Outpatient Induction of Labor – Are Balloon Catheters an Appropriate Method?

Ambulante Geburtseinleitung – sind Ballonkatheter eine geeignete Methode?
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ABSTRACT
As the number of labor inductions in high-income countries has steadily risen, hospital costs and the additional burden on obstetric staff have also increased. Outpatient induction of labor is therefore becoming increasingly important. It has been estimated that 20–50% of all pregnant women requiring induction would be eligible for outpatient induction. The use of balloon catheters in patients with an unripe cervix has been shown to be an effective and safe method of cervical priming. Balloon catheters are as effective as the vaginal administration of prostaglandin E2 or oral misoprostol. The advantage of using a balloon catheter is that it avoids uterine hyperstimulation and monitoring is less expensive. This makes balloon catheters a suitable option for outpatient cervical ripening. Admittedly, intravenous administration of oxytocin to induce or augment labor is required in approximately 75% of cases. Balloon catheters are not associated with a higher risk of maternal and neonatal infection compared to vaginal PGE2. Low-risk pregnancies (e.g., post-term pregnancies, gestational diabetes) are suitable for outpatient cervical ripening with a balloon catheter. The data for high-risk pregnancies are still insufficient. The following conditions are recommended when considering an outpatient approach: strict selection of appropriate patients (singleton pregnancy, cephalic presentation, intact membranes), CTG monitoring for 20–40 minutes after balloon placement, the patient must be given detailed instructions about the indications for immediate readmission to hospital, and 24-hour phone access to the hospital must be ensured. According to reviewed studies, the balloon catheter remained in place between 12 hours ("overnight") and 24 hours. The most common reason for readmission to hospital was expulsion of the balloon catheter. The advantages of outpatient versus inpatient induction of cervical ripening with a balloon catheter were the significantly shorter hospital stay, the lower costs, and higher patient satisfaction, with both procedures having been shown to be equally effective. Complication rates (e.g., vaginal bleeding, severe pain, uterine hy-
Introduction

In the last 20 years, labor induction rates have almost doubled in high-income countries, with reported rates of 23.2% in the USA in 2014 [1], 24% in Australia in 2015 [2], 29.4% in the United Kingdom in 2016/2017 [3] and 21.8% in Germany in 2017 [4]. In Germany, medication is used to induce labor in more than 95% of cases.

According to a nation-wide survey in Germany 2013 (542 hospitals), the majority (66%) reported that they used oral misoprostol for cervical ripening and only 1.8% used balloon catheters [5]. This survey is currently being updated.

The advantages of prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) and misoprostol are their high efficacy (e.g., the rate of vaginal deliveries/24 hours) in patients with an unripe cervix (Bishop Score [BS] < 6) because of the pharmacological synergism between cervical ripening and its myometrium-stimulating effect. But these two effects of prostaglandins (PG) cannot easily (or at all) be separated from each other [6].

The disadvantages of PG are the unpredictable onset of action (e.g., 2 mg vaginal PGE2 gel uterine contractions may occur from <1 to >10 h after administration), making it almost impossible to control the onset of action; the lack of evidence-based recommendations for monitoring (CTG); and the unpredictable variation and high rates of uterine hyperstimulation (polysystoles, uterine hypertonia, uterine hyperstimulation syndrome) which ranges from 3 to 20% [7]. Uterine hyperstimulation syndrome may occur in 1.8–7.2% of cases following the administration of 1–2 mg vaginal PGE2 gel, 3 mg vaginal PGE2 tablets or a 10 mg vaginal PGE2 pessary [7].

The frequency of uterine hyperstimulation syndrome following the administration of misoprostol depends on the dose. The mean frequency for oral misoprostol administered in a single dose of ≤50 µg is 3% [8]. It is associated with a risk of fetal hyoxia, particularly in cases with intrauterine growth restriction/pregnancies with limited placental function.

Inducing uterine contractions when the cervix is still unripe does not accelerate the birth; instead, it places additional stress on the fetoplacental unit due to contraction-related uterine hypoperfusion and reduces acceptance of the method among pregnant women (painful contractions).

One strategy which is increasingly being propagated is to only start inducing contractions after sufficient cervical ripening [9].
Transcervical balloon catheters are an effective method for cervical ripening without inducing significant uterine contractions and have no systemic maternal side-effects [10,11]. The effort and costs of monitoring are significantly lower compared to monitoring following PG administration. In a Cochrane review published in 2019 [12], the risk of uterine hyperstimulation syndrome with balloon catheters was found to be significantly lower than after administering vaginal PGE2 (RR 0.35; 95% CI: 0.18–0.67) or vaginal misoprostol (RR 0.39; 95% CI: 0.18–0.85). According to this Cochrane review, the data on oral misoprostol are less clear.

A network meta-analysis published in 2016 (96 randomized controlled studies [RCTs], n = 17387) found that the frequency of uterine hyperstimulation was significantly lower with the Foley catheter compared to vaginal PGE2 or after the administration of different doses of vaginal or oral misoprostol [13]. Another meta-analysis, also published in 2016 (9 RCTs, n = 1866), found a 10-times higher frequency of uterine hyperstimulation after vaginal PGE2 administration compared to double balloon catheter placement, even though the two methods were similarly effective (rate of vaginal deliveries/24 hours) and had similar caesarean section rates [14]. In a systematic review performed in 2017 [15], uterine hyperstimulation (2.7%) and pathological CTG (10.8%) occurred almost exclusively in the context of labor induction/augmentation using intravenous oxytocin.

