Guideline-Oriented Therapy of Lower Extremity Peripheral Artery Disease (PAD) – Current Data and Perspectives

Leitliniengerechte Therapie der PAVK – Aktuelle Studienlage und Ausblick
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ABSTRACT

Background Because of the demographic change, lower extremity peripheral artery disease (PAD) is becoming increasingly relevant with respect to health economics. PAD patients often suffer from multiple diseases. Consequently, therapy is commonly complex and requires an interdisciplinary approach. Because of rapid technical developments, interventional endovascular therapy regimens play an increasingly important role.

Method Review and literature search on the basis of the current German S3 guidelines on the therapy of PAD as well as international guidelines. In terms of state-of-the-art therapies, relevant current studies were considered.

Results Knowledge of existing guidelines and recommendations as well as new therapeutic approaches is essential for the adequate therapy of PAD patients. A close cooperation between the interventional radiologist and the vascular surgeon is the key to success. In addition to established conservative approaches and invasive bypass surgery, the endovascular approach has been a mainstay in the TASC A and B environment for years. It has recently shown promising results in advanced PAD conditions, such as TASC C and D. An endovascular-first strategy is defined in most guidelines.

Conclusion A primarily endovascular-first strategy has become the standard in the majority of even complex lesions of the lower extremity arterial system. Regarding the crural segment, a decrease in mortality compared to bypass surgery has been demonstrated. Further evidence can be expected from ongoing randomized multicenter trials.

Key Points:
▪ Adequate diagnostic examination is essential for the classification and strategy of therapy in PAD
▪ Therapeutic decisions are ideally made in an interdisciplinary conference
▪ Intervventional therapy of intermittent claudication after exhaustion of conservative and medicamentous therapy
▪ Endovascular-first approach in supra- and infrapopliteal lesions
▪ Additional evidence expected from future randomized trials
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ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Hintergrund Die periphere arterielle Verschlusskrankheit (PAVK) hat aufgrund des demografischen Wandels eine zunehmende gesundheitsökonomische Relevanz. PAVK-Patienten sind häufig mehrfach vorerkrankt und dementsprechend komplex und interdisziplinär zu behandeln. Der endovaskuläre-interventionellen Therapie fällt dank rascher technischer Entwicklungen eine immer zentrale Rolle zu.

Methoden Allgemeines Review mit Literaturrecherche, ausgehend von der aktuellen deutschen S3-Leitlinie zur Therapie der PAVK sowie aktuellen internationalen Leitlinien. Im Hinblick auf moderne Therapieverfahren fand die aktuelle Studienlage Berücksichtigung.
Introduction/definition

The term peripheral artery disease (PAD) refers to a restriction of the blood flow through the arteries or aorta supplying the extremities. This can be partial (stenosis) or complete (occlusion) [1].

Due to the increasingly older population and the growing number of patients with diabetes, the incidence and prevalence of PAD are increasing [1]. In 2009, PAD was responsible for 3% of all hospitalizations. In particular, there has been an increase in stage IV of the Fontaine classification [2]. One study expects an increase in the number of vascular medicine cases by 2020 of more than 40% [1].

In Germany, the prevalence of PAD across all age classes is 3–10% [3]. There is a prevalence of 20% in a 70-year-old German patient population [1].

Diagnosis

After recording of the patient history and completion of the clinical examination, the ankle-brachial index (ABI) is a readily available and cost-effective diagnostic method [4]. An ABI value < 0.9 is pathological and indicative of PAD. The sensitivity for the presence of stenosis of at least 50% is almost 95% at rest with a specificity of almost 100% [1]. False-negative values can be seen in the case of highly calcified vessels.

Color-coded duplex sonography is highly available, cost-effective and noninvasive. Using color-coded duplex sonography, experienced examiners achieve a sensitivity of 88% and a specificity of 96% in PAD diagnosis [5]. The high time requirement is a disadvantage.

If a significant finding is not acquired in symptom-oriented treatment planning so that treatment cannot be initiated, the addition of CT angiography (CTA) or contrast-enhanced MR angiography (CE-MRA) is recommended [1].

