Non-steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs in Tonic, Phasic and Inflammatory Mouse Models
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ABSTRACT
The principal mechanism of action of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) is the inhibition of cyclooxygenases. In this study was evaluated if NSAIDs could induce antinociceptive differences according to the type of murine pain model. Male mice were injected intraperitoneally with meloxicam, diclofenac, piroxicam, metamizol, ibuprofen, naproxen and paracetamol in the writhing, tail flick and formalin orofacial tests and dose-response were analyzed to obtain the ED₅₀ of each drugs. Administration of NSAIDs produced in a dose-dependent antinociception with different potency in the tests. The relative potency of NSAIDs among the tests shows a value of 5.53 in the orofacial formalin test in phase I and 6.34 in phase II between meloxicam and paracetamol; of 7.60 in the writhing test between meloxicam and paracetamol and of 8.46 in the tail flick test between ibuprofen and paracetamol. If the comparison is made for each NSAID in the different tests, the minimum value was 0.01 for between writhing and phase II of the orofacial formalin. Meanwhile, the highest power ratio was 11.71 for diclofenac between writhing and tail flick tests. In conclusion, the results suggests that intraperitoneal NSAIDs administration induce antinociceptive activity depending on the type of pain. The results support that NSAIDs administration, induce a wide variety of antinociceptive effect, depending on the type of pain. This suggest the participation of different mechanisms of action that can be added to the simple inhibition of COXs controlled by NSAIDs.

Introduction
The complexity of pain, whether phasic or tonic, has promoted the use of drugs with different mechanisms and sites of antinociceptive activity different mechanisms of action that could contribute to its modulation and consequently to its pharmacotherapy. Among the various types of drugs used for this purpose, the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) should be mentioned since these drugs possess antipyretic, analgesic, and anti-inflammatory properties.

The primary mechanism of action of NSAIDs is the reduction of inflammatory mediators peripherally and centrally by inhibition of cyclooxygenase (COX) enzymes [1]. However, it has been demonstrated that NSAIDs have also other mechanisms of action, between them appear the ability of NSAIDs to penetrate biological membranes where they disrupt important processes of cellular function, action on microglial activity, alteration in interleukin production, interfere with L-selectin function [2–4].

Recent advances in the understanding of the different molecular mechanisms of COXs have allowed suggest that NSAIDs are involved in other pharmacological activities, among them which should be highlighted the tumor inhibitions and prevention of metastasis, Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s diseases, a key role in bone physiology. [4–6].
The pharmacological activity of NSAIDs is based on their ability to inhibit COXs, which provides evidence of their antinociceptive effects that have been validated in several trials, such as the test of hot-plate, the tail-flick, the tail-withdrawal, the radiant heat paw-withdrawal, the von Frey filament, the cold sensitivity (acetone), the abdominal constriction (writhing), formalin oral and paw, the capsaicin, the chronic constriction surgical nerve injury, spared nerve injury, spinal nerve ligation, intraplantar zymosan, intraplantar carrageenan, complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA), lipopolysaccharide (LPS) tests. The utility of nociception tests is to measure the effectiveness and potency of pain drugs as identifying adverse effects.

Although it has been demonstrated the antinociceptive capacity of NSAIDs, there are no comparative studies of their relative potencies in tonic, phasic and inflammatory pain models. The objective of the present study was to evaluate whether NSAIDs could induce a rank order of potency according to the type of pain model.

Materials and Methods

Animals
Male CF-1 mice (25–28 g), housed on a 12 h light-dark cycle at 22 ± 1 °C with access to food and water ad libitum, were used. All animal procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee at the Faculty of Medicine, University of Chile (Protocol CBA 0410/FMUCH2013). Animals were acclimatized to the laboratory for at least 1 h before testing, used only once during the protocol, and eutanized by overdose of anaesthetic immediately after the algesiometric test with a lethal intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of 60 mg/kg of pentobarbital. The number of animals was kept at a minimum, compatible with consistent effects of the drug treatment.