However, the need for intravenous oxytocin was significantly higher in patients treated with a balloon catheter compared to patients who received vaginal PGE2 (RR 1.54; 95% CI: 1.35–1.76), vaginal misoprostol (RR 1.62; 95% CI: 1.38–1.90) or oral misoprostol (RR 1.28; 95% CI: 1.09–1.49) [12].

There were no significant differences in patient satisfaction between Foley/double balloon catheters and vaginal PGE2 gel [16], vaginal PGE2 pessary [17] and oral misoprostol [18].

The findings on the risk of infection from placement of a “foreign body” in the uterus are contradictory [12,19,20]. It is important to note that the administration of PGE2 also requires repeated vaginal manipulation/applications which could also potentially increase the risk of infection.

In contrast to the meta-analysis by Heinemann et al. [19], who reported a significantly higher rate of maternal infections (chorioamnionitis, endomyometritis), following the use of a Foley catheter compared to drug-based methods of inducing labor, two subsequent meta-analyses [12,20] came to the conclusion that use of a Foley catheter did not significantly increase the frequency of chorioamnionitis (7.2 vs. 7.2%), endometritis (3.8 vs. 3.5%), or neonatal infections (3.2 vs. 3.6%) compared to locally applied PGE2 [20].

Because of its efficacy and safety, balloon catheters are a suitable method for cervical ripening/induction of labor [21] and therefore represent a promising option for outpatient induction of labor.

Compared to inpatient induction of labor, outpatient induction is associated with higher patient satisfaction (home environment, support of partner and family), more sleep, no increase in the level of anxiety [22–25], less work for obstetric staff [26] and a reduction of hospital costs [27,28].

On the other hand, the important issue is the safety of this outpatient method for mother and infant.

This review looks at the current status of outpatient versus inpatient cervical priming/induction of labor using balloon catheters and compares the use of balloon catheters in an outpatient setting with inpatient application of PGE2.

**Review**

**Comparison of outpatient with inpatient cervical ripening using a balloon catheter (» Table 1)**

A Cochrane review published in 2013 included 4 randomized controlled studies (n = 1439) on outpatient versus inpatient induction of labor, 3 of which used vaginal prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) and one (n = 111) which used Foley catheters. The data of all 4 studies were insufficient and did not permit any statement to be made about the efficacy and safety of outpatient induction of labor [29]. This Cochrane review included an RCT in which cervical ripening was carried out using a Foley catheter (12 Charrière [Ch], filling volume 30 ml) in 61 pregnant women on an outpatient basis and in 50 pregnant women in an inpatient setting. Reasons for inducing labor were post-term pregnancy in the majority of cases; all patients had a median initial BS of 3 and a singleton pregnancy in vertex presentation [30]. The catheter was placed in the evening and a CTG was carried out for 20 minutes after placement; if the results of the CTG were normal, the pregnant woman was discharged home until 6 a.m. the next morning after being given detailed written information on how to proceed. The subsequent induction of labor was done by intravenous administration of oxytocin.

There were no significant differences between the groups with regard to improvement in the Bishop Score (primary outcome criterion), maximum oxytocin dose, rate of caesarean sections, and perinatal outcomes. The inpatient hospital stay was significantly (p < 0.001) shorter (by 9.6 hours), and patient satisfaction determined using a visual analog scale was higher in the outpatient setting. 8% of outpatient pregnant women were readmitted to hospital ahead of schedule for premature rupture of membranes or start of contractions; there were no cases of uterine hyperstimulation in either of the groups. The limitations of this study are the imprecise data on the length of time the balloon catheter was left in place, the rate of catheter expulsions, and the oxytocin dose/amount of oxytocin required as well as the inadequate statistical power with regard to complications after catheter placement in an outpatient setting (e.g., intrauterine fetal death (IUD), placental abruption, umbilical cord prolapse) and neonatal outcome.

A retrospective case-control study investigated a total of 615 pregnant women with an unripe cervix who underwent cervical ripening with a Foley catheter (16 Ch, filling volume 30 ml), the majority because of post-term pregnancy (41%), gestational diabetes (16.6%) or oligohydramnios (11.3%). Women were treated either on an outpatient (n = 300) or inpatient (n = 315) basis [31]. Induction of contractions was subsequently carried out by intravenous administration of oxytocin and early artificial rupture of membranes. The catheter remained in place for approx. 12 hours (from the evening until the next morning).
electronic analysis. Inclusion criteria were unripe cervix, singleton until 6 a.m. the next morning) were evaluated in a retrospective. was done in the early evening and the catheter remained in place for approx. 10 hours observation period of 2 hours following catheter placement and the catheter remaining in place for approx. 10 hours. There were no cases of placental abruption in this period. The authors came to the conclusion that, provided strict selection criteria were presented a safe method for outpatient cervical ripening [32]. The safety of balloon catheters (Foley and double balloon catheters, filling volume 30–80 ml) for outpatient cervical priming was evaluated in 2017 in a systematic review [33]. A total of 26 RCTs and cohort studies of pregnant women with cephalic presentation, a live fetus, and unripe cervix were investigated (number of pregnant women = 8292). Induction of labor was carried out on an outpatient or inpatient basis in high-risk (including previous caesarean section) and low-risk pregnancies. Primary outcome criteria were complications in the period between placement of the balloon catheter and its expulsion. In the majority of cases (> 90%), women were induced for post-term pregnancy.

