The ideal technique for processing SpyBite tissue specimens: a prospective, single-blinded, pilot-study of histology and cytology techniques
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Background and study aims
Diagnostic sensitivity for indeterminate biliary lesions remains suboptimal. Cytology techniques may mitigate the impediment of small cholangioscopic specimens. Our primary aim was to compare cell block cytology (CB) with standard histology for foregut SpyBite (SB) specimens. Our secondary aim was to assess CB in biliary SB biopsies.

Patients and methods
This was a two-phase prospective pilot study. In phase one, a prospective pilot study, foregut SB specimens from three sites (4 per site per patient per processing technique) were allocated to CB or histology, and assessed by a single, blinded pathologist. The gold standard comprised two standard forceps (CFB) histological specimens per site per patient. Specimen ease of processing, size and number, adequacy for diagnosis and artefact were evaluated. In phase two, CB was used for consecutive patients with indeterminate biliary lesions, and compared with phase one CB results.

Results
In phase one, 240 SB foregut biopsies were performed in 10 patients, 227 specimens recorded by pathologist. Specimen origin was identified in 100% and 97% of histology and CB batches respectively. Specimens were significantly larger in the histology group (2.02 mm vs 1.49 mm, P<0.05). There was a trend to less crush artifact with CB, and no difference in processing difficulty. In phase two, 11 patients (63.0 ±12.7 years, 91% female) underwent SpyGlass (SG) assessment of suspected indeterminate stricture (n= 8) or mass (n = 3), and six underwent SB. All CB specimens were adequate for diagnosis. Specimen parameters were not significantly different from luminal CB outcomes.

Conclusions
In this pilot study, cell block cytology showed similar results as histological analysis of SpyBite specimens in the analysis of biliary stricture.

Introduction
Indeterminate biliary strictures are an important clinical problem of which approximately 70% are malignant [1]. In one-fourth of suspected malignant strictures that are managed surgically, histopathology appears to be benign [2]. While unnecessary surgery is an important concern due to significant morbidity and mortality rates for upper gastrointestinal surgery, even in high-volume centers, false negatives pose an even greater concern [3]. Specificity for malignancy is universally high across diagnostic methods, however, sensitivity for cytology and biopsy is inadequate and variable ranging from 15% to 72% [4–8]. Augmented sensitivity has been demonstrated with multimodal sampling including brush cytology, biopsy after mucosal disruption, and when aneuploidy is demonstrated with fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) [9–12]. The role of endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) in indeterminate biliary lesions is limited to distal mass lesions with variable sensitivity ranging from 25% to 89% [13–15].

The SpyGlass Direct Visualization System (SG) (Boston Scientific Endoscopy, Natick, Massachusetts, United States) allows for lesion visualization and targeted biopsy. The Spybite biopsy
forceps (Boston Scientific Endoscopy, Natick, Massachusetts, United States) is indicated for tissue acquisition in the pancreaticobiliary system.

Previously described cholangioscopic features of malignancy facilitate targeted biopsy of lesions [16–20]. However, the small size of SpyBite (SB) specimens has led to reports of processing difficulties [23]. Overcoming the processing difficulties posed by small SB specimens is central to supporting the clinical utility of cholangioscopically guided biopsies. **Video 1** shows the endoscopic image of a suspicious malignant biliary stricture. It demonstrates villous appearance with altered vascularity and normal bile duct tissue in the background. **Fig. 1** demonstrates cold forceps biopsy of this lesion.

The primary aim of this study was to compare CB and histology processing of SB foregut specimens. The secondary aim was to compare outcomes of CB processing of SB biliary specimens with those from luminal SB specimens processed by CB.

**Patients and methods**

This study consisted of two phases. Phase one was a prospective, single-blinded, pilot study for the comparison of two tissue processing techniques (CB and standard histopathology) for SB samples from the upper gastrointestinal tract in outpatients presenting for upper gastrointestinal endoscopy. In phase two, the CB tissue processing technique was utilized for consecutive patients presenting for SG examination of indeterminate biliary lesions.

**Phase one**

**Patient population**

Consecutive patients over the age of 18 who presented for diagnostic endoscopy and were able to give informed consent were included. Patients who presented for an endoscopy for active bleeding, variceal surveillance, or any therapeutic indication were excluded as well as those who were unable to give informed consent.

