Evaluation of Treatment Strategies to Manage Diabetes Mellitus in Pregnancy: Use of Fetal Sonography Compared to Monitoring of Maternal Blood Glucose Alone
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ABSTRACT

Introduction When planning the treatment of women with gestational diabetes, the current standard approach also takes fetal growth development into account. The treatment of pregnant women with type 1 diabetes mellitus (DM) used to be based exclusively on maternal blood glucose values. This study investigated the impact of including fetal growth parameters in the monitoring of pregnant women with type 1 diabetes mellitus.

Patients/Method 199 pregnant women with type 1 DM were included in a cohort study. The patient population was divided into two study cohorts. In the mBG cohort (n = 94; investigation period: 1994–2005) treatment was monitored using only maternal blood glucose (mBG) values; the aim was to achieve standard target glucose values (mean BG < 5.5 mmol/l, postprandial: at1h < 7.7 mmol/l, at2h < 6.6 mmol/l). In the fUS collective (n = 101, investigation period: 2006–2014) fetal growth parameters were additionally included when monitoring treatment from the 22nd week of gestation, and maternal target glucose values were then individually adjusted to take account of fetal growth. This study aimed to investigate the impact of these two different ways of monitoring treatment on perinatal and peripartum outcomes.

Results 91.4% of all patients were normoglycemic at the time of delivery (HbA1c < 6.7%); 58.9% of patients achieved strict normoglycemia (HbA1c < 5.7%). No differences were found between the two study cohorts (fUS vs. mBG: HbA1c < 6.7%: 93.9 vs. 88.4%, n.s.; mean blood glucose (BG): 5.4 ± 0.6 to 6.6 ± 1.1 vs. 5.9 ± 0.7 to 7.4 ± 1.9 mmol/l, n.s.). Patients from the fUS cohort required significantly lower weight-adjusted maximum insulin doses (0.9 ± 0.3 vs. 1.0 ± 0.4 IE/kg body-
Introduction

Around 6 million people in Germany are known to have type 1 or type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) [1]. According to the BQS (Bundes-geschäftsstelle Qualitätssicherung gGmbH) evaluation of German perinatal data from 2013, diabetes was present prior to conception in 0.95% (n = 6256) of cases out of 658735 persons who gave birth [2]. Women with DM have higher rates of maternal and fetal morbidity. Depending on the quality of maternal metabolic control, higher rates of miscarriage, malformations, premature delivery and preeclampsia have also been reported for this group of pregnant women. Children born to women with type 1 diabetes mellitus have higher rates of macrosomia, a higher risk of shoulder dystocia and higher rates of postnatal adjustment disorders (hyper-bilirubinemia, breathing disorders) [3–9]. In addition, the risk of stillbirth and postnatal infant mortality is higher compared to healthy collectives [10, 11].

The DDG has recommended using a metabolic target of almost normal values for at least 3 months prior to conception. HbA1c should not be more than 0.5–1.0% above the respective reference value for the laboratory method used. During the course of pregnancy HbA1c should be determined every 4–6 weeks; target values are the reference values of a healthy population. The target blood glucose values for patients with type 1 DM should be 3.3–5.0 mmol/l when fasting, < 7.7 mmol/l at 1 h postprandially, and < 6.6 mmol/l at 2 h postprandially. According to the current guideline, mean blood glucose values, which are calculated using 6 values measured across the course of one day, should be between 4.7–5.8 mmol/l [15, 27].

The determination of fetal growth parameters and the use of these fetal growth parameters to guide treatment is an established approach when treating women with gestational diabetes [14]. The current recommendations on managing the treatment of pregnant women with manifest gestational DM include fetal biometry based on ultrasound examinations carried out every 2–4 weeks from the 24th week of gestation (GW) in addition to standard diabetic monitoring of the maternal metabolism [15, 27]. Although studies have shown the benefits of using fetal growth parameters to monitor the treatment of women with gestational diabetes (GDM) as it allows treatment to be individually adjusted, these data are still lacking for women with pregestational DM [12, 13]. At present, ultrasonographic imaging is used to monitor the fetus and detect possible risk constellations for fetal growth disor-
ders or impaired fetal nutrition. There are currently no studies which have investigated whether target blood glucose values should be adjusted based on changes in the growth curves of fetuses carried by type 1 diabetic women.