CTA of the pelvis-leg region is a highly available, examiner-independent, noninvasive method for the supplementary diagnosis of PAD. According to multiple studies, aortoiliac stenosis of at least 50% is detected with a median sensitivity of 91% and a specificity of 91% [1, 5]. However, CTA has limited diagnostic significance in highly calcified vessels with a small diameter. An iodine-based contrast agent is needed for contrast enhancement of vessels. Limited applicability in patients with renal insufficiency is the subject of current studies and does not seem to be absolutely necessary based on current knowledge [6].

CE-MRA is also a noninvasive, examiner-independent diagnostic method that allows high-resolution three-dimensional reconstruction [5]. With a sensitivity of 95% averaged over multiple studies and a median specificity of 97%, it has the highest diagnostic value among noninvasive diagnostic methods [1]. However, due to insufficient data, it is not recommended as a first-line diagnostic method for infrapopliteal stenosis in patients with diabetes [7] (Table 1).

In therapy-oriented diagnosis, particularly with respect to detail resolution and clarity, DSA is still the gold standard [1, 8]. In addition to diagnosis with the possibility of simultaneous intervention (DSA with readiness for PTA), visualization of a possible connecting vessel prior to planned bypass surgery can be crucial [1]. The complication rate of DSA is between 0.5% and 1% depending on comorbidities and risk factors. This complication affects patient management in 0.7% of cases and has a low mortality of 0.16% [1, 9].

Classification and treatment approach

Almost 75% of all PAD patients do not have any early symptoms [10]. Warning signals and a worsening of symptoms are often ignored and misinterpreted. Due to this lack of knowledge and an underestimation of the consequences of PAD, compliance with conservative therapy in terms of walking training and the taking of medication is very low [1]. Moreover, walking training is not possible for many PAD patients due to comorbidities. Structured arm training can be considered here. Successful use shows that the physiological processes involved in improving PAD are not fully understood [11]. With respect to patient compliance and the consequences of lesions, conservative long-term therapy does not seem promising [1].

PAD must be treated on an interdisciplinary basis with inclusion of vascular risk factors and comorbidities, particularly coronary heart disease and cerebrovascular disease [1]. Endovascular as well as surgical treatments are a central component of PAD treatment. These therapies are complementary and should be offered at centers as hybrid procedures. These hybrid procedures are combinations of vascular surgery with exposure of an artery and interventional radiology therapy via the surgically created access.
Treatment decisions should be made in an interdisciplinary manner on the basis of stage-oriented consideration of risks and benefits [1].

PAD can be clinically classified on the basis of symptoms according to Fontaine and Rutherford with the Fontaine classification being more common in Europe (Table 2) [1]. This clinical classification is an obligatory part of interdisciplinary treatment decisions.

Patients are categorized based on symptomatic stages according to Fontaine as intermittent claudication (IC) corresponding to stage II, and critical limb ischemia (CLI) corresponding to stages III and IV.

The indication for interventional therapy should be determined using the Fontaine and/or Rutherford classification based on clinical stages. However, additional parameters should be taken into consideration, i.e., the location, morphology, and complexity of the vascular lesions because these are also relevant for treatment decisions [1].

Moreover, comorbidities and the patient’s individual treatment wishes play a role in the treatment approach. Particularly patients in the critical limb ischemia (CLI) group are often chronically ill with multiple comorbidities [9].

To date, the classification of the Trans Atlantic Inter-Society Consensus (TASC or TASC II) has been used for the morphological classification of PAD. Lesions are summarized in the TASC classification and assigned a grade of A to D. There are TASC II classifications for the regions in the aortoiliac, femoropopliteal, and crural-pedal segment. Due to the rapid technical development of endovascular treatment options, TASC II classification alone no longer seems sufficient for determining a therapeutic approach since it is now possible to treat TASC D lesions with endovascular intervention [1, 12].

A newer, more complex procedure is needed to decide between open surgery and endovascular intervention.

