Thyroid Related Quality of Life in Elderly with Subclinical Hypothyroidism and Improvement on Levothyroxine is Distinct from that in Young Patients (TSAGE)
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ABSTRACT
The aim of this study was to investigate in a longitudinal approach whether levothyroxine (LT4) substitution has a different impact on quality of life (QoL) and thyroid related QoL in younger (<40 years) and older subjects (>60 years) with elevated thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) concentrations. The study included male and female patients with newly diagnosed, untreated subclinical hypothyroidism defined by TSH > 8 mU/l. Patients were recruited throughout Germany from 2013–2016 and evaluated by clinical assessment, blood sampling and questionnaires for health related QoL and thyroid-disease thyroid-related QoL (ThyPRO) at time of diagnosis and six months after initiation of LT4 treatment. We found significantly lower QoL in both young and old patients with subclinical hypothyroidism compared to age-matched healthy individuals. Higher scores on follow-up were found in all patients irrespective of age, indicating better QoL on LT4 therapy. Analysis of the ThyPRO questionnaire showed that old patients experienced less Emotional Susceptibility, Tiredness, and Impaired Day Life on LT4, while young patients reported less Cognitive Complaints, Emotional Susceptibility, and Impaired Day Life compared to baseline assessment. Hypothyroidism with TSH concentrations > 8 mU/l is associated with impairment in general and ThyPRO QoL in both young and old age. Older patients benefited from LT4 therapy and remarkably show similar degree of improvement as younger patients, albeit with some thematic variation in ThyPRO QoL. Our data confirm current recommendations on initiation of LT4 substitution and suggest that this should not be withheld in elderly with TSH concentration above 8–10 mU/l.
Introduction

Thyroid dysfunction, in addition to its negative effects on health, may severely impair well-being and quality of life (QoL). While there is agreement that overt hyper- and hypothyroidism require treatment, the situation is less clear for subclinical hypothyroidism (sHT), defined as a thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) level above laboratory references range while free thyroxine (fT4) concentration is still within the normal range [1, 2]. In this situation, where elevated TSH values are understood as a continuum [1], additional criteria may be needed for the decision to start levothyroxine (LT4) substitution. Furthermore, sHT does not necessarily progress to overt hypothyroidism and the chance of a spontaneous remission of elevated TSH is comparatively high with TSH concentrations at the upper reference range [3].

For older patients the situation is even more complex. Large epidemiological cohorts such as NHANES, TEARS, or Busselton Health Study showed that TSH concentrations rise with age, which appears not to be due to an increased incidence of autoimmune thyroid disease, but rather a shift of the TSH range with age (e.g., Busselton Health, NHANES) [4–6]. Hence, in their current guidelines the European Thyroid Association (ETA) and the American Thyroid Association (ATA) recommend treatment of hypothyroidism in elderly patients (>70 years) only if the TSH value is increased >8–10 mU/l (over six months) and symptoms are present [1, 7].

In addition, hypothyroidism is often oligosymptomatic in the elderly. Thus the question arises whether there is a specific decrease in QoL associated with hypothyroidism, in addition to the expected decrease with aging. One of the main goals of treatment of chronic disease is to improve patients’ symptoms, to enable them to participate in everyday life and to keep them living in the community. For elderly patients, higher QoL may even have a greater significance than longevity [8]. The importance of QoL has also increasingly been acknowledged for thyroid disorders by some recent studies [9, 10]. QoL is reduced in younger patients with overt hypothyroidism and improves on LT4 [11–14]. So far, no study has investigated QoL in elderly patients with overt hypothyroidism and only few studies have examined QoL in elderly patients with sHT with inconsistent findings. For example, Jaeschke and colleagues [12] did not observe a benefit of LT4 treatment in a cohort of middle-aged or elderly patients (i.e., older than 55 years) with sHT in a randomized placebo-controlled study. Furthermore, a recent prospective randomized placebo-controlled trial showed no apparent benefits of treatment of elderly patients with mild sHT [15] (Table 1). However, other data suggest that overt hypothyroidism as well as sHT may represent a serious risk factor for depression and anxiety in middle-aged and elderly patients [16, 17].