The aim of this study was to investigate whether ultrasound measurement of fetal growth parameters, in addition to monitoring the blood glucose values of pregnant women with type 1 DM, could reduce pregnancy complications and improve fetal outcomes.

Patients and Method

This study was a prospective cohort study (Cohort 2) based on the retrospective collection of data from a comparable (Cohort 1). A total of 199 pregnant women with type 1 DM who were managed by UKJ from 1994–2014 were included in the study. After 4 patients were retrospectively excluded as data on the births were lacking, 195 mother-child pairs were available for analysis (▶ Fig. 1).

The study population consisted of 2 cohorts with the following characteristics:

Cohort 1: mBG study collective, n = 94. This study cohort consisted of pregnant women with type 1 diabetes who were treated in the period from January 1994 to December 2005 and monitored using only maternal blood glucose (mBG) values without fetal ultrasound. All of their data was analyzed retrospectively and obtained from archived blood glucose diaries and the data recorded in the electronic patient files EMIL®.

Cohort 2: fUS study collective, n = 101. This prospective study group consisted of all pregnant women with type 1 DM treated in the period from January 2006 to December 2014; all women in the fUS cohort were monitored and treated according to a clearly defined study protocol which consisted of blood glucose monitoring combined with monitoring based on fetal ultrasound (fUS).

Overall, 5.1% of pregnant women (n = 10) suffered a miscarriage. At the end of the 22nd week of gestation, the data of 86 patients from the mBG cohort and 99 patients from the fUS cohort were available for analysis (▶ Fig. 1).

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Friedrich Schiller University Jena (vote no. 5280-09/17), and all patients gave their written consent to the data collection.

Study/treatment protocol

The maternal blood glucose values of the mBG study cohort were recorded every two weeks using blood glucose diaries and the memory in the measuring devices. The aim was to achieve the following target values throughout the entire pregnancy: mean maternal BG < 5.5 mmol/l (mean of all pre- und postprandial glucose levels measured during the day), postprandial BG: at 1h < 7.7 mmol/l, at 2h < 6.6 mmol/l. Insulin doses were constantly adjusted to achieve these therapy goals.

In the fUS study cohort, fetal biometry was carried out from the 22nd week of gestation (GW) in accordance with the DEGUM guidelines for medical specialists. The estimated fetal weight was calculated using the Hadlock IV formula [16]. All ultrasound examinations carried out during the investigation period were done by only two different examiners and were performed in accordance with the hospital’s own internal standards. If the increase in fetal abdominal circumference (AC) was above the expected percentile increase and was more than the 75th percentile or...
dropped to less than the 10th percentile during maternal treatment for diabetes, the target value for maternal blood glucose values was decreased by 0.5 mmol/l or increased by the same amount in cases of AC deceleration.

After the 21st GW, the 4-week arithmetic mean of all maternal blood glucose values was calculated to evaluate maternal metabolic control and compare the study cohorts.

A detailed gynecological and diabetic medical history was taken of each patient when the patient first presented to the UKJ, usually in early pregnancy. Blood pressure, body weight, urine status, complications of pregnancy, insulin doses, and mean pre-/postprandial, minimum/maximum blood glucose was recorded every two weeks. HbA1c was measured every 4 weeks.

Secondary ailments in the total study population of women with type 1 DM

Ophthalmological examinations were carried out to detect diabetic retinopathy prior to pregnancy, in the 1st–2nd trimester of pregnancy, and prior to delivery. Diabetic neuropathy was scored using the Neuropathy Symptom Scores (NSS) and the Neuropathy Deficit Scores (NDS).

The diagnosis of diabetic nephropathy was made after testing for albuminuria/proteinuria (examinations done prior to pregnancy/diabetic ID card); the stage of renal insufficiency was evaluated using criteria of the Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (KDOQI).

