Cardiac Computed Tomography – More Than Coronary Arteries?
A Clinical Update
Kardinale CT: Mehr als nur Koronarien? Ein Abgleich mit dem Alltag
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ZUSAMMENFASSUNG
Hintergrund Durch technischen Fortschritt und neue Entwicklungen sowie die verbreitete Anwendung minimalinvasiver Verfahren, welche einer prä-interventionellen Planung bedürfen, ist die kardiale Computertomografie (CT) zu einer weit verbreiteten und vielseitig angewendeten Methode geworden, die zunehmend über die traditionelle koronare CT-Angiografie (CTA) hinausreicht.

Methode Dieser Übersichtsartikel stellt eine Zusammenfassung der aktuellen Literatur zur kardialen Computertomografie (CT) zu einer weit verbreiteten und vielseitig angewendeten Methode geworden, die zunehmend über die traditionelle koronare CT-Angiografie (CTA) hinausreicht.


Kernaussagen:
▪ Koronare Bildgebung ist weiterhin der Hauptbestandteil der kardialen CT.
▪ Neue Techniken erlauben die CT-gestützte Berechnung von Flussdynamiken (CT-FFR).
▪ Kardiale CT kann wichtige Informationen über das linksventrikuläres Volumen, Funktion und Perfusion liefern.
▪ CT ist ein Kernelement für die prä-interventionelle Planung vor minimalinvasivem Katheter-gestütztem Herzkloppenersatz sowie Pulmonalvenenisolation zur Behandlung von Vorhofflimmern.

ABSTRACT
Background Rapid improvement of scanner and postprocessing technology as well as the introduction of minimally invasive procedures requiring preoperative imaging have led to the broad utilization of cardiac computed tomography (CT) beyond coronary CT angiography (CTA).

Method This review article presents an overview of recent literature on cardiac CT. The goal is to summarize the current guidelines on performing cardiac CT and to list established as well as emerging techniques with a special focus on extracoronary applications.

Results and Conclusion Most recent guidelines for the appropriate use of cardiac CT include the evaluation of coronary artery disease, cardiac morphology, intra- and extracardiac structures, and functional and structural assessment of the myocardium under certain conditions. Besides coronary CTA, novel applications such as the calculation of a CT-derived fractional flow reserve (CT-FFR), assessment of myocardial function and perfusion imaging, as well as pre-interventional planning in valvular heart disease or prior pulmonary vein
Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of mortality worldwide. According to the European Cardiovascular Disease Statistics 2012 report, CVD and coronary heart disease (CHD) account for over 4 million deaths (42% of all deaths) in Europe each year, and the impact of mortality and morbidity on European society and healthcare systems remains at a challenging level [1].

Not surprisingly, coronary imaging has been the main focus of cardiac computed tomography (CT) with its main indication still being “known or suspected coronary artery disease” – according to the European Society of Cardiac Radiology Cardiac MR/CT registry 2018 [2]. Rapid developments in scanner technology and acquisition protocols have made cardiac CT a safe, reliable, and widely applicable tool for coronary imaging [3, 4]. Innovations and advanced post-processing tools have generated increasing potential for applications beyond the anatomical imaging of coronary arteries including functional and structural assessment [5]. Furthermore, there has been an increasing demand to use cardiac CT for pre-interventional planning in minimally invasive procedures such as transcatheter valve implantation, mitral valve repair, and pulmonary vein ablation [6–8]. As all of these applications are expected to gain increasing importance in the clinical routine, this review intends to give an overview of the current use of cardiac CT as well as emerging techniques with a specific focus on applications beyond coronary arteries and their potential for future clinical application.

Current Guidelines for the Appropriate Use of Cardiac CT

As with all techniques using ionizing radiation, patient safety and risk/benefit assessments are crucial elements which need to be considered when applying CT in clinical settings. Therefore, over the past years, several guidelines for the appropriate use of cardiac CT have been issued by different societies to guide decision making [9–13].