There was no significant difference between groups with regard to the induction-to-delivery interval or the overall rate of caesarean sections. However, the number of caesarean sections because of failure to progress in labor was significantly higher in the group which had outpatient cervical priming (39.7 vs. 31.2%; p < 0.001) compared to inpatient cervical priming, while the percentage of c-sections carried out because of fetal distress was significantly higher in the inpatient group (14.5 vs. 11.1%, p < 0.001). The hospital stay of the outpatient group was significantly shorter (2.5 ± 1.4 vs. 3.5 ± 3.0 days, p < 0.001). There were no significant differences with regard to maternal febrile morbidity (6.4 vs. 10.3%, p = 0.08) or the rate of neonatal infections (2.3 vs. 4.8%, p = 0.13). Outpatient cervical ripening resulted in cost savings amounting to a total of $165,000. However, the retrospective design of the study, possible selection bias, and the lack of data about the subsequent procedures used to induce labor and the method-related infections limits the validity of this study.

To determine the safety of outpatient catheter use, the data of 1905 pregnant women who underwent cervical ripening with a Foley catheter (16 Ch, filling volume 30 ml) in hospital with an observation period of 2 hours following catheter placement and the catheter remaining in place for approx. 10–12 hours (placement was done in the early evening and the catheter remained in place until 6 a.m. the next morning) were evaluated in a retrospective electronic analysis. Inclusion criteria were unripe cervix, singleton

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Year</th>
<th>No. of out-patients/inpatients</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Primary outcome criteria</th>
<th>Main indication for induction</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sciscione AL 2001*</td>
<td>61/50</td>
<td>randomized</td>
<td>Improvement of BS during balloon placement</td>
<td>elective, PTP</td>
<td>Primary outcome criterion: no significant differences Significant: shorter hospital stay (~ 9.6 h), lower costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mc Kenna 2004*</td>
<td>300/315</td>
<td>retrospective case-controlled</td>
<td>Rate of vaginal deliveries Febrile morbidity</td>
<td>PTP, pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>Primary outcome criteria: no significant differences Significant: shorter hospital stay, lower costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilkinson C 2015*</td>
<td>33/15</td>
<td>randomized controlled</td>
<td>Oxytocin requirement Caesarean section rate</td>
<td>PTP</td>
<td>Primary outcome criteria: lower oxytocin use, lower caesarean section rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kruit H 2016*</td>
<td>204/281</td>
<td>prospective cohorts</td>
<td>Caesarean section rate Maternal/neonatal infection</td>
<td>PTP</td>
<td>Primary outcome criteria: no significant differences Significant: longer induction-to-delivery interval Median: 1842 vs. 1486 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policiano C 2017*</td>
<td>65/65</td>
<td>randomized controlled</td>
<td>Improvement of BS during balloon placement</td>
<td>PTP</td>
<td>Primary outcome criteria: no significant differences Significant: shorter induction-to-delivery interval (38.2 vs. 44.9 h) shorter hospital stay (~ 10 h) lower caesarean section rate due to failure to progress in labor (3 vs. 17%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Duration of balloon placement: approx. 12 h ("overnight")
* Duration of balloon placement: up to 24 h
Abbreviations: BS = Bishop Score, PTP = post-term pregnancy
The prevalence of pain following catheter placement was 0.26%, the rate of artificial rupture of membranes was 0.04%, the vaginal bleeding rate was 0.07%, and balloon dislocation occurred in 0.07% of cases. Polysystole occurred in 1: 4812 pregnant women and uterine hypertonia in 1: 3707 pregnant women.

The limitations of this systematic review are the heterogeneity of the studies (study design, intervention methods, demographic differences), the lack of standardization of outcome criteria, the lack of information on complications occurring between expulsion of the catheter and readmission to hospital of patients treated on an outpatient basis, and the insufficient differentiation between high-risk and low-risk cohorts with regard to complication rates.

In accordance with Sciscione et al. [32], the authors came to the conclusion that outpatient cervical ripening with balloon catheters is a safe method in low-risk pregnancies.

A randomized pilot study of 48 low-risk pregnant women with unripe cervix (BS \( \leq 6 \)) and post-term pregnancy treated with placement of a double balloon catheter (filling volume 70–80 ml), with the catheter remaining in place for approx. 12 hours and CTG monitoring carried out for 20 minutes after placement, showed no significant differences between outpatient and inpatient cervical ripening with regard to efficacy (rate of vaginal deliveries), c-section rate, maternal morbidity, and neonatal outcome. The amount of oxytocin required was almost 24% lower in the outpatient group than in the comparison group. This was attributed to the fact that pregnant women are “more relaxed in their home environment” and the probability that labor will start spontaneously is higher. Acceptance of outpatient cervical ripening was very high among pregnant women and obstetric staff (90%) [24]. The limitations of this study are its low case numbers and insufficient statistical power, particularly with regard to possible complications and neonatal outcomes.

A retrospective cohort study from Finland published in 2016 [34] included a total of 485 pregnant women with uncomplicated singleton pregnancy, intact membranes, cephalic presentation, gestational age \( \geq 37 \)th week of gestation and a BS < 6. Cervical ripening was carried out by placement of a Foley catheter (22 Ch, filling volume 40–50 ml) either as an outpatient (n = 204) or inpatient (n = 281) procedure. In 90% of cases, post-term pregnancy was the reason for inducing labor. After receiving written information about the procedure, undergoing vaginal and ultrasound examination and CTG monitoring for 20 minutes, patients were discharged home with the stipulation that they must return to hospital in the event of vaginal bleeding, fever, rupture of membranes or decreased fetal movements or at the latest 24 hours after placement of the catheter. Patients with a BS \( \geq 6 \) then underwent amniotomy and, where necessary, received intravenous oxytocin; patients with a BS < 6 received vaginal misoprostol under continuous CTG monitoring. The main reason for contacting the hospital was expulsion of the catheter (59.3%).