**Endoscopic procedure**

In each patient, eight SB specimens were obtained from the esophagus, stomach, and duodenum (in total 24 biopsies). The SB forceps was deployed through the working channel of an adult gastroscope. Four of these specimens from each site were processed by cytological CB preparation and the remaining four specimens from each site were processed by standard formalin fixation and paraffin embedding (**Fig. 2**). Two additional conventional cold forceps biopsies (CFB) from each site (esophagus, stomach and duodenum) were obtained for processing by routine histopathological techniques, which formed the “gold-standard”. Routine biopsies were taken using the single-use Radial Jaw four biopsy forceps (Boston Scientific Endoscopy, Natick, Massachusetts, United States).

**Specimen processing**

CB processing involved centrifugation of specimens at 2000 rpm for 5 minutes followed by resuspension in human plasma and diluted thromboplastin/fibrinogen in a 1:3 mL ratio with distilled water. Cellblocks were then fixed in 3 to 5 mL of 10 % neutral buffered formalin and placed in printed tissue cassettes to be processed for histology and cut. Standard formalin fixation and paraffin embedding was used to process the SB and CFB specimens for histology.

**Data collection**

All specimens were assessed by a single pathologist, who was blinded to the site of biopsy as well as the patient’s identity and clinical details. Specimens were assessed for ease of processing using a semi-quantitative scoring system, fragment...
size and number, site, diagnosis and presence of artefacts (▶ Table 3). After completion of specimen assessment, samples were de-identified and compared with the gold-standard CFB.

Phase two
Patient population
In phase two, consecutive patients undergoing SG cholangioscopy for diagnosis of indeterminate biliary lesions with the intention of obtaining targeted biopsies were included after obtaining informed consent. If a mass or stricture was defined SB biopsies were obtained and sent for CB processing.

Endoscopic procedure and specimen processing
All patients underwent extensive diagnostic assessment prior to cholangioscopy. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatogram (ERCP) and SG were performed in the standard fashion to delineate the stricture. If sphincterotomy had not been performed previously, it was performed before the cholangioscopy. Spyglass DS was used in all cases. Biliary stricture dilation was performed as needed to facilitate the passage of the cholangioscope. The cholangioscope was passed proximal to the lesion, suction was used to clear bile and contrast material, sterile saline solution was infused to optimize imaging, and the cholangioscope was slowly withdrawn to perform a systematic inspection of the ductal mucosa prior to targeted biopsies. Optical features suspicious for neoplasia were assessed, including adherent mucous, papillary projections, and irregular and prominent/dilated vessels [16 – 19]. All phase two (biliary) SB biopsy samples were processed using the described CB method.

Data collection
Specimens were assessed for ease of processing using a semi-quantitative scoring system, fragment number and size, site, diagnosis and presence of artifact. Outcomes were compared with those of CB luminal specimens from phase one.
Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 7.00 for Macintosh, GraphPad Software, La Jolla, California, United States. Chi-square tests were used to test for independence between two categorical variables with expected frequencies greater than two, and two-tailed Fisher exact tests for input variable less than two. T-tests were used for comparing the means of independent variables. P values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Descriptive statistics were calculated with reported mean and standard deviation.

This study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by our local ethics committee (Sydney Local Health District, Research Ethics and Governance Office, Ethics Approval Number X14–0243).

Results

Phase one

Patient characteristics

In total, 10 consecutive patients (mean age 59.7 ± 18.7 years, 40% female) presenting for outpatient upper gastrointestinal endoscopy were enrolled. Patient demographics are listed in ▶ Table 1. Diagnoses that were established included gastric intestinal metaplasia (n = 4), reflux esophagitis (n = 2), and coeliac disease (n = 1). Examination and biopsy were normal in the remaining 3 patients.

Endoscopic procedures

Gastrointestinal endoscopy was performed in all patients. In total, 240 SB biopsies were taken, of which 120 SB specimens (40 esophageal, 40 gastric, and 40 duodenal) were allocated to CB processing, and 120 SB specimens to histology. No immediate or delayed adverse events relating to SB biopsy or endoscopy and sedation were reported.