Delivery data

Data on the birth were recorded using the obstetric records of the respective hospital where the patient gave birth, and neonatal data were obtained by inspecting the obstetric/neonatal records of the respective hospital.

Voigt birth weight percentile values were calculated using the birth weight, sex and week of gestation at delivery as follows:
- <10th percentile: small for gestational age (SGA)
- 10th–90th percentile: appropriate for gestational age (AGA)
- >90th percentile: large for gestational age (LGA)
- ≥95th percentile: macrosomia [17].

The following neonatal morbidity criteria were evaluated post partum and in the first few days of life:
- umbilical arterial pH
- Apgar score at 1, 5, and 10 minutes after the birth (appearance [skin color], pulse, grimace response [reflexes] activity [muscle tone], and respiration) [18]
- respiratory adaptation disorders
- malformations
- childbirth-related injuries
- hyperbilirubinemia: laboratory tests were done if neonates presented with symptomatic metabolic imbalance

Laboratory methods

Maternal blood glucose

All patients self-monitored their blood glucose levels using a pocket glucometer; all self-monitored values were calibrated for plasma prior to inclusion in the evaluation. The measurement accuracy of the glucometers was checked every 14 days by carrying out a parallel measurement with an automatic analyzer.

The completeness of the glucose diary entries was checked by retrieving the blood glucose values stored in the measurement device or by electronic retrieval of the data stored in the measurement devices.

Determination of HbA1c

Determination of glycosylated hemoglobin A1c was done using standard certified laboratory methods.

Due to changes in normal ranges, HbA1c values were adjusted to DCCT or NGSP normal ranges of 5.05 ± 0.5% [19].

Neonatal laboratory parameters

Bilirubin concentrations;
- Neonatal bilirubin was measured using direct photometry. Age-dependent normal ranges were: 2nd day of life: 0–130 µmol/l, 3rd day of life: 0–165 µmol/l, from 4th day of life: 0–200 µmol/l.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Patient history and clinical findings at the start of pregnancy.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mBG cohort n = 94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation with diabetologist prior to pregnancy (%)</td>
<td>38.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes at first consultation (years)</td>
<td>14.8 ± 7.9 [1–37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at first consultation (years)</td>
<td>27.7 ± 5.0 [16–40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First consultation at the center (GW)</td>
<td>11.1 ± 7.8 [3–37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI prior to pregnancy (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.1 ± 3.4 [18.4–34.9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>122 ± 13 [94–168]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>76 ± 9 [60–106]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (antihypertensive medication) (%)</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic nephropathy (%)</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic neuropathy (%)</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic retinopathy (%)</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Total bilirubin. Age-dependent normal ranges were: 1st day of life < 102.6 µmol/l, 2nd day of life < 171.0 µmol/l, 3rd–5th day of life: 205.2 µmol/l, from 7th day of life < 171.0 µmol/l.

Bilirubin concentrations above the respective age- and method-dependent normal ranges were defined as hyperbilirubinemia.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of the data was done using the statistical software program SPSS for Windows, version 22.0. Normally distributed values are shown as mean ± standard deviation (x±s); non-normally presented values are presented as median [minimum – maximum].

A two-sided probability of error of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

![Fig. 2](image_url) Changes in blood glucose values of pregnant women with type 1 diabetes. a Strict normoglycemic HbA1c levels (< 5.7%) and upper target HbA1c levels (< 6.7%) achieved at various times during pregnancy. Comparison of a cohort of pregnant women whose diabetic control was only checked by monitoring maternal blood glucose levels (mBG, blue) with a cohort of pregnant women whose diabetes was managed by monitoring fetal growth parameters in addition to maternal blood glucose (fUS, red). b Mean blood glucose levels achieved during pregnancy: comparison of two cohorts. c Changes in HbA1c levels during pregnancy: comparison of two cohorts. (mBG: cohort of pregnant women whose diabetic control was only checked by monitoring maternal blood glucose levels; fUS: cohort of pregnant women whose diabetes was managed by monitoring fetal growth parameters in addition to maternal blood glucose.)
Results

Maternal characteristics of the study cohorts

The cohorts did not differ with regard to week of gestation at first consultation, duration of clinical diabetes, age, BMI, or complications of diabetes. A higher percentage of the women in the fUS study cohort were already being treated for diabetes (Table 1).