Measurement of antinociceptive activity
Analgesic activity was assessed by the following test: (A) acetic acid abdominal contraction test (writhing test), as previously described [7]. Antinociception, expressed in % of maximum possible effect (% MPE), was calculated as percent inhibition of the saline control writhes (19.80 ± 1.45, n = 12). (B) tail-flick as described previously [8]. Tail flick latencies control were 2.45 ± 0.08 (n = 12) and converted to %MPE as follows: %MPE = (postdrug latency − predrug latency) / (cut-off time − predrug latency) × 100.

Results

It is noteworthy that the doses of NSAIDs used in the present work did not produce significant changes in the comportment or the motor activity of the animals.

Antinociception induced by NSAIDs in the acetic acid writhing test
Administration of solution of acetic acid via i.p. produced nociception characterized by abdominal contraction which were dose-dependent reduced by the diverse doses of NSAIDs, with different potencies as shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1.

> Table 1  ED50 values with SEM (mg/kg, i.p.) for the antinociceptive effect of NSAIDs in the acetic acid writhing (wt), tail flick (TF), and phase I and phase II of the formalin orofacial tests of mice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>TF</th>
<th>OF I</th>
<th>OF II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meloxicam</td>
<td>6.50 ± 0.54</td>
<td>73.22 ± 7.65</td>
<td>8.06 ± 0.88</td>
<td>6.65 ± 0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac</td>
<td>7.20 ± 0.90</td>
<td>84.34 ± 5.12</td>
<td>13.54 ± 2.06</td>
<td>31.23 ± 5.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piroxicam</td>
<td>8.50 ± 1.20</td>
<td>21.54 ± 2.51</td>
<td>33.56 ± 3.21</td>
<td>42.21 ± 6.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metamizol</td>
<td>28.50 ± 3.17</td>
<td>117.19 ± 13.90</td>
<td>36.56 ± 6.59</td>
<td>18.25 ± 3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibuprofen</td>
<td>33.95 ± 1.93</td>
<td>14.66 ± 2.03</td>
<td>39.68 ± 3.96</td>
<td>35.59 ± 3.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naproxen</td>
<td>46.76 ± 3.40</td>
<td>87.46 ± 10.78</td>
<td>9.67 ± 2.00</td>
<td>17.70 ± 2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracetamol</td>
<td>49.46 ± 3.31</td>
<td>124.05 ± 115.70</td>
<td>44.63 ± 4.78</td>
<td>37.37 ± 4.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to determine the antinociceptive potency of i.p. NSAIDs, dose-response curves produced by meloxicam (3,10,30 or 100 mg/kg), naproxen (3,10,30 or 100 mg/kg), diclofenac (1,3,10,30 or 100 mg/kg), metamizol (3,10,30,100 or 300 mg/kg), ibuprofen (3,10,30 or 100 mg/kg) and paracetamol (3,10,30, or 100 mg/kg) were obtained in the writhing, tail flick and orofacial formalin tests using at least 6 animals for each at least 4 doses.
Furthermore, the ED$_{50}$ values demonstrated the following rank order of potency of writhes inhibition by NSAIDs was: meloxicam = diclofenac > piroxicam > metamizol > ibuprofen > naproxen = paracetamol.

**Antinociception induced by NSAIDs in the tail flick test**

The i.p. administration of the different doses of NSAIDs used in this work produced a dose-related antinociceptive activity but with diverse potencies in this test, see ▶ Table 1 and ▶ Fig. 2.

Also, the rank order of potency of NSAIDs, according the ED$_{50}$ values, in this test was: ibuprofen > piroxicam > meloxicam > diclofenac = naproxen > metamizol > paracetamol.

**Antinociception induced by NSAIDs in the formalin orofacial test**

After i.p. administration of different doses of NSAIDs a dose-related antinociceptive response was obtained in phase I and phase II of the orofacial formalin assay, characterized by the difference in its potency, as it can be seen in ▶ Table 1 and ▶ Fig. 3.