Thus, age and QoL could be additional parameters to determine when and if LT4 substitution is indicated. Here we report results of the prospective, longitudinal Thyroid Study of Age in Germany (TSAGE), which investigates QoL in older (>60 years) and younger (<40 years) patients with new onset of sHT defined as TSH concentrations above 8 mU/l at baseline and 6 months after initiation of LT4 substitution. We used a generic (SF-36) and a disease-specific (ThyPRO) questionnaire on QoL to obtain valid health related QoL (HRQL) and to maximize precision and sensitivity. Additionally, the longitudinal approach allowed us to examine possible age-differences in overall and thyroid disease related QoL on LT4 substitution between old and young patients after restoration of euthyroidism.

Ethical approval

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Patients and Methods

Patient populations

Thyroid Study of Age in Germany (TSAGE): The study included male and female patients older than 18 years with newly diagnosed, untreated, overt endogenous hypothyroidism (TSH > 8 mU/l, fT4 < 11.5 pmol/l and/or fT3 < 3.5 pmol/l) or subclinical hypothyroidism (TSH > 8 mU/l; fT4 and fT3 within the reference range). Patients were recruited in six endocrine practices throughout Germany. The recruitment period was 4 years (01.01.2013–31.12.2016). Patients were evaluated by clinical assessment, blood sampling and questionnaires to measure health related QoL (SF-36 Version 2) and thyroid-disease thyroid-related QoL (ThyPRO). Evaluation took place at time of diagnosis (T1) and 6 months after initiation of LT4 treatment (T2).

Only patients with confirmed hypothyroidism with TSH > 8 mU/l (second laboratory analysis from aliquot sample) at baseline and only patients who were confirmed euthyroid after at least 6 months duration of LT4 substitution were entered in the present analysis. Clinical variables including age, cause and symptoms of hypothyroidism, blood pressure, heart rate, body weight, history of smoking were collected during the survey. Written informed consent of patients who agreed to participate in the study was taken and ethics permission was granted (Nr. 12–5132-BO).

Quality of life measures

QoL was assessed using the German version 2 of the SF-36 and the ThyPRO. The SF-36 Health Survey consists of eight subscales: Physical Function (PF), Social Functioning (SF), Role Limitations due to Physical (RP) or Emotional (RE) Problems, Mental Health (MH), Vitality (VT), Bodily Pain (BP), and General Health (GH), with scores ranging from 0 (low) to 100 (high QoL level). Two component summary measures of SF-36, that is, Physical Component Summary (PCS) and Mental Component Summary (MCS), can be calculated too. They are standardized to a mean score of 0 and a standard deviation of 100 with high-
er scores indicating better QoL. In addition to the subscales, one single-item measures the change in health status during the last year. ThyPRO is a disease-specific QoL questionnaire developed by T. Watt [10, 18, 19] for evaluating the QoL of patients with benign thyroid diseases. It is a robust standardized questionnaire that has been translated and validated in several languages, including German. The questionnaire consists of 84 items summarized in 13 scales (Goiter Symptoms, Hyperthyroid Physical Symptoms, Hypothyroid Physical Symptoms, Eye Symptoms, Tiredness, Cognitive Impairment, Anxiety, Depression, Emotional Susceptibility, Impaired Social Life, Impaired Daily Life, Impaired Sex Life and Cosmetic Complaints) and one overall QoL item. Each of the 13 ThyPRO scales is scored as a summary score and linearly transformed to a range of 0–100, with higher scores indicating more symptoms or impairment.

**Laboratory parameters**

TSH, fT3 and fT4 concentrations of all patients in this study were initially measured in the private practices at T1 and T2. A second independent analysis was performed in Clinical Chemistry – Division of Laboratory Research at the University Hospital Essen. Prior to analysis in Essen, serum samples were stored at −80 °C and accurate temperature was controlled by an in-house master display in the West German Biobank at the University Hospital Essen.

Thyroid function parameters (TSH, fT3, and fT4) were determined on thawed serum samples with the Siemens ADVIA Centaur immunnoassay-system (Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany). Reference ranges, according to the manufacturer, were: TSH = 0.3–3.0 mU/l, fT3 = 3.5–6.5 pmol/l and fT4 = 11.5–22.7 pmol/l. The ADVIA Centaur® TSH3-Ultra Assay is a chemiluminescence immunoassay with intra-assay variation < 4.3%, inter-assay variation < 4.7%, functional sensitivity of 0.008 mU/l and detection limit at 0.008 mU/l. The ADVIA Centaur® T3- and T4-Assays are competitive chemiluminescence immunoassays with intra-assay variations < 4.1% for fT3 and < 4.0% for fT4, inter-assay variations < 3.1% for fT3 and < 3.3% for fT4 and analytical sensitivity of 0.3 pmol/l for fT3 and 1.3 pmol/l for fT4, respectively. The Department of Clinical Chemistry is accredited according to DIN EN ISO 15189:2014.

