Introduction

Placenta-mediated pregnancy complications are a related but heterogeneous group of disorders that include preeclampsia, placental abruption, intrauterine growth restriction, and late fetal loss. Pathophysiology is complex, and may be linked to prothrombotic disorders such as antiphospholipid syndrome, whose understanding is still evolving. In this narrative review, we will present the latest evidence to better understand hemostatic mechanisms of preeclampsia, as well as in women with placenta-mediated pregnancy complications and inherited thrombophilia or antiphospholipid antibodies. Using four clinical scenarios, the mixed results of preventive efforts through the use of antithrombotic drugs (aspirin, heparin) will be discussed. We will also review knowledge gaps and ongoing research.

Preeclampsia

Case 1. A 30-year-old woman is at 10 weeks of her first pregnancy and enquires about the prevention of preeclampsia, from which her sister had suffered in the past. She is obese and has well-controlled type 2 diabetes.

Placenta-mediated pregnancy complications are seriously important conditions and include preeclampsia, placental abruption, intrauterine growth restriction, and late fetal loss. Pathophysiology is complex, and may be linked to prothrombotic disorders such as antiphospholipid syndrome, whose understanding is still evolving. In this narrative review, we will present the latest evidence to better understand hemostatic mechanisms of preeclampsia, as well as in women with placenta-mediated pregnancy complications and inherited thrombophilia or antiphospholipid antibodies. Using four clinical scenarios, the mixed results of preventive efforts through the use of antithrombotic drugs (aspirin, heparin) will be discussed. We will also review knowledge gaps and ongoing research.
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Abstract

Placenta-mediated pregnancy complications are clinically important conditions and include preeclampsia, placental abruption, intrauterine growth restriction, and late fetal loss. Pathophysiology is complex, and may be linked to prothrombotic disorders such as antiphospholipid syndrome, whose understanding is still evolving. In this narrative review, we will present the latest evidence to better understand hemostatic mechanisms of preeclampsia, as well as in women with placenta-mediated pregnancy complications and inherited thrombophilia or antiphospholipid antibodies. Using four clinical scenarios, the mixed results of preventive efforts through the use of antithrombotic drugs (aspirin, heparin) will be discussed. We will also review knowledge gaps and ongoing research.

Introduction

Placenta-mediated pregnancy complications are a related but heterogeneous group of disorders that include preeclampsia, placental abruption, intrauterine growth restriction (leading to birth of a small-for-gestational age [SGA] infant), and late fetal loss. Placenta-mediated pregnancy complications are associated with significant maternal morbidity and are an important cause of iatrogenic delivery and adverse pregnancy outcomes including fetal death. Beyond the more immediate pregnancy complications, preeclampsia is an important prognostic factor for the development of future cardiovascular disease.2,3

While we can identify the negative impact that placental complications have on pregnancy outcomes and women’s cardiovascular health, the underlying pathophysiology is still being defined and management of these conditions can be controversial. We present the latest evidence to better understand and manage pregnant women at risk of placenta-mediated pregnancy complications. Using four clinical scenarios, our article will review recent advances in the pathophysiology of preeclampsia, its prevention, and the management of pregnant patients with prior placenta-mediated pregnancy complications who have inherited thrombophilias and antiphospholipid syndrome (APS).

Preeclampsia

Case 1. A 30-year-old woman is at 10 weeks of her first pregnancy and enquires about the prevention of preeclampsia, from which her sister had suffered in the past. She is obese and has well-controlled type 2 diabetes.