Metabolic parameters during pregnancy

Overall, at the time of delivery 91.4% of patients in the total study population had achieved normoglycemic values of HbA1c < 6.7% (n = 169; mBG 88.4% vs. fUS 93.9%, n.s.). 58.9% of cases (n = 109; mBG 55.8% vs. fUS 61.6%, n.s.) achieved strict normoglycemic metabolic status, with HbA1c < 5.7% (Fig. 2a).

Pregnant women in the mBG study cohort showed no significant difference in mean blood glucose values throughout the course of pregnancy compared to the women in the fUS study cohort. However, glucose variance was lower in the fUS cohort, i.e. their metabolic status was more stable (Fig. 2b). Analysis of HbA1c showed no differences between the two study cohorts prior to pregnancy (HbA1c: mBG 7.2 ± 1.3 vs. fUS 7.1 ± 1.3%, n.s.), during early pregnancy (mBG 7.0 ± 1.4 vs. fUS 6.6 ± 1.3%, n.s.) or immediately prior to delivery (mBG 5.8 ± 1.1 vs. fUS 5.7 ± 0.8%, n.s.) (Fig. 2c). There was no difference with regard to absolute insulin doses during pregnancy or after giving birth between the two study cohorts (Fig. 3). However, the weight-adapted maximum insulin dose was significantly lower for the fUS cohort (0.9 ± 0.3 vs. 1.0 ± 0.4 IE/kg bodyweight, p < 0.05).

Maternal morbidity

Preeclampsia, premature labor and cervical insufficiency occurred significantly less often in the fUS cohort. The data are presented in Table 2.

Perinatal data

Infants born to women in the fUS cohort presented significantly less often with postnatal hyperbilirubinemia (19.2 vs. 40.7%; p = 0.001) and also tended to be less likely to have respiratory adjustment disorders (22.2 vs. 30.2%; n.s.). 8.1% of children born to women in the mBG study cohort and 8.2% of children born to women in the fUS study cohort were SGA for gestational age, 24.4 and 21.2% were LGA, respectively. These differences were not statistically significant. Rates of preterm births and rates of umbilical artery pH < 7.2 did not differ between groups (Table 3).

Discussion

The inclusion of fetal growth parameters in the management of pregnant women with type 1 diabetes is not yet part of the standard treatment protocol [15, 27]. Although the DDG has been recommending since 2006 that supplementary ultrasound examinations be carried out every 2–4 weeks in addition to the standard ultrasound examinations required by the German Maternity Guidelines, these additional ultrasound examinations are only...
used to detect complications of pregnancy. Regular determination of HbA1c and monitoring of mean maternal blood glucose levels over the course of the pregnancy is recommended to monitor that management of diabetes is adequate [15, 27]. Our study in a population of 199 women with type 1 diabetes showed that including fetal growth parameters to monitor metabolic status during pregnancy can offer significant benefits to mother and child. Glucose variance was noticeably improved, significantly reducing the rate of stress-associated complications of pregnancy and leading to improved neonatal outcomes due to a reduction in the number of infants with postnatal hyperbilirubinemia.

It should be noted that the pregnant women in the fUS cohort did not achieve normoglycemia more often than the women in the mBG cohort. But compared to the patients in the mBG cohort, glucose variance in patients in the fUS cohort was lower and the weight-adjusted maximum insulin dose was also significantly lower. This suggests a more stable metabolic status with a lower risk of hypoglycemic events. During pregnancy, recurrent hypoglycemic events are particularly stressful for patients with type 1 diabetes. Our data suggest that ultrasound monitoring of fetal growth can be used to confirm the use of less strict therapeutic protocols and that it is possible to reduce the variation in blood glucose levels, despite the lower maximum insulin doses, and achieve a more stable metabolic status.

