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**ABSTRACT**

*Corylus avellana* (hazelnut) is one of the most popular tree nuts on a worldwide basis. The main products of *C. avellana* are kernels, a nutritious food, with a high content of healthy lipids, contained in a hard shell. In recent years, along with the ongoing research carried out on hazelnut kernels, a growing interest has been addressed to the hazelnut byproducts including hazelnut skin, hazelnut hard shell, and hazelnut green leafy cover as well as hazelnut tree leaf. These byproducts deriving from the roasting, cracking, shelling/hulling, and harvesting processes have been found as a source of “phytochemicals” with biological activity. The aim of this review is to provide a comprehensive and critical update on the chemistry and biological activity of specialized metabolites occurring in hazelnut kernels and byproducts. Phenolics are the most abundant phytochemicals not only in the kernels, but also in other processing byproducts. Attention has been also devoted to taxane derivatives isolated from *C. avellana* leaves. An overview on the biological activity, mainly antioxidant, antiproliferative, and antimicrobial along with less common biological effects, has been provided, contributing to highlight *C. avellana* as a source of bioactive phytochemicals with the potential to exert beneficial effects on human health. Finally, analytical techniques for the qualitative-quantitative analysis of specialized metabolites occurring in the different parts of *C. avellana* have been reviewed.

**ABBREVIATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGE</td>
<td>advanced glycation end-product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAD</td>
<td>diode-array detector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPPH</td>
<td>1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIC</td>
<td>minimal inhibitory concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPE</td>
<td>solid-phase extraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBARS</td>
<td>thiobarbituric acid reactive substances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDDFT</td>
<td>time-dependent density functional theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEAC</td>
<td>trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA-SLE</td>
<td>ultrasound-assisted solid/liquid extraction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Introduction**

*Corylus avellana* L. (Betulaceae) is one of the most popular tree nuts on a worldwide basis. The main products of *C. avellana* are kernels, a nutritious food with a high content of healthy lipids [1], used by the confectionery industry and consumed raw (with skin) or preferably roasted (without skin). The kernel is contained in a hard shell. Hazelnut skin, hazelnut hard shell, and hazelnut green leafy cover as well as hazelnut tree leaf are byproducts of roasting, cracking, shelling/hulling, and harvesting processes, respectively. Food plant-derived products contain, along with pri-
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mary constituents, a wide range of specialized metabolites, well known as “phytochemicals,” that possess substantial biological activity. In recent years, together with the ongoing research carried out on hazelnut kernels, a growing interest has been addressed to the hazelnut byproducts.

Among hazelnut phytochemicals, phenolic compounds represent the main specialized metabolites and are of considerable interest due to their biological activity [2–4]. Moreover, the finding of taxanes in in vitro cell cultures of different explants of hazelnut in 2006 appeared as a new opportunity to recover paclitaxel and other taxanes from C. avellana, with the advantages to use a more abundant and available species than yew.

In addition to the comprehensive review on the chemistry, the biological activities of the hazelnut extracts and of the isolated compounds have been summarized. Furthermore, because the accurate quali-quantitative analysis of phytochemicals is critical to understand the quality parameters of the different parts of C. avellana, analytical works carried out on hazelnuts and byproducts of C. avellana have also been reviewed.

Botanical Aspects

The hazel plant (C. avellana), belonging to the Betulaceae family, is a tree native to Europe and Asia. The natural distribution of C. avellana is restricted to the northern hemisphere, even if it grows in temperate climates like Turkey, Spain, and Italy (Fig. 1). Although Turkey and Italy remain the major producing countries (80% of the world crop), hazelnut cultivation has spread in recent years to new growing areas including the southern hemisphere [5]. Hazelnut trees, which may grow up to 6 m, exhibit deciduous leaves 6–12 cm long, softly hairy on both surfaces, and with a double-serrated margin [6]. The kernel, the nut of commerce, is surrounded by a dark brown perisperm and protected by a woody shell [7]. Hazelnuts develop in clusters of 1–12, each separately enclosed in a cup of green leafy cover that encloses about three-quarters of the nut [8]. Flowers are produced very early in spring before the leaves and are monoecious, with single-sex catkins; the male catkins are pale yellow and 5–12 cm long, and the female ones are very small and largely concealed in the buds, with only the bright-red, 1- to 3-mm-long styles visible [9].

The hazelnut cultivars show a high level of genetic diversity for traits such as vigor, growth habits, suckering, nut size and shape, and shell thickness. Cultivars more used in the food industry are Tonda Gentile delle Langhe, also named Tonda Gentile Trilobata, Tonda Gentile Romana, Tonda di Giffoni, S. Giovanni, Mortarella, and Riccia di Talianico, cultivated in Italy; Tombul, Sivri, Palaz, and Fosa, cultivated in Turkey; and Negret and Pauetet produced in Spain. Among Italian cultivars, Tonda di Giffoni and Tonda Gentile delle Langhe gained the European Protected Geographical Indication (PGI) label and are known as “Nocciola di Giffoni” and “Nocciola Piemonte,” respectively. U. S. production, especially from Oregon, is principally destined for fresh consumption and only recently has been directed to industrial use [10].

Traditional Medicine Uses

Persian medicine, a traditional medicine developed on Iran’s plateau thousands of years ago, paid special attention to hazelnut providing notable recorded therapeutic experiences in cognitive disorders such as amnesia and dementia based on its own princi-
amples in etiology and treatment. In some books on Persian medicine, it is specifically emphasized that hazelnut and almond protect the brain tissue and prevent the brain atrophy, improving memory [11].

In Iranian traditional medicine, the leaves of C. avellana, known as fandoghi, are also largely consumed in the form of infusion as an efficient liver tonic [12]; nowadays, they are also used in folk medicine for the treatment of hemorrhoids, varicose veins, phlebitis, and lower members’ edema, as a consequence of their astringency and vasoprotective and anti-edema properties. Galenic preparations of hazelnut leaves have also been used as remedy for ulcers and oropharynx infections. Slight antidisenteric, antifungal, and cicatrizant properties have also been described [13].

In the Swedish traditional medicine, the hazelnut leaves and bark are used for the treatment of pain [14].

Chemistry
The investigations were mainly focused on differences resulting from cultivars, origin including fresh, raw, and roasted kernels, and on a variety of hazelnut products (hazelnut skin, hard shell, tree leaf, green leafy cover). Herein, in the present work a rationalization of the bioactive specialized metabolites occurring in the hazelnut kernels and other biomasses of C. avellana on the basis of the chemical features has been carried out. Phenolics are the most abundant compounds not only in the kernels, but also in other processing byproducts that were reported to contain different derivatives and levels of these compounds. A paragraph focused on the taxane derivatives isolated from C. avellana leaves has also been included.

Phenolic Acids
The term “phenolic acids” describes phenols that possess 1 carboxylic acid functional group. The naturally occurring phenolic acids can be divided into 2 subcategories: hydroxybenzoic acids and hydroxycinnamic acids. Although the basic skeleton remains the same, the number and positions of the hydroxyl groups on the aromatic ring vary [15].

Several phenolic acids belonging to the hydroxybenzoic acid class were identified in the hazelnut kernel: p-hydroxybenzoic acid (1), salicylic acid (2), 4-hydroxy salicylic acid (3), gallic acid (4), vanillic acid (5), and syringic acid (6) [16, 17] (Fig. 2). Gallic acid (4) represents a main compound of C. avellana due to its occurrence in hazelnut kernel, green leafy cover [2], skin, tree leaves [3], and shells [18]. Protocatechuic acid (7) was recently isolated from hazelnut kernel (both fresh and roasted) and hazelnut skin [17] and was identified as the main constituent in the brown skin of hazelnut produced in the United States [2] and also reported in the shells [18]. Vanillic acid (5) was also reported in the hazelnut shells [18], along with methyl gallate (8) and veratic acid (9) [19] (Fig. 2).