Preeclampsia is a serious complication of pregnancy with potentially life-threatening consequences for both mother and baby. It is diagnosed by new-onset hypertension with proteinuria (> 0.3 g/24 hours), organ dysfunction, and/or edema after 20 weeks of gestation and can affect up to 2 to 8% of all pregnancies.4 Worldwide, an estimated 50,000...
women die annually from preeclampsia and a third of babies are born prematurely. A diagnosis of preeclampsia often coincides with a diagnosis of fetal growth restriction and a resultant therapeutic preterm delivery is a common consequence as the only curative treatment is delivery of the placenta. Early-onset preeclampsia (EOP), defined as preeclampsia onset prior to 34 weeks of gestation, is a rare variant of the disease spectrum associated with a higher rate of maternal and fetal complications. Preeclampsia is recognized by the American Heart Association as a gender-specific independent risk factor for the development of cardiovascular disease. Women who have experienced any form of preeclampsia are at increased risk of postnatal cardiovascular disease with a similar risk to smokers (two to four times higher risk of death from cardiovascular disease), while patients with severe preeclampsia have up to 9.5 fold higher risk of death from future cardiovascular disease. Moreover, preeclampsia is associated with postpartum VTE and risk of VTE even several years after pregnancy. Preeclampsia is characterized by abnormal placental vascular development with impaired invasion of the uterine spiral arteries by trophoblasts, resulting in fetal complications and maternal endothelial dysfunction. While the precise pathogenesis of preeclampsia remains to be fully characterized, it is accepted that tissue hypoxia and oxidative stress result in an imbalance of anti- and proangiogenic pathways and a maternal proinflammatory state. Of potential relevance to cardiovascular complications, preeclampsia is also characterized by abnormalities in proand anticoagulant, fibrinolytic pathways and changes in platelet function, morphology, and numbers. Predicting a woman’s overall hemostatic balance and in particular whether her individual risk leans toward thrombosis or bleeding can be challenging. On the one hand, several studies have reported increased procoagulant mechanisms, particularly in late-onset preeclampsia. On the other hand, competing decreases in anticoagulant mechanisms have been reported, particularly in more severe forms of preeclampsia such as EOP; in a recent prospective study, increased tissue factor pathway inhibitor activity and increased activated protein C sensitivity were reported in women with EOP compared with healthy pregnant women. Altered markers of fibrinolytic activity in EOP have also been shown to correlate with other markers of severe disease, such as levels of the antiangiogenic factors sFLT-1 and endoglin.

As we will discuss next, aspirin has been shown to reduce the risk of preeclampsia in high-risk women. Platelets play a critical role not only in hemostasis and thrombosis, but they are now understood to have a much broader role in our health and in disease. Platelets are the “first responders” at sites of tissue injury and are implicated in inflammation, wound healing, and tissue regeneration. Platelets and bioactive substances released by platelets play a critical role in successful trophoblast invasion and development of femoralmaternal circulation during normal pregnancy. Recurrent fetal loss and fetal growth restriction have been observed in pregnant women with essential thrombocythemia indicating the importance of platelets in early implantation. Moreover, in normal pregnancy, platelets undergo morphological changes and their activation is increased. Upon activation, platelets release a multitude of signaling factors collectively termed the platelet releasate (PR). Maternal PR enhances trophoblast invasion and drives placental bed angiogenesis as well as critical processes during normal placentation, and recently, PR contents have been shown to be altered in pregnant compared with nonpregnant women. The contents of the PR include extracellular vesicles (EVs): bioactive messengers containing miRNA and protein signals that regulate a diverse range of biologic and inflammatory pathologic processes. EVs originate from platelets but also other cellular sources, including the placenta: syncytiotrophoblast-derived EVs, generated in response to placental hypoxia, have been postulated to play a role in modulating preeclampsia-induced maternal endothelial damage. In preeclampsia, EV, platelet, and coagulation changes are even more pronounced than in normal pregnancy and may contribute to disease pathophysiology. An exciting recent development was the uncovering of a potential mechanistic role for EVs in the pathogenesis of preeclampsia: injection of procoagulant EVs triggered the accumulation of activated platelets in the placenta, causing inflammatory activation within trophoblast cells, which resulted in a preeclampsia-like phenotype in pregnant mice.

