Correlation Between Traumatic Skin and Subcutaneous Injuries and the Severity of Polytrauma Injury

Korrelation von traumatischen Läsionen der Cutis und Subcutis mit der Schwere der Polytraumaverletzung
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ZUSAMMENFASSUNG
Ziel Korrelationsbestimmung zwischen kutanen und subkutanen Läsionen wie Prellung, Hämatom, Schürfung, Hautemphysem mit inneren posttraumatischen Verletzungen zur Evaluierung des klinischen Entscheidungsprozesses bei der Indikationsstellung zur Polytrauma-Ganzkörper-CT.


Schlussfolgerung Bei Patienten, deren Unfallhistorie sowie klinische Situation die Kriterien für die Polytrauma-Ganzkörper-CT SOP erfüllten und bei denen kutane und subkutane Läsionen, insbesondere am Neurokranium oder Thorax, vorlagen, kam es häufiger zu inneren Läsionen.

Kernaussagen:
- Das Vorhandensein oder Fehlen einer oberflächlichen Verletzung der Abdominalwand ist kein verlässlicher Vorhersagefaktor für eine innere Bauchverletzung.
- Wenn eine oberflächliche Verletzung der Brust oder des Neurokraniums feststellbar wäre, sollte ein CT-Scan durchgeführt werden.
- Oberflächliche Verletzungen der Thoraxwand waren am stärksten mit inneren Verletzungen assoziiert.
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ABSTRACT

Purpose  To examine the relationship between superficial lesions (such as bruises, hematomas, deep abrasions, and soft tissue emphysema) and internal post-traumatic injuries, assessed using whole-body computed tomography (WBCT), and to determine if these are valid markers for internal injuries.

Methods and Materials  250 patients who underwent WBCT emergency scans for suspected polytrauma were retrospectively analyzed after institutional review board approval of the study. The scans were carried out on patients who met the criteria for standard operating procedures for WBCT emergency scans. WBCT covering the entire head, neck, chest, and abdomen (including pelvis and proximal lower extremities) and at least one phase with intravenous contrast agent were included in the study. Initial analyses of immediate WBCT scans was carried out by a consultant radiologist and a radiological resident. The first reading focused on internal damage that needed immediate therapy. The second reading focused on a detailed analysis of the skin and subcutaneous tissue and their relation to internal injuries without the time pressure of an emergency setting, carried out by another experienced radiologist. All skin lesions and the degree of penetration and a comparison between the two readings were reported in tables.

Results  Superficial lesion of the chest was detected in 19 patients, 17 of them had an internal injury of the thorax while only two patients, with hematoma of the chest wall, had no internal injuries. Skin and subcutaneous lesions of the chest had the strongest association with an internal injury. Skin lesions of the abdominal wall were observed in 30 patients. In only 11 cases, these lesions were observed with internal injuries, such as fractures or active bleeding. 52 skin and subgaleal lesions of the scalp were observed. In 20 of these patients, an intracranial or internal injury was detected. In 3 patients, skin abrasions of the neck were present and in only one of them, this finding was associated with an internal injury.

Conclusion  Trauma patients whose history and clinical presentation meet the standard operating procedures for WBCT emergency scans and who present with a cutaneous lesion, especially at the neurocranium or chest wall, should be observed for internal injuries by WBCT.

Key Points:
- Presence or lack of a superficial injury of the abdominal wall is not a reliable predictive indication of any internal abdominal injury.
- Superficial lesions of the chest and the neurocranium require a CT scan.
- Superficial injuries of the chest wall had the strongest association with internal injuries.
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Introduction
Currently, whole-body computed tomography (WBCT) is the fastest and the most frequently used method for cross-sectional imaging of polytraumatized injured patients. However, patient triage presents a challenge to medical personnel applying WBCT in their clinical practice. The detection of potential internal injury vs. radiation exposure of the often young patients must be taken into consideration in the clinical judgement [1].

In Germany, WBCT is currently recommended for polytraumatized patients according to the Association of the Scientific Medical Societies [2]. However, there is a large group of polytrauma patients that fulfills some criteria of suspected major trauma due to history of trauma but presents no severe clinical symptoms to qualify them for WBCT.