Hydroxycinnamic derivatives as p-coumaric acid (10), caffeic acid (11), ferulic acid (12), and sinapic acid (13) were identified in hazelnut kernel, green leafy cover [2], skin, and tree leaves [3]. m-hydroxycinnamic acid (14), o-coumaric acid (15), and isoflavone (16) were identified only in the hazelnut kernel [16, 17]. Additionally, compounds 10–13 were described in the hazelnut shells [18] along with dihydroconiferyl alcohol (17) and hydroxypropiovanillone (18), 2,3-dihydroxy-1-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-propan-1-one (19), and 1-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxy)-1,2,3-propanetriol (20) (Fig. 2).

Phenolic acids may arise in food plants as glycosides or esters with other natural compounds such as sterols, alcohols, glycosides, and hydroxy fatty acids [15]. The hazelnut leaves represented a source of 3-, 4-, and 5-cafeoylquinic acids (21–23), p-coumaroyltartaric acid (24), caffeoyltartaric acid (25) [21], rosmarinic acid (26), and a caffeoyl-hexoside derivative [22], while 3,5-dicafeoylquinic acid (27) was reported in the leaf cover [8]. As it is evident, these compounds mainly occur in the leaves of hazelnut, although 3-cafeoylquinic acid (21) [23] was also identified in kernel (Fig. 2).

Other phenolic acids were tentatively identified by mass spectrometry in the hazelnut shells: galloylquinic acid, coumaroylquinic acid, feruloylquinic acid, along with a pentose ester of coumaric acid and hexose ester of syringic acid [18]. Moreover, compounds such as p-coumaroyltartaric acid (24), feric acid (28), 3-p-coumaroylquinic acid (29), dihydroxycomouarin, and digalloyl-glucose isomer were tentatively identified in hazelnut samples of Turkish Tombul [17] (Fig. 2).

Flavonoids
Flavonoids are polyphenolic compounds ubiquitous in nature. They occur as aglycones, glycosides, and methylated derivatives.
Small amounts of aglycones are frequently present and occasionally represent a proportion of the total flavonoid content in the plant. In Fig. 3, flavonoids occurring in C. avellana are shown.

The aglycone quercetin (30) was identified in the hazelnut kernels and shells [17, 18] and myricetin (31) in the hazelnut kernels, shells, and skins [17, 18, 24]. Flavonoid glycosides reported in hazelnut are all O-glycosides characterized by a sugar portion made up of 1 or 2 units. Kaempferol, quercetin, and myricetin glycosides showed the sugar portion linked to C-3 of the aglycones. Quercetin 3-rhamnoside (32) and myricetin 3-rhamnoside (33) were detected in kernels, shells, leaves, and barks [18, 21, 22, 25] with quercetin 3-rhamnoside (32) also reported in the skins [24], kaempferol 3-rhamnoside (34) in leaves and barks [21, 22], quercetin-3-glucoside (35) in kernels and leaves [17, 26], and kaempferol 3-glucoside (36) only in kernels [27]. Hyperoside (37) was isolated in the hazelnut leaves [28] and rutin (38) was described in kernels and shells [18, 23].

The only investigation carried out on hazelnut flowers evidenced the presence of the previous described compounds 32, 34, and 35, along with quercetin 3-galactosyl-(1 → 2)-glucoside (39), kaempferol 3-glucosyl-(1 → 2)-glucoside (40), kaempferol 3-(cis-p-coumaroyl)-rhamnoside (41), and kaempferol 3-(trans-p-coumaroyl)-rhamnoside (42) [9]. Previous phytochemical investigation on C. avellana pollen, strongly connected with the male flowers, reported the presence of quercetin 3-glucosyl-(1 → 2)-galactoside (43) [29]. More recent investigations highlighted as compound 42 occurred in the hazelnut shells, leaves, and green leafy covers [8, 20, 30], while compound 41 was described in these byproducts and in the shells [20].

Compounds 33 and 34 were isolated from the hazelnut leafy covers [8]. Moreover, quercetin glucuronide and isorhamnetin-3-O-rutinoside (44) were tentatively identified in the hazelnut kernel [17].

Flavanone glycosides were also described in hazelnut: eriodictyol (45) was tentatively identified in the hazelnut kernel [17], taxifolin (46) in the shells [18], and naringin (47) in hazelnut shells and skins [31].

Moreover, the dihydrochalcone glucoside, phloretin 2′-O-glucoside (48), was reported in the hazelnut kernels and shells [18, 25]. Among flavonoids, flavan-3-ols, widely distributed in the plant kingdom, were reported [32]. Catechin (49), epicatechin (50), and epigallocatechin (51) were identified in hazelnut kernels [7], shells [18], and skins [32], and gallicatechin (52) was identified in the skins [24] (Fig. 4). These compounds are present as monomers and as oligomeric and polymeric forms, described in the next paragraph.

Tannins and Proanthocyanidins

Tannins are a heterogeneous group of high-molecular-weight polyphenolic compounds with the capacity to form reversible and irreversible complexes with proteins, polysaccharides, alkaloids, nucleic acids, and minerals. On the basis of their structural features, it is possible to divide them into 4 major groups: gallo-tannins and ellagittannins (both known as hydrolyzable tannins), complex tannins, and condensed tannins, also known as proanthocyanidins [15].

In hazelnut, gallotannins are represented by compounds in which galloyl units are linked to catechin units (Fig. 4). Epicatechin gallate (53), epigallocatechin gallate (54), and gallicatechin gallate (55) were described in the hazelnut kernel [33], and epicatechin gallate (53) was identified in the skins [24].

An example of ellagitannin, in which at least 2 galloyl units are C-C coupled to each other, is represented by ellagic acid (56), found in the hazelnut kernel [23] (Fig. 4). Five hydrolysable tannins and related compounds ellagic acid hexoside isomer, ellagic acid pentoside isomer, flavagallonic acid dilactone isomer, bis (hexahydroxydiphenoyl)-glucose (HHDP-glucose) isomer, and valonie acid dilactone/sanguisorbic acid di-lactone were tentatively identified in hazelnut samples by Pelvan [17].

Condensed tannins or proanthocyanidins, widespread in hazelnut and its byproducts, are oligomers or polymers classified in procyanidins, propelargonidins, or prodelphinidins on the basis of the flavan-3-ol unit (epi)catechin, (epi)afzelechin, or (epi)gallo-catechin, respectively [25]. In detail, in relation to the interflavanic bond nature, B-type procyanidins are those in which monomers are linked through the C-4 position of the top unit and the C-6 or C-8 positions of the terminal unit, the C-4 to C-8 isomers being more abundant than the C-4 to C-6 ones. On the other hand, A-type procyanidins contain an additional ether type bond between the C-2 position of the top unit and the hydroxyl group at C-5 or C-7 of the lower unit [32].