No cases of severe vaginal bleeding, severe pain, placental abruption, IUDF or signs of infection were noted in patients treated on an outpatient basis; only 8.9% of the pregnant women had an unripe cervix (BS < 6) following expulsion/removal of the balloon catheter.

There were no significant differences between nulliparous and multiparous in both groups with regard to caesarean section rate, oxytocin administration to augment labor, intrapartum or postpartum infections, postpartum bleeding, or neonatal outcome. The induction-to-delivery interval was significantly longer in the group of pregnant women treated on an outpatient basis (median: 1842 minutes) compared to the group of women who remained in hospital (median: 1486 minutes, \( p < 0.001 \)). This was attributed to the fact that pregnant women treated on an outpatient basis were allowed to stay at home even after expulsion of the catheter. Caesarean section for fetal distress occurred significantly more often in nulliparous treated on an inpatient basis (48.4 vs. 25%, \( p = 0.007 \)); caesarean section because of failure to progress in labor occurred significantly more often in the outpatient group (63 vs. 43.8%, \( p = 0.02 \)). However, multivariate regression analysis found that outpatient cervical ripening was not associated with c-section rates. 85.3% of women in the outpatient group were satisfied with the procedure used; there are no comparable data for women in the inpatient group.

The limitations of this study are a lack of randomization and possible selection bias caused by the disproportionate assignment of pregnant women with post-term pregnancy to the inpatient group.

In another randomized study [35] of 130 pregnant women with singleton pregnancy, cephalic presentation, BS < 6, post-term pregnancy > 41st week of gestation and other medical indications for inducing labor, women were randomized into outpatient or inpatient groups to undergo cervical ripening with a Foley catheter (16 Ch, filling volume 50 ml), with 65 women in each group. The catheter was left in place for 24 hours. Reasons to induce labor included post-term pregnancy (60%) and high-risk pregnancies (e.g., hypertension, diabetes). Subsequent induction of labor was done by administering oxytocin in cases with a BS of \( \geq 6 \) or applying vaginal misoprostol in cases with a BS < 6.

The primary outcome criterion of the study was improvement of the Bishop Score during the cervical ripening phase using a balloon catheter. In this respect, there were no statistically significant differences between both groups (mean improvement of BS: 3.4 vs. 2.9), and the cervical length measured on ultrasound was also similar. The only statistically significant differences between the two groups were the mean induction-to-delivery interval (38.2 vs. 44.9 hours), the lower c-section rate for failure to progress in labor (3 vs. 17%, \( p = 0.02 \)) and the mean inpatient stay (23.4 vs. 35.5 hours, \( p < 0.001 \)) in favor of outpatient cervical ripening. The rate of vaginal deliveries was also slightly higher in the outpatient group compared to the inpatient group (72 vs. 62%, \( p = 0.19 \)). No complications were observed during the cervical ripening phase using a balloon catheter. The limitations of this study are its lack of statistical power because of the limited number of cases for secondary outcome criteria (including induction-to-delivery interval, mode of delivery, complications). The authors stated that outpatient cervical ripening with a balloon catheter is an efficient and safe method which does not increase maternal morbidity.

A randomized controlled study which included 129 pregnant women (multiparous, \( \geq 39 \)th week of gestation, cervical dilatation < 3 cm and normal CTG) reported that use of a Foley catheter (14 Ch, filling volume 30 ml) treated on an outpatient basis (duration of catheter placement: 12 hours) did not result in a significant
Table 2  Comparison of labor induction by outpatient balloon catheter placement with inpatient administration of vaginal PGE2 gel in patients with an unripe cervix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Year</th>
<th>No. of pts. in balloon/ PGE2 group</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Primary outcome criterion</th>
<th>Main indication for labor induction</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Henry A 2013</td>
<td>50/51 randomized</td>
<td>Rate of vaginal deliveries/12 h after admission to labor ward Stay in hospital prior to delivery</td>
<td>PTP</td>
<td>Primary outcome criteria: (significant) • Rate of vaginal deliveries/12 h: 28 vs. 53 % • Duration of hospital stay: 21 vs. 32 h Significant: • More oxytocin required: 88 vs. 59 % • Hours of sleep: 5.8 vs. 3.4 h • Pain during cervical ripening: 26 vs. 58 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beckmann M 2020</td>
<td>215/233 randomized, controlled</td>
<td>Overall neonatal morbidity</td>
<td>PTP</td>
<td>Primary outcome criterion: no significant differences Significant: • Lower overall neonatal morbidity for nulliparae: 20.4 vs. 31 % (p = 0.032) • Multiparae: higher c-section rate: 17.2 vs. 5.1 % (p = 0.045)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Year</th>
<th>No. of pts. in balloon/ PGE2 group</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Primary outcome criterion</th>
<th>Main indication for labor induction</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Henry A 2013</td>
<td>50/51 randomized</td>
<td>Rate of vaginal deliveries/12 h after admission to labor ward Stay in hospital prior to delivery</td>
<td>PTP</td>
<td>Primary outcome criteria: (significant) • Rate of vaginal deliveries/12 h: 28 vs. 53 % • Duration of hospital stay: 21 vs. 32 h Significant: • More oxytocin required: 88 vs. 59 % • Hours of sleep: 5.8 vs. 3.4 h • Pain during cervical ripening: 26 vs. 58 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beckmann M 2020</td>
<td>215/233 randomized, controlled</td>
<td>Overall neonatal morbidity</td>
<td>PTP</td>
<td>Primary outcome criterion: no significant differences Significant: • Lower overall neonatal morbidity for nulliparae: 20.4 vs. 31 % (p = 0.032) • Multiparae: higher c-section rate: 17.2 vs. 5.1 % (p = 0.045)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BS = Bishop Score, PTP = post-term pregnancy, pts. = patients

decrease in the mean interval between admission to the labor ward and delivery compared to inpatient treatment (Foley catheter and concomitant administration of oxytocin) (12.4 vs. 13.5 hours) [36].