There is obviously a crucial need to tailor diagnostic imaging to the specific needs of patients involved in traumatic accidents. Our study investigated the skin body surface and subsurface area of patients undergoing WBCT. The body surface area (BSA) of human skin varies depending on body weight from 1.97 ± 0.143 to 3.07 ± 0.225 m² [3]. This large area of accessible skin provides a unique opportunity for the easy detection of superficial post-traumatic lesions, which could be linked to more severe internal injuries. It is recognized that only serious skin injuries will be detected on a CT scan.

The aim of this study is to investigate whether the detection of a bruise, hematoma, foreign body or trapped air on WBCT was correlated with internal injuries and could become a valid diagnostic sign thus facilitating rapid detection of internal injuries. As a consequence of selective detection, cross imaging approaches could be possible, which would rapidly reduce radiation exposure of young adults after trauma. Our hypothesis was that superficial injuries at specific locations correlate with internal injuries on the WBCT emergency scan.

Materials and Methods
After Institutional Review Board approval, a retrospective analysis of 250 consecutive cases of post-traumatic patients was performed. The inclusion criteria involved all patients (> 18 years old) admitted to our university hospital during a consecutive 6-month period with whole-body emergency scan (WBCT scan was performed including the head, neck, thoracic and abdominal cavities and at least one phase with intravenous contrast agent was injected). An emergency team consisting of two surgeons with experience in emergency medicine, an experienced anesthe-
Our targeted areas were as follows: the head including facial skeleton and neurocranium, the neck, the thorax including shoulders, the abdomen, the pelvis and proximal thigh. The extremities in full-length were not included in this study. In general, the extremities are sufficiently assessed during clinical examination and cross-sectional imaging should be only used at most on an individualized case-by-case basis (e.g. no distal pulse). It should be noted that patients with subgaleal hematoma were also included in this study. A subgaleal hematoma arises in the space between the periosteum and galea aponeurosis, and it is not considered to be of a subcutaneous nature. The variety of shapes, from circular to irregular, precluded an exact estimation of the extent, severity and volume of all lesions.

Second reading

The second retrospective reading of WBCT with special appreciation of skin lesions was recorded by an experienced radiologist and was without time pressure. However, it should be noted that the second reader was not blinded to the results of the first reading. The comparison between the internal injuries in the first and second readings was estimated (Table 6).

Patients

The patients in our study consisted of 163 men and 87 women (50 ± 21.7 years). Based on their history of trauma, there was no statistically significant difference in the type of trauma with regard to gender.

WBCT

CT images were acquired using a 128-row CT scanner. Patients received a tube voltage (k)V ranging between 80–140 kV. Sharp bony and soft-tissue kernels were used for image reconstruction and immediate coronal and sagittal images were generated. The first WBCT reading was always performed by a consultant radiologist and a radiology resident, and a consensus was reached as to whether internal damage was present or not. The second round of CT reading was performed by another experienced radiologist with special attention given to skin or subcutaneous lesions.

Statistics

Statistical analyses tested whether the observed skin injuries were related to a certain body area or a specific type of accident. Statistical analyses were carried out using R software (version 3.3.2). Chi-squared tests were used to test for correlations between the measured variables.

Detailed correlations between the following parameters were calculated:

- If a skin/subcutaneous injury as well as subgaleal hematoma was associated with intracranial bleeding/fracture or contusion of the head.
### Table 1 Various skin lesions and their appearance on CT scans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type of skin lesion</th>
<th>imaging finding on CT scan</th>
<th>description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. contusion</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Subsurface tissue injured skin surface remained intact. (Clinically, within a few hours after trauma there will be a sign of bruise that develops gradually.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. deep bruise</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Contusion of the subcutaneous tissue and the skin surface with disruption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. hematoma</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Localized collection of blood in muscular tissue is visible without any contrast agent, due to increased volume of the organ and ongoing growth at different scan phases, when active bleeding is present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. foreign body</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>An unwanted intruded object located under the skin surface. As an example, a small stone is visible after a motocross collision at the level of left frontal bone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. subcutaneous emphysema</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>A collection of trapped gas in a layer under a muscle.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If a skin/subcutaneous injury, especially including subcutaneous emphysema, was associated with an internal contusion of the thoracic cavity.