The current S3 guidelines for the diagnosis, therapy, and follow-up of PAD provide constellations of angiomorphicologic criteria which primarily contraindicate endovascular therapy [1]. These include, for example, extensive occlusions, occlusions without connecting segments and occlusions of the common femoral artery. This assessment is in agreement with that of the American guidelines [13].

The specified angiomorphicologic criteria result in the following constellations of angiomorphic findings to be primarily treated with vascular surgery. The list includes all levels of the vasculature of the pelvis-leg region [1]:
- Subrenal aortic occlusion with occlusion of the bilateral common iliac artery.
- Occlusion of the common femoral artery
- Occlusions of the external iliac artery or the superficial femoral artery that reach the common femoral artery
- Occlusions of the trifurcation with extensive occlusion of the superficial femoral artery and the popliteal artery
- Extensive occlusions of the popliteal artery, the trifurcation, and all US arteries with one or more well preserved distal crural or pedal connecting segments

There is consensus that the asymptomatic stage I of PAD should not be treated on a prophylactic basis [1]. An exception in individual cases is prophylactic treatment of patients with polyneuropathy, including diabetic polyneuropathy. In case of a risk of the development of foot lesions in these patients, prophylactic treatment of asymptomatic but hemodynamically relevantstenoses can be useful [14].

For patients with CLI or a diabetic foot syndrome, a new classification for risk stratification of a leg amputation and for determining the prognosis of the outcome after revascularization was proposed and is highly accepted [15]. This classification includes points for the factors “wound”, “ischemia”, and “foot infection”, collectively referred to as “WIFi” [15].

However, the goal should always be revascularization [16, 17].

### Treatment according to stages and segments

#### Intermittent claudication (IC)

IC is present beginning in stage II according to the Fontaine classification. The goal of treatment should be to improve walking distance, symptoms, and quality of life.

Comprehensive conservative or supportive therapy includes regular and monitored walking training. Studies have shown that there is no difference in outcome between surgical treatment and structured walking training [18]. A significantly improved walking distance after endoluminal PTA compared to structured walking training was able to be shown in the MIMIC study [19]. However, additional evidence is lacking here. Nonetheless, initial PTA with subsequent structured vascular training yields the greatest success [19] (Fig. 1). Treatment with medication alone has limited therapeutic success [20]. Statins seem to be most promising here.

#### Aortoiliac segment

Aortoiliac stenoses and occlusions are a common cause of IC. If the stenosis/occlusion is less than 5 cm, an endovascular strategy with a patency rate of > 90 % after 5 years and a low complication rate is indicated [21]. Also because conservative therapy has little chance of success in the region of the pelvic arteries, the guidelines recommend a primary endovascular treatment approach [1, 22]. In the case of iliofemoral lesions, particularly with involve-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Sensitivity and specificity of different imaging modalities in the diagnosis of symptomatic PAD for stenosis &gt; 50 % and occlusion in the lower extremity.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>color-coded duplex sonography</td>
<td>CTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of studies</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median sensitivity in %</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median specificity in %</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ment of the common femoral artery, hybrid methods should be considered. If the stenosis extends across the aortic bifurcation into the infrarenal aorta, endovascular remodeling of the aortic bifurcation using covered stents can be considered. A small study with 103 patients was able to show good primary patency rates after 1 and 2 years of 87 % and 82 %, respectively [23]. However, open surgical reconstruction of the aortic bifurcation is the standard in the guidelines provided that the health of the patient is sufficient and the surgical risk is reasonable [1]. Primary interventional radiology treatment worsens the prognosis for subsequent vascular surgery to a proven degree.

**Femoropopliteal segment**

Nitinol stents have no significant advantage over PTA in the intermediate follow-up with respect to patency rate and need for reintervention for the femoropopliteal segment in small lesions with a length of < 5 cm [24]. However, studies show that a self-expanding nitinol stent should be used to treat stenoses with a length of 100 mm or greater [1]. 3-year patency rates of 42 – 76 % have been seen with a significant advantage for patients primarily treated with a self-expanding nitinol stent compared to primary PTA with bail-out stenting [25]. The current German S3 guidelines categorize this correlation as evidence class 2 [1].