Preeclampsia Prevention

Low-dose aspirin is recognized as an effective prevention of preeclampsia. A 2019 Cochrane meta-analysis of 77 trials (40,249 women), including individual patient data analysis for most large clinical trials, concluded that the risk of preeclampsia was 18% lower with low-dose aspirin (95% CI, 12–23%). The ASPRE trial, which tested aspirin 150 mg daily in pregnant women at high-risk of preeclampsia by means of a somewhat complex screening algorithm (including clinical, vascular imaging, and blood markers), found a 62% reduction of the risk of preterm preeclampsia and a 28% of preterm and term preeclampsia. The absolute risk reductions were 2.7% (number needed to treat [NNT] of 37) and 3.1% (NNT of 32). In the recently published ASPIRIN RCT, low-dose aspirin commenced between 6 and 13 + 6 weeks of pregnancy and continued until 36 + 7 weeks significantly reduced the incidence of preterm birth before 37 weeks in nulliparous women (RR: 0.89, 95% CI: 0.81–0.98) but also perinatal mortality (RR 0.86), fetal loss (RR 0.86), early preterm delivery before 34 weeks (RR 0.75), and, of relevance to preeclampsia, delivery before 34 weeks with hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (RR: 0.38, 95% CI: 0.17–0.85). Important questions on aspirin dose, timing, and selection of women remain unanswered. Whether aspirin ≥100mg is more effective than <100mg was suggested by a previous meta-analysis, if initiated early in pregnancy, and there may be some signal of greater risk reduction with aspirin ≥75mg than <75mg for all timings of initiation. Alterations of aspirin pharmacokinetics or the increasing weights of
pregnant women may support the hypothesis that greater doses of aspirin are needed, but no conclusions can be drawn at this time in the absence of head-to-head trials. Because the development of preeclampsia is thought to occur early in pregnancy, weeks prior to its clinical symptoms, an early initiation of low-dose aspirin (<16 weeks) is logically preferred. However, there is no strong evidence of a difference between an early or late initiation when comparing different clinical trials.

The selection of women who benefit from aspirin is challenging, because of the difficulty in predicting preeclampsia, which remains uncommon (<10%). The most predictive factor is a past history of the disease, and other strong clinical factors include chronic hypertension, multifetal gestation, pregestational diabetes, and renal or autoimmune disease. More precisely, the risk of preeclampsia can be estimated by a risk assessment model (Fetal Medicine Foundation, which was used in the ASPRE study, and includes three clinical factors—uterine artery velocimetric markers, measurements of pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A, and placental growth factor. Current guidelines do not suggest the use of this complex algorithm. The United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF, 2014) recommends low-dose aspirin (81mg/d) to women with ≥1 high-risk factor (previous preeclampsia, multifetal gestation, chronic hypertension, pre-pregnancy diabetes, renal disease, and/or autoimmune disease) and suggests low-dose aspirin in the presence of multiple moderate risk factors, such as obesity, nulliparity of a family history of preeclampsia. The UK National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE, 2019) advises to use low-dose aspirin (75–150mg/d) from 12 weeks of gestation, with a similar (but not identical) approach of clinical risk factors. The need for improved simple risk prediction tools has been advocated for, because the USPSTF and NICE approaches have low sensitivities, particularly among nulliparous women (8–10%) and low positive predictive values (13–15%).

Case 1. This patient has several moderate risk factors for preeclampsia (nulliparity, obesity, family history of preeclampsia) and a high-risk factor (diabetes). Low-dose aspirin should be offered and started around 12 weeks of gestation. A dose of 150mg per day could be considered, especially in case of an important weight change.

Inherited Thrombophilia and Placenta-Mediated Pregnancy Complications

Case 2. A 25-year-old generally healthy patient has suffered from two early pregnancy losses (<10 weeks of gestation), with a normal gynecological workup. A full thrombophilia testing was normal except for the heterozygous presence of factor V Leiden (rs4524). Her gynecologist contacts you for advice on the use of antithrombotic treatments.

Inherited thrombophilias are genetic conditions that predispose an individual to venous thromboembolism (VTE) and include factor V Leiden, prothrombin gene mutation, protein C deficiency, protein S deficiency, and antithrombin deficiency. It is mechanistically plausible that the hypercoagulability seen in patients with inherited thrombophilia could also interfere with placental function at the maternal–fetal interface, and theoretically lead to reduced vascular perfusion or ischemia from placental microthrombi. In earlier case-control studies, researchers identified a strong association between inherited thrombophilia and placenta-mediated pregnancy complications; however, in more recent prospective cohort studies and meta-analyses, this association appears to be more modest and present with only some (sometimes severe) placenta-mediated pregnancy complications. In a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies, there was a small increased risk of pregnancy loss among women with factor V Leiden mutation detected compared with no mutation (4.2 vs. 3.2%, odds ratio [OR]: 1.52, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.06–2.19), and no increased risk with other placenta-mediated pregnancy complications. Other meta-analyses that included case-control studies detected modest associations seen between factor V Leiden and prothrombin gene mutation and different placenta-mediated pregnancy complications. These data are supported by findings from a large Danish nested case-control study that found a weak association (OR: 1.4, 95% CI: 1.1–1.8) between factor V Leiden and severe placenta-mediated pregnancy complications including severe preeclampsia, placental abruption, and SGA <3rd percentile. Whether other inherited thrombophilia (protein C, S, antithrombin) cause pregnancy-related complications is not well characterized, given their rarity.