Procyanidins A2 (57), B1 (58), and B2 (59) [7, 33] along with other procyanidin dimers and trimers were identified in hazelnut kernels [10, 25] (Fig. 4). Four isomers of B-type procyanidin were tentatively identified in hazelnut shells [18]. Investigation of hazelnut skins reported the occurrence of oligomeric proanthocyanidins with a degree of polymerization up to 10, mainly constituted by B-type oligomers of (epi)catechin. Also, (epi)gallicatechin and gallate derivatives were identified as monomeric units.
Diarylheptanoids

Diarylheptanoids, a class of natural products based on the 1,7-dihydrophenylheptane skeleton, occur frequently in plants belonging to the Betulaceae family. They can be divided into linear or cyclic compounds. There is a smaller number of cyclic diarylheptanoids that are formed from the corresponding linear type by phenolic oxidative coupling, either C-C coupling leading to meta,meta-bridged biaryls or C-O coupling leading to bridged diaryl ethers. Thus, they can be classified into 3 subgroups: linear diarylheptanoids, cyclic diarylheptanoids, and cyclic diarylthethanoids (Fig. 5). Hazelnut leaves are a source of diarylheptanoids. The first report of linear diarylheptanoids focused on the identification of 1,7-bis-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-4-hepten-3-one (hirsutene) (62), 1,7-bis-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-4,6-heptadien-3-one (63), 5-hydroxy-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-7-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-heptan-3-one (64), 5-hydroxy-1-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-7-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-heptan-3-one (65), 7-bis-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-4-hepten-3-one-hexoside, 1,7-bis-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-4,6-heptadien-3-one-hexoside, and 1,7-bis-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-4-hepten-3-one-hexoside (22), the latest tentatively identified by MS. A further linear diarylheptanoid, (38,5R)-3,5-dihydroxy-1,7-bis(4-hydroxy-phenyl) heptane 3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (66), was isolated in the hazelnut leaves [35].

More recently, cyclic diarylheptanoids were isolated from hazelnut leaves, leaf covers, flowers, and shells of the Italian cultivar Tonda di Giffoni. For these reasons, the compounds were named giffonins. The cyclic diarylthethanoids named giffonins A–H (67–74), and J–K (75, 76) were isolated from the hazelnut leaves while giffonins Q–S (77–79) were isolated from the hazelnut flowers [6, 9, 30].

The cyclic diarylheptanoids named giffonins I (80), L–P (81–85), T (86), and U (87) along with carpinontriol B (88) were isolated from the hazelnut leaves; giffonins I (80), T (86), U (87), and carpinontriol B (88) were also isolated from hazelnut leaf covers; giffonin P (85), carpinontriol B (88), and giffonin V (89) were also found in the hazelnut shells [6, 8, 20, 30]. Moreover, giffonin I (80) along with alnusone (90) were described in the hazelnut flowers [9]. Chemically, giffonins A–I (67–74, 80) are characterized by the presence of only 1 stereogenic center on the heptyl moiety; for these compounds the absolute configuration was established through the application of the modified Mosher’s method [6]. For giffonins J–P (75, 76, 81–85), possessing at least 2 stereogenic centers on the heptyl unit, a combined (quantum mechanical)/NMR approach based on the comparison of the experimental 13C/1H NMR chemical shift data and the related predicted values was used to establish the relative configurations [30], and for giffonins T (86) and U (87), this approach was followed by the comparison of the experimental electronic circular dichroism (ECD) curves with the TDDFT calculated curves to establish the absolute configurations [8].

Lignans

Lignans are a class of secondary metabolites derived from 2 phenylpropanoid units linked through a C-C bond between C8 and C8′ of the side chain carbon atoms. A careful literature search highlights as lignans and related compounds, widely distributed in the plant kingdom, can show a remarkable structural diversity, although they arise from the relatively simple basic skeleton of 2 phenylpropanoids [36]. Neo lignans are characterized by 2 phenilpropanoid units linked by a bond other than a C8–C8′ bond. These compounds were described in the hazelnut shells as erythro-(75,8R)-guaiaicylglycerol-β-O-4′-dihydroconiferyl alcohol (91), erythro-(75,8R)-guaiaicylglycerol-β-coniferyl aldehyde ether (92), erythro-(7R,8S)-guaiaicylglycerol-β-O-4′-dihydroconiferyl alcohol (93), three-1,2-bis-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-1,3-propandiol (94), cedilanid (95), ficusal (96), ent-cedrusin (97), dihydrodydrodiconiferyl alcohol (98), and balanophonin (99) [20] (Fig. 6).

Taxanes

An article published in 2006 reported the recovery of taxanes in in vitro cell cultures of different explants of hazelnut [37] (Fig. 7). The explants were used to optimize the protocol for inducing in vitro callus, an undifferentiated tissue from which suspension cell
cultures were obtained. The media recovered from suspension cell cultures contained taxanes as paclitaxel (100), 10-deacetylpaclitaxel (101), and 10-deacetyl baccatin III (102). This paper suggested that hazel species possess the metabolic pathway(s) for taxane biosynthesis [37]. Later, hazelnut leaves and shells were investigated for the presence of taxanes [38]. The extracts were found to contain paclitaxel (100), 10-deacetylpaclitaxel (101), 10-deacetyl baccatin III (102), baccatin III (103), 7-xylosylpaclitaxel (104), 10-deacetyl-7-xylosylpaclitaxel (105), 7-epipaclitaxel (106), 10-deacetyl-7-epipaclitaxel (107), 10-deacetyl-7-xylosylcephalomannine (108), cephalomannine (109), paclitaxel C (110), 10-deacetyl-7-xylosylpaclitaxel C (111), and taxinine M (112) (Fig. 7). The isolated taxanes were quantified with the exception of compounds 101, 104, 105, 107–109, 111, and 112, which were not individually quantified due to the difficulties in separation under the chromatographic condition used [38]. This investigation highlighted as the level of total taxanes in leaves was higher than in shells collected in the same period from the same plants [38].

Small amounts of paclitaxel (100), 10-deacetyl baccatin III (102), baccatin III (103), and cephalomannine (109) were also isolated from Tombul hazelnut hard shells, green leafy covers, and leaves [39]. On the basis of the recovery of taxanes in the hazelnut leaves and shells, investigations were also performed on the kernels. None of the previous described taxanes were found in the hazelnut kernels [39, 40], except 1 compound tentatively identified as 7-epi-paclitaxel [40].
Hazelnut Volatiles

Hazelnuts are famous for their distinctive taste and aroma. Compounds including ketones, aldehydes, pyrazines, alcohols, aromatic hydrocarbons, furans, pyroles, terpenes, and acids were detected in fresh and roasted hazelnuts [41-43]. Among the several volatiles compounds, 5-methyl-(E)-2-hepten-4-one (flibertone) was reported as primary odorant (nutty-roasty and hazelnut-like) of roasted hazelnuts. The different roasting conditions were reported to influence the aroma chemical composition: in the roasted hazelnuts were identified more volatile compounds than in the fresh hazelnuts [43].

Biological Activity

Antioxidant Activity

Phenolic compounds have been reported to reduce the risk of heart disease, heart disease, and several neurodegenerative diseases; to prevent and delay many age related pathologies; to inhibit plasma platelet aggregation, cyclooxygenase activity, and histamine release; and to exert antibacterial activity [44,45]. The benefits toward many of these conditions arise in part from their antioxidant properties being able to act as direct and indirect antioxidants via mechanisms mainly involving free radical scavenging or neutralizing other oxidants [46,47].

Purified phenolic compounds, phenolic-enriched fractions, along with the whole polar extracts occurring in both hazelnut kernel and its byproducts have been reported to exert strong antioxidant activities [1,4,48]. General lines regarding the antioxidant activity exerted by the phenolics-rich extracts obtained from kernels and byproducts of C. avellana will be drawn, taking into account that the hazelnut extracts were obtained by different extraction methods and were submitted to different antioxidant assays.

The total antioxidant activities of the extracts obtained from hazelnut kernels, natural and roasted, with or without skins, differing for geographical origins, varieties, and storage conditions, have been measured [7,17,27,49-58]. Mostly, no statistical differences in total antioxidant activity have been observed among samples of unroasted and roasted kernels without skins; the thermal processing had a light negative trend in antioxidant efficacy mainly due to the absence of skins [1,27,50,52,59]. The effects of kernel roasting by 2 different processing methods, microwave and microwave-assisted hot air, revealed in both cases an increase of antioxidant activity at the rising of roasting time and temperature was observed, maybe explainable according to the formation of new Maillard reaction products having antioxidant activity [56,60].

