotomy length was tailored accordingly.

Stool frequency per given number of days and laxative usage was recorded. Laxative usage was recorded in units of laxative (UL) calculated as the product of “times standard dose” and “number of (types of) laxatives used.” Additional units were added for rectal enema use. The number of units of laxative required for regular bowel movement was recorded. Detailed consent was obtained from all patients (or, for children, their legal guardians) for PREM, after detailed discussion of available therapeutic options and their limitations and results.

The PREM procedure

Polyethylene glycol (PEG) solution (50 mL/kg body weight) was used for bowel preparation, administered over 2 consecutive days with a clear liquid, low-residue diet. Additional colonscopic bowel cleansing was performed when fecal loading was high. Intravenous third-generation cephalosporin and metronidazole were administered at commencement of PREM.

PREM was performed under general anesthesia with endotracheal intubation. Carbon dioxide insufflation was used throughout. Patients were operated on in a semi-jackknife position with buttocks strapped apart. Detailed steps of PREM (see ▶Video 1) have been described previously [9, 10]. A high-definition gastroscope (GIF-HQ190, Olympus) with a distal transparent attachment (Olympus) was used. Submucosal elevation was achieved using normal saline mixed with 0.25% methylene blue just inside the anorectal junction on the posterior rectal wall. The mucosal incision (15 mm) was made using a triangular tip TT-J knife (Olympus) and Endocut-Q current (Vio 200D, Erbe). After adequate submucosal space had been created, the endoscope was introduced into the tunnel. The clip-and-line technique was employed to facilitate entry whenever entry was difficult (▶Fig.3). Dissection in the submucosal tunnel continued close to the muscle layer and perpendicular to circular fibers (forced coagulation effect 2, 50W). The tunnel was extended beyond the spastic segment for the predefined distance (▶Fig.4). Full-thickness myotomy was performed using an IT2 knife (Olympus), starting at the apex of the submucosal tunnel in the caudad direction. Distally, internal anal sphincter fibers were divided in the cephalad direction (▶Fig.5). The external anal sphincter was carefully preserved.
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Hemostasis was achieved using Coagrasper forceps (Olympus) (soft coagulation effect 4, 80W). The mucosal incision was closed using endoclips.

Patients were hospitalized for observation. Oral diet was started after 12 hours and patients were discharged after their first bowel movement (defined as the first occurrence of natural stool passage after PREM). Time to first bowel movement was recorded. Low-dose lactulose was prescribed for 2 weeks.

Follow-up was at 4–6 weeks. Patients were assessed for stool frequency, involuntary bowel movements and soiling if any, constipation, and laxative usage. Digital rectal examination was performed to assess sphincter tone. Follow-up sigmoidoscopy was recommended in all cases and ARM and/or contrast enema in cases where there were residual symptoms. Subsequent telephonic follow-up was at 6-month intervals.

**Objectives and definitions**

The primary objective was to evaluate clinical improvement in constipation after PREM. Secondary objectives were: procedure-related immediate and delayed adverse events as defined using the ASGE lexicon [17]; time to first post-PREM bowel movement; and length of hospital stay (LOS).

Technical success was defined as successful completion of myotomy of the predefined length. Clinical success was defined...
as relief of constipation, improvement in stool frequency, and reduction in laxative usage.

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous variables were recorded as mean (± SD) and median (range, interquartile range [IQR]) and categorical variables as median (range, IQR). The paired t test was used to calculate P values within subgroups. P<0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

Nine patients underwent PREM during a 4-year period (December 2015 to November 2019). Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 1s (see online-only supplementary material). Mean age was 7.5 (± 5.2) years, median 4 (range 1–24) years; there were seven males. Symptom onset was at birth or during late infancy. SS-HSCR was confirmed on contrast enema and serial biopsies in all patients. Mean aganglionic segment length was 6.3 (±4.4) cm. All patients were treatment-naïve except for one, who had undergone stapled transanal rectal resection for assumed obstructed defecation syndrome 2 years earlier without symptom improvement.

PREM was technically successful in all nine patients. Mean procedure time was 96.1 (±37.9) minutes. No peri-PREM adverse events were encountered. Mean LOS was 2.5 (±0.7) days.

A median of 17 months (IQR 11–35, range 9–58) follow-up was available in all patients (Table 2s). Significant improvement in constipation was noted in all. Stool frequency improved from pre-PREM 1 in 4.4 (±1.5) days to post-PREM 1 in 1.2 (±0.4) days (P=0.0004). Laxative usage reduced from pre-PREM mean 5.4 UL (±4.9, range 2–18) to post-PREM mean 0.4 UL (±0.7, range 0–2) (P=0.0002). No laxative was required in 6/9 patients after PREM, while a less than 50% dose was required in the remaining 3. No new-onset incontinence was noted.

Post-PREM high-resolution ARM was obtained in 3/9 patients and revealed normalized basal and squeeze pressures. The first patient developed mild anal stenosis 8 months after the procedure, requiring a single session of digital anal dilatation.

**Discussion**

Traditionally, SS-HSCR has been treated by single or multistage transanal pull-through procedures, although high morbidity up to 40% is reported [3–5]. Minimally invasive transanal or endorectal approaches have also been reported as associated with a high incidence of internal anal sphincter damage [8]. In contrast to this, PREM brings new hope to these patients with SS-HSCR.

Posterior anorectal myotomy/myectomy without bowel resection has been effective for US-HSCR, but can only be applied for the distal 5–8 cm of the rectum [6–8]. PREM, being performed using third-space endoscopy principles, overcomes these surgical limitations and permits myotomy extension to the desired length. In the present case series, the longest aganglionic segment was 15 cm and the longest myotomy was 20 cm. PREM was successful over a wide range of ages (1–24 years). PREM can thus be considered a safe and widely applicable procedure for treatment of SS-HSCR.

Technical success was 100% and there were no peri-PREM adverse events. All patients had significant resolution of constipation, and incontinence was not encountered. ARM in 3/9 patients demonstrated normalized basal and squeeze pressures. Laxative usage reduced significantly from pre-PREM values. These results were sustained at a median of 17 months after PREM, with the longest follow-up being 58 months. No recurrences were noted. PREM, therefore, demonstrates excellent efficacy as a novel minimally invasive treatment for SS-HSCR.

A similar experimental procedure in porcine models – peranal endoscopic myotomy (PAEM) – was described for treatment of internal anal sphincter achalasia [18], but no further reference to human application is available. In our opinion, the term “per-rectal” is more suited in this regard since the myotomy extends inside the rectum and is not limited to the anal canal.

Recently, Bandres et al. reported successful PREM for postsurgical recurrent HSCR with impressive results at 8 months follow-up [19]. This is an interesting indication that requires further investigation.

The main limitations of this study are its retrospective design and small sample size, and the absence of established objective parameters for response evaluation after therapy. We have attempted to somewhat address this limitation by defining clinical parameters – stool frequency and laxative usage as measured in defined units of laxative (UL) – to assess post-PREM response. Post-PREM ARM was not systematically available for all due to the retrospective nature of the study.

In conclusion, PREM presents a novel minimally invasive treatment option for SS-HSCR with minimal morbidity and impressive medium-term and long-term efficacy. Further studies with a larger sample size are required to confirm these results.
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