Balloons coated with paclitaxel (DEBs) showed a significant advantage with respect to reintervention and restenosis rates compared to conventional PTA with uncoated balloon catheters in a meta-analysis in the intermediate follow-up [26]. The advantage of DEBs is the minimization of intimal hyperplasia. If these advantages are important for patient management, the use of DEBs can be considered according to the current S3 guidelines [1].

Stenoses/occlusions with a length of up to 25 cm should be treated primarily in an endovascular manner. An endovascular approach is also possible in the case of a length of over 25 cm, but bypass surgery with vein bypass has better long-term patency rates and lower reintervention rates [17].

A special drug eluting stent (DES) has better 5-year patency rates in the femoral segment than PTA and self-expanding stents (72.4 % vs. 53 %) [27]. However, large randomized studies on this subject are lacking. A French study and a British study showed that a primary DES can have an economic advantage due to the lower reintervention rates compared to PTA and self-expanding stents [28, 29].

However, the consensus recommendation of the German S3 guidelines is that the clinical value of drug-coated stent implants currently cannot be sufficiently evaluated [1].

One possible way to improve patency and restenosis rates is lesion preparation. Individual studies were able to show positive approaches for lesion preparation with preceding atherectomy or with the use of a scoring balloon [30, 31]. However, there are only a few randomized studies on this topic so that the value cannot be definitively determined.

**Infraopopliteal segment**

Insufficient data with long-term results with respect to the infraopopliteal segment is available [1]. This may be due to the fact that 43 % of IC patients have a sufficient 3-vessel blood supply to the lower leg as shown by the German PSI study [9].

An upstream stenosis/occlusion should undergo primary treatment. Infraopopliteal treatment in the IC stage is currently not recommended by all guidelines [1, 22].

**Critical limb ischemia (CLI)**

CLI includes stages III and IV of the Fontaine classification. The treatment target for CLI is completely different than in IC and primarily targets the preservation of the extremity, improvement of the quality of life, and a reduction of the mortality rate [1]. CLI has a mortality rate of 25 % within 12 months and an amputation rate of approximately 50 % among untreated patients [1]. According to the general opinion in the literature, the goal should always be revascularization [16, 32, 33]. Heparin and a corresponding pain medication are part of the basic treatment of CLI.

The decision as to whether a patient is suitable for revascularization should be made based on detailed imaging and the clinical picture using the WiFi classification (see above). A decision is then made between an endovascular and a surgical approach (Fig. 2).

The number of endovascular revascularization procedures and the associated reinterventions in CLI is increasing significantly in
Fig. 1 Treatment regime IC.
the USA and Germany. At the same time, the number of bypass surgeries is decreasing [34].

**Aortoiliac segment**

In a review including 19 cohort studies, it was able to be shown in particular that the secondary long-term patency rates in complex aortoiliac lesions (TASC II C and D) after endovascular therapy is comparable to that of surgical bypass procedures [35]. The 1-year patency rate in aortoiliac TASC C and D lesions is significantly higher in primary stenting (92%) than in secondary/selective stenting (83%) with both IC and CLI patients being included here [36]. A lower primary success rate and a higher complication rate can be expected in endovascular therapy of TASC D lesions compared to TASC A-C lesions. However, the long-term patency rate after 5 years (78%) does not differ as shown by a Japanese follow-up study including 2600 interventions [37].

A prospective, non-randomized multicenter study was able to show primary 1-year patency rates after endovascular stenting of TASC C and D lesions of 91.3% and 90.2% [38]. This is also an indication for primary stent implantation. Therefore, this is also a consensus recommendation of the current German S3 guidelines for the treatment of PAD [1]. A promising 4-year patency rate of 87% could be shown in a retrospective multicenter study for a self-expanding nitinol stent [39].

Covered stent grafts showed promising primary patency rates in the treatment of complex TASC D lesions involving the aortic bifurcation [40]. However, there is a lack of sufficiently large comparison studies and a long-term follow-up for a final assessment. Moreover, the high cost of covered stent grafts should be noted here.