Dependent on the patient’s risk profile and previous test results, ruling out/detecting coronary artery disease (CAD) in symptomatic or asymptomatic patients without previously diagnosed heart disease or in patients prior to “noncoronary cardiac surgery” [9] is one of the main indications of cardiac CT [9]. Non-contrast cardiac CT allows the quantification of coronary calcification (to determine a calcium score/Agatston score [14]) at very low-radiation doses (< 1 mSv). This score serves for further risk stratification as patients with a calcium score of zero present a very low risk for adverse cardiac events, whereas the presence of calcifications indicates an elevated risk of events in the future [15, 16].

While the absence of coronary calcification does not entirely rule out the presence of CAD, coronary computed tomography angiography (CTA) can reliably detect atherosclerotic plaque as well as subsequent luminal narrowing [3, 4].

Functional assessment, specifically of the left ventricle, is recommended in patients with heart failure, post-myocardial infarction, or inconclusive images from prior noninvasive testing, while right ventricular function plays an important role in arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia [9]. Functional and structural assessment is intended for “adult congenital heart disease” [9], native/prosthetic valves suspicious for dysfunction, the anatomy of pulmonary or coronary veins before intervention, or the visualization of bypass grafts [9, 11].

As outlined above, coronary artery imaging and specific functional analyses are well implemented in clinical guidelines, whereas several secondary analyses of the primary CT-data – especially with regard to function and structure – are currently predominant in research rather than the daily routine. However, with the continuous evolution of CT imaging towards physiological assessment raising the demand for these techniques, a broader spectrum of clinical indications of cardiac CT can be expected within the next years.

Cardiac CTA – Image Acquisition

Apart from non-contrast cardiac CT for the detection of coronary artery calcifications, coronary CTA is the workhorse of cardiac imaging and prerequisite for most post-processing analyses.

The acquisition of a coronary CTA (Fig. 1) is performed ECG-gated either in a retrospective or prospective way. The retrospectively ECG-gated approach captures the heart throughout the whole cardiac cycle, acquiring images at multiple cardiac phases and, thus, delivering robust, high-quality images even at high heart rates, yet at increased radiation exposure compared to prospective modes. For prospectively ECG-gated scans, sequential and high-pitch helical techniques are available, which are chosen...
standard care alone leads to a significant decrease in death from coronary heart disease and nonfatal myocardial infarction without resulting in an increase in invasive catheterization and revascularization [25]. However, the extent of coronary plaque on CTA may not always correlate well with the functional significance of a lesion as measured by invasive FFR [28], which is one of the gold standard methods for identifying such lesions and is considered a class Ila recommendation for admitting a patient to coronary revascularization [29]. In fact, the positive predictive value of coronary CTA in the evaluation of functionally significant lesions (defined by >50% luminal narrowing) compared to FFR (defined by a ratio ≤0.75) demonstrated a sensitivity of 79% and a specificity of 86% [30], making management of patients with stenoses on CTA difficult [31].

In this respect, novel computational fluid dynamic (CFD) modeling techniques now allow the noninvasive calculation of a CT-derived FFR by using coronary CTA-images to evaluate the functional significance of a given lesion without additional application of contrast or vasodilator agents. For example, HeartFlow Inc. (Redwood City, California, USA) is a company approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to provide the CT-FFR value in an online service by calculating the values three-dimensionally similar to what is described in Fig. 2. Alternatively, Siemens Healthcare (Forchheim, Germany) recently developed a technique for on-site workstations to calculate CT-FFR values using a 1D approach, which, however, is currently only available for research purposes [32].

Thus far, several studies have described good correlations of both computational methods to invasive FFR when compared to CTA alone [33–35]. For instance, a study by Norgaard et al. demonstrated a strong correlation with coronary CTA (r = 0.82) with a sensitivity and specificity in detecting a functionally significant lesion of 86% and 79%, respectively [34]. Moreover, Ko et al. reported that CT-FFR compared to invasive measurements had a higher specificity (87% vs. 74%) with similar sensitivity (78% vs. 79%) [35].