Balloon catheter versus prostaglandins for outpatient induction of labor

Local application of prostaglandin E2 and oral and vaginal misoprostol have also been used in numerous studies on outpatient induction of labor [26, 37, 38]. Because of the unpredictable occurrence of uterine hyperstimulation, the standard method recommended in guidelines when using PG is to induce labor in an inpatient setting [39]. There are currently only a few studies which have compared cervical priming/induction of labor with PG in an inpatient setting with cervical priming/induction of labor with a balloon catheter in an outpatient setting.

In a prospective randomized study of 101 pregnant women (singleton pregnancy, cephalic presentation, ≥ 37th week of gestation, BS < 7), cervical ripening/induction of labor was either performed as an outpatient procedure using a Foley catheter (n = 50, 16 Ch, filling volume 30 ml) or by administering 2 mg vaginal PGE2 gel to nulliparae or 1 mg to multiparae (n = 51) [40]. CTG monitoring for 30 minutes after placement was mandatory. The catheter remained in place “overnight” until about 7 a.m. the following morning (more exact information is not provided). In the majority of cases, induction of labor was for post-term pregnancy or gestational diabetes, hypertensive pregnancy disorders or cholestasis of pregnancy. Primary outcome criteria were the rate of vaginal deliveries within 12 hours after admission to the labor ward and the duration of hospital stay. When cervical ripening was carried out as an outpatient procedure using a Foley catheter, the duration of hospital stay before delivery was significantly shorter (21.3 vs. 32.4 hours, p = 0.001), the rate of vaginal deliveries within 12 hours significantly lower (28 vs. 53%, p = 0.01), and the need for oxytocin significantly higher (88 vs. 59%, p = 0.01) compared to the group of inpatient women treated with PGE2. The pain perception rate was found to be significantly lower after outpatient procedures (26 vs. 58%, p = 0.003) and the amount of sleep the patients had was significantly longer (5.8 vs. 3.4 hours, p < 0.001). There were no significant differences between groups with regard to frequency of fever, pathological CTG, postpartum bleeding, or perinatal outcomes; the uterine hyperstimulation rate was 0 with the Foley catheter and 4% for PGE2.

The limitations of this study are the insufficient number of pregnant women included in the study (originally planned as n ≥ 240), which resulted in an insufficient statistical power for all of the study’s secondary outcome criteria, and a lack of data about complications during the cervical ripening phase, the precise duration of balloon catheter placement, and the priming effect (BS).

The authors did not subsequently make any explicit recommendations for or against either of the procedures.

Another randomized controlled multicenter study was published in 2020 [41]. It evaluated 215 pregnant women who underwent outpatient cervical ripening using a balloon catheter (double balloon, filling volume 80 ml) and 233 pregnant women who underwent inpatient cervical ripening and received either 2 mg vaginal PGE2 gel or a 10 mg vaginal PGE2 pessary. Inclusion criteria were low-risk pregnancies (elective induction of labor, post-term pregnancy or advanced maternal age ≥ 40 years) and a BS < 7. After placement, CTG monitoring was carried out for 30 minutes. The catheter remained in place for approx. 12 hours. Subsequent procedures used to induce labor consisted of amniotomy, where possible, or intravenous oxytocin or another application of PGE2. Primary outcome criterion of the study was overall neonatal morbidity.

There was no statistically significant difference in the overall neonatal morbidity between the two groups (18.6 vs. 25.8%, RR 0.77; 95% CI: 0.51–1.02; p = 0.07). The rates of operative deliveries, fetal distress and perinatal outcomes also did not differ sta-
tistically significantly between groups. However, a subgroup analysis found a significantly lower overall neonatal morbidity in nulliparae treated with placement of a balloon catheter compared to administration of PGE2 (20.4 vs. 31.0%, p = 0.032), in particular, a lower frequency of antibiotic administration to neonates and arterial umbilical cord values of < 7.10. The rate of caesarean sections in nulliparae was similarly high in both groups (38.2 vs. 31.1%); however, in the group of multiparae, it was significantly higher in those who had balloon catheter placement compared to the group of multiparae treated with PGE2 (17.2 vs. 5.1%; p = 0.045).

The authors commented their results as follows: they attributed the relative difference in caesarean section rates between nulliparae and multiparae to the reduced mechanical effect of the balloon catheter in multiparae resulting from the decreased cervical dilation associated with a lower endogenous prostaglandin release from the cervical tissue. Previous caesarean section was an exclusion criterion in this study, and it does therefore not explain the comparatively high rate of caesarean sections. The higher acidosmsis rate after PGE2 administration was explained by the more common frequency of uterine hyperstimulation compared to the balloon catheter group, while the comparatively higher frequency of antibiotic administration to neonates was attributed to the more frequent vaginal examinations in the PGE2 group which are associated with a higher risk of infection.