**Femoropopliteal segment**

The femoral or popliteal segment is rarely affected on an isolated basis in CLI. In 40% of cases, a proximal lesion must be treated prior to femoral intervention. In femoropopliteal lesions with a length of <5 cm, the current S3 guidelines recommend primary PTA for treating PAD. A clear advantage of primary stenting (nitirol stenting) with respect to patency rates and reintervention rates could not be shown here [1]. After an insufficient PTA result, balloon-stenting outside as well as on the level of the segments of motion can be considered [1].

As in the IC stage, the goal should be to avoid intimal hyperplasia and restenosis/reintervention. Therefore, the current S3 guidelines recommend the use of DEBs [1]. This can also be relevant in the treatment of in-stent stenoses. In the case of highly calcified lesions, the use of DEBs with atherectomy systems or cutting balloons could have a theoretical advantage. However, there is currently insufficient data.

For lesions with a length of 5 cm or greater, the German S3 guidelines recommend primary stenting based on the clear advantages regarding restenosis rates and reintervention rates shown in the literature [1] (Fig. 2). Also in this case single stenting should be given preference over overlapping stenting. Common complications of overlapping stenting include stent fractures and in-stent stenoses. As the largest, randomized comparison study, the BASIL study did not show a significant difference in mortality and amputation-free survival between endovascular PTA therapy and bypass surgery in the long-term follow-up of 5 years. However, patients from the bypass group who lived 2 years after randomization survived 7.3 months longer [41]. This resulted in the recommendation in the S3 guidelines to treat patients with a vascular occlusion with a length >25 mm, a low surgical risk, a good autologous vein and an expected survival of >2 years with bypass [1]. The current AHA/ACC guidelines define a primary endovascular approach regardless of the lesion length if the connected segments of motion are not affected [22].

A meta-analysis showed that the use of covered stent prostheses could have advantages with respect to the 1-year patency rate and stent fractures compared to covered stents, atherectomy and drug eluting stents (DESs). The authors see covered stents as a possible alternative, in particular in the treatment of long (>15 cm) or highly calcified lesions [42]. However, further comparative prospective multicenter studies are requested by the authors for confirmation.

No significant differences were seen between an ePTFE plastic bypass and a covered stent in femoral lesions with respect to primary long-term patency. After 48 months, a primary patency rate of 59% was seen in the stent graft group and 58% in the bypass group [43].

In the femoropopliteal segment, paclitaxel-coated stents (DESs) have promising primary 1-year and 2-year patency rates of 85% and 75%, respectively, with a low reintervention rate of 13% [44]. Due to the lack of patient-relevant end points like walking distance, morbidity, mortality, quality of life and leg retention, a recommendation is not included in the current S3 guidelines [1]. Moreover, most studies here are industry-sponsored.

The implantation of stents in segments of motion or segments with possible bypass anastomosis is not recommended but can be considered in the case of a risk of loss of a limb or in the CLI stage [1]. A typical complication after stent implantation in segments of motion is stent fracture which consequently leads to a higher rate of occlusion.

In 8 studies with patient numbers between 34 and 470, a specific woven stent has achieved promising primary patency rates between 78.9% and 87.7% in the treatment of lesions with a length between 78 and 240 mm [45]. Moreover, a successful popliteal application with primary 1-year patency rates between 68% and 81% could be shown in 4 of 8 studies. Stent fractures were not observed here [45]. The higher radial force that can be applied by woven stents is indicated as a possible cause. Woven nitinol stents are promising compared to classic open-cell or close-cell stent designs, particularly in segments of motion [45]. Without further patient-relevant end points, it is currently too early to make a general recommendation.

**Infrapopliteal segment**

Extensive infrapopliteal PAD is mainly only seen in diabetics. In most cases, proximal lesions often of the superficial femoral artery are seen in addition to lesions of the arteries of the lower leg. No significant difference with respect to amputation-free survival between primary endovascular treatment and open surgery could be shown in the prospective randomized BASIL study. More-
over, retrospective cohort analyses could not show any significant difference with respect to patency rates and leg retention rates between the two procedures [1]. Nonetheless, endovascular treatment via PTA has high success rates in infrapopliteal stenoses as well as extensive occlusions in the CLI stage. Lower perioperative morbidity and mortality compared to surgical alternatives were seen so that primary endovascular treatment is recommended in the German S3 guidelines [1].