While comparative studies demonstrate encouraging results, the long-term outcomes of FFR-guided interventions, in general, appear to be unclear. Recently presented data from the FUTURE trial comparing FFR-guided intervention to traditional angioplasty in patients with acute and stable chest pain and multivessel disease (>50% stenosis) suggests a higher mortality rate in the FFR group (interim analysis at 12 months: 4% all-cause death in the FFR group versus 2% in angioplasty group, p = 0.02), which led the investigators to end enrollment prematurely [36]. This is a very critical aspect warranting additional investigation. With regard to these results and the currently limited availability of CT-FFR calculations, further research on clinical performance and cost-effectiveness is needed.

**Cardiac CT in Functional Imaging**

**Fractional Flow Reserve (FFR)**

Several studies in the past years have demonstrated the high diagnostic value of coronary CTA in patients with acute and stable anginal symptoms [3, 4, 23–27].

In this context, coronary CTA has proven to have a high negative predictive value for the occurrence of acute coronary events in patients with acute chest pain [24] and has been evaluated as safe for ruling out CAD in low/intermediate risk patients with stable angina and suspected coronary syndrome [23]. Also, most recent long-term results in patients with stable chest pain confirmed that coronary CTA in addition to standard care versus standard care alone leads to a significant decrease in death from coronary heart disease and nonfatal myocardial infarction without resulting in an increase in invasive catheterization and revascularization [25]. However, the extent of coronary plaque on CTA may not always correlate well with the functional significance of a lesion as measured by invasive FFR [28], which is one of the gold standard methods for identifying such lesions and is considered a class Ila recommendation for admitting a patient to coronary revascularization [29]. In fact, the positive predictive value of coronary CTA in the evaluation of functionally significant lesions (defined by >50% luminal narrowing) compared to FFR (defined by a ratio ≤0.75) demonstrated a sensitivity of 79% and a specificity of 86% [30], making management of patients with stenoses on CTA difficult [31].

In this respect, novel computational fluid dynamic (CFD) modeling techniques now allow the noninvasive calculation of a CT-derived FFR by using coronary CTA-images to evaluate the functional significance of a given lesion without additional application of contrast or vasodilator agents. For example, HeartFlow Inc. (Redwood City, California, USA) is a company approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to provide the CT-FFR value in an online service by calculating the values three-dimensionally similar to what is described in Fig. 2. Alternatively, Siemens Healthcare (Forchheim, Germany) recently developed a technique for on-site workstations to calculate CT-FFR values using a 1D approach, which, however, is currently only available for research purposes [32].

Thus far, several studies have described good correlations of both computational methods to invasive FFR when compared to CTA alone [33–35]. For instance, a study by Norgaard et al. demonstrated a strong correlation with coronary CTA (r = 0.82) with a sensitivity and specificity in detecting a functionally significant lesion of 86% and 79%, respectively [34]. Moreover, Ko et al. reported that CT-FFR compared to invasive measurements had a higher specificity (87% vs. 74%) with similar sensitivity (78% vs. 79%) [35].

While comparative studies demonstrate encouraging results, the long-term outcomes of FFR-guided interventions, in general, appear to be unclear. Recently presented data from the FUTURE trial comparing FFR-guided intervention to traditional angioplasty in patients with acute and stable chest pain and multivessel disease (>50% stenosis) suggests a higher mortality rate in the FFR group (interim analysis at 12 months: 4% all-cause death in the FFR group versus 2% in angioplasty group, p = 0.02), which led the investigators to end enrollment prematurely [36]. This is a very critical aspect warranting additional investigation. With regard to these results and the currently limited availability of CT-FFR calculations, further research on clinical performance and cost-effectiveness is needed.