Tissue processing outcomes

In total, the examining pathologist tallied 227 SB biopsies from the upper gastrointestinal tract, 105 in the CB group and 122 in the histology group. The characteristics are listed in ▶ Table 2. The mean number of specimens recorded per site per patient was 3.6 (± 0.86) in those processed by CB and 4.1 (± 0.58) in those processed by histology (P > 0.05). Specimen size was significantly larger in the histology group compared to the cytology group (2.02 mm (± 0.55) vs 1.49 mm (± 0.49), P < 0.001. The site of origin of specimens was correctly identified in 100% histology and 97% of CB specimens (P = 0.200). In the CB group, 11 out of 105 samples (10%) had a crush artifact compared with 37 out of 122 (30.3%) histology samples (P = 0.052). There was no significant difference between the two methods in the frequency of specimen processing difficulty per patient.

Phase two

Patient characteristics

In the biliary cohort, 11 patients (mean age 63.0 ± 12.7 years, 91% female) with indeterminate biliary lesions underwent assessment with SG cholangioscopy (▶ Table 1). In total, eight

▶ Table 1. Diagnoses that were established included gastric intestinal metaplasia (n = 4), reflux esophagitis (n = 2), and coeliac disease (n = 1). Examination and biopsy were normal in the remaining 3 patients.

Endoscopic procedures

Gastrointestinal endoscopy was performed in all patients. In total, 240 SB biopsies were taken, of which 120 SB specimens (40 esophageal, 40 gastric, and 40 duodenal) were allocated to CB processing, and 120 SB specimens to histology. No immediate or delayed adverse events relating to SB biopsy or endoscopy and sedation were reported.

Tissue processing outcomes

In total, the examining pathologist tallied 227 SB biopsies from the upper gastrointestinal tract, 105 in the CB group and 122 in the histology group. The characteristics are listed in ▶ Table 2. The mean number of specimens recorded per site per patient was 3.6 (± 0.86) in those processed by CB and 4.1 (± 0.58) in those processed by histology (P > 0.05). Specimen size was significantly larger in the histology group compared to the cytology group (2.02 mm (± 0.55) vs 1.49 mm (± 0.49), P < 0.001. The site of origin of specimens was correctly identified in 100% histology and 97% of CB specimens (P = 0.200). In the CB group, 11 out of 105 samples (10%) had a crush artifact compared with 37 out of 122 (30.3%) histology samples (P = 0.052). There was no significant difference between the two methods in the frequency of specimen processing difficulty per patient.

Phase two

Patient characteristics

In the biliary cohort, 11 patients (mean age 63.0 ± 12.7 years, 91% female) with indeterminate biliary lesions underwent assessment with SG cholangioscopy (▶ Table 1). In total, eight
patients had a suspected indeterminate stricture and three patients had a suspected mass.

Endoscopic procedures
In all cases, SG cholangioscopy was performed by the method described. In five of 11 patients, no biopsies were taken due to the following reasons: mucosa appeared normal on SG cholangioscopy (n=3), stone was found (no mass) (n=1), extensive cholangiocarcinoma was seen and biopsies were not indicated (n=1). In six of 11 patients, visually targeted SB biopsies were taken: five patients had a stricture (3 distal and 2 hilar), and one had a Paris Ips mass lesion of the common bile duct (CBD). Two lesions had optical features suspicious for neoplasia: the CBD Paris Ips lesion had adherent mucous, papillary projections, and irregular and prominent vessels and one of the hilar strictures had papillary projections and dilated and interrupted vessels. In total, 20 SB biopsies were sampled in six patients (mean number of specimens per site 3.3 ±0.77), and all were processed by CB. There were no immediate or delayed adverse events.

Tissue processing outcomes
In total, 20 specimens from six patients were assessed by our pathologist. The number of SB varied between one to six specimens per patient with a median of four specimens.