If a skin/subcutaneous injury was especially associated with a fracture or hematoma of the neck.

If a skin/subcutaneous injury including bruise and hematoma was associated with injury of the abdominal cavity/or pelvis.

For each correlation, a separate significance level was obtained, in addition to positive predictive (PPV) and negative predictive values (NPV) corrected for multiple testing.

The trauma history was correlated with potential skin and subcutaneous damage of the head, neck, viscerocranium, thoracic cavity and abdominal cavity including the pelvis, and was reported separately. For this analysis, Fisher’s exact tests were used.

Comparison between the internal injuries from the first and second readings was estimated using McNemar’s test.

Results

Neurocranium

A superficial injury of the neurocranium was observed in 52 patients. 20 of them had a fracture or intracranial bleeding. An internal injury of the neurocranium was significantly more common in patients with skin injury \((p < 0.05, \text{Chi-squared test})\) yielding a positive predictive value of 38% and a negative predictive value of 93% (▶ Table 2, ▶ Fig. 1). Superficial injuries of the neurocranium were typically associated with a fall, uncommon accidents, which were three times more common than traffic accidents \((p < 0.05)\) or traumas due to unknown causes.

Viscerocranium

A superficial injury of the viscerocranium was observed in 26 patients. 12 of these had a deeper injury of the facial skeleton. The correlation was statistically significant \((p < 0.05)\) (▶ Table 3, ▶ Fig. 2). Superficial injuries of the facial skeleton were usually related to the history of an unknown traumatic cause.

Neck

There were three patients with a superficial injury of the cervical area and no statistically significant \((p > 0.05)\) results were observed.

Thoracic cavity

A superficial injury to the thoracic cavity was observed in 19 patients. 17 of them had a fractured rib or lung injury. There was
a statistically significant correlation \( p < 0.001 \), (\( \text{T}ab. 4 \), Fig. 3). The PPV was nearly 89.5 %, whereas the NPP was 74 %. There was no significant correlation between the type of superficial injury and specific type of accident.

**Abdominal cavity**

A superficial injury of the abdominal wall was observed in 30 patients. 11 of them had a fracture or internal injury. There was no statistically significant correlation \( p > 0.05 \) between internal injuries and superficial lesions. The PPV was 36.7 % (\( \text{T}ab. 5 \), Fig. 4).

**Second reading (\( \text{T}ab. 6 \))**

Comparison between the internal injuries from the first and second readings. The second reading showed statistical significance in the thoracic region (McNemar’s test = 0.0004). No vitally relevant injuries were recognized during the second reading that have been overlooked at the first reading.

**Summary of the results**

- Superficial injuries of the skull and thorax on WBCT most likely correlate with internal injury.
- No statistical correlation was observed between abdominal or cervical trauma and superficial injuries detected on the WBCT scans.
- Traumatic events, such as a fall, traumas of unknown etiology, and other accidents associated with skin lesions were most likely associated with internal injuries.
Discussion

Patients with relevant traumatic incidents present an inhomogeneous group of individuals with different levels of clinical severity. The value of prioritizing WBCT for severely injured patients remains incontestable [5, 6]. Determining which injured patients with varying degrees of severity will undergo WBCT is nowadays one of the most important decisions made by trauma teams [7, 8]. Our study examined the use of visually accessible lesions that can be detected during simple clinical examination as potential markers for specific severe internal traumas revealed by WBCT.

Our results show that superficial injury of the thoracic wall is a valuable factor for potential internal damage, which has been confirmed by other authors [7]. CT scanning of the thoracic cavity allows exact visualization of treatable traumas and as a consequence makes it possible to quickly manage them. Our study verified that chest wall traumas warrant performing WBCT as they are highly correlated with internal damage and have a positive predictive value of 89%. Previously, Collins et al. reported that severe chest wall trauma can be associated with large chest wall hematomas or free air within the chest wall. This type of injury could lead to surgical procedures and should be recognized and reported as soon as possible [9–11]. The study from Lang et al. shows that the routine use of WBCT for thoracic traumas increases the identification of so-called minor thoracic injuries [12].