When the skins were retained on the kernels, a general trend showing a higher total antioxidant ability for the extracts could be observed, suggesting a direct contribution of skin phenolic content, above all consisting of proanthocyanidins, although there is no unanimous opinion about this relationship and this hypothesis has been frequently discussed and opposed [3,4,17,24,25,32,34,52,55,58,59,61,62].

Mostly, apart the extracts obtained from skins, also the extracts of the other C. avellana byproducts (shells, green leafy covers, flowers, and leaves) exhibited stronger activities than hazelnut kernel [2,3,6,8,19-21,63]. However, the antioxidant ability of the phenolic compounds isolated from the extracts of these byproducts was in some cases higher than that of the extracts themselves. For example, the diarylheptanoids giffonin D (70) and H (74) at 10 µM reduced both H2O2- and H2O2/Fe2+-induced lipid peroxidation in TBARS assay by more than 60% and 50%, respectively, being more active than methanol extract (38% at 10 µg/mL in both assays) and curcumin, used as reference compound [30]. Analogously, in the same in vitro test, giffonin R (78) and S (79) at 10 µM exhibited an inhibition of lipid peroxidation by more than 50% and about 35%, respectively, resulting more active than both C. avellana flower extract, from which they were isolated, and curcumin [9]. When tested for their antioxidant ability by TBARS assays, all compounds isolated from methanol extracts of leaves, flowers, and green leafy covers of Italian Tonda di Giffoni hazelnut exhibited an inhibition of lipid peroxidation at least comparable to curcumin [6,8,9]. The antioxidant activity evaluated by TEAC assay for diarylheptanoids, neolignans, phenylpropanoids, and flavonoids isolated from the hazelnut shells of the same C. avellana (cultivar Tonda di Giffoni) highlighted higher free radical scavenging activity (similar to that shown by quercetin 3-O-glucoside used as reference compound) for kaempferol 3-(4′-cis-p-coumaroyl)-rhamnoside (41) and kaempferol 3-(4′-trans-p-coumaroyl)-rhamnoside (42), suggesting that the coumaroyl moiety improves the radical-scavenging capacity, while diarylheptanoids giffonin P (85), carpinontriol B (88), and giffonin V (89), possessing similar chemical features, characterized by the presence of 2 phenolic groups, showed a weak radical-scavenging capacity as well as threeo-1,2-bis(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-1,3-propanediol (94) [20]. The antioxidant activities by TEAC assay have been evaluated also for both n-butanol extracts of raw and roasted kernels (without skins), obtained from the same plant cultivar, and phenolics compounds occurring in them [27]. Among the tested compounds, ellagic acid displayed the highest activity, the flavonoid derivatives myricetin 3-rhamnoside (33) and quercetin 3-glucoside (35) showed a free radical scavenging activity comparable to that displayed by the reference compound quercetin, while both kernel extracts and diarylheptanoids giffonin M (82), and Q (77) showed the weakest TEAC values.

The additive and synergistic effect of phenolic compounds (i.e., neolignans, phenolic acid derivatives, and cyclic diarylheptanoids) isolated from the polar extract of the hazelnut shells obtained as waste product of industrial processing of 2 other Italian varieties (Mortarellea and Lunga San Giovanni) was instead invoked to explain the higher free radical scavenging activity shown in DPPH assay by the extract when compared with the metabolites therefrom isolated [19].

Antiproliferative Effects

Considering the increasing evidence suggesting that inflammation plays a pivotal role in a multitude of chronic diseases, including cancer, and that there exists a close correlation between antioxidant ability and anticancer effects [64], the antiproliferative effects of both extracts and phenolic compounds derived from hazelnut kernels and byproducts have been largely studied. Moreover, the presence of small quantities of Taxol and taxanes in
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C. avellana [37, 38] and above all the consideration that C. avellana cell culture extracts were more effective than pure Taxol against human cancer cell lines, have increased the investigations on both the extracts and its secondary metabolites, mainly phenolics.

Thus, the polar extracts of shells, leaves, and green leafy covers of the Italian C. avellana cultivar Tonda di Giffoni, affording numerous metabolites belonging to diarylheptanoids class along with flavonoids, neolignans, and phenylpropanoids, have been investigated for their cytotoxic activities against different human cancer cell lines (human lung adenocarcinoma, A549; human epithelioid cervix carcinoma, Hela; human skin fibroblasts, HaCat; human B lymphoma, DeFew; human osteosarcomas, U2Os and SAOs) [8, 20, 30]. Neither single phenolics (in a range of concentrations between 10 and 100 µM) nor the methanol extracts (at 500 µg/mL and 250 µg/mL) of these hazelnut byproducts caused a significant reduction of the number of cancer cell lines. The study of Esposito et al. reported in in vitro MTT assay a low inhibitory effect on the growth of human cancer cell lines of primary and metastatic melanoma (A375 and SK-Mel-28, respectively) and cervix carcinoma (HeLa) for the methanol extract, the neolignans balanophonin (99) and ent-cedrusin (97) and the phenol derivative gallic acid (4) obtained from hazelnut shells of 2 others Italian varieties (Mortarella and Lunga San Giovanni) [19]. The expression of cleaved forms of caspase-3 and poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 (PARP-1) suggested that the shell extract induced apoptosis through caspase-3 activation in both SK-Mel-28 and HeLa cell lines. In 2008, Ottaggio et al. analyzed the methanol extracts from leaves and shells of C. avellana, reporting the presence in both of taxanes such as paclitaxel (100), 10-deacetylbaccatin III (102), baccatin III (103), paclitaxel C (110), and 7-epipacitaxel (106), with leaves containing a higher level of taxanes than shells collected in the same period from the same plants [38]. In the study, the biological activities of shell hazel extracts were investigated by evaluating their ability to inhibit metaphase to anaphase transition in human cancer SK-Mes-1 (squamous cell lung carcinoma) cell culture. A decrease in the anaphase/metaphase ratio was observed in both Taxol and shell extract (0.026 vs. 0.054, respectively) treated cells.

Both leaf and stem extracts of 3 different Spanish hazel trees significantly reduced viability of the 3 human derived cancer cell lines (Hela; liver hepatocellular cells, HepG2; human breast adenocarcinoma cell, MCF-7) [35]. Among the 2 tested extraction methods, the maceration with methanol reduced cell viability to a greater extent than taxane extraction methods and in particular methanol leaf extracts promoted a higher reduction in viability of all cell lines assayed than stem extracts. Fractionation of methanol leaf extracts led to the purification and identification of 2 compounds, (3R,5R)-3,5-dihydroxy-1,7-bis(4-hydroxy- phenyl) heptane 3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (66) and quercetin 3-rhamnoside (32), that decreased viability of HeLa and HepG2 cells to a greater extent than MCF-7 cells.

Moreover, Li and Parry (2011) examined the extracts obtained from both roasted and raw Turkish and Oregon hazelnuts as shellled nuts (with or without skins) and skins (raw and roasted) by testing in vitro the antiproliferative effects against human colon cancer HT-29 cell line [58]. At 6 mg/mL media Oregon roasted hazelnut skin extract significantly inhibited the growth of HT-29 cells by 96% following 4 d of treatment, and a similar result was seen from the Turkish roasted skin hazelnut extract with an inhibition of growth of the HT-29 cells by 89%.

**Antimicrobial, Antifungal, and Anthelmintic effects**

Antimicrobial natural compounds are receiving a growing interest because of consumer pressure on the food industry to avoid chemical preservatives and the increasing resistance to antibiotics. Several investigations on hazelnut kernels and byproducts have been carried out with the aim to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of extracts and single compounds on the basis of the antimicrobial capacity described for phenolic compounds [65, 66].