The authors commented that outpatient cervical ripening using a balloon catheter offers better results for nulliparae than inpatient induction of labor using vaginal PGE2, but this does not apply to multiparae.

A randomized controlled study from Australia (OBLIGE trial, ACTRN 12616000739415) is currently recruiting pregnant women up until December 2020 (planned number of patients: n = 1552), who will either undergo outpatient cervical ripening with a balloon catheter (duration of catheter placement 18–24 hours) or inpatient treatment with vaginal PGE2 gel/PGE2 pessary. Inclusion criteria are singleton pregnancy, cephalic presentation, gestational age ≥ 37th week of gestation, intact membranes, BS < 7 and distance to hospital ≤ 1 hour. Primary outcome criterion of this multicenter study is the rate of caesarean sections. It is hypothesized that the caesarean section rate will be lower following outpatient balloon catheter use than after administration of PGE2 in an inpatient setting [42].

A cost-effectiveness analysis was recently carried out in the Netherlands [28], based on data obtained from the PROBAAT II trial [43]. This randomized controlled study showed that there were no significant differences with regard to the rates of caesarean section, postpartum bleeding, and perinatal outcomes between labor induction using 50 µg oral misoprostol every 4 hours and labor induction with a Foley catheter (filling volume 30 ml) followed by amniotomy/intravenous administration of oxytocin in pregnant women at term with an unripe cervix. The cost analysis was based on an evaluation of 924 pregnant women in the misoprostol and 921 in the balloon catheter group. The mean overall hospital costs of both procedures were roughly comparable. However, cervical ripening using a Foley catheter in an outpatient setting resulted in significant cost savings of an average of € 981/pregnant woman in this low-risk cohort.

**Discussion**

As the labor induction rates have continually risen in high-income countries, the hospital costs and the burden on obstetric staff have also increased. The satisfaction of pregnant women and self-determination/self-regulation is also becoming more and more important in obstetrics. Inducing labor when the cervix is still unripe is often associated with long induction-to-delivery intervals, which are stressful for pregnant women and increase the costs.

Prostaglandin E2, misoprostol and balloon catheters are the most commonly used approaches investigated in studies [26, 37, 38]. A Cochrane review carried out in 2010 (28 studies, n = 2610) came to the conclusion that the data on outpatient procedures to induce labor are insufficient with regard to the efficacy and safety of procedures [45]. A Cochrane review published in 2013 relating to the same issue [29] which included 4 RCTs (n = 1439), 3 of which used vaginal PGE2 and one which used a Foley catheter (n = 111), came to similar conclusions. A more recent Cochrane review published in 2017 [46] included 34 RCTs (n = 5028) with 11 different methods for outpatient induction of labor. Although the overall risk of severe complications was low, there was insufficient evidence on which method of outpatient induction of labor should be preferred in terms of efficacy and safety. It should be noted, however, that this review did not include any studies of balloon catheters.

**Comparison of PGE2 with balloon catheter**

The key advantage of using PG (PGE2, misoprostol) is their high efficacy (rate of vaginal deliveries/24 hours) because of the pharmacological synergism of cervical ripening and induction of labor, two effects which cannot be clinically separated from one another [6]. The disadvantages of using PG are that they are difficult to control because the onset of action is unpredictable; they need to be applied repeatedly, which potentially increases the risk of infection, the costs of monitoring are higher; and, above all, they are associated with an unpredictable occurrence of uterine hyperstimulation, most often polysystoles in up to 20% of cases [7], which do not lead to labor progress. Uterine hyperstimulation syndrome may result in fetal hypoxia caused by acute hypoperfusion of the fetoplacental unit.

A total of 425 pregnant women were included in the currently largest randomized controlled study on outpatient and inpatient induction of labor using vaginal PGE2 gel (1 mg for multiparae, 2 mg for nulliparae), with the majority of women being induced because of post-term pregnancy (outpatients n = 215; inpatients n = 210) [47]. After an initial 40-minute monitoring phase, 21.9%

(47/215) were not discharged home because of contractions, pathological CTG, or because the patient had changed her mind and did not want to be discharged home. Of the remaining 168 pregnant women in this group, 38.1% (n = 64) had to be admitted to hospital early because of contractions, premature rupture of membranes or anxiety, leaving only 48.3% (104/215) of all pregnant women in the study who remained at home until the next morning. The uterine hyperstimulation rate was 3% [47]. The authors stated that vaginal PGE2 gel is not a suitable method for outpatient induction of labor. As a consequence of their study, the authors have switched their procedure to outpatient cervical ripening using a balloon catheter [48].

With the off-label use of Foley catheters (filling volume: 30–60 ml) and the use of double balloon catheters (filling volume: 80 ml) which have been approved for labor induction (duration of catheter placement ranging from 12 to 24 hours) methods are available which are as effective as vaginal PGE2 gel in achieving cervical ripening [49], but which are not associated with an increased risk of uterine hyperstimulation [6]. The uterine hyperstimulation rate after catheter placement is reported to be between 0 and 0.4% [50–52]. This is significantly lower than the reported rates for vaginal PGE2 gel.

Other relevant advantages of balloon catheters compared to PGE2/misoprostol for the induction of labor in women with unripe cervix are the lower costs of monitoring and the associated reduction in staffing hours and the ease of storage at room temperature compared to PGE2 [37]. The results of cost-effectiveness analyses are controversial [10, 53, 54].