**Myocardial Perfusion Imaging**

The detection of myocardial ischemia is of utmost importance for the diagnosis, treatment, and prognostic outcome of patients and has been the method of choice for viability assessment in obstructive atherosclerosis [37]. Currently, stress-induced electrocardiogram (ECG), echocardiography, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), as well as single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and positron emission tomography (PET) are the gold standard methods for the assessment of LV myocardial

---

**Cardiac CT in Functional Imaging**

**Fractional Flow Reserve (FFR)**

Several studies in the past years have demonstrated the high diagnostic value of coronary CTA in patients with acute and stable anginal symptoms [3, 4, 23–27].

In this context, coronary CTA has proven to have a high negative predictive value for the occurrence of acute coronary events in patients with acute chest pain [24] and has been evaluated as safe for ruling out CAD in low/intermediate risk patients with stable angina and suspected coronary syndrome [23]. Also, most recent long-term results in patients with stable chest pain confirmed that coronary CTA in addition to standard care versus standard care alone leads to a significant decrease in death from coronary heart disease and nonfatal myocardial infarction without resulting in an increase in invasive catheterization and revascularization [25]. However, the extent of coronary plaque on CTA may not always correlate well with the functional significance of a lesion as measured by invasive FFR [28], which is one of the gold standard methods for identifying such lesions and is considered a class Ila recommendation for admitting a patient to coronary revascularization [29]. In fact, the positive predictive value of coronary CTA in the evaluation of functionally significant lesions (defined by >50% luminal narrowing) compared to FFR (defined by a ratio ≤0.75) demonstrated a sensitivity of 79% and a specificity of 86% [30], making management of patients with stenoses on CTA difficult [31].

In this respect, novel computational fluid dynamic (CFD) modeling techniques now allow the noninvasive calculation of a CT-derived FFR by using coronary CTA-images to evaluate the functional significance of a given lesion without additional application of contrast or vasodilator agents. For example, HeartFlow Inc. (Redwood City, California, USA) is a company approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to provide the CT-FFR value in an online service by calculating the values three-dimensionally similar to what is described in Fig. 2. Alternatively, Siemens Healthcare (Forchheim, Germany) recently developed a technique for on-site workstations to calculate CT-FFR values using a 1D approach, which, however, is currently only available for research purposes [32].

Thus far, several studies have described good correlations of both computational methods to invasive FFR when compared to CTA alone [33–35]. For instance, a study by Norgaard et al. demonstrated a strong correlation with coronary CTA (r = 0.82) with a sensitivity and specificity in detecting a functionally significant lesion of 86% and 79%, respectively [34]. Moreover, Ko et al. reported that CT-FFR compared to invasive measurements had a higher specificity (87% vs. 74%) with similar sensitivity (78% vs. 79%) [35].

While comparative studies demonstrate encouraging results, the long-term outcomes of FFR-guided interventions, in general, appear to be unclear. Recently presented data from the FUTURE trial comparing FFR-guided intervention to traditional angioplasty in patients with acute and stable chest pain and multivessel disease (>50% stenosis) suggests a higher mortality rate in the FFR group (interim analysis at 12 months: 4% all-cause death in the FFR group versus 2% in angioplasty group, p = 0.02), which led the investigators to end enrollment prematurely [36]. This is a very critical aspect warranting additional investigation. With regard to these results and the currently limited availability of CT-FFR calculations, further research on clinical performance and cost-effectiveness is needed.

**Myocardial Perfusion Imaging**

The detection of myocardial ischemia is of utmost importance for the diagnosis, treatment, and prognostic outcome of patients and has been the method of choice for viability assessment in obstructive atherosclerosis [37]. Currently, stress-induced electrocardiogram (ECG), echocardiography, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), as well as single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and positron emission tomography (PET) are the gold standard methods for the assessment of LV myocardial...
ary arteries. Thus, first-pass perfusion defects are present in patients with non-obstructive CAD (1 – 69 % stenosis), especially important as a high number of events have been shown to occur in patients with non-obstructive CAD (1 – 69 % stenosis). Iodine distribution in the myocardium can be mapped (usually in a color-coded manner) and superimposed on the naïve image to aid identification of perfusion deficits [42].

