Introduction

Endoscopic biliary drainage (EBD) using a plastic stent (PS) is frequently performed during endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) to resolve biliary obstructions [1]. Duodenal perforation due to stent migration is rare but can be life-threatening. Surgical management is the standard treatment for iatrogenic duodenal perforation.

We report successful endoscopic management of patients with duodenal perforation secondary to migrated PS (Table 1).

Cases reports

Patient 1

A 50-year-old male with end-stage renal disease presented with diffuse abdominal pain. He had undergone EBD using a PS (CLSO, 10 Fr, 10 cm; Cook Endoscopy, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, United States) 4 weeks prior for treatment of a biliary leak after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The patient had a distended abdomen and diffuse abdominal tenderness, and an abdominal x-ray showed distal migration of the PS. Abdominal computed tomography (CT) demonstrated that the PS had penetrated the peritoneal cavity through the duodenal wall (Fig. 1a). Endoscopic findings showed a duodenal fistula, and the proximal portion of the PS was almost penetrating the duodenal wall (Fig. 1b). Endoscopic retrieval of the migrated
PS was planned. The proximal flap of the PS was snared under fluoroscopic guidance, and a snare was inserted into the fistula to retrieve the PS. The perforated lesion was closed with hemoclips under cylindric adapter cap-fitted endoscopy (▶ Fig. 1c, ▶ Video 1).

The patient fasted for 3 days, during which no leak in the upper gastrointestinal tract was detected using water-soluble contrast medium.

**Patient 2**
A 78-year-old female underwent EBD using two straight PSs (CLSO, 7 Fr, 10 and 12 cm, Cook Endoscopy) to treat a biliary stricture caused by gallbladder (GB) cancer. Three days later, the patient complained of high fever (38.9 °C) and abdominal pain. Abdominal CT showed a duodenal perforation.

ERCP revealed a migrated biliary stent. A small, round ulcer with a perforated wall was observed in the second portion of the duodenum. The migrated stent was retrieved using rat-tooth forceps. Hemoclipping was performed to close the perforated lesion. The patient recovered completely.

**Patient 3**
A 72-year-old male underwent biliary drainage using a PS (Double Layer, 10 Fr, 12 cm; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) for palliation of Klatskin tumor, Bismuth type IIIa. After 2 days, he complained of right upper and lower quadrant pain with tenderness. CT revealed distal stent migration and duodenal perforation.

Under cylindric adapter cap-fitted endoscopy, the distally migrated stent in the second portion of the duodenum was removed and the perforated lesion was closed using hemoclips and fibrin glue (Green Plast; Green Cross, Young-in, Korea). The PS was exchanged for a metal stent after 2 weeks.

**Patient 4**
An 84-year-old female underwent ERCP and EBD using a PS (CLSO, 10 Fr, 12 cm, Cook Endoscopy) 3 weeks prior for palliation of a Klatskin tumor, Bismuth type IIIa. The patient complained of constant mild abdominal pain after EBD. CT revealed PS penetrating the opposite side of the duodenal wall into the peritoneal cavity. The distal tip was surrounded by an area of fluid collection 5 cm in diameter.

Duodenoscopy confirmed a duodenal perforation and distal migration of the PS. The perforated duodenal wall was closed with hemoclips after stent removal, and the collected peritoneal fluid was drained externally. After draining 90 mL bilious peritoneal fluid, the catheter was removed. The patient tolerated a solid diet for 4 days after removal of the PS.

**Patient 5**
A 73-year-old female with biliary stricture due to GB cancer underwent EBD using a PS (CLSO, 10 Fr, 15 cm; Cook Endoscopy). The patient was readmitted 51 days later because of recurrent jaundice and abdominal pain. Distal migration of the PS and duodenal perforation were revealed by CT. The PS was removed using rat-tooth and alligator-jaw rotatable grasping forceps (FG-44-NR-1; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan), the perforated duodenal wall was closed using hemoclips, and a percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage was inserted. One week later, no evidence of leakage in the upper gastrointestinal tract was detected using water-soluble contrast medium.
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GB, gallbladder; EBD, endoscopic biliary drainage; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; CBD, common bile duct; Fr, French; PS, plastic stent
Discussion

EBD using a PS is typically performed during ERCP to treat or palliate biliary obstruction or leakage [2]. This is a safe procedure with minimal adverse effects.