**Statistical analyses**

The change to treatment in health related QoL was evaluated as the change in scale scores in the SF-36 and the ThyPRO from baseline (T1) to follow-up (T2).

In order to take age- and sex-dependent differences in generic QoL into account, the final SF-36 scores were corrected for age- and sex-specific values from the German norm-base dataset. The German version of the SF-36 was used, for example, in the Federal Health Survey 1998 and was standardized on a sample of 6964 people between ages of 18 to 80 years [20].

The differences between patients’ values and the age- and sex-specific normative values was calculated for T1 and T2 separately. To evaluate the response to treatment, T2 values and T1 values were subtracted. In order to examine whether the effect of therapy on health related QoL differed between older patients (> 60 years of age) and younger patients (18–40 years of age) an independent sample t-test for these differences was carried out.

For the evaluation of the ThyPRO, changes in mean scale scores were compared between T2 and T1 using the paired Student’s t-test. Values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

In addition to statistical significance testing, effect sizes (mean difference divided by standard deviation at baseline) were also calculated, to enhance interpretability [13]. In accordance with Cohen [21] effect sizes between 0.2 and 0.5 were classified as small, 0.5–0.8 as moderate, and 0.8 as large.

All analyses were performed with SPSS software, version 22.0 (Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

Forty-six patients with newly diagnosed subclinical hypothyroidism (TSH > 8 mU/l), fT4, and fT3 within range (fT4 = 11.5–22.7 pmol/l; fT3 = 3.5–6.5 pmol/l) completed the ThyPRO and SF-36 (Version 2) at baseline (T1). Twenty eight patients (> 60 years n = 11; < 40 years n = 17) completed the study at 6 months after treatment initiation (T2) and all were euthyroid at T2. In the remaining 18 patients, data sets were either incomplete or patients did not reach the treatment goal (euthyroidism) at T2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Studies on age impact on change of hypothyroid symptoms under levothyroxine substitution.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Winther et al. (2016)</td>
<td>TRUST; Stott et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Population</td>
<td>AIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>50 (18–81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH mU/l baseline</td>
<td>&lt; 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiredness *</td>
<td>35 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothyroid symptoms *</td>
<td>14 ± 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AIT: Autoimmune thyroiditis; n = sample size. Age (mean/median); TSH mU/l (median, mean TSH; * ThyPRO Scales Tiredness and Hypothyroidism ± standard deviation or range. n.d.: Not determined.)

(Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).
Age-impact on QoL was evaluated in two thyroid patient groups: patients with sHT > 60 years of age (“old patients”, N = 11; male: 5; female: 6) and < 40 years of age (“young patients”, n = 17; male: 4; female: 13). Age, mean arterial blood pressure and thyroid function tests are presented in Table 2. There was no significant difference neither in clinical parameters (heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure) nor TSH, fT4 and fT3 concentrations between the two patient groups.

Subclinical hypothyroidism was due to autoimmune thyroid disease (AIT) in all patients, confirmed by elevated thyroid peroxidase autoantibodies (above reference range in laboratory of private practice) and thyroid ultrasound findings suggestive of AIT (documented in private practice).

Differences in QoL to the age and standard specific norm

Generic QoL, measured with the SF-36 Health Survey, was significantly lower in both young and old patients with sHT compared to healthy individuals. Larger differences were found in all QoL subscales in old compared to young patients and persisted after correction for age- and gender-matched healthy individuals (Fig. 1 and Table 3). Treatment with LT4 was associated with significant improvement in SF-36 subscales Physical Function and Vitality and the calculated Physical Component Summary (PCS) in old patients while young patients reported a significant improvement in SF-36 subscales Physical Function and Social Functioning and the derived Mental Component Summary (MCS) (Table 3). There was no change in Mental Health from baseline to follow-up on treatment in either patient group. For all other SF-36 subscales, all patients showed higher scores on follow-up, irrespective of age, that is, better QoL on LT4 therapy.