Another feature of dual-energy CT is the simultaneous acquisition of two data sets (high- and low-kV). While the low-kV images are visualized as areas of hypoattenuation in the myocardial muscle (Fig. 3) [40]. In general, CT myocardial perfusion imaging can be performed at rest or under pharmacologically induced stress in two different ways: static versus dynamic. Static image acquisition takes place at the time of maximum contrast concentration in the myocardium of the left ventricle allowing a “visual qualitative assessment of a single snapshot of myocardial iodine contrast attenuation” [40]. In dynamic perfusion imaging, the scan is repeated sequentially during contrast passage through the myocardium, thus, allowing direct measurement of myocardial perfusion. While the correct timing of this technique is less crucial, its limitations are the risk of motion artifacts (caused by both motion of the patient and the heart) as well as increased radiation exposure compared to static image acquisition [40, 41].

The introduction of dual-source scanners permits a third approach to perform CT perfusion imaging (either static or dynamic) by combining two different tube voltages, and thus, two different energy spectra of CT photons – typically 100 kV and 140 kV [42, 43]. As CT attenuation values for different tissues are specific depending on the energy spectrum used, dual-energy CT improves tissue characterization (especially of iodine) [43], rendering the differentiation of iodine attenuation and cardiac tissue possible. Iodine distribution in the myocardium can be mapped (usually in a color-coded manner) and superimposed on the naïve image to aid identification of perfusion deficits [42]. Another feature of dual-energy CT is the simultaneous acquisition of two data sets (high- and low-kV). While the low-kV images present better tissue contrast (as they are closer to the k-edge of
iodine), the consecutive high noise limits its routine acquisition in single-source scanning. Yet, the improved differentiation of iodine uptake in these images is a potential advantage, which yielded a higher sensitivity (80% vs. 77%) in a study investigating 100 kV images compared to a virtual 120 kV series in the detection of chronic myocardial infarction [43].

In terms of radiation exposure, recent literature reports very low radiation doses of just 2.5 mSv in stress/rest perfusion using a 128-slice dual-source scanner [44].

Image analysis in CT perfusion imaging is performed on multiplanar reconstructed images in short axis stacks of the left ventricle as well as in the orthogonal axis. The location of mal-perfused areas is described using the standard 17-segment model [41]. In static imaging, evaluation is mostly performed visually. While an option to evaluate the images semi-quantitatively (by calculating the ratio of subendocardial and subepicardial enhancement) exists, the visual approach has been described as more accurate [41]. In dynamic imaging, the semiquantitative evaluation can be performed by calculating a time-attenuation curve [40].

Several studies have addressed the additional value and diagnostic accuracy of CT perfusion versus CT angiography alone. In a meta-analysis, investigating 12 studies with a total of 920 patients, CT perfusion showed a “favorable diagnostic performance” [45] when compared to invasive coronary catheterization with a small increase in specificity (without altering the sensitivity or overall performance) [45]. A multicenter study including 381 patients found that coronary CTA in combination with static CT perfusion imaging was able to correctly identify patients with known CAD ≥ 50% (results from invasive coronary angiography) and perfusion defects as detected by stress single photon emission computed tomography [46]. Osawa and colleagues further described a significant added value of CT perfusion (under resting conditions) to coronary CTA in the diagnosis of CAD with an increase of the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve from 0.84 to 0.89 (p = 0.02) [47]. Also in single-energy studies, several investigations report an incremental diagnostic value when combining dual-energy perfusion imaging with coronary CTA using SPECT or invasive coronary angiography as a reference [48 – 50]. Additionally, there is evidence that the dual-energy approach may be favorable in tissue characterization when compared to single-energy CT (especially when using reconstructed monochromatic images at 70 kV to eliminate beam-hardening artifacts) [51].