In 14–33% of cases, use of a balloon catheter alone led to the development of uterine contractions during cervical ripening, while 67–86% of cases required intravenous administration of oxytocin to induce/augment labor [6]. In the largest randomized, controlled study carried out to date (PROBAAT trial, n = 824), the use of oxytocin to augment contractions was necessary in 86% of cases treated with a Foley catheter and in 59% of women treated with vaginal PGE2 (p < 0.0001) [49]. Uterine hyperstimulation after placement of a balloon catheter occurred almost exclusively following subsequent administration of oxytocin in 2.0–2.7% of pregnant women [15, 49].

Problems do occasionally occur when placing balloon catheters. For inserting a double balloon catheter the cervical canal should be patent for at least 6 mm [6], up to 4% of pregnant women may experience mostly slight pain when the catheter is inserted [15].

According to the findings of a systematic review [33], the estimated prevalence in a low-risk cohort during the cervical ripening phase was 0.26% for pain/discomfort, 0.07% for vaginal bleeding, 0.07% for dislocation of the balloon catheter, 0.04% for artificial rupture of membranes, and 0.01% for pathological CTG; there were no reported cases of umbilical cord prolapse. However, because of the substantial heterogeneity of the studies and the selection bias, these results should be interpreted with caution. They differ from those reported by Kruit et al. [34], who observed higher rates of pain (2%), vaginal bleeding (1.5%) and artificial rupture of membranes (2%) after placement of a balloon catheter.

It is also important to point out the potential risk of umbilical cord prolapse, an event that has been reported in individual cases [41]. Other serious complications such as placental abruption or intrauterine fetal death have not occurred according to an extensive analysis of the data [32].

Comparison between outpatient and inpatient cervical ripening using balloon catheters

Comparative studies which evaluated outcomes of outpatient and inpatient cervical ripening with balloon catheters have been very heterogeneous, particularly with regard to the study design (controlled randomized studies versus retrospective cohort studies), the primary outcome criteria (cf. Tables 1 and 2), the type and use of balloon catheters (Foley versus double balloon catheter, filling volume 30–80 ml, with or without traction, duration of placement 12–24 hours) and the obstetric approach (different monitoring protocols, different methods to induce labor with oxytocin/amniotomy, vaginal PGE2, misoprostol). In two studies, a distance to the obstetric hospital of > 30–60 minutes was an exclusion criterion [30, 41]. In almost all of the studies, the initial BS was ≤ 6; in 5 studies, the period the balloon catheter remained in situ was approx. 12 hours (“overnight”) [24, 25, 30, 31], while in 3 studies the catheter remained in place for up to 24 hours [35, 42]. It is generally thought that balloon catheters can remain in situ for up to 3 days without increasing the risk [13, 43, 50, 55].

The most common indications for induction of labor were elective, post-term pregnancy >41st week of gestation, suspicion of fetal macrosomia, and gestational diabetes; 2 studies also included high-risk pregnancies (e.g., preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, IUGR) [21, 35] or previous caesarean section [34]. In the majority of studies, it was pointed out that an outpatient procedure can only be justified in low-risk pregnancies.

CTG monitoring for 20–40 minutes after placement of the catheter was mandatory in all studies. Some studies also carried out sonography to determine fetal position and amniotic fluid volumes and to exclude placenta previa [30, 34]. This approach increases the safety and affects the selection of pregnant women considered suitable for an outpatient procedure.

Written informed consent of the pregnant woman was also mandatory. This included providing information to the patient about the circumstances which would require her to contact the hospital or return to hospital for readmission. Accordingly, the patient must be informed about the following risks: painful contractions, fever, vaginal bleeding, rupture of membranes, reduced fetal movements, difficulty in urinating, expulsion of the balloon catheter.

In the currently largest prospective cohort study [34], expulsion of the catheter during a period of up to 24 hours after placement was the most common reason (59.3%) for contacting the hospital, followed by contractions (6.9%), premature rupture of membranes (2%), and vaginal bleeding (1.5%). The observation that only 8.9% still had an unripe cervix (BS < 6) at the time of readmission to hospital is clinically important.

Several studies have pointed out that 24-hour contact to the hospital must be ensured for patients having outpatient induction of labor [24, 30, 34, 41], while other studies did not provide any information on this point [25, 34, 35].
Table 3 Approach for outpatient induction of labor using a balloon catheter.

- **Indication:** only low risk pregnancies; e.g., post-term pregnancy ≥ 41st week of gestation; indication must be confirmed by a consultant.
- **Pre-procedure discussion:** information about potential pain, bleeding, premature rupture of membranes, expulsion of the catheter.
- **Informed consent**
- **Prior to placement:** cervical status (BS < 6), sonography (placental location, amniotic fluid volume, fetal position), CTG for 30 min.
- **E.g., at 8:00 p.m.:** placement of double balloon catheter (80 ml) or Foley catheter (50 ml); fixation, poss. sonographic control, CTG → monitored in hospital for approx. 2 h → normal CTG → discharged home.
- **Before being discharged:** instructions (oral/written); immediate readmission for vaginal bleeding, rupture of membranes, fever, severe pain, contractions every 5–10 min, balloon expulsion.
- **Ensure hospital can be contacted easily (telephone number).**
- **E.g., at 8:00 a.m.:** readmission; cervical status, CTG, temperature → removal of the balloon;
  - BS > 6 intravenous oxytocin
  - BS ≤ 6 e.g., oral misoprostol

Table 4 Inclusion criteria for outpatient induction of labor with a balloon catheter.