Thus, in their work Cerulli et al. evaluated the antimicrobial activity of both methanol extract of leafy covers of C. avellana Tonda di Giffoni and cyclic diarylheptanoids, quinic acid, flavonoid, and citric acid derivatives therefrom isolated against the Gram-positive strains Bacillus cereus and Staphylococcus aureus and the Gram-negative strains Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa [8]. The antimicrobial assays established that the cyclic diarylheptanoids giffonin U (87) and carpinitriol B (88) were the most effective against the tested strains, causing at 40 µg/disk the formation of zones of inhibition completely comparable to those obtained with tetracycline at 7 µg/disk used as positive control.

The antimicrobial capacity analysis against Gram-positive (B. cereus, B. subtilis, S. aureus) and Gram-negative bacteria (P. aeruginosa, E. coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae), and fungi (Candida albicans, Cryptococcus neoformans) of aqueous extracts of kernels of 3 different C. avellana cultivars produced in Portugal (cv. Daviana, Fer-tille de Coutard, and M. Bollwiller) [57] revealed high antimicrobial activity only against Gram-positive bacteria, Gram-negative bacteria and fungi being resistant to the tested extracts at all the assayed concentrations. B. cereus was more susceptible (MICs of 0.1 mg/mL for cv. Daviana and M. Bollwiller) than the other Gram-positive bacteria. These extracts had a similar antimicrobial activity to leaf extracts of the same cultivars [21], which were effective at low concentrations (~0.1 mg/mL). A good level of antibacterial activity (MIC 125 µg/mL) against the Listeria monocytogenes strain was also demonstrated for tannins enriched fractions obtained from the acetone extract of C. avellana kernels from Poland [67].

By microbroth dilution method the antifungal effect against C. albicans SC5314 of both the extract prepared from roasted hazelnut skins by maceration and the proanthocyanidins-enriched fraction therefrom obtained was tested, reporting the first an antifungal activity with MIC of 3.00 µg/mL and MIC0 of 5.00 µg/mL at 48 h, and the second a higher activity (MIC of 0.10 µg/mL and MIC0 of 0.50 µg/mL), suggesting that the antifungal activity can be correlated to PAs content [34]. Tannins-enriched fractions obtained from extracts of hazelnut skins showed anthelmintic effects against the nematode parasite Ascaris suum by reducing the migratory ability of newly hatched third-stage larvae and the motility and survival of fourth stage larvae recovered from pigs [68]. Furthermore, the authors provided evidence that the strength of the anthelmintic effect is both related to the polymer size of the tannin molecule and to the identity of the monomeric structural units of tannin polymers.
Prebiotics vs. Antimicrobial Effects

Prompted by the finding that the intake of flavonol-rich foods has been shown to modify the composition of the gut microbiota, exerting prebiotic-like effects [69], Montella et al. in 2013 investigated the possibility to prepare novel bioactive extracts with potential prebiotic activity from hazelnut skins in order to promote the growth of 2 well-known probiotic bacteria, Lactobacillus plantarum P17630 and Lactobacillus crispatus P17631 [62]. Thereby samples of hazelnut skins obtained from Tonda Gentile Trilobata (Piedmont) were extracted yielding a total phenolic extract along with a solid residue, from which both a soluble and an insoluble dietary fiber fraction were obtained. The total phenolic extract was in turn fractionated on C-18 SPE cartridges yielding 3 fractions enriched in phenolic acids, monomeric/oligomeric flavan-3-ols, and polymeric procyanthocyanidins. The antimicrobial effect against the 2 Lactobacillus strains was then assayed for the 4 different polyphenols-rich extracts and the 2 fiber fractions. Both soluble and insoluble dietary fiber obtained from hazelnut skins showed a prebiotic activity towards L. crispatus P17631 and L. plantarum P17630, allowing to improve the growth during in vitro fermentation. On the contrary, free phenolic substances (especially belonging to oligomeric and polymeric procyanthocyanidin classes) proved to negatively influence the positive fiber effect, in particular showing, at all tested concentrations, a strain-specific antimicrobial effect against L. crispatus P17631, with no significant influence on L. plantarum P17630 bacterial growth.

Protective Effect Against Neurochemical Alterations in Alzheimer’s-Type Neurodegeneration

With the aim to examine the potential nutraceutical benefits of hazelnut in Alzheimer’s neurodegenerative disease, the in vitro enzyme inhibition properties of hazelnut samples grown in 2 regions, Piedmont in Italy and the Black Sea region in Turkey, along with the possible neuroprotective effects of both hazelnut varieties on catecholamine levels in rat cerebral cortical specimens challenged with amyloid β-peptide by ex vivo experiments were evaluated [53]. In particular, in the in vitro enzyme inhibition assays, carried out against cholinesterase, tyrosinase, amylase and glucosidase and performed in agreement with the enzyme inhibitory theory considered as one of the most useful therapeutic ways for managing global health problems including Alzheimer’s disease, Turkish hazelnut methanol extracts had a more considerable enzyme inhibitory potential than Italian ones. Ex vivo experiments proved that both hazelnut varieties were able to mitigate neurochemical alterations in Alzheimer’s neurodegeneration in rodents by increasing norepinephrine level and reducing dopamine level consistently. The reduction in tissue levels of dopamine was related to increased release as well as to the stimulatory effects on dopamine release induced by ascorbic acid, which is significantly present in hazelnuts and that could enhance the synthesis of norepinephrine from dopamine. The authors also hypothesize that increased levels of norepinephrine can be linked to the high hazelnut polyphenolic and flavonoid content that could be responsible of the blunting effect on norepinephrine levels induced by amyloid β-peptide treatment, ex vivo, and also that could induce norepinephrine signaling stimulation, mitigating effects against age-related cognitive and motor decline [53, 70].

Anticonvulsant Properties

The synergistic effect of biologically active compounds occurring in leaves of C. avellana collected in Ukraine has been invoked to explain the high anticonvulsant properties shown by the dry aqueous extract [71]. In fact, in mice subjected to pentylentetrazole-induced seizures the aqueous extract of hazelnut leaves, as well as the reference drug sodium valproate, showed the most pronounced anticonvulsant activity respect to the other hydroalcoholic extracts tested, resulting in a significant increase in the latency period of the first seizure occurrence, reducing lethality and duration of the convulsive period in the group. Both dry ethanol (50%) and ethanol (96%) extracts did not practically differ from each other in their anticonvulsant activity, rather showing a decrease of anticonvulsant effect with the increase of ethanol concentration.

Protein Precipitating Capacity

By forming complexes with proteins, such as salivary proline-rich proteins, polyphenols are largely responsible for astringency [72].

Several studies reported that polyphenol-protein interactions determine a masking effect on the free radical scavenging activity of polyphenols [72, 73], thereby rendering of great importance to investigate the affinity of polyphenols for proteins.

With this aim, Peliti et al. analyzed raw Turkish Tumbul hazelnuts with skins. Extracts of defatted hazelnut were fractionated into low-molecular weight and high-molecular weight phenolics, and low-molecular weight fraction was further purified to remove sugars and organic acids [74]. The crude extract and its fractions were then tested by measuring their protein precipitating capacity using 2 different proteins, BSA and gelatin, which were effectively precipitated by high-molecular weight fraction. The optimum pH for precipitation of polyphenols with BSA and gelatin (pH 4.0 and pH 5.0, respectively) were similar to the values already reported. Hazelnut polyphenols displayed a greater affinity for gelatin, having a conformational open structure, than for BSA, characterized by a compact globular structure. The differences in the affinities could be due to the differences in the ability of binding sites for polyphenol adsorption as well as the net charge of the proteins used in the study.