With regard to thyroid specific QoL (ThyPRO), old patients experienced significantly less Tiredness and Impaired Day Life in addition to a significant improvement in Emotional Susceptibility under LT4 substitution (p < 0.05). Young patients reported significantly less Impaired Day Life, Cognitive Complaints and Emotional Susceptibility compared to baseline (p < 0.05, Table 4).

Recker S et al. Impact of Age on QoL Under LT4 Treatment... Horm Metab Res 2019; 51: 568–574
The magnitudes in changes, estimated by the standardized effect sizes, at 6 months after initiation of LT4 treatment, are shown for each of the ThyPRO Scales in (Supplemental Table 15). In old patients the change under LT4 substitution was large (> 0.8) for Tiredness and moderate (0.5–0.8) for Impaired Day Life, small (0.20–0.50) for Eye Symptoms, Cognitive Problems, Anxiety, Emotional Susceptibility, Social Impairment, Cosmetic Complaints. Six scales showed no change (< 0.20) in old patients: Goiter Symptoms, Physical Hyperthyroid Symptoms, Physical Hypothyroid Symptoms and Depressivity.

In young patients, the change in Impaired Day Life was moderate under LT4 substitution (0.5–0.8), and only small changes (0.20–0.50) were found for Eye Symptoms, Cognitive Problems, Anxiety, Depressivity, Social Impairment, and Cosmetic Complaints. Six scales showed no change (< 0.20): Goiter Symptoms, Physical Hypothyroid Symptoms, Physical Hypothyroid Symptoms, Tiredness, Emotional Susceptibility, Impaired Sex Life. Thus, compared to young patients, LT4 substitution in old patients with hypothyroidism was associated with more pronounced changes in thyroid disease specific QoL in addition to larger improvement in Tiredness from T1–T2. Conversely, Depressivity did not change in the older patient group, whereas in younger patients a small change in Depressivity was found after six months of LT4 therapy.

Discussion

In this prospective study, we assessed generic (SF-36) and disease-specific (ThyPRO) QoL with validated questionnaires in young and elderly patients with newly diagnosed, untreated sHT and analyzed the impact of age on effects of LT4 substitution on QoL. Additionally, the health related quality of life (HRLQ) was compared with age- and sex adjusted normative values from a general German population sample for the SF-36. We show that using TSH concentrations > 8 mU/l as a cut-off, old patients with untreated sHT benefit from LT4 substitution in terms of HRLQ and thyroid disease specific QoL, in part with even more pronounced improvement in QoL and distinct pattern of QoL compared to young patients.

Unlike Stott et al. [15], the TSAGE cohort showed an improvement in most areas of general and disease specific QoL. We found the strongest of all changes in disease related tiredness. Interestingly, the Tiredness scores (ThyPRO) in the TRUST cohort was 25.5 in the placebo and 25.9 in the LT4 group before treatment (scale from 0–100; higher scores indicating more symptoms). Compared to other populations this is quite low. For example in a Danish general population sample (n = 739, average age of 50 years) the baseline Tiredness score was 35 [14]. Given this discrepancy it is perhaps not surprising that Tiredness scores did not show further improvement in the TRUST cohort on LT4 treatment (28.6 in the placebo group and 28.7 in the LT4 group) [15]. In our TSAGE cohort, old subclinical hypothyroid patients reported an average Tiredness score of 62 and young patients of 57. Other studies reported Tiredness scores of 61 in cohorts with sHT and an average age of 45 [13, 14]. Furthermore, the design of the TRUST study differed from our study approach. Although TSH concentrations above > 4.6–19.99 mU/l were defined as an inclusion criterion in TRUST, the mean TSH concentration in the treatment and placebo group was only 6.40 ± 2.01 mU/l. This was more in line with an age-adjusted normal TSH concentration in individuals > 65 years than a pathological TSH finding. In our study, only patients with TSH-concentrations > 8 mU/l were included, which is at the threshold suggest-