Mean size of biliary specimens processed by CB was 1.1 mm (±0.74). Histopathological diagnoses made were chronic inflammation (n=2), cholangiocarcinoma (n=1), high-grade dysplasia (n=1), primary sclerosing cholangitis (n=1), and normal tissue (n=1). Minimum clinical follow up for this group was 7 months. The diagnosis of cholangiocarcinoma was established in the above-mentioned hilar stricture with optical features suspicious for malignancy. The CBD paris Ips lesion was assessed to be high-grade dysplasia. Crush artefact was reported in one case (17%), however, this sample was otherwise well preserved and remained of diagnostic quality. The differences in specimen size and frequency of artefact between CB batches in the lumen (phase one) and bile duct (phase two) did not reach significance (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Luminal cell block</th>
<th>Biliary cell block</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. patients biopsied</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no. biopsies</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean no. biopsies per patient per site (SD)</td>
<td>3.57 (0.86)</td>
<td>3.3 (0.77)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean specimen size in mm (SD)</td>
<td>1.49 (0.49)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.74)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate tissue for diagnosis (%)</td>
<td>29/30 (97%)</td>
<td>11/11 (100%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crush artifacts (%)</td>
<td>3/30 (10%)</td>
<td>2/11 (18%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion
This prospective pilot study compared two different processing techniques for SpyBite tissue specimens in the analysis of upper gastrointestinal specimens which was then extrapolated to patients with indeterminate biliary strictures. The available modalities for tissue diagnosis in indeterminate biliary strictures remain suboptimal, and the optimal method for processing SB specimens has not been previously established [22]. The small size of specimens yielded is an important factor [23, 24]. This study shows that CB is non-inferior to histological analysis in both foregut biopsies as well as the analysis of biliary lesions, despite the significantly smaller specimen size on those processed by CB. Importantly, there was a trend towards less crush artifacts in the CB samples than in the histology samples.

The reason for smaller specimen size in our CB cohort compared with the histology group (1.49 mm vs 2.02 mm, P<0.001) likely relates to processing factors. CB allows for processing of small fragments, and has a well-established role in EUS fine-needle aspiration (EUS-FNA) where tiny specimen fragments are not able to be processed histologically. In our cohort there was a trend for the biliary specimens to be smaller than those from the foregut lumen (1.1 mm vs 1.49 mm, P>0.05). This could be explained by selection bias, as the foregut tissues were mostly healthy tissue whereas the biliary specimens were all from diseased tissue and often fibrotic. Moreover, the tangential biopsy acquisition in the bile duct could play an important role in this smaller size of the specimens. In general, the specimens from a standard forceps biopsy are 2 to 3 mm each in greatest dimension and crush artifact is usually either absent, focal, or mild.

We showed a discrepancy in the SB samples numbers in phase one, with the protocolized 240 luminal SB samples collected at endoscopy and 227 samples counted by the reviewing pathologist. Of the four specimens acquired per site, a mean of 3.6 per site were reported in CB and 4.1 per site in histology. This could be explained by clumping of specimens in the CB batches and fragmentation in the histology batches.

Our study has several limitations. First, it had a small sample size albeit this was a feasibility study. Second, the biopsies were only assessed by a single pathologist. Although the pathologist is an expert in the field, this might lead to observer bias. This
effect was minimized by blinding the pathologist to the site of the biopsies and clinical details. Finally, our study did not include a comparison between histology and CB methods in the bile duct. If the trend to smaller specimens from the bile duct compared with foregut (1.1 vs 1.5 mm, respectively) was also seen in the histology specimens, one may expect increased processing difficulties. Such difficulties with histology have previously been reported, however, in our pilot study there was no difference in difficulty of processing the material per patient [21, 25, 26].

This pilot study suggests a possible method for tissue handling to overcome the processing difficulties with SpyBite specimens. Based on the positive results of our study, a randomized controlled trial comparing histology and CB within the CBD is indicated to further establish the role for this processing method. Alternate processing techniques have been reported. A recent publication reported successful application of rapid on-site evaluation (ROSE), a technique often seen in EUS-FNA, in SB biliary specimens [25]. This technique yielded a sensitivity for malignancy of 100%, though interestingly the specificity significantly dropped to 88.9%. Future studies should address the optimal processing technique, considering also institutional factors such as case volume and cytology expertise.

Conclusion

In conclusion, processing Spybite specimens via cellblock cytology was comparable to histology in the analysis of foregut biopsies and indeterminate biliary strictures. This study is a first step in establishing the role of this technique in the analysis of indeterminate strictures.
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