Antiglycative Effect

The inhibitory effect of the aqueous extract of Turkish hazelnut kernel (Ankara) against AGEs formation has been also evaluated [75]. AGEs are the final products derived from the nonenzymatic glycation process. Because they are involved in the development of several health complications associated with diabetes and aging, extracts with anti-AGE ability could mitigate the effects of age-related pathologies. By measuring the fluorescence intensity and using aminoguanidine as an AGE inhibitor, Mesías et al. ascertained the extract inhibitory effects, at 25 mg/mL, on AGE formation in BSA-glucose and BSA-methylglyoxal (MGO) assays. The extract exhibited a more than 40% anti-AGE activity in protein-glucose assay when compared with that of aminoguanidine (93%). In BSA-MGO assay hazelnut extract had no inhibitory activity. No relationship between antioxidant and phenolic compound content and antiglycative activity of the extracts was found. This finding led the authors to conclude that other hydrophilic constituents in
addition to phenolic acids must be involved in the antiglycative activity of the aqueous hazelnut kernel extract.

**Analytical Analysis**

Accurate quantitative analyses of phytochemicals, mainly of phenolics, are critical to understand the quality parameters of the different parts of *C. avellana*.

The content of phytochemicals in hazelnuts and other parts of *C. avellana* generally varies considerably by cultivar, genotype, and pre- and post-harvesting factors. Genotype strongly influences the content of phenolic acids, flavonoids, stilbenes, and phytosterols [25]. Jakopic described that the total phenolic content oscillates from 70 to 478 mg/kg kernels, with an average of 189.5 mg/kg among several different hazelnut genotypes. Environmental factors that can be grouped in climatic factors (soil, sun exposure, precipitation) and agronomic factors (organic or conventional cultivation, irrigation, fertilization) can regulate the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites and among them of phenolic compounds. In addition, the environmental stress strongly influences polyphenol biosynthesis because of the ecological function of phenolic compounds. The only information occurring in literature is relative to the total phenolic content and its variability connected with environment, so no advanced phytochemical analysis was reported [76]. Also, effects of agronomic practices (organic versus conventional, for example) on hazelnut metabolomics have not been explored to date. After harvesting, nuts are subjected to different treatments, including sterilization, whitening, roasting, radiation, and packaging. Technological approaches also show qualitative effects on the phytochemicals content. Schmitzer et al. [59] reported that roasting had a negative effect on individual phenolics but not on the total phenolic content and total antioxidant capacity of hazelnuts. They found that roasting decreased the amount of protocatechuic acid (7), phloretin-2-O-glucoside (48), catechin (49), and epicatechin (50) in hazelnuts. The medium content of each single metabolite is reported in Table 1, based on literature and the database "Phenol-Explorer" [77], which can represent a guide to the single phenol content in different parts of *C. avellana*.

Methods focused on hazelnut quality and typization are mostly based on phenotypic observations and genetic fingerprint. In recent years, genetic approaches are complemented with innovative analytical methodologies that could suitably integrate well-established protocols for authentication [10]. Excluding approaches used for hazelnut typization based on the analysis of volatile constituents by GC-MS or other modern approaches based on NMR in combination with multivariate data analysis [78, 79], most of the methods used for metabolomics of hazelnut are principally based on LC and LC-MS methods.

**Extraction**

Extraction of phytochemicals is necessary before their qualitative analysis. The choice of solvent significantly determines their amount [48]. Organic solvents, classically methanol, acetone, acetonitrile, or ethyl acetate, have been employed to extract polyphenols from the different parts of *C. avellana*. The different solvent may explain some of the inconsistency in the amount of phytochemicals from the same plant matrix.

As pre-treatment, due to the high percentage of lipids, hazelnuts are commonly milled and washed with a nonpolar solvent (i.e., hexane) to remove fats. This treatment has been carried out by solid-liquid extraction [10] or by using a Soxhlet method [61]. After defatting, in most of the papers the extraction technique exploited for phenolic compounds was the conventional solid-liquid extraction, generally supported by an ultrasound bath improving the mass transfer. Ghirardello et al. explored different solvent mixtures (80% v/v ethanol, methanol, and acetone), at different temperatures, with the result that a mixture of 80% v/v acetone/water was the most effective in extracting both benzoic and cinnamic acid derivatives [33]. Extraction of phenolics from kernels was also explored in 2018 by Fanali et al. Phenolic compounds in their study were discovered applying 2 different extraction approaches, namely UA-SLE and SPE. Different solvents were assessed, determining total phenolic content and flavonoids content in addition to antioxidant activity. The best extraction conditions, giving the highest value of total phenolic content in addition to simplicity and low cost, resulted to be UA-SLE performed with 0.1 g of defatted sample and 15 mL of solvent (1 mL methanol/1 mL water/8 mL methanol 0.1% formic acid/5 mL acetonitrile) [7].

A number of studies focused on the extraction of phenolic compounds from *C. avellana* byproducts like skins and shells have been reported [18, 55, 80]. The skin that envelops hazelnut kernels is usually removed after roasting process, representing a pheno- nolic-rich by-product.

Different extraction solvents (methanol, acidified methanol, ethanol, acidified ethanol, and acetone/water) and protocols (cold solvent-assisted extraction and semi-automated Soxhlet extraction) have been evaluated in different papers to extract antioxidants from hazelnut shells and pellicular wastes coming from the industrial pericarp removal during the processing of hazelnut kernels. To optimize parameters like solvent and extraction time and to evaluate their role in phenolics extraction from the skin, in 2007 Stevigny et al. applied an experimental design approach. Solvent composition (X1) and extraction time (X2) were chosen as independent variables. Response surface methodology was then applied to predict the best conditions for standardized extraction of phenolics [80]. A similar approach applying experimental design to extraction parameters was followed by Yuan et al. in 2018. Preliminary optimization showed that a high recovery of phenolics could be achieved with shell particle size less than 0.5 mm when extracted with acetone at 50°C. Response surface experiments showed that a 10 g/L liquid to solid ratio, 58% acetone, and 12-h extraction time yielded the highest amount of phenolics [18].

Other extraction approaches, like alkaline hydrolysis [55] and ultrasound-assisted extraction compared with microwave-assisted extraction and supercritical dioxide extraction, were also explored and applied to skin and shells byproducts of hazelnut production [81].