In multiple studies, DEBs in the lower leg region showed promising results with respect to primary patency rates, reintervention rates, and secondary wound healing [1]. However, a large prospective controlled study was not able to confirm the advantage of DEBs with respect to reintervention rates. In fact, the study even showed a tendency toward a higher rate of major amputations in the DEB study arm and was discontinued [46]. Therefore, the value of the use of DEBs in infrapopliteal lesions cannot be definitively determined based on the currently available studies. This is in agreement with the recommendations of the German S3 guidelines regarding the treatment of PAD [1].

Superiority of primary stenting of the infrapopliteal vasculature in comparison to PTA could not be shown in multiple studies [1]. However, secondary stenting can be considered after PTA with a poor angiographic result [1].

DESs coated with paclitaxel or sirolimus have shown some promising results with respect to intermediate patency rates and reintervention rates. Additional studies particularly regarding the clinical end points limb retention and amputation-free survival are needed to be able to include a general recommendation in the guidelines [1] (Fig. 2).

**Acute limb ischemia (ALI)**

A patient with suspicion of acute limb ischemia should be transferred as quickly as possible to an inpatient setting with sufficient diagnostic and therapeutic expertise, ideally a vascular center. The 6P rules (pain, pallor, pulselessness, paresthesia, poikilothermia, paralysis) can be used to assess whether acute ischemia is present. The main goal of ALI treatment should be quick reperfusion of the ischemic area.

Acute limb ischemia can be treated by endovascular intervention using catheter lysis or open surgery with a similar outcome. Fast availability and corresponding expertise regarding the treatment method are more decisive than the selection of the method (Fig. 3) even if multiple studies have shown that the mortality rate seems to be slightly higher in the case of surgical treatment [1]. For this reason, the endovascular method is often the treatment method of choice in ALI.

Three different tools are available for endovascular intervention:
- Catheter lysis via multipurpose or multiple sidehole infusion catheter
- Mechanical thrombectomy via aspiration catheter
- Mechanical thrombectomy via special thrombectomy catheter

The surgical approach primarily includes two different methods:
- Open surgical thrombectomy/arterial repair
- Surgical bypass

A modern concept including a combination of thrombectomy via aspiration catheter and catheter lysis yielded 6-month amputation rates of < 10 % [1]. However, systemic lysis does not play a role in the treatment of ALI. The selection of a method should be based on the patient’s symptoms and the duration and location of the occlusion. For an occlusion lasting < 14 days, the best outcome is achieved with endovascular treatment [47].

Catheter lysis should be performed using urokinase, for example. The recommended treatment regime for urokinase is 240 000 IU/h in the first 4 hours followed by 120 000 IU/h for up to 48 hours. An initial bolus of 250 000 IU is possible. The technical success rate with complete resolution of the thrombus is 69 – 81 % here [48]. Alternative thrombolytic drugs, e.g. alteplase, tenecteplase and reteplase, with corresponding treatment regimes are also available [1, 48].

Stage IV according to the Fontaine classification must often undergo primary treatment with removal of the dead tissue or even amputation.

A number of mechanical thrombectomy tools are currently being tested. Various thrombectomy approaches are being followed. These range from systems with helical rotating waves which aspirate the thrombus material via suction to systems which fragment and then aspirate thrombus material using the Bernoulli effect via an NaCl jet (rheolytic thrombectomy). Some of these methods show promise but are not yet mentioned in the current S3 guidelines. Additional significant and comparative studies are needed to be able to evaluate the value of these methods in the treatment of ALI.

**Periinterventional administration of medication**

Thrombocyte aggregation inhibition represents an important part of prophylactic medication-based treatment of PAD since PAD patients have increased thrombocyte activation. Arterial thromboses must be prevented and multilateral mortality must be decreased. In particular, patients with diabetes or chronic renal insufficiency have an increased postinterventional risk of restenosis [1].