Viability and Fibrosis

Secondly to first-pass perfusion imaging, delayed enhancement imaging (performed 5 – 10 min after injection) has been introduced. With this, cardiac CT has proven feasible in viability assessment (i.e., the detection of necrosis, fibrosis, and microvascular obstruction) in a selected patient population, which has thus far been a domain of cardiac MRI. An infarcted territory can be characterized based on hyper- and hypoenhancement on delayed enhancement images signaling an infarcted territory or microvascular obstruction. In the case of hyperenhancement in acute infarction, membrane dysfunction lets iodine molecules pass into the intracellular space where contrast accumulates. Hyperenhancement in scar tissue, however, is believed to be caused by an increase of the intercellular space due to cell necrosis. Microvascular obstruction, on the other hand, appears as hypoattenuation due to blockage of capillaries caused by cell debris despite restored flow [52].

While focal myocardial scar tissue can be reliably detected on CT images, diffuse myocardial fibrosis has mainly been quantified using MRI (specifically T1-mapping) [53]. However, with the increasing use of CT, several groups have developed methods to quantify diffuse tissue scarring using CT images [54 – 56]. The idea behind both techniques is the calculation of the extracellular volume (ECV) fraction of the myocardium (representing equal distribution of contrast material between muscle and blood on delayed enhancement images), which is increased in myocardial fibrosis and associated with various cardiomyopathies and heart failure [54, 57, 58].

Nacif et al. have published a method to identify myocardial fibrosis on cardiac CT using unenhanced and contrast-enhanced images. For the calculation of ECV, HU attenuation values in the myocardium and blood pool were measured in pre- and postcontrast images and the ratio of these changes (change in myocardial attenuation/change in blood pool attenuation) was set in relation with the patient’s hematocrit level. CT-obtained ECV values demonstrated good correlation with MRI measures (r = 0.82) and were elevated in patients with heart failure [54].

In a different approach, Lee et al. evaluated the feasibility of contrast-enhanced dual-energy CT for the quantification of myocardial fibrosis by measuring overlay attenuation values of the myocardium and blood pool on iodine attenuation maps. Again, the results were comparable with MRI, which served as a reference standard, and an increase in ECV was associated with cardiomyopathy (hypertrophic and dilated), amyloidosis, and sarcoidosis [55].

Overall, these results encourage the use of CT-based tissue characterization in the future. 

Cardiac Functional Imaging

Especially in patients with chest pain but an uncertain diagnosis of an acute coronary syndrome, the detection of a dysfunctional myocardium is of high prognostic value and could guide further patient management [5]. Functional assessment is readily available for every retrospectively acquired ECG-gated cardiac CT examination. However, dedicated post-processing tools are needed for image analysis [17]. Previous studies have shown a close correlation between end-diastolic and end-systolic LV volume and ejection fraction and regional wall motion abnormalities [5] obtained by multislice CT compared to two-dimensional echocardiography, and acceptable correlation for the computed LV stroke volume [59]. While the temporal resolution of CT is still inferior to that of transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) (CT as low as 66 ms [60] vs. TTE < 5 ms [61]), limited echocardiographic windows are not an issue. Cury and colleagues described a comparable accuracy of CT (of 96%) and TTE in the diagnosis of an acute myocardial infarction combined with higher interobserver reliability for the quantification of the ejection fraction in CT (interobserver reliability CT r = 0.83, TTE r = 0.68) [5]. In patients with acute chest pain, the CT LV function demonstrated an incremental value in the detection of an acute coronary syndrome with an 89% sensitivity and 86% specificity for significant stenosis (> 50%) and a 60% sensitivity and an 86% specificity in patients with inconclusive coron-
ary CTA [62]. This is especially crucial in patients post-myocardial infarction as LV function is an important marker for prognosis and treatment [63].

