Endoscopic ultrasound-guided hepaticogastrostomy or hepaticojejunostomy without dilation using a stent with a thinner delivery system
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**ABSTRACT**

**Background and study aim** Use of endoscopic ultrasound-guided biliary drainage (EUS-BD) has recently increased. In EUS-BD, after puncturing the bile duct, dilation is performed and the stent is deployed. Due to adverse events (AEs) such as unexpected displacement of the guidewire, simplified procedures are required. Currently, stents with small-diameter delivery systems are being rapidly developed, expanding the possibilities for of EUS-BD without dilation. In this retrospective study, we aimed to evaluate the success rates and AEs in patients who underwent EUS-guided hepaticogastrostomy (EUS-HGS) or EUS-guided hepaticojejunostomy (EUS-HJS) without dilation.

**Patients and methods** Six consecutive patients with malignant biliary obstruction and failed transpapillary BD underwent EUS-HGS or EUS-HJS without dilation, deploying a 6-mm fully-covered self-expandable metallic stent with a 6 Fr delivery system.

**Results** The technical and clinical success rates were 100%.

**Conclusions** EUS-HGS or EUS-HJS without dilation using a stent with a 6 Fr delivery system had high technical and clinical success rates; however, additional cases are required to validate the study findings.

**Introduction**

Endoscopic ultrasound-guided biliary drainage (EUS-BD) is widely used as an alternative drainage method for patients with biliary obstruction in whom transpapillary biliary drainage (BD) is difficult [1,2]. There are many drainage methods for EUS-BD, including EUS-guided hepaticogastrostomy (EUS-HGS), EUS-guided hepaticojejunostomy (EUS-HJS), and EUS-guided choledoco-duodenostomy (EUS-CDS), depending on the form of occlusion and the shape of the intestine.

The EUS-BD procedure generally consists of five steps: 1. EUS-guided bile duct puncture; 2. Manipulation of the guidewire; 3. Placement of the guidewire; 4. Dilation of the gastrointestinal and bile duct walls; and 5. Stent placement. Of these procedures, the dilation process is associated with particular adverse events (AEs), including displacement of the guidewire and leakage of bile into the abdominal cavity [3,4]. Therefore, there has been a need for simplification of the techniques and procedures used in EUS-BD from the perspective of safety and versatility. However, the fully-covered woven type self-expandable metallic stent (SEMS) used conventionally mainly uses a 7.5–8 Fr delivery system, which requires dilation.

Recently, to make the dilation process safer and easier, various dilation techniques using electrocautery, non-electrocautery dilator, or dilation balloon have been proposed [5–7]. Moreover, there have been a few reports of EUS-BD that elimin-
ated the dilation process [3, 4, 8]. However, information on EUS-BD without dilation is inadequate. There are no reports of EUS-BD without dilation using a 6-Fr delivery system.

A fully-covered braided type SEMS with a small diameter delivery system (6-Fr; Braided 6, S&G Biotech, Seongnam, Korea) is commercially available. In the current study, we evaluated outcomes of six patients who underwent attempted placement of a 6-mm fully-covered braided type SEMS with a 6-Fr delivery system using the EUS-HGS and EUS-HJS methods without dilation.

Patients and methods
The study examined six consecutive patients with biliary obstruction in whom transpapillary BD was difficult, who then underwent EUS-HGS or EUS-HJS without dilation between December 2018 and February 2019. Our inclusion criteria were (1) failure or contraindication of initial transpapillary BD because of inaccessible papilla such as that seen in duodenal obstruction and surgically altered anatomy; and (2) patient agreement to undergo EUS-BD. Our exclusion criteria were uncontrolled coagulopathy and massive ascites.

This study was carried out in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the hospital’s Institutional Review Board (No. 2018-149). The date of approval was July 18, 2018.

Procedural technique
GF-UCT260 (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was used for performing endoscopy. A 19-gauge needle for EUS-guided fine-needle aspiration (EUS-FNA) (EZ shot 3 plus; Olympus) was used to puncture the left intrahepatic bile duct during both the EUS-HGS and HJS procedures. After puncturing, the inside of the bile duct was verified using cholangiography, following which, a 0.025-inch guidewire (Visiglide2; Olympus) was placed in the bile duct. A Y-connector attached to the needle made the procedure of switching between bile duct contrast and the guidewire operation easier and faster. Then, a 6-mm diameter, 8-cm-long, fully-covered SEMS with a 6-Fr delivery system was placed directly without performing dilation. Finally, appropriate placement of the stent was checked endoscopically and under fluoroscopic guidance by confirming that the contrast in the bile duct flowed into the gastrointestinal tract via the stent, and the procedure was completed (Fig. 2). The position of the stent was checked with abdominal computed tomography (CT) 1 day after the procedure.

A novel SEMS
The stent we used was a novel braided SEMS (Braided 6, S&G Biotech). We selected a 6-mm diameter, 8-cm long fully-covered type of the SEMS with a small-diameter (6-Fr) delivery system. The tip of the delivery system was tapered. The stent had a high radial force and low axial force. The surface of the stent was polished. The shortening rate after deployment was 35%.