- Pregnant woman’s preference for outpatient procedure, age ≥ 18 years.
- Gestational age ≥ 37 + 0 weeks of gestation.
- Singleton pregnancy, cephalic presentation.
- No low-lying placenta/placenta previa.
- Low risk: e.g., no preeclampsia/underlying maternal disease, no previous caesarean section, negative group B Strept swab test.
- Normal lab test at admission.
- No fever (temperature < 37.6 °C ear thermometer).
- Normal CTG.
- Good communication with the patient, patient’s compliance, pregnant woman can return to hospital within a short time.

Table 3 provides a summary of the procedure for outpatient induction of labor using balloon catheters and Table 4 summarizes the inclusion criteria of the different studies.

As regards primary outcome criteria such as improvement of the BS, vaginal delivery rate, frequency of caesarean sections, and infection rates, there was no significant difference between outpatient and inpatient cervical ripening with balloon catheters. Similarly, there were no significant differences between the two procedures in terms of the need for oxytocin, uterine hyperstimulation rate (n = 0) and neonatal outcome. This indicates that both approaches are equally effective and safe.

The findings on caesarean section rates due to failed induction or failure to progress to labor in the different studies are contradictory [30, 31, 35] as are the results for the induction-to-delivery interval [34, 35]. The studies consistently found that the outpatient procedure significantly decreased the time spent in hospital by up to 10 hours and that pregnant women were highly satisfied with the outpatient procedure for cervical ripening. Between 6.7 and 13.5% of cases had to return to hospital earlier than planned because of severe pain, contractions, or premature rupture of membranes [24, 30, 34, 41]; other studies did not provide any information on this point [25, 30, 34]. Some study protocols required readmission to hospital in the event of expulsion of the balloon catheter [24, 35, 41], while others did not [25, 30, 34]. The balloon expulsion rate may significantly be influenced by the filling volume of the balloon and the length of time it remained in place; however, only a few studies provided any precise data on this issue. The expulsion rate of balloons which remained in place for 12–24 hours and had a filling volume of 80 ml (double balloon) was 10.2 and 33.3%, respectively [24, 41], while the expulsion rate of Foley catheters left in place for up to 12 hours with a filling volume of 40–50 ml was 59.3% [34]. Only one study reported cost savings in favor of the outpatient procedure [31]. Despite the differences between the studies in terms of their different evaluation criteria, patient satisfaction with outpatient cervical priming was high, although patients complained of discomfort during catheter placement, irrespective of the approach chosen (inpatient vs. outpatient) [24, 30, 35].

**Comparison of outpatient balloon catheter and inpatient prostaglandin E2**

When outpatient balloon catheters were compared with inpatient administration of vaginal PGE2 gel, the rate of vaginal deliveries within 12 hours after readmission to the labor ward was significantly lower (28 vs. 53%, p = 0.01) and the need for oxytocin was significantly higher (88 vs. 59%, p < 0.01) in the group treated with a balloon catheter; while overall neonatal morbidity for nulliparae and multiparae was comparable. The stay in hospital was shorter and pain during the cervical ripening phase was lower in the outpatient group, and pregnant women in the outpatient group had more hours of sleep (cf. Table 2). The aim of a currently recruiting RCT in Australia is to compare both procedures with regard to caesarean section rates (primary outcome criteria) [42].

While a cost-effectiveness analysis carried out in 101 pregnant women (Foley catheter: n = 50, vaginal PGE2 gel: n = 51) to evaluate the induction of labor in women with an unripe cervix showed no significant differences between both groups with regard to hospital costs [56], an extensive cost-effectiveness analysis carried out in the Netherlands comparing the use of Foley catheters (n = 921) with oral misoprostol (n = 924) administered at a dose of 50 µg every 4 hours in a low-risk cohort found that hospital costs were only lower if outpatient cervical priming with a balloon catheter continued until the start of contractions [28]. Based on the results of the PROBAAT II trial [43] and the non-significant differences between Foley catheters and oral misoprostol with regard to uterine hyperstimulation rates [12], it would be worth comparing the use of both methods for outpatient induction of labor.
Because of insufficient data and a lack of evidence, outpatient induction of labor is only discussed in passing in international guidelines.

The 2011 WHO guideline [57] spoke against outpatient induction of labor because the lack of sufficient data. The 2013 NICE guideline [58] commented “induction of labour should only be carried out in an outpatient setting, if safety and support procedures are in place”, and the ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 107 2009/19 [59] only promotes outpatient induction of labor after careful selection of pregnant women with a preference given to mechanical methods.

Conclusion

The use of a balloon catheter in low-risk pregnancies (e.g., post-term pregnancy) with an unripe cervix and no contractions is an effective and safe method for outpatient preinduction cervical ripening. It is not associated with a higher risk of infection compared to prostaglandins. Important preconditions for outpatient procedures are the strict selection of suitable pregnant women, CTG monitoring for 20–40 minutes after catheter placement, detailed instructions given to the pregnant woman instructing her about the signs which would make an immediate return to hospital imperative, and 24-hour phone access to the hospital. Outpatient preinduction cervical ripening with a balloon catheter results in significantly shorter hospital stays, reduces hospital costs, and has a higher patient satisfaction compared to inpatient procedures.

The goal of a future randomized controlled study with adequate statistical power should be to evaluate the method’s safety, patient acceptance and cost-effectiveness, particularly compared to oral misoprostol administered in an outpatient setting to be able to make evidence-based recommendations for clinical practice.
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