Restenoses occur in three consecutive phases (Table 3).

According to the current S3 guidelines, pre-, peri-, and postinterventional treatment with aspirin salicylic acid (ASS) at a dose of 100 mg per day is recommended for all PAD patients if not contraindicated. If tolerated, ASS therapy should be continued throughout the patient’s entire life [1].

The administration of unfractionated heparin before and during intervention is standard in the treatment of IC, CLI, and ALI. The activated coagulation time should be extended to > 200 seconds to prevent thromboses. In addition to its antithrombotic properties, unfractionated heparin also has antiproliferative effects [1]. The additional administration of low-molecular heparin resulted in significantly higher patency rates in the treatment of ALI [1].

Thrombocyte aggregation inhibition with both ASS and clopidogrel for infrainguinal use after PTA or stent implantation has currently not been sufficiently observed on a comparative basis. However, due to the good results in postinterventional therapy
after coronary stenting and the pathophysiology of early and intermediate stent thromboses, the S3 guidelines include a consensus recommendation. They recommend thrombocyte aggregating inhibition with both ASS and clopidogrel after infrainguinal stent placement. The usual dose is 75 mg clopidogrel per day for a period of 6–8 weeks. A loading dose is viewed with skepticism and a need has not yet been proven [1].

Oral anticoagulants (coumarin derivatives) should not be used after PTA due to the greater risk of bleeding. Alternatively, the current S3 guidelines reference treatment with thrombocyte function inhibitors [1].

Sufficient pain therapy should always be part of the treatment of CLI, particularly ALI. Patient-adapted, periinterventional pain treatment is also important in endovascular treatment [1].
Summary

Appropriate diagnosis and treatment of PAD patients presents a challenge for the treating medical disciplines. As a result of the continually increasing number of affected patients and the growing number of concomitant diseases, the problem can only be addressed on an interdisciplinary basis. Patients with complex cases should visit interdisciplinary vascular centers. There are approximately 100 such centers in Germany. The goal should be guideline-compliant and evidence-based treatment. Vascular surgery, interventional radiology, and angiology work in close cooperation here. Cases and the corresponding diagnosis are to be discussed on an interdisciplinary basis to determine the proper treatment. In addition to other quality assurance modules, mortality and morbidity conferences are held at regular intervals to identify any problems.

Comprehensive diagnosis with determination of the location, length, and complexity of the occlusion process is particularly important. Moreover, treatment depends on the expertise of the physician, the available equipment and the patient’s degree of suffering, particularly in the case of IC [1]. The Fontaine classification assists in clinical decision-making. Direct derivation of treatment from the TASC II classification is no longer recommended.

Endovascular treatment approaches are becoming increasingly important in all Fontaine stages and on every level of the vasculature of the pelvis and legs. This is due to the availability of more extensive data and the continuous progress of the intervention material. "Below-the-knee" interventions have been the standard for some time. In the CLI stage, PTA had a lower periprocedural

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>restenosis/ time period</th>
<th>cause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 hours p. i.</td>
<td>elastic recoiling after PTA → can be prevented by stenting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 weeks p. i.</td>
<td>thrombus formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months p. i. and beyond</td>
<td>neointimal hyperplasia with restenosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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morbidity and mortality rate compared to the surgical alternative. The use of DEBs in the femoropopliteal segment is currently recommended. To date, no significant advantage of the use of DEBs in the infrapopliteal segment has been able to be shown.

Endovascular intervention is the method of choice with respect to the ALI stage. Particularly in temporary occlusions, the endovascular approach is a treatment option with good results.

There is a need for additional, independent, comparative, randomized multicenter studies addressing the various endovascular technologies and vascular surgery with respect to IC and CLI. The focus of the outcome should not be the patency rate but rather patient-centered end points such as quality of life and amputation-free survival. Reintervention rates and mortality rates should also be taken into consideration. A conclusion regarding the cost-effectiveness and cost efficiency of a particular method would also be desirable from a health economics standpoint.
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