Our study substantiates the importance of scalp trauma with any subgaleal hematoma. The positive predictive value of 38% and negative of 93% point out the statistical dependence. Furthermore, our observation has advantage over some clinical papers that investigated some of the related clinical scales. For example, according to the Glasgow Coma Scale, the neurological symptoms of the patient showed no evidence for a predictive value for intracranial lesions [13].
Another study pointed out a black eye as a possible sign of an underlying fracture in patients with a minor head injury [14]. Viscerocranium injuries are often identified by a selective workup. In our study they showed some statistical significance since the positive predictive value was 46%.

The second WBCT reading discovered some missed injuries without clinical significance for the injured patients. The findings in the chest area showed some statistical significance, therefore strengthening our thesis that superficial injuries can strongly correlate with further internal injuries. The second WBCT reading should be taken into consideration to lower potential miscounted injuries and allow for the precise management of injured patients.

The assumption that all body areas would be accessible for clinical examination to the same extent as for cross-sectional imaging in polytraumatic patients was one of the limitations of our study. Certain body areas may not be accessible for clinical examination in an emergency setting, for example due to spine-stabilizing equipment. The second limitation of our study was related to the fact that our retrospective observation of the superficial lesions on CT scans was not correlated with a clinical examination. The third limitation was that data were obtained only from one university hospital center. Thus, our observations should be confirmed by multi-centric studies on larger populations.

Despite these limitations, our study opens up a new perspective regarding the field of polytrauma imaging with its variety of injuries from a real-world clinical setting. Existing studies typically focus on specific types of injuries in selected groups of patients (e.g. minor head traumas) and have consequently improved general knowledge. Yet, in the daily clinical routine, most patients suffer from multiple traumas, requiring a comprehensive and integrated approach. Therefore, our study included not just patients with isolated injuries.

Another research group [15] postulated that regular monitoring of WBCT findings paired with regular feedback from clinical examination would help to select patients with positive internal trauma for WBCT selection. In addition, the workflow in cases of life-threatening situations as well as the optimization of scan

---

**Table 6** Comparison between the first and second reading due to internal damage. The second reading showed statistical importance in the thoracic region [4].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>body part</th>
<th>internal injuries by first reading</th>
<th>internal injuries by second reading</th>
<th>McNemar's test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>neurocranium</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>27 (two skull fractures, not significant by all other intracranial injuries: additional subarachnoid and subdural bleeding was found)</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>viscerocranium</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17 (three small not relevant fractures, one hematomas)</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neck</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4 (one small muscular hematoma)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thoracic cavity</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>87 (five not dislocated rib fractures, four fractures of the lateral process of the vertebral body, one small lung contusion)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abdomen</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>61 (one small subcapsular renal bleed, one not dislocated vertebral body fracture)</td>
<td>0.248</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
parameters in trauma management needs to be addressed [16]. There are many researchers who show no benefits of WBCT versus selective radiological workup [17–19] as well as those who point out many benefits of immediate cross-sectional imaging [20, 21]. There are other studies that suggest a selected approach [22].

A discussion about indication and justification of WBCT connects the clinical and radiological workup. The commonly recommended feedback regarding WBCT findings by the radiologist to the clinician indicates great potential regarding close interdisciplinary cooperation in emergency medicine. Some authors highlight that evaluation and awareness of the clinical status is superior to the traumatic history in order to properly determine an indication for WBCT examinations [23].

In conclusion, we would like to raise awareness that traumatic lesions of the skin and subcutaneous tissue should not be underestimated in cross-sectional imaging reports as well as the clinical examination. Superficial lesions may justify the indication for WBCT and, as demonstrated by our study, when a superficial lesion occurs on the chest or neurocranium, an internal injury should be considered and furthermore selective cross-sectional imaging should be performed when clinically reasonable. Our findings should increase the detection of patients profiting from WBCT and help to avoid some underestimation of clinical information provided to the radiologist in their radiological reports.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References