For the assessment of right ventricular (RV) function, good opacification of the right ventricular lumen is required, which can be achieved by alternating the standard injection protocol (i.e., by extending the standard duration of contrast application or using multiphase protocols with a combination of contrast and saline flush) [64]. Results of RV lumen measurements and ejection fraction have demonstrated similar results in comparison to cardiac MRI [65].

While impairment of ventricular function often resembles global or later-stage disease (i.e., systolic heart failure), CAD, but also other cardiac diseases such as myocarditis can be limited to certain territories, leading to regional abnormalities. These regional functional impairments can be assessed using advanced secondary analyses including strain measurements (longitudinal, circumferential, and radial as well as shear strain). Strain measurements are typically assessed with TTE or cardiac MRI (currently considered the gold standard), but practical and technical limitations have been hindering its implementation in clinical practice. Functional assessment on cardiac CT is rapidly evolving with comparable results to MRI measures in initial studies [66, 67]. With the broad availability of CT scanners, fast acquisition times (compared to MRI studies) and a wider window (compared to TTE), CT strain measurements resemble a promising but still developing alternative given that dedicated software packages for evaluation are available [66, 67].

Cardiac CT for Pre-Interventional Planning

Transcatheter Valve Implantation

With the introduction of catheter-based minimally invasive methods to treat valvular disorders, this procedure has gained increasing interest throughout Europe in the past years. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI), as well as transcatheter mitral valve implantation (TMVI), have become established alternatives to open heart surgery specifically for high-risk patients with symptomatic valve disease [6, 7]. For instance, in Germany the number of TAVI procedures has increased 20-fold from 2008 (with 637 procedures) to 2013 (with 13 264 procedures), thus outnumbering surgical aortic valve replacements and becoming the most commonly performed procedure in the treatment of aortic valve stenosis in patients of age [68].

To be able to perform this intervention successfully, CT is essential for selecting suitable candidates, including assessment of the valvular anatomy and the peripheral vessels (as access...
routes) for the lack of intraprocedural visualization. An expert consensus issued in collaboration with the American Heart Association (AHA) in 2012 recommends a multidetector system with 64 or more slices, high spatial resolution (0.5 – 0.6 mm) and a scan ranging from the ascending aorta to the iliofemoral branches. While image acquisition protocols may vary depending on site, vendor, and scanner, it is essential to capture the aortic root without motion artifacts using an ECG-synchronized mode. Assessment of the following vascular segments can be performed in a non-gated fashion to reduce radiation dose and the amount of iodinated contrast material needed [13].

In aortic valve replacement, it is crucial to evaluate the aortic valve, aortic annulus, aortic root, ascending aorta, and the aortic run-offs to ensure appropriate prosthesis selection and the availability of sufficient access routes (Fig. 4). Especially measuring the effective diameter of the aortic annulus (formed by the lowest points of each of the three aortic cusps and their connection to the wall of the left ventricular outflow tract) in an appropriate cardiac phase (late systole) is of importance, as the prosthesis is fitted to this ring-like structure [6, 69]. One advantage over the traditional measurements performed with 3D echocardiography (which only delivers a single diameter) is the possibility to assess the minimal as well as the maximal diameter and the area of the aortic annulus, which oftentimes resembles an oval shape. As this shape is likelier understood with multiple measurements, CT assessment may be beneficial in prosthesis sizing [13]. Furthermore, the distance from the aortic annulus to the ostia of the coronary arteries as well as the diameter of the ascending aorta are required for planning the intervention to avoid injury or occlusion [6].

Additionally, pre-procedural CT has been proven beneficial in determining optimal fluoroscopic angulations for an orthogonal view of the aortic valve leading to a significant reduction of contrast use during intervention [70].