In this assay, the rank of potency of NSAIDs, measured by the ED$_{50}$ values, was: meloxicam > naproxen > diclofenac > piroxicam = metamizol = ibuprofen > paracetamol.
Antinociceptive potency of NSAIDs in the diverse tests

The analysis of the relative potency of NSAIDs among the tests studied shows a value of 5.53 in the orofacial formalin test in phase I and 6.34 in phase II between meloxicam and paracetamol; of 7.60 in the writhing test between meloxicam and paracetamol and of 8.46 in the tail flick test between ibuprofen and paracetamol.

If the comparison is made for each NSAID in the different tests used, the minimum value was 0.01 for between writhing and phase II of the orofacial formalin. Meanwhile, the highest power ratio was 11.71 for diclofenac between writhing and tail flick tests. All values of comparative NSAIDs potency ratio are shown in ▶ Fig. 4.

Discussion

The findings of the present study demonstrated that the following NSAIDs: diclofenac, ibuprofen, meloxicam, metamizol, naproxen, paracetamol and piroxicam possesses an important activity antinociceptive, independent of the noxious stimulus. In this occasion, the tests used were tonic pain (acetic acid writhing test), phasic pain (tail flick test) and inflammatory pain (orofacial formalin test). Furthermore, results obtained are in agreement with previous reported different profiles of nociceptive activity of NSAIDs in algometer tests [7–12].

The analysis of the results obtained in the assay of abdominal contraction by acetic acid or writhing test, shows that COX-1 inhibitor NSAIDs are more potent than COX-2 inhibitors, with the exception of meloxicam and that they also possess greater potency than...
those that are ascribed as COX-3 inhibitors. Besides, the findings obtained in the tail flick and the formalin orofacial tests, displays the similar order the potency that in the writhing test. These findings demonstrate the ability of NSAIDs to produce antinociception in murine models of tonic, phasic and inflammatory pain.

The results of this study show that meloxicam was found to be more potent than other NSAIDs used in tonic and inflammatory pain models, not in phasic pain. In addition, in all the tests used, paracetamol was the weakest. Generalizing, COX-1 and COX-2 inhibitors NSAIDs were the most effective and the NSAID related to COX-3, paracetamol, was the least effective.

It is well supported that several mediators are implicated in the modulation of pain allowing various probable new aims for pharmacotherapy. According to this hypothesis, it has been established that the mechanism by which NSAIDs induce antinociception is mostly by inhibiting COXs and the concentration of prostaglandins, both centrally and peripherally. However, the existence of other mechanisms that could explain its therapeutic effects has been demonstrated.

Thereby, among the evidences has been included their interaction with monoaminergic, nitric oxide, endocannabinoids, serotonergic and cholinergic systems and endogenous opioid pathway [13–16].

On the other hand, recent investigations have proposed other mechanisms of action for NSAIDs, between them inhibition of prostaglandin keto reductase (PTGR) enzymes responsible for the inactivation of prostaglandins. Modulation of lactoferrin (LF) and transthyretin (TTR), transporting proteins for NSAIDs reducing concentration of the drugs in the body and the action of phospholipase (PLA) which suspends the production of arachidonic acid from phospholipids. [17–19].

Furthermore, other evidence has been reported anti-inflammatory actions of NSAIDs though COX-independent, among which should be mentioned that they are able to induce the downregulation of L-selectin, inhibition of nuclear factor kappa B, including the proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α or IL-1β, inhibition of activity of i-NOS [20–22].

The results presented support that NSAIDs administration, induce a wide variety of antinociceptive effect, depending on the type of pain. This antinociceptive activity suggest the participation of different mechanisms of action that can be added to the simple inhibition of COXs controlled by NSAIDs.

Conclusions
The current data support that intraperitoneally application of NSAIDs have antinociceptive activity and that this effect appears

---

**Fig. 3** Dose-response curves for the antinociceptive effect in mice induced by i.p. NSAIDs in the orofacial test phase in I (●) and phase II (○). Each point is the means ± SEM of 6–8 animals. % MPE = antinociception represented as percentage of maximum possible effect.
to be mediated by mechanisms of action further that simply COX inhibition.
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Fig. 4 Ratio of relative potency of NSAIDs among the algesiometer tests. Writhing test (WT), tail flick test (TF) and formalin orofacial test, phase I (OF I) and phase II (OF II).