Management of Pregnant Patients with Inherited Thrombophilias with Prior Placenta-Mediated Pregnancy Complications or Recurrent Pregnancy Loss

If we can identify an association between inherited thrombophilia and pregnancy complications, including pregnancy loss, should we then provide targeted treatment with anticoagulants during pregnancy to reduce placental hypercoagulability? While the use of low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) to prevent pregnancy complications has become widespread in clinical practice, we now have high-quality evidence to guide our practice. In an international open-label randomized controlled trial of 292 pregnant patients with inherited thrombophilia (85% factor V Leiden or prothrombin gene mutation) and past placenta-mediated pregnancy complications (61%) and/or who were at increased risk of VTE (44%), there was no added benefit of LMWH prophylaxis for the primary composite outcome of placenta-mediated pregnancy complications (severe or EOP, SGA infant <10th percentile, pregnancy loss, or VTE). The results of the TIPPS trial argue against use of LMWH prophylaxis to prevent future pregnancy complications in pregnant women with inherited thrombophilia.

When pooling the TIPPS study with seven previously published randomized trials comparing LMWH versus no LMWH to prevent prior late (≥10 weeks’ gestation) or recurrent early pregnancy loss, among the 483 patients with inherited thrombophilia, there was no significant difference in the live birth rates with the use of LMWH, compared with no
LMWH use (relative risk: 0.81, 95% CI: 0.55–1.19).\textsuperscript{54} These findings are consistent with the results of AFFIRM, a meta-analysis of individual patient data from 963 eligible women from eight randomized trials of LMWH versus no LMWH to prevent recurrent placenta-mediated pregnancy complications, regardless of their thrombophilia status.\textsuperscript{1} In this meta-analysis, eligible women were included if they were pregnant at the time of the study with prior preeclampsia, placental abruption, birth of an SGA infant <10th percentile, one pregnancy loss after 16 weeks’ gestation, or two losses after 12 weeks’ gestation. There was no additional benefit of LMWH use for the primary composite outcome of early-onset or severe preeclampsia, SGA infant <5th percentile, late pregnancy loss ≥20 weeks of gestation, or placental abruption leading to delivery, including in a subgroup of 403 participants who had an inherited thrombophilia.\textsuperscript{1}

Based on the available data, guidelines recommend against screening patients for inherited thrombophilia in the setting of placenta-mediated pregnancy complications.\textsuperscript{55,56} There is an ongoing randomized trial called ALIFE2 (EudraCT ID: 2012-001447-43), which evaluates LMWH versus no LMWH to improve live birth rates among pregnant patients with inherited thrombophilia and recurrent pregnancy loss. Any use of LMWH for this indication should be in a research setting like the ALIFE2 trial, which we hope will definitely answer this important question for patients and their physicians.

**Case 2:** The full thrombophilia testing was unnecessary in this patient. Nevertheless, she should be informed that the current (limited) evidence does not support the use of LMWH in this setting, and should be encouraged to enroll in the ALIFE2 trial if close to a participating center.

**Antiphospholipid Syndrome**

**Case 3:** A 35-year-old woman has suffered from a late fetal loss during her first pregnancy 1 year ago. While no gynecological or fetal cause was found, she has persistent triple positive results for antiphospholipid antibodies (APLAs). She has read that heparin may help reduce the risk of another pregnancy loss and asks for your advice.