In mitral valve replacement, it is especially important to pay close attention to the mitral annulus and mitral valve leaflets, the morphology of the papillary muscles and the anatomic relation to the left circumflex coronary artery and coronary sinus [7]. Mitral valve annuloplasty is another approach for transcatheter valve repair in patients with secondary mitral regurgitation, in which the septolateral diameter of the mitral annulus is reduced to improve leaflet coaptation [71]. Currently, different catheter-based devices for direct annuloplasty using a transvenous-transseptal or transarterial route are available. Again, pre-procedural CT imaging to screen for suitable anatomy and appropriate device selection is critical [72].

The overall strength of pre-procedural measurement with CT is the high spatial and temporal resolution and the unlimited availability of the data set once the CT images are acquired. Dedicated post-processing software allows the reconstruction of the images along predefined planes including left anterior oblique (LAO) and right anterior oblique (RAO) resembling angiographic planes [7]. To enable the surgeon to select the most suitable and safe path-
way to access (i.e., transfemoral, transapical, subclavian or trans-aortic), the entire vascular system can be mapped three-dimensionally to visualize the course and tortuosity of vessels (from the aortic arch to the femoral arteries) and measure minimal and maximal vessel diameter to perform the intervention (Fig. 5). Furthermore, the aortic valve and vessel wall calcifications can be quantified, which may present contraindications for the implementation of certain devices [6, 7, 73, 74].

Pulmonary Vein Isolation

Pulmonary vein (PV) isolation is an established catheter ablation procedure in atrial fibrillation (AF) for patients with recurrent and drug-refractory symptoms [8]. AF is the most common cardiac arrhythmia affecting 2–3% of the general population in Europe and North America with a prevalence of 10–17% in patients over the age of 80 and it significantly increases the risk of cardiac and non-cardiac deaths [75]. Common causes for AF include ectopic electrical foci in the atria or the muscular sleeves of the distal PV, of which 50% are located in the left superior PV. To isolate these electrical foci in the atria or the muscular sleeves of the distal PV, non-cardiac deaths [75]. Common causes for AF include ectopic electrical foci in the atria or the muscular sleeves of the distal PV, of which 50% are located in the left superior PV. To isolate these foci by interrupting the conduction pathways, PV isolation has become an established non-surgical treatment option. Although pre-procedural planning can be performed with electrocardiography, pulmonary venography, or MRI, cardiac CT features numerous benefits compared to other imaging techniques. With volume rendering, a 3D-model of the left atrium and the PVs can be calculated to provide accurate 3D information. Furthermore, ostium size of the PV and its distance from the first side branch as well as the location of the esophagus, and vagal nerve structures can be determined, which is crucial to eliminate complications associated with this procedure. Lastly, CT images can be imported directly into the ablation workstations and fused with electrophysiology maps to guide the procedure and assure maximum success [76].

Conclusion

With CVD being the leading cause of mortality worldwide, coronary artery imaging has been the main focus of cardiac CT. However, with recent technical developments, cardiac CT is emerging beyond coronary imaging for functional and pre-interventional assessment. Innovations, rendering CT-derived FFR calculations and CT perfusion imaging possible, enable the noninvasive assessment of the functional significance of coronary lesions and help tackle significantly diseased coronary artery segments. Dual-energy, CT perfusion, and delayed-enhancement imaging allow CT-based tissue characterization and diagnosis of ischemia. Ventricular volume and function assessment as well as the emerging possibility to measure myocardial strain with CT are of significance in regional versus global disease. Ventricular volume is also an important marker for prognosis and treatment. Furthermore, cardiac CT has become a highly valuable tool for planning complex interventions. CT images provide accurate 3D anatomical models for pre-interventional planning of catheter-guided interventions such as TAVI, interventional mitral valve therapies, and PV isolation. While all of these techniques are of growing importance, on-site expertise as well as appropriate hardware and software for the acquisition and analysis of each CT data set is required.

It is expected that these techniques will be increasingly implemented in the clinical routine and that some indications such as the diagnosis of myocardial ischemia and viability might be redirected from MRI to cardiac CT within the next years.
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