| Table 3 | Impact of age and levothyroxine substitution on SF-36 scales. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Old patients (n = 11)** | **Young patients (n = 17)** |
| **Baseline** | **Follow-up** | **Change** | **p-Value** | **Baseline** | **Follow-up** | **Change** | **p-Value** |
| Physical function | −15 ± 31 | −11 ± 30 | 4 | 0.642 | −7 ± 14 | −1 ± 10 | 6 | 0.039 |
| Physical problems | −37 ± 42 | −15 ± 43 | 22 | 0.029 | −10 ± 27 | 1 ± 18 | 9 | 0.014 |
| Body pain | −12 ± 40 | −5 ± 42 | 7 | 0.284 | −5 ± 26 | 0 ± 17 | 5 | 0.411 |
| General health | −14 ± 20 | −6 ± 26 | 8 | 0.139 | −9 ± 18 | −8 ± 26 | 1 | 0.686 |
| Vitality | −25 ± 18 | −13 ± 21 | 12 | 0.036 | −14 ± 20 | −10 ± 21 | 4 | 0.225 |
| Social Function | −33 ± 27 | −26 ± 29 | 7 | 0.523 | −9 ± 15 | 1 ± 12 | 8 | 0.003 |
| Emotional problems | −41 ± 43 | −34 ± 50 | 7 | 0.678 | −20 ± 39 | −6 ± 30 | 14 | 0.312 |
| Mental health | −18 ± 17 | −18 ± 17 | 0 | 0.146 | −4 ± 15 | −4 ± 15 | 0 | 0.151 |
| MCS | −5 ± 13 | −1 ± 15 | 4 | 0.55 | −2 ± 7 | 0 ± 5 | 2 | 0.045 |
| PCS | −15 ± 11 | −13 ± 13 | 2 | 0.068 | −6 ± 10 | −3 ± 9 | 3 | 0.216 |

Mean differences ± standard deviation from gender and age specific/matched norm. *; Mean deviations from the age- and gender matched normal population (baseline) and 6 months after initiation of levothyroxine substitution (follow-up). Significant changes (p < 0.05) from baseline to follow up are indicated; * German norm-based dataset of the SF36; † Role limitations due to physical problems or emotional problems. Mental Component Summary (MCS), Physical Component Summary (PCS).
One interesting and unexpected finding of our study was that TSAGE enabled us to investigate QoL before and after LT4 substitution with special regard to these distinct groups of age (young < 40 and old ≥ 60) and since TSAGE is a prospective and longitudinal study, there was enough time for tissue equilibration of thyroid hormone after initiation of LT4 substitution. Similarly to Winther et al. [14] we found health related QoL to be impaired in hypothyroid patients and improved by LT4 substitution. Based on the TSAGE we show that this accounts for young and old patients.

One interesting and unexpected finding of our study was that sHT with TSH-concentrations > 8 mU/l had a larger impact on HRLQ in older than younger patients. This was consistent also after correction for age- and gender matched HRQL of the normal population. Older patients reported significantly lower scores in all areas of HRQL than younger patients, except for Bodily Pain and Emotional Problems. On LT4 treatment the differences in HRQL between younger and older patients was reduced, again illustrating that both old and young patients with sHT benefit from LT4 substitution in terms of QoL. Noteworthy the physical dimension of QoL improved significantly in old patients, especially Physical Role Function (i.e., to accomplish things, perform activities, only do certain things) demonstrated the largest change. Furthermore, Vitality improved significantly. Other particular disease specific issues for older patients were Tiredness, Anxiety, Depressivity and Emotional Susceptibility. A remarkable effect of therapy was found in the amelioration of the disease specific Tiredness and notably less Impaired Day Life. This reflects as well in significantly higher scores in Vitality and Physical Problems (SF-36). A shortcoming of our study is the small sample size. Yet, our study is the first controlled follow-up study in which QoL of older patients with new onset, untreated sHT was compared to QoL of younger patients with sHT and healthy individuals of the same sex and age. Since we have not included a control group (for example administration of placebo instead of LT4), we cannot rule out a distortion of our data on QoL by comorbidities and/or positive/negative life events that increased the likelihood that our patients sought medical advice in the first place. Furthermore a TSH-concentration > 8 mU/l was confirmed in a second blood sample but not in a serial analysis. However a recent longitudinal study over 5 years has shown that TSH concentrations > 8 mU/l rarely reverse to normal range TSH concentrations but persist or progress to overt hypothyroidism, in contrast to TSH levels at the upper laboratory reference range [22].