Definition of outcomes
The primary endpoint was the technical success rate. The secondary endpoints were the clinical success rate, procedure time, and early/late AE rates (including recurrent biliary obstruction [RBO]). Technical success was defined as successful deployment of a self-expandable metal stent in the intended location with sufficient coverage of the puncture route confirmed by CT 1 day after the procedure. Clinical success was defined as a 50% decrease or normalization of the patient’s bilirubin level within 14 days of stent placement (in accordance with the TOKYO criteria 2014.) [9]. Procedure time was measured from the first puncture to the bile duct until the deployment of the HGS/HJS stent.

Early AEs were defined as occurring within 30 days after the procedure, while late AE were defined as occurring after ≥31 days.

Stent occlusion was defined by biochemical features of cholestasis, such as liver enzyme levels higher than baseline values with findings of biliary dilation on imaging studies (ultrasonography or CT) or during endoscopy. Stent migration was defined as migration of the indwelling stent from its accurate position into the gastrointestinal tract or into the liver, as confirmed by CT scan, radiography, or endoscopy. Time to RBO (TRBO) was defined as the time between the initial stenting and RBO.

Other AE were defined as the following events of EUS-HGS/HJS without dilation:
- Fever (body temperature ≥38.5°C) lasting > 7 days without RBO
- Bleeding (requiring blood transfusion)
Need for alternative drainage (excluding RBO)
Inability to resume oral intake > 7 days
Need for laparotomy

Statistical analysis
Continuous variables, including age and procedure time, were expressed using medians and ranges, and categorical variables are expressed as proportions. Stent patency was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. Analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, United States).

Results
There were four patients with pancreatic cancer and two patients with gastric cancer. All patients had elevated total serum bilirubin levels or findings indicative of bile duct stenosis, such as biliary dilation. There were five women and one man, and the median age was 74 years (42–77 years). The cause of transpapillary BD difficulty was duodenal obstruction (n = 4) and difficulty approaching the papilla of Vater because of intestinal reconstruction by previous surgery (n = 2). Of the six patients, four underwent EUS-HGS and two underwent EUS-HJS. The punctured bile duct was B2 in one of the six patients and B3 in the remaining five patients. The median punctured bile duct diameter was 4.6 mm (range: 2.3–6.2 mm) (Table 1). Median procedure time was 18 min (12–35 min) (Video 1).

Follow-up
Abdominal CT obtained 1 day after the procedure confirmed that the deployed stents were in the intended locations with sufficient coverage of the puncture route. There was no leakage of bile into the abdominal cavity in any patients. Bilirubin levels within 14 days of stent placement improved with >50% decrease or normalization in all patients. Median time until improvement was 3.5 days (1–7 days). Median time until discharge was 7 days (4–11 days). There were no AEs within 30 days. However, there was one case each of RBO (stent occlusion) at 45 days and RBO (stent migration) at 46 days (Table 2). Median TRBO was not reached (95% CI: 83.4–214.2 days) (Fig. 3).

Discussion
The study examined six consecutive patients who underwent EUS-HGS or EUS-HJS without dilation. We found that this method was possible for all patients, and both the technical success and clinical success rates were both 100%.

Early AEs were not observed. The late AE rate was 33% (2/6), with RBO (stent occlusion) in one patient and TRBO at 45 days, and RBO (stent migration) in one patient and TRBO at 46 days (Table 2). Median TRBO was not reached (95% CI: 83.4–214.2 days) (Fig. 3).
The dilation process is associated with danger of displacement of the guidewire and leakage of bile into the abdominal cavity after dilation. In this study without dilation, there were no leakages on CT1 day after the procedure in all patients. Therefore, there might be advantages to omitting the dilation process.

Median procedural duration was 18 minutes (12–35 min) in this study. Conversely, median procedural duration of EUS-BD with dilation was 25 minutes in six recent trials [2]. As in a prior trial of EUS-BD without dilation [3], EUS-HGS/HJS without dilation may have further advantages such as the ability to complete the procedure in a shorter time compared to EUS-BD with dilation. A problem with elimination of the dilation process was stent dilation failure with respect to fistula formation. All six patients had good postoperative drainage and no early AEs, including RBO were noted; however, one patient treated with EUS-HJS had stent occlusion on Day 45. Thus, it is unclear if it can be attributed to elimination of the dilation process. Further studies are warranted to validate these findings.

Stent migration was confirmed on postoperative Day 46 in a patient treated with EUS-HGS. From a physical perspective, the fistula was narrower with no dilation than that when dilation was performed. Therefore, stent migration was less likely. Migration of the stent was assumed to be caused by the small diameter of the stent (6 mm). However, further studies are needed to validate this finding.

Resistance during stent deployment was stronger than that with existing stents because of the narrow 6-Fr delivery system, and the release required time in one patient. Sales of this stent were temporarily suspended in February 2019 to improve this aspect of the device, and an improved version is currently under development.

This study had a few limitations. It was retrospective with a limited number of patients (n = 6). Hence, after sales of the improved version of the device begin, we plan to conduct a two-arm prospective study with the endpoint of the technical success rate comparing the EUS-HGS/HJS without dilation to that with dilation.

**Conclusion**

With further development, stents with smaller diameters as well as more stable functions and associated delivery systems are expected to enter the market. When this happens, EUS-HGS and EUS-HJS without dilation have the potential to become more widespread procedures. We are awaiting further evaluation of the efficacy and safety of these procedures in future studies.
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