It was found that stress-associated complications of pregnancy such as preeclampsia and preterm labor occurred significantly less often in the fUS cohort. The risk of preeclampsia is 2–4 times higher for pregnant women with type 1 diabetes compared to women without diabetes [20 – 22]. The rate of preeclampsia in the population investigated in this study was 13.5%, which is 4 times higher than the incidence of 2–3% expected for the total study population [2]. However, although the preeclampsia rate of the mBG cohort was 20.9%, which was almost 10 times higher than the normal rate, the rate for the fUS cohort was 7.1% or just 2.5 times higher than the incidence for all of Germany. The rates of premature labor and cervical insufficiency were significantly lower in the fUS cohort. There was no case with cervical insufficiency in the fUS cohort, and the incidence of premature labor in this cohort was 4%, which was practically almost the same as the 3% rate for all of Germany compared to 23.3% in the mBG cohort [2].

According to the AQUA Institute, neonatal jaundice developed in 0.26% of all newborns in 2013; the rate in the investigated study population was 29.2% [2]. In 2004, Evers et al. reported a comparable hyperbilirubinemia rate of 25.0% for infants born to pregnant women with type 1 DM [4]. Postnatal hyperbilirubinemia was significantly lower in neonates born to mothers whose diabetic management included monitoring using fetal ultrasound parameters. This finding demonstrates a marked improvement in neonatal outcomes, as prolonged newborn jaundice is a common reason for longer stays in hospital after birth. Maternal hyperglycemia results in fetal hyperinsulinemia. Insulin stimulates the growth of fatty tissue, muscle and liver tissue, leading to increased erythropoiesis and accelerated hemolysis. Chronic hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia lead to decreased placental blood flow, resulting in chronic fetal hypoxemia which further increases erythropoiesis. Increased erythropoiesis and hemolysis lead to hyperbilirubinemia in the postnatal period [23].

A comparison of the parameters used to assess the quality of metabolic control (HbA1c and mean BG) found no differences between the compared cohorts. Accordingly, the rate of neonates with macrosomia did not differ between the two cohorts. Any explanation for the positive impact of including ultrasound parameters on the rate of neonatal jaundice is therefore only speculative. If placental hypoxia results in increased fetal erythropoiesis and this increase causes prolonged jaundice in the newborn, improved placental blood flow with improved oxygenation of the placenta could inversely prevent an increase in neonatal hematocrit, providing an explanation for the reduced rate of neonatal jaundice. To verify this possible explanation, a future study would need to compare maternal placental perfusion parameters and hemato-

---

**Table 3 Data of infants grouped according to maternal monitoring during pregnancy: comparison of mBG and fUS study cohorts.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>mBG cohort</th>
<th>fUS cohort</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SGA (%)</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight &gt; 90th percentile (%)/LGA</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm birth &lt; 37th GW (%)</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apgar score &lt; 5 (%)</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory adjustment disorder (%)</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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which reduces the increased risk of morbidity, in particular the internal target blood glucose values. More individualized target values have shown that using an insulin pump with bolus management for diabetes. This difference may be due to the increasing use in recent years of technical devices which support treatment. Studies have shown that using an insulin pump with bolus management can improve treatment by reducing HbA1c [24–26]. The new treatment options which are based on the use of technical devices require more frequent and closer monitoring by a diabetologist, leading to more regular medical checkups. This has also led to an increased understanding of the disease and how to treat it. This study does not examine to what extent improved diabetic care led to better outcomes.

Conclusions

Despite the extensive medical care available to pregnant women with pre-existing type 1 diabetes, they still have a significantly higher risk of fetal and maternal complications. Including fetal growth parameters in a diabetic management protocol can contribute to better metabolic control as it is based on individual maternal target blood glucose values. More individualized target values mean that therapeutic measures are taken more promptly, which reduces the increased risk of morbidity, in particular the risk of stress-associated complications of pregnancy. Reduced maternal stress in pregnancy is proposed as a possible explanation for this positive effect. Further prospective studies are required to investigate these connections in more depth.
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