**Case 4:** A 28-year-old woman had a first pregnancy complicated by a term preeclampsia with a delivery of a low birthweight newborn (<5th percentile). She underwent screening for APLAs, with isolated medium titters of anti-cardiolipin (aCL) antibodies. She is at 6 weeks of her second pregnancy, and has already started low-dose aspirin. Her gynecologist is uncertain about the indication for heparin in addition to aspirin.

APS is a rare systemic autoimmune disorder that is characterized by persistently positive APLAs (aCLantibodies, anti-B2 glycoprotein antibodies, or lupus anticoagulant [LAC]) and clinical manifestations of venous, arterial, or small vessel thrombosis or placenta-mediated pregnancy complications. APS may occur in the presence of autoimmune disorders such as systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE; APS) or in isolation (primary APS). The definition of placenta-mediated pregnancy complications from the revised Sapporo/Sydney criteria for APS is based on consensus developed for research purposes, and include at least one of three or more unexplained and consecutive early pregnancy losses <10 weeks’ gestation, one or more late pregnancy loss ≥10 weeks’ gestation, or one or more preterm deliveries <34 weeks’ gestation because of severe preeclampsia/eclampsia or recognized features of placental insufficiency.\textsuperscript{57} International efforts are currently underway to revise the definition of APS.\textsuperscript{58}

There is a known strong association with LAC and aCL antibodies and late fetal loss.\textsuperscript{59} There is less information known about the risk of pregnancy loss in women who have β2 glycoprotein antibody (aβ2GPI) positivity, or the association with APLA and risk of recurrent early pregnancy loss.\textsuperscript{59} Somewhat surprisingly, the link between APLAs and other placenta-mediated pregnancy complications is less clear with mixed results from published studies.\textsuperscript{59,60} In two recent prospective cohort studies in pregnant patients with well-characterized APS, the risk of severe preeclampsia is approximately 10%).\textsuperscript{61,62} There is a higher risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes for those who have a positive LAC or are triple positive (positive LAC, aCL, and aβ2GPI).\textsuperscript{51,63} While we can identify a higher risk of late pregnancy loss and possible placenta-mediated pregnancy complications in pregnant women with APS, why these complications occur and how they are treated needs further exploration and study.

Antibodies directed against phospholipid-binding proteins, in particular to domain 1 of B2GP1, are thought to be pathogenic in APS.\textsuperscript{64,65} The aβ2GPI antibodies localize to the decidua and inhibit trophoblastic proliferation, syncytia formation, and the maternal decidual invasion required for successful placentation.\textsuperscript{65–67} Exactly how these antibodies lead to obstetrical complications, however, is poorly understood but is thought to via activation of inflammatory cascades.\textsuperscript{58,64}

The complement system activation has emerged as a possible mechanism.\textsuperscript{68} The complement system is part of the innate immune system and is made up of the classical, lectin, and alternative pathways that consist of enzymes and regulatory proteins that converge at the level of C3, leading to the generation of the proinflammatory enzyme C5a and the membrane attack complex C5b-9. Abnormal complement activation is thought to initiate and amplify inflammation and neutrophil activation at the placenta, which may contribute to obstetrical complications including fetal loss.\textsuperscript{69–71} Recent evidence also suggests the role of complement dysregulation in the development of preeclampsia and fetal growth restriction through lectin pathway proteins.\textsuperscript{72} Evidence from mouse models and human studies supports the role of complement activation in the pathogenesis of obstetrical APS. Mice deficient in the complement components (factor C3, C4, C5, and factor B) and mice treated with inhibitors of complement activation (anti-C5 antibody, C5a receptor antagonist peptide, and antifactor B antibody) are resistant to fetal loss induced by APLAs.\textsuperscript{70,71} In a prospective cohort of 487 pregnant patients with SLE and/or APLAs, circulating levels of complement activation byproducts Bb and sC5b-9 were higher as compared with healthy pregnant controls.\textsuperscript{69} Increased levels of Bb and cC5b-9 were associated with adverse obstetrical outcomes after controlling for...
confounding factors in the subgroup of APLA-positive patients. Chaturvedi and colleagues have recently discovered evidence of complement activation and germline mutations in patients with APS who develop catastrophic APS or thrombosis; further research is still needed to clarify the role of complement in pregnant women with APS.