Studies on extraction methods to optimize phenolics recovery from leaves are not so easy to find. Only one paper explored this item, with the aim to underline the chemical composition of extracts of the leaves obtained by infusion usually used in folk med-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>p-hydroxybenzoic acid</td>
<td>kernels</td>
<td>26.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>LC-MS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 4         | gallic acid | shells | 62.1 ± 4.3  
|           |           | kernels | 4.4 ± 0.7  | HPLC-DAD | [18,23] |
| 7         | protocatechuic acid | shells | 22.1 ± 1.8  | HPLC-DAD | [23] |
| 14        | m-hydroxycinnamic acid | kernels | 86.1 ± 2.6  | HPLC-DAD | [51] |
| 21        | 3-cafeoylquinic acid | leaves | 1160.0 ± 0.0 | HPLC-DAD | [13] |
| 23        | 5-cafeoylquinic acid | leaves | 1110.0 ± 0.0 | HPLC-DAD | [13] |
| 24        | p-coumaroyltartaric acid | leaves | 30.0 ± 0.0  | HPLC-DAD | [13] |
| 25        | caffeoyltartaric acid | leaves | 20.0 ± 0.0  | HPLC-DAD | [13] |
| 26        | rosmarinic acid | leaves | 9050.0 ± 0.0 | HPLC-DAD | [22] |
| 30        | quercetin | shells | 40.4 ± 3.6  | HPLC-DAD | [18] |
| 32        | quercetin 3-rhamnoside | shells | 4.9 ± 0.0  
|           |           | leaves | 20.9 ± 1.8  
|           |           | flowers | 65.3 ± 0.9  
|           |           |         | 16940.0 ± 0.3 | HPLC-DAD | [9,18,25] |
| 33        | myricetin 3-rhamnoside | leaves | 1.2 ± 0.0  
|           |           | bark | 37700.0 ± 0.9  
|           |           |         | 2420.0 ± 0.1  | LC-MS | [22,25] |
| 34        | kaempferol 3-rhamnoside | flowers | 12.0 ± 0.4  
|           |           | leaves | 1460.0 ± 0.2  
|           |           | bark | 4470.0 ± 0.1  | LC-MS | [9,22] |
| 35        | quercetin 3-glucoside | flowers | 13.6 ± 0.2  
|           |           | leaves | 3490.0 ± 0.04 
|           |           | bark | 2310.0 ± 0.1  | LC-MS | [9,22] |
| 39        | quercetin 3-galactosyl-(1→2)-glucoside | flowers | 493.3 ± 2.6  | LC-MS | [9] |
| 40        | kaempferol 3-glucosyl-(1→2)-glucoside | flowers | 654.7 ± 3.6  | LC-MS | [9] |
| 41        | kaempferol 3-(cis-p-coumaroyl)-rhamnoside | shells | 98.0 ± 1.0  
|           |           | flowers | 533.0 ± 11.5 | LC-MS | [9,20] |
| 42        | kaempferol 3-(trans-p-coumaroyl)-rhamnoside | shells | 64.0 ± 1.4  
|           |           | flowers | 1773 ± 3.8   | LC-MS | [9,20] |
| 48        | phloretin-2′-O-glucoside | kernels | 10.6 ± 0.0  | LC-MS | [25] |
| 49        | catechin | shells | 176.4 ± 6.4  
|           |           | kernels | 12.0 ± 0.0  | HPLC-DAD | [18,51] |
| 50        | epicatechin | shells | 17.1 ± 1.5  
|           |           | kernels | 2.0 ± 0.0  | HPLC-DAD | [18,77] |
| 51        | epigallocatechin | kernels | 28.0 ± 0.0  | HPLC-DAD | [77] |
| 53        | epicatechin gallate | shells | 63.2 ± 5.7  
|           |           | kernels | 11.0 ± 0.0  | HPLC-DAD | [18,51] |
| 55        | gallocatechin gallate | kernels | 12.0 ± 0.0  | HPLC-DAD | [77] |
| 62        | hirsutene | leaves | 330.0 ± 0.0  | LC-MS | [22] |
| 88        | carpinontriol B | shells | 223.0 ± 1.4  | LC-MS | [20] |
| 89        | giffonin V | shells | 248.0 ± 3.0  | LC-MS | [20] |
| 90        | alnusone | flowers | 510.7 ± 3.4  | LC-MS | [9] |
| 91        | erythro-(7S,8R)-guaiacylglycerol-β-O-4′-dihydroconiferyl alcohol | shells | 833.0 ± 1.8  | LC-MS | [20] |
| 92        | erythro-(7S,8R)-guaiacylglycerol-β-coniferyl aldehyde ether | shells | 332.0 ± 1.0  | LC-MS | [20] |
| 93        | erythro-(7R,8S)-guaiacylglycerol-β-O-4′-dihydroconiferyl alcohol | shells | 553.0 ± 5.2  | LC-MS | [20] |
| 96        | ficusal | shells | 722.0 ± 5.4  | LC-MS | [20] |
| 98        | dihydrodehydrodiconiferyl alcohol | shells | 573.0 ± 8.7  | LC-MS | [20] |
| 99        | balanophonin | shells | 118.0 ± 1.6  | LC-MS | [20] |
HPLC and HPLC/MS Analysis

Although kernels in past were studied mainly for their lipid and volatile content, in 2006 Kornsteiner carried out a study aimed at assessing the content of tocopherols and carotenoids in the unsaponifiable fraction as well as the amount of phenols in 10 different types of nuts by HPLC [82]. After this work, other authors evaluated by HPLC a limited number of phenolic compounds in kernels [16, 33]. Recently, in a study concerning polyphenols analysis in kernels, 5 phenolic acids, namely gallic acid (4), caffeic acid (11), p-coumaric acid (10), ferulic acid (12), and sinapic acid (13), were identified and quantified (both free and esterified) by HPLC-DAD, with DAD set at 280 and 320 nm [3]. In the same paper byproducts (skin, hard shell, green leafy cover, and tree leaf) were evaluated too for determining the contents of the 5 phenolic compounds.

In 2010 Jakopic et al. explored phenolics in kernel of 20 cultivars by HPLC-MS. Twenty-three compounds from different phenolic groups were detected, and 15 of them were identified. No individual compound was quantified. Total phenol concentrations ranged from 70 to 478 mg gallic acid equivalents per kg hazelnut kernels. A high level of total phenols was observed in the cultivar Tonda Gentile delle Langhe; extracts were obtained by different procedures and the occurrence of phytochemicals was then investigated. Each extraction method presented its own advantages and disadvantages, and particularly in this study, extracts were obtained by “eco-friendly” procedures in order to explore their potential use as functional ingredients for pharmaceutical and cosmetic formulations [63].

Recently, a qualitative analytical approach based on HPLC coupled to electrospray ionization, multiple-stage linear ion-trap and Orbitrap HRMS (LC-ESI-LTQ-Orbitrap-MS/MS) of the fresh and roasted kernel of the Italian cultivar Tonda di Giffoni evidenced 11 phenolic compounds: the flavonoid O-glycosides quercetin 3-rhamnoside (32), myricetin 3-rhamnoside (33), quercetin 3-glucoside (35), kaempferol 3-glucoside (36), kaempferol 3-((4′'-cis-p-coumaryl)-rhamnoside (41), kaempferol 3-((4′'-trans-p-coumaryl)-rhamnoside (42), along with ellagic acid (56), the diarylheptanoid derivatives giffonin Q (77), giffonin M (82), giffonin P (85), and carpinontriol B (88) [27].

In recent years, a big interest has been directed to hazelnut by-products, like shells and skins. In 2017 Masullo et al. explored the phenolic composition of the shells of the Italian cultivar Tonda di Giffoni. In order to perform the quantitative determination of the main compounds of the methanol extract, an analytical method based on LC-MS with ESI and triple quadrupole mass analyzer (QqQ), using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) scan mode, was developed and validated. This analytical approach showed erethro-(75S,8R)-guaiaacylglycerol-β-O-4′'-dihydroconiferyl alcohol (90), fucose (95), and dihydrodehydrodiconiferyl alcohol (97) as the main compounds. The quantitative results highlighted that the main compounds occurred in the extract in concentration ranging from 6.4 to 83.3 (mg/100 g) [20]. The chemical characterization of shell and skin of another Italian hazelnut Tonda Gentile Romana was carried out using analytical pyrolysis in the presence of hexamethyldisilazane followed by GC-MS analysis and HPLC-DAD by Mattonai et al. [31]. High concentrations of catechin (49), epicatechin (50) and procyandin were found in the skin.

The polyphenolic profile of fresh and roasted hazelnut skins was studied by HPLC-DAD and LC-MS. A specific study on phenolic compounds in roasted hazelnut skins evidenced the presence of flavan-3-ols mainly constituted by B-type proanthocyanidins (up to degree of polymerization to 9). The polyphenolic profile of hazelnut skins was compared with the polyphenolic profile of roasted skins obtained from different nuts [32]. The proanthocyanidins profile was more accurately investigated in 2016 by Picci-
nelli et al. [34]. In 2018 a study that evaluated the change in phenolics during ripening in skins and kernels was carried out [84].