Early complications of EBD, including stent migration, occur within 2 weeks after EBD in approximately 5% of patients. Most late complications of biliary stenting are associated with stent migration. The migration rates of PSs are reportedly 3.1% to 4.9% and 3% to 6% in the proximal and distal directions [1, 3]. Migration of PS is more frequent in patients with benign than with malignant biliary strictures, particularly hilar strictures [4], and with single than with multiple stents [3]. This may be because benign stenosis is relieved after recovery of inflammation, while malignant stenosis can increase in size and anchor securely. No particular type, size, or caliber of stent has been implicated in stent-related perforations [1].

All migrated stents were inserted proximal of the stricture. Johanson et al. reported that the migration occurred in 5% to 7.5% of patients even though proper placement of the stent in the desired duct was verified both endoscopically and fluoroscopically before completion of the procedure [5, 6]. Most PS are
spontaneously eliminated after distal migration. Intestinal perforation is a rare but serious adverse event. Biliary stents can cause duodenal perforations by any of the following mechanisms. First, the stent may be placed incorrectly, leading to irritation and, eventually, necrosis of the duodenal mucosa. Second, inflexibility or incorrect length of the stent may irritate the duodenal mucosa and lead to pressure necrosis. Third, adhesion of the tumor to the proximal portion of a PS may increase the intensity of trauma caused by the distal intraduodenal segment of the PS, preventing its adaption to intestinal peristalsis [2].

Not all patients with duodenal perforation complain of typical symptoms of intestinal perforation. A greater than 24-hour delay in diagnosis adversely affects the treatment outcome and prognosis [2]; therefore, early diagnosis and proper management are crucial in such cases. Plain abdominal radiography can reveal stent position and migration. CT is the modality of choice for detecting perforations and locating the migrated stent [2].

Surgical stent removal and closure of the perforation are the mainstays of treatment for intestinal perforation [7]. However, endoscopic management of iatrogenic perforation in a clinically stable patient is now justified due to improvements in endoscopic techniques [2]. Indeed, several cases have been reported of duodenal perforation successfully treated with hemostatic clipping and fibrin glue under endoscopy [7–10]. Determining appropriate management of biliary stent migration-induced perforation requires knowledge of the location of the perforation, its containment in the peritoneal or retroperitoneal space, and the patient’s clinical presentation. If the perforation is small and located in the retroperitoneal space, conservative management with antibiotics may be effective. However, if the perforation is not contained on the lateral wall of the duodenum, or if peritonitis or other life-threatening symptoms are present, aggressive surgical intervention is required. Patient 1 complained of signs of peritoneal irritation, and endoscopy showed a duodenal fistula almost penetrating the duodenal wall, with the PS in the peritoneal cavity. Patient 2 had panperitonitis. Both patients were treated successfully using endoscopic techniques.

Several devices and materials including hemoclips, fibrin glue, and transparent cap can be used to close a perforated duodenal wall. The most common method is endoscopic closure of the perforation using hemoclips. Fibrin glue was used in Patient 3 to ensure complete closure of the perforation. Cap-assisted endoscopy under direct vision was helpful in Patients 1, 2, and 3, as it provides a better view and enables the curvature of the duodenum to be overcome. And we were able to approximate the duodenal wall to the distal end of the endoscope aided by suction to remove a migrated PS. An over-the-scope-clip (OTSC) can be used to close the perforated hole. But it costs far more than hemoclips and the perforated hole caused by PS migration is small enough to close with the hemoclips we used. It would be a treatment option for failure cases after hemoclippering.

Prevention of PS migration is the most important issue; however, conventional PS are rigid and tend to straighten out and migrate. For this reason, PS manufactured from alternative materials have been developed. For example, Cheon et al. reported that a stent made from polyurethane, which is soft and pliable, prevents PS migration, particularly distal migration [6].

Conclusion

In conclusion, PS migration and duodenal perforation are rare. It is important to detect perforation early, including in patients without typical signs of panperitonitis. Endoscopic retrieval of migrated biliary stents and closure of duodenal perforations are feasible in clinically stable patients. This would be useful in elderly cancer patients with comorbidities, which can exacerbate postoperative morbidities and increase mortality.
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