Management of Pregnant Patients with Antiphospholipid Syndrome and Prior Placenta-Mediated Pregnancy Complications or Recurrent Early Pregnancy Loss

The 2019 European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) guidelines recommend LMWH/aspirin prophylaxis during pregnancy to prevent pregnancy loss for women with APS who have a history of three or more early (<10 weeks’ gestation) pregnancy losses or one or more late loss (>10 weeks’ gestation), and suggest use of either aspirin alone or LMWH/aspirin for women with two early losses or other placenta-mediated pregnancy complications. There is variation in other clinical practice guidelines, which suggest the use of LMWH/aspirin or aspirin alone for pregnant patients with APS and past pregnancy loss.

The evidence to support the use of LMWH/aspirin prophylaxis in pregnancy to prevent recurrent pregnancy loss is mixed. The results of earlier randomized trials were positive and others showed no additional benefit to LMWH/aspirin use, compared with aspirin alone. Limitations to these trials included different definitions of pregnancy loss, varying antibody types and positivity (including low-titer antibody positivity or lack of confirmatory testing), and high crossover rates. Other trials evaluating non-LMWH therapies have reported similar outcomes (~70%) in live birth rates with aspirin alone. There is even less evidence to support the use of LMWH/aspirin to prevent other placenta-mediated pregnancy complications, with only one small randomized trial that showed no added benefit to LMWH/aspirin versus aspirin alone.

In an open-label randomized controlled trial of pregnant women who developed fetal growth restriction before 32 weeks’ gestation, there was no added benefit of tinzaparin 4,500 units subcutaneously daily for treatment to improve fetal growth rate or birth weight adjusted for gestational age, compared with no tinzaparin. While this was an unselected population who developed fetal growth restriction, women with an inherited thrombophilia (15%) or positive APLAs on at least one occasion (LAC: 26%; aβ2PG1: 4%) were included.

Despite the use of LMWH/aspirin prophylaxis during pregnancy in women with APS, a high rate of pregnancy loss (20–30%) and other placenta-mediated pregnancy complications remain. Unfortunately, given the rarity of APS and the already widespread use of LMWH/aspirin to improve live birth rates, completing future large trials to further assess the efficacy of LMWH may not be feasible. This highlights the importance of evaluating alternative non-anticoagulant options in a research setting before they become widespread practice. In a retrospective cohort of high-risk APS pregnant patients, the addition of hydroxychloroquine improved live birth rates. The HYPATIA randomized controlled trial is ongoing and evaluates hydroxychloroquine versus placebo, in addition to standard of care, in pregnant patients with APS or positive APLA (EudraCT ID: 2016–002256–25). With a focus on targeting the potential proinflammatory effects of complement activation, the IMPACT study is a prospective cohort study of tumor necrosis-α blockage with certolizumab during pregnancy in patients with a positive LAC (clinicaltrials.gov ID: NCT 0315208).

Better understanding the pathophysiology of APS is needed, including the role of complement activation; so, we can look beyond anticoagulant therapies to improve the live birth rates and other pregnancy outcomes. We support the ongoing international collaborative research efforts for these uncommon and often challenging clinical scenarios.

**Case 3:** This woman has persistent high-risk APLA profile and a diagnosis of obstetrical APS can be made. Following current recommendations, this patient should start low-dose aspirin prior to conception and start prophylactic LMWH as soon as her second pregnancy is confirmed.

**Case 4:** The criteria for obstetrical APS are not fulfilled in this case, with a low-risk antibody profile. Low-dose aspirin is indicated for the prevention of preeclampsia, but whether LMWH should be added to aspirin is uncertain. The woman is informed of the lack of knowledge and decides to take low-dose aspirin without LMWH.

**Time Capsule**

- Preeclampsia poses enormous challenges including the need to protect a mother’s health and life while considering the risks of delivery baby early.
- Building upon recent breakthroughs, preeclampsia diagnosis, prediction, and prognosis will be accurate and personalized by 2050, harnessing the power of artificial intelligence technology.
- By 2050, our current comprehension of secondary hemostasis will have evolved into a complex global invivo system linking coagulation proteins with blood cells, endothelial cells, complement, and inflammatory proteins, thus changing our approach, prediction, and treatment potential for placenta-mediated pregnancy complications.
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