In 2005 Amaral et al. performed an investigation aimed at defining the profile of polyphenols in leaves of *C. avellana*. Thus phenolic compounds of hazelnut leaves of 10 different cultivars developed under the same cultural, geographical, and climatic conditions were analyzed by HPLC-DAD and HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS/MS. Eight phenolic compounds, 3-caffeoylquinic acid (21), 5-caffeoylquinic acid (23), p-coumaroyltartaric acid (24), caffeoyltartaric acid (25), quercetin 3-rhamnoside (32), myricetin 3-rhamnoside (33), kaempferol 3-rhamnoside (34), and quercetin 3-glucoside (35) were identified and quantified. All of the analyzed samples showed a similar phenolic profile, in which quercetin 3-rhamnoside (32) and myricetin 3-rhamnoside (33) were the major compounds [26]. A further study on leaves of *C. avellana* was published in 2007. Aqueous extracts of leaves of different hazelnut cultivars (M. Bollwiller, Fertile de Coutard, and Daviana) were analyzed by reversed-phase HPLC-DAD for the characterization of their phenolic composition [21]. Phenolic compounds of leaves were quantitatively evaluated, with their geoclimatic and seasonal variations [13]. In this research, a seasonal pattern variation study was performed, comprising the screening of the phenolic composition of 4 cultivars under the same agricultural, geographical, and climatic conditions. A seasonal pattern was observed consisting of an increase of the total phenolic content from May to July, a considerable decrease in August, and a new increase in September. In all cultivars, the highest content of phenolics was achieved in July. Multivariate statistical analysis was also applied.

Leaves and barks were studied for their phenolic content in 2013 by Riethmüller et al. [22]. UV spectroscopic data, obtained by LC-DAD, accurate molecular mass and formula, acquired by LC and ESI with time-of-flight MS and fragmentation pattern, given by LC-ESI/MS/MS analyses were carried out. Then a specific MRM MS/MS method was developed and validated for the following phenolic compounds: caffeic acid (11), rosmarinic acid (26), quercetin 3-rhamnoside (32), myricetin 3-rhamnoside (33), kaempferol 3-rhamnoside (34), hisrutone (62), 1,7-bis-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-4,6-heptadien-3-one (63), 5-hydroxy-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-7-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-heptan-3-one (64), 5-hydroxy-1-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-7-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-heptan-3-one (65), along with kaempferol-d-glucoside, quercetin 3-hegoside, myricetin 3-hegoside, 1,7-bis-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-4,6-heptadien-3-one-hegoside, hirsutone-glucoside. Quantitation of the compounds was performed by LC-ESI-MS/MS [22].

An analytical method based on LC-ESI-MS was developed for male flowers. An initial LC-MS qualitative analysis of the methanolic extract of the male flowers of *C. avellana* was performed showing the occurrence of 12 phenolic compounds. Among them 11 compounds were quantified: quercetin 3-rhamnoside (32), kaempferol 3-rhamnoside (34), quercetin 3-glucoside (35), quercetin 3-galactosyl-(1 → 2)-glucoside (39), kaempferol 3-glicosyl-(1 → 2)-glucoside (40), kaempferol 3-(4″′-cis-p-coumaroyl)-rhamnoside (41), giffonin Q (77), giffonin R (78), giffonin S (79), giffonin I (80) and alnusone (90). The quantitative determination of the main compounds was carried out by LC-ESI(QqQ)MS, using the Multiple Reaction Monitoring (MRM) [9].

**NMR and Genomics Combined with Multivariate Data Analysis**

Traditional methods to identify hazelnut cultivars are based on phenotypic observations, but unfortunately, they are affected by environmental and developmental factors. DNA-typing methods such as random amplification of polymorphic DNA, inter-simple sequence repeat, restriction fragment length polymorphism, amplification refractory mutation system, and simple sequence repeat are known to be useful for revealing genetic polymorphisms among different cultivars and accurately identifying hazelnut cultivars but are laborious and time-consuming [5, 85]. Most of these methods are not specific but give a total fingerprint that can be submitted to statistical evaluation by multivariate data analysis able to identify similarities and differences in samples and variable involved in their differentiation. Principal component analysis (PCA) and partial least square (PLS) are the multivariate models mainly applied to the evaluation of these problems, together with hierarchical clustering analysis. An analytical technique that was an alternative tool to obtain very informative and robust fingerprint is NMR. Several authors have used principally 1H NMR spectroscopy combined to chemometric tools to discriminate hazelnut samples. In 2014 high-resolution 1H NMR spectroscopy was performed on 3 Italian hazelnut cultivars, Tonda di Giffoni, Mortarella, and Tonda Gentile Romana, to characterize their metabolite profile. The hazelnuts were grown in the same pedoclimatic conditions and in the same geographical area. Metabolites belonging to different chemical classes (amino acids, organic acids, carbohydrates, lipids, and miscellaneous compounds) were identified and quantified. In addition, the fingerprint combined with PCA was used to discriminate the classes [86]. In the same year 1H NMR analyses were carried out on polar extracts of “Tonda gentile trilobata” and other cultivars and the data were analyzed by multivariate statistical methods [87]. In 2018 NMR fingerprint combined with multivariate data analysis was applied to discriminate the geographical origin of hazelnuts. The work addressed for the first time the untargeted NMR spectroscopic analysis of a large quantity of hazelnut samples from 5 different Eurasian countries. The data analysis generated a model predicting the origin of samples from a test set with a high accuracy [88]. All the previous described papers concern kernels [89], while Cerulli et al. in 2018 explored the metabolome of leaves of *C. avellana* by 1H NMR combined with multivariate data analysis (PCA and PLS-discriminant analysis) and to date it remains the only paper describing a non-targeted metabolomics approach on a *C. avellana* part different from hazelnut [63]. Metabolites belonging to different classes, different amino acids and sugars (primary metabolites) occurring with quercetin 3-rhamnoside (32), myricetin 3-rhamnoside (33), kaempferol 3-rhamnoside (34), kaempferol 3-O-(4′′-trans-p-coumaroyl) rhamnopyranoside (42), giffonin A (67), giffonin B (68), giffonin C (69), giffonin D (70), giffonin E (71), giffonin F (72), giffonin G (73), giffonin H (74), giffonin I (80), giffonin K (76), giffonin L (81), giffonin M (82), giffonin N (83), giffonin O (84), giffonin P (85), giffonin T (86), giffonin U (87), and carpinontriol B (88) were identified and used for samples classification.
Conclusion

This review highlights how in the recent years, together with the ongoing research carried out on hazelnut kernels, a growing interest has been addressed to the hazelnut byproducts, represented by hazelnut skins, hazelnut hard shells, and hazelnut green leafy covers as well as hazelnut tree leaves. A lot of analytical works have been carried out to define the quali-quantitative profiles of the different parts of *C. avellana*.

Phenolics are the main specialized metabolites. Besides phenolic acids and flavonoids, recent investigation highlighted the presence of diarylheptanoids, less common specialized metabolites, characterized by a highly hydroxylated cyclic diarylheptanoid skeleton.

Extracts obtained from the different parts of *C. avellana* along with pure compounds have shown several biological activities including antioxidant, antiproliferative, antimicrobial along with neuroprotective effects. Thus, the present work contributes to highlight *C. avellana* as a source of bioactive principles, but further studies, cohort or case-control studies, are required to address the health benefits of *C. avellana* extracts and their possible use as food supplements.

Concerning taxanes isolated from *in vitro* cell cultures of different explants of hazelnut and also reported in hazelnut leaves, at the moment there are no scientific evidences to sustain *C. avellana* as a more abundant and available source of these molecules than yew. Therefore, considering the importance of the matter, additional investigations specifically addressed to highlight the occurrence of taxanes in hazelnut and related byproducts are needed.
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