### Table 4 Impact of age and levothyroxine substitution on ThyPRO items.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Old patients T1 (n = 11)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Old patients T1 (n = 11)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Young patients (n = 17)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Young patients (n = 17)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>Change</td>
<td>p-Value</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goiter symptoms</td>
<td>38 ± 22</td>
<td>36 ± 17</td>
<td>–2</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>28 ± 11</td>
<td>28 ± 9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperthyroid symptoms</td>
<td>44 ± 16</td>
<td>46 ± 16</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>35 ± 11</td>
<td>34 ± 9</td>
<td>–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothyroid Symptoms</td>
<td>37 ± 20</td>
<td>35 ± 18</td>
<td>–2</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>29 ± 10</td>
<td>29 ± 12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye symptoms</td>
<td>41 ± 20</td>
<td>39 ± 23</td>
<td>–2</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>31 ± 10</td>
<td>34 ± 18</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiredness</td>
<td>62 ± 8</td>
<td>54 ± 11</td>
<td>–8</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>57 ± 10</td>
<td>59 ± 9</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive problems</td>
<td>55 ± 26</td>
<td>47 ± 22</td>
<td>–8</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>41 ± 20</td>
<td>34 ± 16</td>
<td>–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>61 ± 21</td>
<td>56 ± 19</td>
<td>–5</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>49 ± 33</td>
<td>37 ± 19</td>
<td>–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressivity</td>
<td>57 ± 17</td>
<td>57 ± 18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50 ± 11</td>
<td>53 ± 35</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional susceptibility</td>
<td>59 ± 17</td>
<td>55 ± 13</td>
<td>–4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>53 ± 13</td>
<td>50 ± 12</td>
<td>–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social impairment</td>
<td>45 ± 24</td>
<td>40 ± 23</td>
<td>–5</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td>27 ± 10</td>
<td>24 ± 12</td>
<td>–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired day life</td>
<td>55 ± 23</td>
<td>43 ± 18</td>
<td>–12</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>36 ± 15</td>
<td>28 ± 8</td>
<td>–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired sex life</td>
<td>54 ± 26</td>
<td>50 ± 15</td>
<td>–4</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>28 ± 19</td>
<td>25 ± 9</td>
<td>–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmetic complaints</td>
<td>35 ± 18</td>
<td>33 ± 14</td>
<td>–2</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>32 ± 16</td>
<td>27 ± 12</td>
<td>–5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± standard deviation; Mean (SD) scale scores in the ThyPRO questionnaire at baseline and 6 months after initiation of thyroxine substitution in old (> 60 years) and young (< 40 years) patients with hypothyroidism. Significant changes (p < 0.05) from baseline to follow up are indicated. Higher scores indicate more health problems (worse QoL).
Strengths of our study are the application of very strict inclusion criteria. We focused on defined age groups, that is, young patients below 40 years of age and old patients above 60 years of age. Furthermore, we applied the presently discussed TSH cut-off > 8 mU/l [1, 2, 7, 23–25] rather than TSH > 4.99 mU/l used in many epidemiological studies [15]. Patients had to be newly diagnosed and untreated, which represented a challenging recruitment threshold. All patients had autoimmune thyroiditis, a confounder effects of the underlying pathogenesis of hypothyroidism on QoL is therefore less likely. To take into account pre-analytic and assay variations in determining TSH concentrations, we repeated the measurements of the TSH value in our laboratory. Moreover, we used established questionnaires with solid psychometric properties to measure general and disease specific aspects of QoL.

It may be argued that QoL is at least partly biased due to subjects’ awareness of treatment. Yet, a study showed that LT4 treated previously hypothyroid patients blinded to their exact treatment status showed decrements in their perceived health status and mood when sHT was induced [26].

In conclusion, sHT at TSH concentrations > 8 mU/l is associated with severe impairment in general and disease specific QoL, in young and old age. Furthermore, our study demonstrates that older patients with autoimmune thyroiditis and TSH concentration > 8 mU/l benefit from LT4 therapy in terms of QoL and show similar degree of improvements as younger patients. Furthermore, we see a confirmation of the present concept of TH homeostasis with regard to QoL in elderly patients, indicating that TSH concentration above 8–10 mU/l levels impact QoL and hence treatment should not be withheld in the young and the old.
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